

THE

Congregational Quarterly.

Whole Nos. { ^{XXVII.}
 ^{XXVIII.}

JULY & OCTOBER, 1865.

Vol. VII., Nos. { ^{III.}
 ^{IV.}

ALBERT DRAKE.

BY REV. EDMUND K. ALDEN, SOUTH BOSTON.

THE record of a life marked by no striking incidents, whose usefulness has been attained by the faithful employment of only ordinary talents, is occasionally more valuable than that of one which has been rendered illustrious by special natural endowments or by rare opportunities. The young men of our churches cannot all become governors of States nor generals of armies. They cannot all become distinguished leaders in the hosts of the Church militant, by gifts of eloquence, nor by superiority of scholarship. They will not all be doctors of theology; nor as business men will they become millionnaires and found colleges. Being educated under the benign influence of Congregationalism, whose fundamental principles are those of "common sense," they are usually so well supplied with this essential element of usefulness that they do not seek great things for themselves, but, by fidelity to little things within what is sometimes regarded as a contracted sphere, they oftentimes, unconsciously to themselves, achieve true greatness of character.

For the subject of this brief memorial sketch, introducing a name wholly unknown to the majority of the readers of this *Quarterly*, we claim nothing which can distinguish him from large numbers

of young men in our congregations, unless it may be that with more singleness of aim and patient industry he made ordinary natural abilities unusually effective in the Christian Church.

ALBERT DRAKE was born in Dorchester, Mass., November 13, 1823, the eldest son of Jeremy and Abigail B. Drake. In 1825 his parents removed to South Boston, where at four years of age he became connected with the Sabbath School of the Phillips Church. With the history of this Church his whole life was so identified that it is worthy of mention as a coincidence, that the date of his birth and the date of the organization of the Church were separated from each other by less than a month. He was trained up under the Puritanical system of family government, and was one of the boys who seem to take to it naturally. It suited his temperament and tastes, and he thrived under the regimen of well-observed Sabbaths and the Westminster Assembly's Shorter Catechism. From early childhood, he was remarkable for his habits of industry and perseverance, often rising at four o'clock in the morning to practise music lessons that they might not interfere with the other duties of the day. He was very methodical, laying his plans so that

no time would be wasted; usually closing the day by assisting his father at the bank in preference to joining in play with other boys. As one who honored his parents from his childhood to the end of his life, he stands an example for all. He was constantly spoken of as a "manly" boy. His aged grandfather remarked to a friend some years ago, "My grandson Albert was a man when he was a boy. When he came out to visit me in the country, instead of going off to play and to fish with the other boys, he would stay by me, and be my companion." This was an illustration of the characteristic affection and respect which he ever manifested toward his elders. He passed through the usual course of education in the Boston public schools, receiving the approbation of his teachers, proving himself not a brilliant, but an accurate and faithful scholar. Having no "wild oats" to sow during his youth, he never was obliged to spend his later years in reaping or in endeavoring laboriously to pluck up their pernicious harvest.

Early in life he entered into business relations requiring accuracy and strict honesty, and was in due time appointed cashier of the Columbian Bank, one of the largest and best managed banking institutions in Boston. In the fulfilment of these responsible trusts he secured the entire respect and confidence of the directors and of the public, his integrity and fidelity being above suspicion.

At the introduction of musical instruction into the grammar schools of Boston, about twenty-four years ago, he was elected, though quite young, to take charge of this department in the schools of the twelfth ward. To this work he devoted himself with his usual energy and perseverance, until the state of his health obliged him, during the spring of 1864, to resign his trust. The principal of one of these schools bears this testimony: "I was associated with Mr. Drake for twenty years, and during all those

years we labored together in perfect harmony." In this department of labor he ever sought the best welfare of those under his charge, following many of them with his special interest long after they ceased to be his pupils.

At the age of seventeen, having for some time with diffidence entertained a hope that he was a Christian, he made public confession of his faith. It is proof of the confidence which at that period was entertained in one so young, that, only four months after his reception to the Church, he was elected clerk, the duties of which office he faithfully performed for several years. His varied labors in behalf of the Church and society of which he was a member can never be fully appreciated. For a period of twenty-four years he was organist, and director of the choir, devoting himself indefatigably to the duties of this position, generously giving to it his time, thought, and money, expending much more than he received for the service of song in the house of the Lord. He was also a faithful teacher in the Sabbath School, and for more than ten years assistant superintendent. He was one of the first in the city of Boston to introduce into the Sabbath School concert that interesting feature now almost universal—the voices of children singing hosannas to the Son of David.

There are many who regard the continued existence of the Church of which he was a member—all regard its prosperous continuance—to have been vitally connected, at a critical hour, with his energy and self-sacrifice. In 1857, some of the older members had begun seriously to ponder the question, whether it might not be a matter of duty, or of necessity, that the Church should be disbanded. Advice was sought of an Ecclesiastical Council. The discouraging aspects of the question having been presented, and an ominous silence succeeding, Mr. Drake unexpectedly arose and desired to say a few words in behalf of

the young people of the society. He seemed to lose his natural diffidence and hesitation, and poured out such words of willingness to labor and to sacrifice for the welfare of the Church, that the tide of discouragement was turned. The council said, "Go forward, build your new sanctuary, and the Lord be with you." One of the members of that council, the beloved Deacon Proctor, afterward remarked, "That address of the younger Mr. Drake was a remarkable one. No Church can fail to succeed that has such young men." And when he learned that Mr. Drake was one who was accustomed to speak more eloquently by deeds than by words, and that he had already subscribed more than three thousand dollars for the erection of the sanctuary, he could only express his astonishment, and exclaim, "Would that all our churches had such members!" From that hour until the edifice was completed, he devoted his time, his influence, and his means, in securing subscriptions, procuring the best plans, superintending the building of the house, and obtaining a pastor. In journeyings often in these matters, he went at his own charges, and for the whole two years gave up all his leisure hours to the accomplishment of these objects. When the house was completed, and a pastor was settled, about eight thousand dollars more were needed to pay for the land. A temporary mortgage on the house began to be talked of. In conversing with Mr. Drake, in October, 1859, a brother said to him, "I wish that debt was paid once for all, without a mortgage; I will be one of ten to pay it; but I cannot go around to propose it to those who have already done so much." His quick reply was, "I will pay another tenth, and I will go and propose it to others." In a very few days it was all paid; the work was done promptly and at the right time; and the society thenceforth was free from the incubus of a debt.

Mr. Drake was not one of those men

who do only an occasional act of extraordinary benevolence, or who merely pet a favorite object of charity. He was constantly seeking opportunities for doing good. He accomplished much by the distribution of tracts and books, and by personal visitation at the homes of children connected with the juvenile Sabbath School. He was in the habit of calling on families which came into the congregation as strangers, introducing them to the notice of others, and doing all in his power to make them feel at home. He assisted young men in becoming established in business: he was ready to help the necessitous by private loans, which oftentimes became gifts; and there were some to whom he furnished the means of pursuing courses of education. Since his death, letters have been received from unexpected sources, stating personal indebtedness to him for special acts of kindness and sympathy. So privately and delicately did he exert his influence in securing for others situations of trust, that there are quite a number of persons now occupying important positions in business, procured through his solicitation, who have no idea that he was their benefactor. His private correspondence and memoranda indicate many methods of practical usefulness in which he has been engaged for years unknown even to his most intimate friends. He sounded no trumpet before him to proclaim his charities; and one reason why his left hand did not know what his right hand did, was that both hands were too busy in doing good to be watching each other. Though possessed of but moderate pecuniary means, he must have given away many thousands of dollars during his life.

He died of pulmonary disease, September 23, 1864, at the age of forty-one. His health had been declining for some months, and he had been temporarily absent from his desk as cashier during June and July. He resumed his official

trusts in August, and continued therein until the Saturday before his death. Upon the afternoon of that day he went to Sharon to spend the Sabbath with his aged grandmother, expecting to start upon a contemplated journey for his health during the subsequent week. Sunday morning he began to make preparations for attending public worship; but it was soon evident that his physical strength was exhausted, and that he was seriously ill. Sunday evening he was very feeble, and signs of mental wandering were noticed. From that time he rapidly sank away into unconsciousness, and died Friday evening at half-past eight o'clock. Saturday his body was removed to the house of his father in South Boston, and there he lay in quiet repose upon the succeeding Sabbath, celebrated as the forty-first anniversary of the Phillips Church Sabbath School. The Church was adorned as usual with flowers tastefully arranged; the house was thronged to listen to appropriate addresses to the children and youth, and to join in their sweet songs of praise. But sadness pervaded all hearts, causing tears to mingle with the songs, depressing some of the songs to a minor key, and giving special significance to the autumnal leaves which were intertwined with the flowers. Tuesday afternoon the Church was again thronged, the galleries being filled with the children of the Sunday School and of the three public schools of the ward, who, as was fitting, gathered about the dust of their musical instructor and sang his requiem. It seemed appropriate that the funeral services should be attended in the Church edifice, which may almost be regarded as a monument to the Christian energy and generosity of this estimable man. Thence, followed by a large company of relatives and friends, he was borne to Forest Hills Cemetery, and there committed to the care of Him who hath said, "He that believeth in me, though he were dead, yet shall he live."

"I should like to live a little longer," was his remark, a few days before his death, to one to whom he was speaking of the probabilities of a rapid decline. "You have the comfort," that friend replied, "that in either case it will be well with you." "Yes," he said, "but I should like to live a little longer. 'To depart and to be with Christ is far better,' wrote Paul to the Philippian Church; 'nevertheless, to abide in the flesh is more needful for you.' Paul desired to 'live a little longer.' Who does not so desire who appreciates the worth of the earthly life, and sees before him unfinished plans of Christian usefulness among his fellow-men." The modest brother who uttered this wish will live more than "a little longer," far longer than he had any conception of; and as his works shall continue to follow him in the testimony of those who from year to year shall go up from the Church on earth to the Church in heaven, he will wonder and adore, as he falls before the Lamb which was slain, rejoicing with unspeakable joy forevermore, that he consecrated the dew of his youth and the strength of his manhood to efficient Christian work. In no other way could he have secured for himself so permanent and honorable a memorial.

Rev. W. W. Patton, D. D., now of Chicago, Ill., his former pastor, in a letter of condolence to the bereaved father, thus writes:

"My heart is full of sadness for you as I think how heavy is this blow in your declining years, and I mourn also the loss of the Church in parting with so valuable a member. As I write, his good, clear, honest, manly face looks up from the portrait into mine, a little older in expression, but otherwise much the same as it did when we were under the same roof in the beginning of my ministry. Albert stands associated with all its pleasant things. How cheerful and yet how dignified he was! I seem to see him as he used to be when I was with you; with his fine manly form, his rich bass voice, and his firm Christian principles, a son for any father to feel proud of. How respectfully he always treated me, though I was but two years his

senior! How it pleased me to hear from time to time of his progress in worldly position and in Christian usefulness, and especially that he had become a pillar in the Church!"

The following resolutions, prepared by Rev. John A. Vinton, were unanimously adopted by the Church:

WHEREAS it has pleased the Supreme Disposer of all events to remove from this world our brother Albert Drake, therefore

Resolved, That this Church cherishes a deep sense of the unblemished integrity, the consistent walk, the gentle spirit, and the high Christian character, of this beloved brother, during the twenty-four years in which he was a member of this body; that it gives thanks to God, from whom all good proceeds, for the faithful and earnest labors of this dear brother for our prosperity as a Church; that it remembers with gratitude his valuable services, during nearly all this period, as a teacher and officer in our Sabbath School, and as the director of our Church music; while it especially preserves the memory of his untiring exertions, and his generous contributions toward the erection of our present commodious house of worship.

Resolved, That the works of our deceased brother do follow him, in the memory not only of those deeds of public benevolence to which allusion has been made, but also of those numerous acts of private beneficence

and kindness which were performed by him in a manner so quiet, and void of ostentation.

Resolved, That, in our deep sorrow for his untimely departure from earth, we derive consolation from the belief that our great loss is his unspeakable and everlasting gain; and also from the hope that such an example of early and devoted piety will not fail of a happy influence on those who are left behind.

By vote of the Church an admirable photographic likeness has been procured, framed, and suspended in the juvenile Sabbath School room, so that those who gather there from week to week are constantly reminded of one who loved them well, and who "being dead yet speaketh."

Albert Drake did nothing which scores of others in our churches might not do with equal fidelity and success. The same singleness of aim in the endeavor to do good, the same consecration of time, influence, and property, the same devotion of early and maturer manhood to the service of Christ, will be followed by the same results. We do well to remember the lesson, that "Christian power consisteth not in doing extraordinary things, but in doing common things extraordinarily well."

THE INVISIBLE CHURCH.

BY REV. J. M. HOPPIN, NEW HAVEN, CONN.

THE invisible Church, rightly considered, is not a denial of the existence or necessity of the visible Church, with its divinely established forms and ordinances; but it rather signifies, in the proper use of the term, the inward truth of that which is thus outwardly expressed. The visible Church would be worthless, were it not for that invisible life which it builds upon and develops. But the visible Church is necessary in order to render that life operative. It is the body which is vitalized by this invisible soul; so that you cannot really

separate the two without death ensuing. Neander says:

"As the *inner* fellowship of the divine life introduced by Christianity strove, however, from the beginning, to exhibit itself in an outward fellowship, it must necessarily appropriate to itself some determinate form answering to its own essence, a form in which this union could appear and shape itself as a spiritual body; because without such form no association, for whatever purpose, can have actual being and subsistence. To this end, a certain organization was necessary; a certain relative superordination and subordination of the different members, according

to the different positions assigned them in reference to the whole; a certain guidance and direction of the common concerns, and therefore separation of organs destined for that particular end."¹

The invisible Church, therefore, if the distinction is considered worth retaining, may be regarded as the soul of which the visible Church is the body. It is the hidden germinal life, the vital idea of the Church, where this is sought for in its most simple and spiritual conception. And here, doubtless, the highest unity of the Church is found, and not in its outward and variously moulded form. Here is its divine root planted in the fellowship and life of Christ. While, then, the visible Church is essential, and is not to be lost sight of, neglected, or despised, because it is also divinely created and established, the invisible Church is the more important, because it comes nearer the original source of life, and itself forms the life of the visible Church.

There can be no doubt that the more common and popular idea of the invisible Church, as meaning simply that part of the Church which is unseen, and, above all, of that part which is in heaven, is true as far as it goes. But by our definition, it comprehends those who are truly united to God everywhere. It represents that inner fellowship with Christ, which all, whether in heaven or on earth, possess, who are his true spiritual children; so that one may and should belong to the visible and invisible Church at one and the same time. We wish by this definition to meet and do away with that growing tendency in men to escape the responsibilities of a public confession of Christ, by declaring it sufficient for themselves to belong to the invisible Church; and to correct those too easy errors and faults of Christians who thrust aside the obligations of brotherly love, of unity, of the highest righteous-

ness even, by considering these to belong solely to the condition of the invisible Church, as if that were something entirely separate and by itself.

There are, we conceive, at least two fundamental principles comprehended in the idea of the invisible Church as thus explained:

1. An inward personal union with Christ of all souls that are comprised in the invisible Church. We do not now speak of the manner in which this union is effected, but only of the fact itself. Faith is doubtless the power—the mysterious power, because, although exercised by man, it is given by God—which unites thus to Christ. But all do not have faith. All do not accept Christ by faith. Those who are totally unresponsive of the love and renewing power of Christ remain still in the world, and outside of that Church, or kingdom, which is a spiritual kingdom, that he has founded in the world. "The kingdom of God is within you,"—is over the inward spirit and heart of man. These souls, therefore, fail of that real personal union with Christ which lies at the foundation of the Christian Church. That Jesus Christ is the Son of God, revealed to men's souls by the Spirit of God, God has said, "Upon this rock I will build my Church; and the gates of hell shall not prevail against it." Human wisdom has often striven to build a Church upon reason, or even upon morality: but the foundation of such is on the sand; it does not reach down to the spiritual, the eternal, the divine. It is sad to see so much brilliant intellectual energy wasted in every age of the world, and never more than in the present age of scientific illuminism, in the futile attempts to erect an enduring Church on merely rational or human grounds. Philosophers never have been able, and never will be able, to found a Church. In like manner, not even Christian theologians have been able to found a Church. Christian doctrines and dogmas concerning the Re-

¹ Neander's *History of the Christian Church*. Vol. 2, p. 182.

deemer and his great work of redemption do not reach the depth of this real and vital union with the Redeemer himself. Doctrine is good, but it is not life. The new and divine life which Christ actually introduces into the soul of man is that principle around which the Church crystallizes and develops. Without this inward life in Christ there can be no true Church, let it be as venerable, as orderly, as outwardly beautiful, as it may. We think that Christians will not differ here. They may differ in their views of the best external form of the Church, in their opinions as to the scriptural institutions of Congregationalism, or Presbyterianism, or Episcopacy; but they cannot deny the fundamental truth that Christ is the vital centre of the kingdom or Church of God; that this kingdom exists alone in the person of him who is invested with the true power and spirit of God; who is God's "beloved Son;" who manifests the nature of God as Father, Son, and Holy Spirit; who by his incarnation, life, and atoning death, actually brings the souls of believers into personal union with God in him. In this way a living Church is formed out of the world. In this way alone the real fellowship of the Church could be attained, by union with One who is above all and in all. The true Church, therefore, call it what you will, visible or invisible, has its foundation in the person of Christ, and is composed of souls who depend upon Christ and live in him. They look to him in spiritual things. He is formed within them the hope of glory. Their wills acknowledge him as Lord in whom all dominion dwells. In contrast to the merely natural and transient life of this world, such souls, by their real union with Christ, have an eternal life in them. This it is that imparts to the Church an everlasting and divine life—that makes it a kingdom that shall have no end.

2. A common possession of the spiritual graces, or the true spirit of Christ

and God. This is a necessary corollary and consequence of what has gone before. Where Christ is formed as the life of a soul, or of a number of souls who compose the Church, there his spiritual features must of necessity come out with more or less of distinctness. What are these spiritual features or graces which characterize the true Church everywhere and in all ages? In other words, to reduce it to the simplest form, what is true religion? Where true religion is, it will not, we think, be denied, there the true Church is.

Many answers have been made to this question, What is true religion? Some have found it sufficient to say that it is the working of the natural sentiment of devotion, the sense of the infinite in man; of something higher and superior, which leads him at times out of and above himself. There is, doubtless, such a sentiment in man; but this is not enough to insure that strong feeling of binding obligation to God in the conscience and affections which is implied in true religion, neither does such a vague sentiment account for that power and living energy which true religion exhibits.

Religion has also been explained to be the development of the divine in human nature. In so far as man is a divine creation, and made in the image of God with immortal powers, he is indeed divine; but if there be such a divine nature in man as makes him by the constitution of his being a part or child of God, why does not the divine principle in man manifest itself from the beginning and irresistibly in him? Why does his nature develop itself invariably, under all circumstances, favorable or unfavorable, in human imperfection, sorrow, and unholiness?

But leaving such outside definitions, let us look at some of those which have more of scriptural and vital truth in them. One such definition of true religion as we have named is comprehended in the idea of duty; making the principle of

obedience to rightful law to be the essence of religion. The word "duty" is truly a noble word. It has been the watchword of great deeds. It is, moreover, an essential element of true religion. The human will must come into subjection to the will of God before any man can lead a religious life. Religion is certainly the doing of what man ought to do. The law has its grand and appropriate place in the gospel. It can no more be blotted out or lost sight of than can Mount Sinai in the whole scenery of spiritual truth; but the law has no renewing power. The tie which has been broken cannot rebind itself to God. It cannot of itself reform the lost relation, nor continue to hold man in a state of living and joyful union with his heavenly Father. "The law," the Apostle says, "was not made for the righteous man, but for the sinner;" it is to reprove of sin, to slay false confidence of the mind, to warn, to restrain, to judge, to shine before the soul as a constant and holy standard of duty, to lead as a schoolmaster to Christ; but it has in it no spring of a new life, or of a new and holy Church. If the best man's life were judged merely by what he has done, it would be seen to be fragmentary, imperfect, and sad. There would be no finished lives among us, nor in the whole circle of the Church on earth; for it is love alone that gives to life the touch and glory of divine perfection.

Religion, yet again, is often comprehended in the thoroughly Christian principle of self-sacrifice; which truth has still more of the Christlike element in it, is a still purer emanation of the cross, and enters more profoundly into the very marrow and life of religion. We all feel the deep power of self-sacrifice; that they who have moved us most to strive after goodness have been they who, like the Saviour, have sacrificed most for goodness' sake. Something of this inward consecration or surrender of self to the Master must belong to every

Christian in order to bear him through the common trials and temptations of life. The school of the Christian in which he hardens and trains himself for the prize of a higher life is the school of self-denial. There can be no strong virtue that is not rooted by having stood trial. But even this strong and pure principle, this prominent characteristic quality of Christianity, cannot of itself comprehend the whole of religion, because it must be regarded as a result or fruit of true religion in the heart, rather than the thing itself. And the same might be said of the truth of repentance; because although a man cannot enter the kingdom of heaven without a hearty repentance of his sins, yet, when he repents of his sins, how is he to answer for the least of them before God, and how is he to become and continue a holy man? So, to go no further, although these qualities that have been named are in the highest degree religious qualities, and must enter into all true religion, yet true religion itself, or the religious life that produces and comprehends them, is the Spirit of God in the heart; and this, above all, is the spirit of love. That defines it in the shortest term. "Every one that loveth is born of God, and knoweth God." Religion in its purest fact and essence is the being planted in that divine love in which the withered and sin-deadened soul of man begins to put forth once more heavenly bloom and fruit.

What we have said is, we think, fully confirmed by Scripture. Jesus, when asked the direct question, in what true religion, whereby man inherited eternal life, consisted, answered, "Thou shalt love the Lord thy God with all thy heart, and with all thy soul, and with all thy mind, and with all thy strength"—and "thy neighbor as thyself." Nothing is needed to be added to this, but only to develop and explain it.

For this we might go abundantly to the Apostle John; but we will rather go

to the rugged and argumentative Paul. The twelfth and thirteenth chapters of the First Epistle to the Corinthians are devoted to the subject of the Church, to its gifts, graces, and religious life. The apostle speaks of the miraculous gifts of prophecy, tongues, and healing; and of the commoner gifts of knowledge, teaching, government, alms-giving and so on. These all he represents as but partial gifts of the Church of God, that will pass away with the need of them. They are in a sense temporal in their nature, and are suited to the condition of the Church while on earth. Then he takes up charity as that essential and divine principle that perfects and comprehends all the gifts and graces of the Church, and ends with the words, "And now abideth faith, hope, charity, these three; but the greatest of these is charity." Here we seem to come to the substance, or the abiding essence of all that is good, of true religion. We can ourselves see that this is so, and that there never was or could be true religion, and above all true Christianity, without these eternal elements uniting us with God, keeping us in the enjoyment of God, and imparting to us the Spirit of God. Love, which is called the greatest of these, is indeed in a peculiar sense the everlasting ground-work of religion, in which as in a divine soil all the plants of Christian virtue grow.

"Faith worketh by love," and without love would be but a dead orthodoxy. Self-sacrifice without love would be gloomy stoicism, and there must be love for genuine self-denial to spring from; repentance without love would be remorse, self-hatred, and despair; prayer without love would be but lip-service, like the praying of the Pharisees at the corners of the streets to be seen of men. Love is thus not so much a definite act or virtue, as it is the spirit of a man that enters into all his acts, faculties, and being. It is not a mere feeling, but rather the steady principle of all right-

eousness. It can even hide its face of sweetness and tender compassion, and put on the stern countenance of justice as does God himself. But it does all things for the highest good of all as does God. It has no element of selfishness, no drop of bitterness or malice, in it. It goes out continually for the good of others. It is the same principle of life and action that moves God. It is the simplest state of the regenerate mind born into the divine likeness. It is in a word the new *spirit* of God and Christ; and a man is thus brought to share in the life of God, and "made a partaker of the divine nature." We can indeed go no farther than this in our analysis of religion, and of the essence of the invisible Church. It comes in fact all to this, that true religion is not to be found in man, but alone in the life and Spirit of God. He that is born through Christ into this new spirit of love and of God belongs to the true Church, whatever be his name or nation; and will manifest more and more of the divine character and fruits of this inward principle.

It is this spirit of love and of the gospel that is continually working like a hidden leaven in the world, to bring men out from the kingdom of selfishness and sin, into the heavenly freedom and brotherhood of the Church of God. Let us express the hope that there are many thus born into this invisible Church, who have not as yet become members of the visible Church. We may hold this belief, without at the same time yielding in the least our conviction of the necessity and importance of the visible Church. Are we not permitted to point to an illustrious example of this encouraging hope? May we not rejoice to believe that although he did not live to become a public confessor of the Christian faith, the unselfishness, gentleness, and humility of him whom the nation mourns, breathing the loving Spirit of Christ, was an evidence that

Christ had truly touched his heart, had refined and renewed his spirit, and had brought him into the fellowship of the invisible Church and kingdom of those who love God, and of necessity love their brother also?

"And this is his commandment, that we should believe on the name of his Son Jesus Christ, and love one another, as he gave us commandment. And he that keepeth his commandments dwelleth in him, and he in him. And hereby we know that he abideth in us, by the spirit which he hath given us."



CATHOLIC CONGREGATIONAL CHURCH, BRISTOL, R. I.

A CONGREGATIONAL CHURCH was organized in Bristol, R. I., May 8, 1687, although public worship had been held there for six years previous by the Rev. Benjamin Woodbridge. One of the eight original male members of the Church was the hero of Philip's war, Col. Benjamin Church. Rev. Samuel

Lee was installed pastor of the Church on the day of its formation. Mr. Lee sailed for England in 1691, but was taken captive by the French, and died of prison fever at St. Maloes soon after. Rev. John Sparhawk was his successor. He was ordained June 12, 1695; and died April 29, 1718, in the

twenty-third year of his ministry. The third pastor, Mr. Nathaniel Cotton, was ordained Aug. 20, 1721. He was son of Rev. Roland Cotton of Sandwich, and grandson of Rev. John Cotton of Boston. He died July 3, 1729, in the thirty-first year of his age, and the eighth of his ministry. Rev. Barnabas Taylor was next ordained, Dec. 24, 1729; dismissed June 3, 1740. He was followed by Rev. John Burt, who was ordained May 13, 1741. He died Oct. 7, 1775, in the fifty-ninth year of his age, and the thirty-fifth year of his ministry. Rev. Henry Wight was next settled, Jan. 5, 1785. He retired in 1828, and Rev. Joel Mann was ordained as his colleague in Nov., 1815, who was dismissed Sept. 14, 1826. Rev. Isaac Lewis, D. D., was installed the eighth pastor in Nov., 1828, but was dismissed on account of the failure of his voice, Sept. 28, 1831. Rev. John Starkweather was installed the ninth

pastor, Dec. 14, 1831; was dismissed Dec. 29, 1834. The present pastor, Rev. Thomas Shepard, D. D., was installed April 30, 1835. The Church now numbers eighty-three males and one hundred and sixty-two females, a total of two hundred and forty-five, and is in a very harmonious and flourishing condition.

The new house of worship, of which we give a fine engraving herewith, was built of stone, in 1856. It is situated on the corner of Bradford and High streets, fronting the latter. Its dimensions are, length one hundred and one feet, width sixty-seven feet, walls twenty-eight feet in the clear, and thirty-nine feet from the floor to the apex of the "nave of the main arch." There are one hundred and fourteen pews on the floor, and thirty in the gallery; seating between seven hundred and eight hundred persons. The architect was Seth Ingalls, Esq., of New Bedford.

AN ODE.

[Said to have been written by Philip Sidney on his death-bed. See *Edwards's Memoirs of Libraries*, i. 671.]

It is not that I dye: I doe but leave an inne,
Where harboured was with me all filthy kind of sinne.
It is not that I dye: I do but now begin
Into eternal joys by faith to enter in.

Why mourne ye then, my servants, friends, and kin?
Lament ye when I lose;—why weepe ye when I win?
Weary of sinne, but not of sinninge,
Striving to gain, but never winninge,
Seeking an end without beginninge,
Thus doe I lead my life.

My ways are pitfalls, smoothly hidden,
My passions resty coultis unriden,
My pastimes pleasures still forbidden,
My peace is inward strife;

My meditation, thoughts unholy,
My resolution yielding folly,
My conscience Sathan's monopoly,
Sinne doth my soul inherit.
My penitence doth ill persevere,
My faith is fraile, hope constant never,
Yet this my comfort is for ever,
God saves not man for merit.

OFFICIAL RECORD OF THE NATIONAL COUNCIL

AT BOSTON, JUNE, A. D. 1865.

FIRST DAY; WEDNESDAY, JUNE 14, 3, P. M.

THE NATIONAL CONGREGATIONAL COUNCIL, convoked by delegation from the Congregational churches of the United States, in response to letters-missive agreed upon in a consultation of committees at the chapel of the Broadway Tabernacle, in the city of New York, on the seventeenth day of November, A. D. 1864, assembled in the Old South Meeting-house, in the city of Boston, Mass., on Wednesday, 14th June, 1865, at 3 o'clock, P. M., and was called to order by Rev. Andrew L. Stone, D. D., chairman of the local committee of arrangements, in a brief welcoming address. It was composed of delegates from the churches as follows; viz.,

CALIFORNIA.

Jacob Bacon,	San Francisco.
Rev. Milton Badger, D. D.,	[of New York, repre-
Luther P. Fisher,	Oakland. [sent'g Cal.]
Rev. Kinsley Twining,	San Francisco.

COLORADO TERRITORY.

Rev. Wm. Crawford,	Central City.
Samuel Cushman, Jr.,	Central City.

CONNECTICUT.

Dea. Charles Adams,	Litchfield.
Rev. Walter S. Alexander,	Pomfret.
Rev. Hiram P. Arms, D. D.,	Norwich Town.
Rev. Frederick D. Avery,	Columbia.
Albert Austin,	Suffield.
Rev. Enoch F. Burr,	Lyme.
Rev. Leonard Bacon, D. D.,	New Haven.
Hon. Walter Booth,	Meriden.
Rev. Davis S. Brainerd,	Old Lyme.
Dea. Philander Button,	Greenwich.
Hon. John Boyd,	Winsted.
His Ex'y, Gov. Wm. A. Buckingham,	Norwich.
Rev. Amos S. Chesebrough,	Glastenbury.
Rev. Louis E. Charplot,	Stratford.
Rev. Malcolm M. G. Dana,	Norwich.
Rev. Sam'l W. S. Dutton, D. D.,	New Haven.
Rev. Andrew C. Denison,	Portland.
Hon. Benjamin Douglass,	Middletown.
Rev. Oliver S. Dean,	Roxbury.
Rev. Wm. T. Eustis, Jr.,	New Haven.
Rev. Joseph Eldridge, D. D.,	Norfolk.
Rev. John Edgar,	Falls Village.
Rev. Thomas P. Field, D. D.,	New London.
Rev. Edwin R. Gilbert,	Wallingford.
Rev. John P. Gulliver,	Norwich.
Rev. Leverett Griggs,	Bristol.

Dea. Willis Hemingway, Jr.,	Fair Haven.
Hon. Henry P. Haven,	New London.
Rev. Jonathan L. Jenkins,	Hartford.
Henry M. Knight, M. D.,	Lakeville.
Rev. Robert C. Learned,	Plymouth.
Rev. Joel H. Linsley, D. D.,	Greenwich.
Rev. Orpheus T. Lanphear,	New Haven.
Prof. Edw'd A. Lawrence, D. D.,	East Windsor Hill.
Hon. Samuel Miller,	New Haven.
Rev. David Murdock,	New Milford.
Rev. George B. Newcomb,	Bloomfield.
Rev. George A. Oviatt,	Somers.
Rev. Levi L. Paine,	Farmington.
E. Beecher Preston,	Rockville.
Rev. Noah Porter, Jr., D. D.,	New Haven.
Dea. Selden M. Pratt,	Center Brook.
Daniel C. Robinson, Esq.,	Brooklyn.
Dea. George W. Shelton,	Birmingham.
Dea. John Stevens,	Cromwell.
R. D. Smith,	Guilford.
Rev. George Soule,	Hampton.
Hon. H. G. Taintor,	Hampton.
Dea. Chester Talcott,	North Coventry.
Rev. Jeremiah Taylor, D. D.,	Middletown.
Dudley B. Wheeler,	North Stonington.
Rev. Francis Williams,	Chaplin.
Rev. Samuel G. Willard,	Willimantic.
Rev. Elisha Whittlesey,	Waterbury.
Dea. J. B. Woodford,	Windsor.

DELAWARE.

Dea. Abner H. Bryant,	Canterbury.
-----------------------	-------------

ILLINOIS.

Marshall Ayres,	Griggsville.
Rev. Flavel Bascom,	Princeton.
Rev. Edward Beecher, D. D.,	Galesburg.

Rev. Frederick W. Beecher, Kankakee.
 Prof. Samuel C. Bartlett, D. D., Chicago.
 Dea. Philo Carpenter,
 Rev. William Carter, Pittsfield.
 Rev. N. Catlin Clark, Ringwood.
 Rev. Andrew J. Drake, Atlanta.
 " Richard C. Dunn, Toulon.
 " Henry M. Daniels, Winnebago.
 " Samuel Hopkins Emery, Quincy.
 " Henry M. Goodwin, Rockford.

Hon. Chas. G. Hammond, Chicago.
 Prof. Joseph Haven, D. D.,
 L. T. Howins, M. D., Loda.
 Rev. George B. Hubbard, Aurora.
 " Elisha Jenney, Galesburg.
 " Edwin N. Lewis, Ottawa.
 Dea. Nelson Mason, Sterling.
 Rev. Milo N. Miles, Geneseo.
 " Lucius H. Parker, Galesburg.
 " William W. Patton, D.D., Chicago.
 Rev. George C. Partridge, Batavia.
 Dea. Moses Pettengill, Peoria.
 Rev. Samuel F. Porter, Malta.
 " Lemuel Pomeroy, Wethersfield.
 " George S. F. Savage, Chicago.
 Joel K. Scarboro, Payson.
 Dea. Brainerd Smith, Normal.
 Pres. Julian M. Sturtevant, D. D., Jacksonville.
 Rev. Lathrop Taylor, Farmington.
 " Samuel G. Wright, Dover.
 Martin Wright, Lee Center.
 Rev. Martin K. Whittlesey, Ottawa.
 Prof. John C. Webster, Wheaton.
 Rev. John W. White, Morrison.
 " Henry M. Tupper, Waverly.

INDIANA.

Rev. Nathaniel A. Hyde, Indianapolis.
 " John L. Jenkins, Kokomo.
 Dea. A. G. Willard, Indianapolis.

IOWA.

Rev. Harvey Adams, Farmington.
 Caleb B. Atkins, Glenwood.
 Rev. George Bent, Burr Oak.
 " Henry L. Bullen, Durant.
 Rev. Cornelius S. Cady, Maquoketa.
 Rev. Joshua M. Chamberlain, Des Moines.
 " Samuel D. Cochran, Grinnell.
 " Wm. L. Coleman, Mitchell.
 Dea. John G. Foote, Burlington.
 Rev. Minot W. Fairfield, Lyons.
 " Charles H. Gates, Oscaloosa.
 " James B. Gilbert, Lansing.
 " Jesse Guernsey, Dubuque.
 " Lemuel Jones, Bellevue.
 " Daniel Lane, Eddyville.
 " Orville W. Merrill, Anamosa.
 " John K. Nutting, Bradford.
 Dea. John Porter, Cedar Falls.
 Richard J. Patterson, M. D., Mount Pleasant.
 Rev. Giles M. Porter, Garnaville.
 " Julius A. Reed, Davenport.
 Aldin B. Robbins, Muscatine.
 " Isaac Russell, Bowen's Prairie.

Seth Richards, Bentonsport.
 Fitch B. Stacy, Stacyville.
 Rev. William Salter, D. D., Burlington.
 " Samuel P. Sloan, McGregor.
 " Thomas Tenney, Plymouth.
 " Chauncey Taylor, Algona.
 " Asa Turner, Denmark.
 " John Todd, Tabor.
 Alfred Woods, Iowa Falls.

KANSAS.

Rev. Lewis Bodwell, Wyandotte.
 Rev. Richard Cordley, Lawrence.
 Wm. Crosby, Grasshopper Falls.
 Rev. James D. Liggett, Leavenworth.
 Hon. Samuel C. Pomeroy (U. S. S.), Atchison.
 William H. Watson, Leavenworth.

MARYLAND.

Rev. Edwin Johnson, Baltimore.
 Nathaniel Noyes, "

MICHIGAN.

Rev. Joshua W. Allen, Franklin.
 " Henry Bates, Grass Lake.
 Dea. Sherman S. Barnard, Detroit.
 Rev. Geo. H. Coffey, Jackson.
 Hon. Wm. I. Cornwell, Newaygo. (P. O.)
 Hon. J. Webster Childs, Augusta (Paint Creek)
 Dea. Samuel F. Drury, Olivet.
 Rev. Hiram Elmer, Clinton.
 Dea. Allen Fish, Port Huron.
 Rev. Philo R. Hurd, Romeo.
 " James S. Hoyt, Port Huron.
 Homer Hitchcock, M. D., Kalamazoo.
 Rev. Thomas Jones, Olivet.
 " Adam S. Kedzie, Somerset.
 " Henry Mills, Kalamazoo.
 " John C. Myers, Saugatuck.
 " James A. McKay, Lamont.
 George K. Newcombe, Esq., East Saginaw.
 Rev. John Patchin, Owasso.
 Rev. Herbert A. Read, Marshall.
 " Charles Spooner, Greenville.
 Rev. Alanson St. Clair, Croton.
 " James F. Taylor, Chelsea.
 Hon. James B. Walker, D. D., Bensonja.
 Rev. Le Roy Warren, Elk Rapids.

MASSACHUSETTS.

Rev. Rufus Anderson, D. D., Boston.
 Rev. George Allen, Worcester.
 Rev. Ebenezer Alden, Jr., Marshfield.
 J. S. Andrews, M. D., Ashby.
 Dea. Horace Armsby, Millbury.
 Rev. Rowland Ayres, Hadley.
 Rev. George N. Anthony, Marlboro'.
 Ebenezer Alden, M. D., Randolph.
 Dea. George W. Bigelow, Framingham.
 Rev. Samuel G. Buckingham, Springfield.
 Rev. Amos Blanchard, D. D., Lowell.
 Dea. Wm. S. Bradbury, Westminster.
 Rev. William Barrows, Reading.
 Rev. Thomas C. Blacoe, Grafton.
 Rev. William M. Barber, South Danvers.
 Rev. James M. Bacon, Essex.

Hon. Linus Child,
 Hon. H. H. Childs,
 Rev. Robert Crawford, D. D.,
 Rev. Christopher Cushing,
 Dea. John Clary,
 Timothy W. Carter,
 Dea. Walter Crocker,
 Wm. C. Chapin,
 Rev. Emerson Davis, D. D.,
 Rev. John Dodge,
 Rev. Edmund Dowse,
 Rev. Henry M. Dexter,
 Rev. J. Jay Dana,
 Joseph A. Denny,
 Hon. Allen W. Dodge,
 Dea. Nathaniel Eddy,
 Rev. Joshua Emery,
 Rev. Zachary Eddy, D. D.,
 Rev. Nathaniel H. Eggleston,
 Constantine C. Esty,
 Rev. Alfred Emerson,
 Allen Folger,
 Dea. J. A. Fitch,
 Jonathan French,
 Dea. Phineas Field,
 Dea. Jabez R. Gott,
 Timothy Gordon, M. D.,
 Rev. Nahum Gale, D. D.,
 Dea. Henry Haynes,
 Rev. John W. Harding,
 Jacob Haskell,
 Dea. Wm. J. Hinsdale,
 Dea. Geo. W. Hubbard,
 J. H. Harlow,
 Moses How,
 Rev. Jacob Ide, D. D.,
 Charles A. Jessup,
 Dea. Galen James,
 Rev. James P. Kimball,
 Rev. Edward N. Kirk, D. D.,
 Dea. S. M. Lane,
 Rev. Isaac P. Langworthy,
 Rev. Charles Lord,
 Rev. Erastus Maltby,
 Rev. Elihu P. Marvin,
 Rev. James T. McCollom,
 Rev. Abijah P. Marvin,
 Rev. James B. Miles,
 Hon. Henry Morris,
 Rev. Osborne Myrick,
 Dea. Lorenzo S. Nash,
 Prof. Edwards A. Park, D. D.,
 Rev. William P. Paine, D. D.,
 Zebulon Pratt,
 Rev. John Pike,
 Rev. Theophilus Packard,
 Rev. Ariel E. P. Perkins,
 Rev. Ralph Perry,
 Rev. Alonzo H. Quint,
 Dea. Edgar H. Reed,
 Dea. Josiah Reed,
 N. C. Robbins,
 Rev. Ezekiel Russell, D. D.,
 Rev. Reuben T. Robinson,
 Marshall S. Scudder,

Boston.
 Pittsfield.
 Deerfield.
 North Brookfield.
 Conway.
 Chicopee.
 Barnstable.
 Lawrence.
 Westfield.
 Harvard.
 Sherborn.
 Boston.
 Cummington.
 Leicester.
 Hamilton.
 East Middleboro'.
 Weymouth.
 Northampton.
 Stockbridge.
 Framingham.
 Fitchburg.
 Gardner.
 Hopkinton.
 Braintree.
 East Charlemon.
 Rockport.
 Plymouth.
 Lee.
 Sturbridge.
 Longmeadow.
 Fitchburg.
 Blanford.
 Hatfield.
 Wareham.
 Haverhill.
 West Medway.
 Westfield.
 Medford.
 Falmouth.
 Boston.
 Southbridge.
 Chelsea.
 Buckland.
 Taunton.
 Medford.
 Bradford.
 Winchendon.
 Charlestown.
 Springfield.
 Provincetown.
 Granby.
 Andover.
 Holden.
 North Middleboro'.
 Rowley.
 Sunderland.
 Ware.
 Agawam.
 New Bedford.
 Taunton.
 South Weymouth.
 Salem.
 East Randolph.
 Winchester.
 Grantville.

Dea. John Smith,
 Pres. Wm. A. Stearns, D. D.,
 Rev. Lewis Sabin, D. D.,
 Dea. Charles Stoddard,
 Rev. Seth Sweetser, D. D.,
 Rev. John S. Sewall,
 Rev. Eli Thurston,
 Dea. Wm. Thurston,
 Henry W. Taft,
 Dea. A. D. Whitmore,
 Rev. William H. Wilcox,
 Rev. John Willard,
 Rev. Joshua W. Wellman,
 Rev. Thomas Wilson,
 Rev. Edwin B. Webb,
 Hon. Samuel Williston,
 Dea. Luther Wright,
 Bartholomew Wood,

Andover.
 Amherst.
 Templeton.
 Boston.
 Worcester.
 Wenham.
 Fall River.
 Newburyport.
 Lenox.
 Reading.
 Fairhaven.
 Newton.
 Stoughton.
 Boston.
 Easthampton.
 Easthampton.
 Milford.

MINNESOTA.

Rev. Edward Brown,
 " David Burt,
 " Richard Hall,
 " Abel K. Packard,
 Dea. Oliver Pendleton,
 Rev. Charles Secombe,
 " Charles C. Salter,
 " Charles Shedd,
 " James W. Strong,
 " E. S. Williams,

Zumbrota.
 Winona.
 St. Paul.
 Anoka.
 Wabashaw.
 St. Anthony.
 Minneapolis.
 Wabasha.
 Fairbault.
 Northfield.

MAINE.

Rev. Geo. E. Adams, D. D.,
 " Uriah Balkam,
 Josiah Brown,
 Rev. Smith Baker, Jr.,
 Dea. Jacob Blanchard,
 Rev. Joseph Bartlett,
 Rev. Elbridge G. Carpenter,
 " Edward F. Cutter,
 Rev. Benj. C. Chase,
 Rev. Temple Cutler,
 " Albert Cole,
 " Elnathan F. Duren,
 " John Dinemore,
 " Franklin E. Fellows,
 " John O. Fiske,
 Hon. Robert Goodenow,
 Prof. Saml. Harris, D. D.,
 Rev. Josiah T. Hawes,
 Rev. Alfred E. Ives,
 Rev. Seth H. Keeler, D. D.,
 Charles A. Lord,
 Hon. Seth May,
 Dea. Simon Page,
 Rev. Wooster Parker,
 " William T. Sleeper,
 Charles A. Stackpole,
 Francis K. Swan,
 Rev. David Shepley,
 " Rufus M. Sawyer,
 Nathaniel T. Talbot,
 Hon. William W. Thomas,
 Rev. Horace Toothaker,
 " Sewall Tenney, D. D.,

Brunswick.
 Lewiston.
 Bethel.
 Veazie.
 Blanchard.
 Buxton.
 Houlton.
 Rockland.
 Foxcroft.
 Skowhegan.
 Cornish.
 Bangor.
 Winslow.
 Kennebunk.
 Bath.
 Farmington.
 Bangor.
 Bridgeton Center.
 Castine.
 Calais.
 Portland.
 Auburn.
 Hallowell.
 Belfast.
 Patten.
 Gorham.
 Calais.
 Yarmouth.
 York.
 Rockport.
 Portland.
 New Sharon.
 Ellsworth.

Dea. Joseph Titcomb, Kennebunk.
 Rev. Geo. Leon Walker, Portland.
 " Benj. G. Willey, East Sumner.

MISSOURI.

Warren Currier, Esq., St. Louis.
 Rev. Truman M. Post, D. D., St. Louis.
 " Julian M. Sturtevant, Jr., Hannibal.

NEW HAMPSHIRE.

Rev. Geo. M. Adams, Portsmouth.
 Rev. Zedekiah S. Barstow, D.D., Keene.
 Dea. Orin Bugbee, Lake Village.
 Rev. Nathaniel Bouton, D.D., Concord.
 Dea. Sampson W. Buffum, Winchester.
 Stephen Brown, Kensington.
 Rev. Erastus B. Claggett, Lyndeboro'.
 " Edward W. Clark, Claremont.
 Dea. Horace Childs, Henniker.
 Rev. Josiah G. Davis, Amherst.
 Dea. Archibald H. Dunlap, Nashua.
 " Andrew A. Farnsworth, Peterboro'.
 Hon. Asa Freeman, Dover.
 Rev. Wm. L. Gaylord, Fitzwilliam.
 " Henry A. Hazen, Plymouth.
 Hon. Milan Harris, Harrisville.
 Hon. Thomas J. Melvin, Chester.
 Rev. C. E. Milliken, Littleton.
 Dea. Abel K. Merrill, Haverhill.
 Prof. Daniel J. Noyes, D. D., Hanover.
 Rev. Ebenezer G. Parsons, Derry.
 " Henry E. Parker, Concord.
 Dea. Daniel H. Parker, Dunbarton.
 William Ramsdell, Milford.
 Rev. Moses T. Runnells, Orford.
 Rev. Wm. T. Savage, Franklin.
 " Benj. P. Stone, D.D., Concord.
 " Josiah H. Stearns, Epping.
 " Alvan Tobey, Durham.
 " Cyrus W. Wallace, Manchester.
 Albert E. Wellman, Cornish.
 Rev. Horace Wood, Ossipee Corner.
 " John K. Young, D.D., Laconia.

NEW YORK.

Rev. Wm. I. Buntington, D.D., Brooklyn.
 " Henry Ward Beecher, "
 Henry C. Bowen, Esq., "
 Rev. John Bradshaw, Crown Point.
 " Stephen A. Barnard, Willsborough.
 " Jeremiah Butler, Fairport.
 Dea. Edson Boyd, M.D., * Ashville.
 " Albert G. Bristol, M.D., Rochester.
 Rev. E. D. Chapman, Sinclearville.
 Dea. Wm. H. Childs, Niagara City.
 Rev. Edward Davies, Waterville.
 " Oliver E. Daggett, D.D., Canandaigua.
 Dea. Lorenzo D. Dana, Morrisville.
 Rev. Morgan L. Eastman, Lisbon.
 " George R. Entler, Meredith.
 " Griffith Griffiths, Utica.
 " Henry M. Hazeltine, Sherman.
 " L. Smith Hobart, Syracuse.
 " John C. Holbrook, D.D., Homer.
 Charles Hopkins, Norwich.

Dea. Hiram Hurlburt, Stockholm.
 Rev. Samuel Johnson, Chenango Falls.
 Rev. Seneca M. Keeler, Guilford Centre.
 John M. Kinsman, North Potsdam.
 Rev. Joshua Leavitt, D.D., New York.
 Dea. Thomas Marvin, Walton.
 Rev. John H. Nason, Smyrna.
 Rev. Richard Osborn, Champion.
 Rev. Ray Palmer, D.D., Albany.
 Rev. Thomas H. Rouse, Jamestown.
 " Samuel T. Richards, Spencerport.
 Rev. Aaron Snow, Mt. Sinai.
 Rev. Joseph P. Thompson, D.D., New York.
 Daniel S. Tarr, Gloversville.
 Rev. Edwin E. Williams, Warsaw.
 " Moses H. Wilder, Gaines.
 " Warren W. Warner, Lawrenceville.
 David S. Williams, Flushing.
 Hon. Arden Woodruff, Strykersville.
 Rev. Horace Winslow, Binghampton.

NEW JERSEY.

Rev. John M. Holmes, Jersey City.
 Lowell Mason, Jr., Orange.

NEBRASKA.

Rev. Reuben Gaylord, Omaha City.
 " Elisha M. Lewis, Nebraska City.

OREGON.

Rev. Geo. H. Atkinson, Portland.

OHIO.

Rev. Alex. Bartlett, Conneaut.
 " Henry S. Bennett, Wakeman.
 Rev. Loren W. Brintnall, Mallet Creek.
 Dea. Asa Cady, Collamer.
 Dea. Charles Clark, Cuyahoga Falls.
 Rev. T. W. Davies, Youngstown.
 " George Darling, Hudson.
 Prof. James H. Fairchild, D.D., Oberlin.
 Rev. Henau Guer, Lindenville.
 Dea. A. Griswold, "
 Rev. John C. Hart, Kent.
 Rev. Lysander Kelsey, Columbus.
 Andrew J. Knapp, Wauseon.
 Rev. T. E. Monroe, Mt. Vernon.
 Hon. Francis D. Parrish, Sandusky.
 Chas. W. Palmer, Esq., Cleveland.
 Dea. T. W. Painter, Weymouth.
 Hon. Douglas Putnam, Springfield.
 Rev. Edward W. Root, Bellevue.
 Rev. John Safford, Tallmadge.
 " S. Willard Segur, Madison.
 " Charles W. Torrey, Cleveland.
 Rev. James A. Thome, Claridon.
 Hon. Lester Taylor, Newburgh.
 Rev. Wm. Watkins, Cleveland.
 " Samuel Wolcott, D.D., Marietta.
 " Thomas Wickes, Oberlin.
 Dea. Wm. W. Wright, Cincinnati.
 J. P. Walker, M.D., "
 E. S. Warner, "

PENNSYLVANIA.

Rev. Davis R. Barker,	Gray's Mills.
Geo. B. Delamater, Esq.,	Meadville.
Rev. Edward Hawes,	Philadelphia.
" Philip Perigrine,	Blossburg.
" Geo. W. Smiley, D.D.,	Philadelphia.
" Roderick R. Williams,	Pittsburg.

RHODE ISLAND.

Rev. Constantine Blodgett, D.D.,	Pawtucket.
Hon. Amos C. Barstow,	Providence.
Rowland Hazard, Esq.,	Peace Dale.
Rev. Leonard Swain, D.D.,	Providence.

TENNESSEE.

Rev. Thomas E. Bliss,	Memphis.
-----------------------	----------

VERMONT.

Hon. James D. Bell,	Walden.
Rev. Ezra H. Byington,	Windsor.
Rev. Nelson Bishop,	Windsor.
Rev. Lewis O. Brastow,	St. Johnsbury.
Rev. Calvin B. Cady,	Alburgh.
Hon. Jacob Collamore,	Royalton.
Edward Conant,	Randolph.
George H. Crane,	Northfield.
Charles Carpenter,	W. Charlestown.
Rev. Theodore M. Dwight,	Putney.
Rev. James T. Ford,	Stowe.
Geo. F. French,	Lunenburg.
Rev. Clark E. Ferrin,	Hinesburgh.
Benj. Fairchild, M. D.,	Milton.
Rev. Henry M. Grout,	W. Rutland.
Hon. Ira Goodhue,	Westminster.
Rev. L. Ives Hoadley,	Craftsbury.
" Asariah Hyde,	Pawlet.
Rev. Isaac Jennings,	Bennington.
Dea. Samuel James, Jr.,	Weybridge.
Hon. Wm. C. Kittredge,	Fairhaven.
Dea. Freeman Keyes,	Newbury.
Rev. Benjamin Labaree, D.D.,	Middlebury.
Rev. Silas McKen, D. D.,	Bradford.
Rev. Sidney K. B. Perkins,	Glover.
" Charles C. Parker,	Waterbury.
" Wm. Stratton Palmer,	Wells River.
Dea. Henry W. Robinson,	Johnson.
Dea. E. D. Selden,	Brandon.
His Ex'y Gov. James G. Smith,	St. Alban's.
Dea. Gilbert M. Sykes,	Dorset.
Rev. Geo. P. Tyler, D. D.,	Brattleboro'.
" Charles C. Torrey,	Chester.
Saml. D. Winslow,	Townshend.
Josiah B. Wheelock,	Coventry.

WISCONSIN.

Rev. Asa B. Allen,	Black Earth.
" Charles Byington,	Watertown.
Dea. Orris K. Coe,	"
Rev. Isaac N. Cundall,	Rosendale.
" Dexter Clary,	Beloit.
" Joseph Collis,	Delavan.
Dea. Russell Cheney,	Emerald Grove.

Rev. Solomon A. Dwinell,	Reedsburg.
Timothy Dwight, Esq.,	Beloit.
Rev. Franklin B. Doe,	Appleton.
Asahel Finch, Esq.,	Milwaukee.
Rev. Joseph W. Healey,	"
Hon. Edward D. Holton,	"
Dea. Guerdon Judson,	Raymond.
W. Wallace Jones,	"
Rev. David Jones,	Arona.
" William D. Love,	Milwaukee.
" William E. Merriman,	Ripon.
" Edward G. Miner,	Whitewater.
" Henry A. Miner,	Menasha.
" Philo C. Pettibone,	Burlington.
" Lucius Parker,	Palmyra.
" John C. Sherwin,	La Crosse.

*HONORARY MEMBERS.

[The following is the list of honorary members, by virtue of their connection with the Preliminary Committee at New York, and the Business Committee at Boston, with one or two exceptions. Many of the members of these committees are not named here, from the fact that they were delegates, and are on the roll proper given above.]

Rev. Israel W. Andrews, D.D.,	Marietta, Ohio.
Rev. George W. Blagden, D.D.,	Boston.
J. Russell Bradford, Esq.,	Boston.
Hon. Samuel A. Chapin,	Nevada.
Prof. Geo. P. Fisher,	New Haven. Ct.
Prof. Franklin W. Flak,	Chicago, Ill.
Henry Hill, Esq.,	Boston.
Dea. Samuel Holmes,	New York.
Rev. Daniel P. Noyes,	Boston.
Dea. Julius A. Palmer,	"
Rev. Joseph E. Roy,	Chicago, Ill.
Prof. George Shepard, D.D.,	Bangor, Me.
Rev. Andrew L. Stone, D.D.,	Boston.
Edward S. Tobey, Esq.,	"

DELEGATES FROM FOREIGN COUNTRIES.

Cong. Union of England and Wales. — Rev. Robert Vaughan, D.D.; Rev. Alexander Raleigh, D.D.; Rev. James W. Massie, D.D., LL.D.

Glanmorganshire Assoc., Wales. — Rev. John Thomas, C. R. Jones, Esq., J. Griffith, Esq.
North Staffordshire Cong. Union. — Rev. S. R. Asbury.

Union of Evang. Church of France. — Rev. Theo. Monod.

Cong. Union of Canada. — Rev. Edward Ebbs, Rev. Henry Wilkes, D.D., Rev. John Wood, Rev. E. J. Sherrill, Rev. A. Duff, Rev. D. C. Frink, Theodore Lyman, Esq.

Congregational Union of Nova Scotia and New Brunswick. — W. H. Daniels, Esq.

Whole number of Delegates 492

Number of Honorary Members 14

Delegates from Foreign Countries 13

Total membership of Council 619

Charles Stoddard, Esq., of Massachusetts, was chosen temporary moderator.

Prayer was offered by Rev. E. Beecher, D. D., of Illinois.

Rev. Henry M. Dexter, of Massachusetts, was chosen temporary scribe.

On motion of Rev. I. P. Langworthy, of Massachusetts, it was

Voted, That the Moderator appoint a committee (to be composed of one member from each State represented here) of nomination for permanent officers of the Council.

The Moderator appointed that committee as follows:

Massachusetts — Hon. Linus Child; *Maine* — Rev. George E. Adams, D. D.; *New Hampshire* — Rev. B. P. Stone, D. D.; *Vermont* — Rev. B. Labaree, D. D.; *Rhode Island* — Hon. A. C. Barstow; *Connecticut* — Rev. S. W. S. Dutton, D. D.; *New York* — Rev. J. P. Thompson, D. D.; *New Jersey* — Rev. J. M. Holmes; *Pennsylvania* — D. R. Barker, Esq.; *Delaware* — Abner H. Bryant, Esq.; *Maryland* — Nathaniel Noyes, Esq.; *Ohio* — Judge F. D. Parish; *Indiana* — Rev. N. A. Hyde; *Illinois* — Hon. C. G. Hammond; *Michigan* — Hon. W. I. Cornwell; *Wisconsin* — Timothy Dwight, Esq.; *Iowa* — Dea. John Porter; *Minnesota* — Rev. C. C. Salter; *Missouri* — Warren Currier, Esq.; *Nebraska* — Rev. R. Gaylord; *Kansas* — Hon. S. C. Pomeroy; *Colorado* — Samuel Cushman, Jr., Esq.; *Oregon* — Rev. G. H. Atkinson; *Tennessee* — Rev. T. E. Bliss; *California* — Jacob Bacon, Esq.

On motion of Rev. J. M. Sturtevant, D. D., a committee of three was appointed to report rules of order for the use of the Council, and the following gentlemen were chosen to constitute that committee; viz., Rev. J. M. Sturtevant, D. D., of Ill., Rev. J. P. Gulliver of Conn., H. C. Bowen, Esq., of New York.

On motion of Rev. W. W. Patton, D. D., a committee of five was appointed to receive the credentials of members, and report who are entitled to membership in this Council, consisting of Rev. W. W. Patton, D. D., of Ill., Jacob Haskell of Mass., Rev. E. Beecher, D. D., of Ill., Dea. A. Fish of Mich., Dea. D. Putnam of Ohio.

On request of this committee, it was subsequently enlarged by the addition of Rev. R. C. Learned of Conn., and Rev. S. Wolcott, D. D., of Ohio.

The committee on a permanent organization reported as follows:

That the permanent officers of the Council consist of a moderator, two assistant moderators, and five scribes; and that those officers be the following; viz.,

Moderator — His Excellency Gov. W. A. Buckingham of Conn.

Assistant Moderators — Hon. C. G. Hammond of Ill., Rev. Joseph P. Thompson D. D., of New York.

Scribes — Rev. Henry M. Dexter of Mass., Dea. Samuel Holmes of New York, Rev. Philo R. Hurd of Michigan, Rev. Alonzo H. Quint of Mass., Rev. E. P. Marvin of Mass.

Rev. Alonzo H. Quint of Mass. declined being a candidate for the position of scribe, and Rev. M. K. Whittlesey of Ill. was nominated in his place.

It was *Voted*, To accept and adopt the report of the committee; and the Council was permanently organized by the choice of this moderator, assistants, and scribes.

Hon. Linus Child of Mass., and Rev. Dr. Dutton of Conn., conducted the moderator to the chair, when he briefly addressed the Council.

On motion of Rev. Dr. Dutton of Conn., it was

Voted, That the opening sermon be delivered in the Mt. Vernon Church, in Ashburton Place, to-morrow morning at 9 A. M.

On motion of the temporary scribe, it was

Voted, That, until otherwise ordered, the sessions of this Council be from 9 A. M. to 1 P. M., and from 3 P. M. to 5 P. M.

On motion of Hon. Linus Child of Mass., it was

Voted, To adjourn to to-morrow morning, at 9 o'clock, to meet in the Mt. Vernon Church, in Ashburton Place.

Adjourned.

SECOND DAY; THURSDAY, JUNE 15, 9 A. M.

The Council assembled in the Mt. Vernon Church at 9 o'clock, A. M.

The sermon before the Council was preached by Rev. Julian M. Sturtevant, D. D., of Illinois; the preliminary exercises being conducted by Rev. Dr. Vaughan of England, and the concluding prayer being made by Rev. Dr. Kirk of Mass.

SERMON.

Jeremiah vi. 16. Then saith the Lord, Stand ye in the ways, and see, and ask for the old paths, where is the good way, and walk therein, and ye shall find rest for your souls.

It would perhaps not be difficult to find circles of opinion, in which the selection of such words as these for the theme of discourse would be thought to require an apology. Indeed, judging from some of the givings-forth of the periodical press, I deem it not improbable that there may be such a circle in this goodly city of Boston. There are, I fear, not a few persons among us who, though by no means deficient in natural gifts or generous culture, are greatly wanting in reverence; men who would regard the exhortation of our text, when applied to our own times, with something of indignation and contempt, as though it were a suggestion that the enlightened present should disown her wisdom, and go to school to the blind and stupid past. Such men seem to have forgotten that the past is ever the parent of the present; that other men have labored, and we are entered into their labors; that, whatever superiority we may have attained over those who have gone before us, we owe to the principles which our fathers established, to the institutions which they founded, and the lessons which they taught.

But I am fairly entitled to assume, that no such apology is necessary in addressing the representatives of the Congregational churches of the United States, assembled here around the old hearth-stone, and the cradle of our political and religious institutions; not only from the hills and valleys where the New England fathers sleep, but from the basin of the lakes, the banks of the Mississippi and its branches, the glens of the Rocky mountains, and the shores of the Pacific.

Nor this alone. From beyond the St. Lawrence, brethren beloved are here, and from that beautiful island of the ocean which is the mother of us all, a revered and honored mother, who, though in these late years she hath chided her eldest American daughter with a little unmerited severity, will yet honor her ancestral bravery, and her fidelity to her precious inheritance of liberty.

This audience, assembled on this spot, surely needs not be told that there are principles coeval with the founding of these New England colonies, which sustain such a relation to our whole social and religious life, that we can never have any sound and healthful growth except by their free and natural development — principles which sustain the same relation to our entire nation, however great it may become in future ages, which the little germ enclosed in the acorn does to the sturdiest monarch of the forest.

I am sure of the hearty sympathy of this audience, in "standing in the ways, to ask for the old paths." Our fathers were but men. We claim for them no exemption from the errors and follies to which all this poor humanity is ever subject. But God was with them, and did guide their feet into paths of wisdom, which led them to the attainment of a condition of freedom and social order, which richly compensated them for all the danger

and sufferings of the wilderness, and is destined to confer untold blessings on their descendants forever. And it is well worthy our most earnest endeavor to trace out those same paths, through all the intricacies and sorrowful confusions of the present; and perfectly safe for us to walk in them. They conducted our fathers to prosperity and happiness in circumstances seemingly the most unpropitious and forbidding, and they will not fail to conduct us to the same.

We propose no servile imitation of the fathers. We will adhere to no principle and no custom because it was theirs. "Prove all things, hold fast that which is good," shall be our motto. We intend to look backwards, not because we think innovation a crime, but because we know that all true national growth is the development of first principles; and that the principles of any nation's life are to be learned, not from the agitations of the passing moment, but from the study of its history. We think it wise to ask the fathers what is the seminal principle of our national life, by the development of which we may attain to the growth and strength and beauty and productiveness of which God hath made us capable.

Nor am I wrong in looking to the early history of New England for the seeds of our national life. The French De Tocqueville, not a Puritan, not a Protestant, says:

"The two or three main ideas which constitute the basis of the social theory of the United States were first combined in the Northern British colonies, more generally denominated the States of New England. The principles of New England spread at first to the neighboring States; they then passed successively to the more distant ones; and at length they imbued the whole confederation. They now extend their influence beyond its limits over the whole American world. The civilization of New England has been like a beacon lit upon a hill, which, after it has diffused its warmth around, tinges the distant horizon with its glow."

What, then, are the principles of social life which are indicated in the early history of New England? In the fore ground of the picture meets us the fact, that our fathers believed in their heart of hearts that God had revealed himself to the soul of man, and that it is the privilege and the duty of every man to receive and obey for himself that revelation. With clear and mighty conviction they rejected, as unsatisfactory and untrue, that interpretation and social expression of the divine will which kings and nobles and bishops had imposed with tyrant power on every foot of English soil. That they might find some spot of earth on which—some arch of sky beneath which—they might individually and socially worship God according to their own understanding of his will, not only brave and strong men, but timid old age, and delicate womanhood, and helpless infancy, dared encounter the ocean, the wilderness, and the savage. This is certainly the foremost fact in the history of New England, nay, of North America.

Next meets us the fact, that, when they reached their desolate home on these ice-bound shores, they were as far removed from the government which should have protected their persons and their rights, as from the step-mother Church that would impose on them her ceremonies and her superstitions. If under those stern winter skies they were free to worship God, they were also under a necessity of providing for their own protection from cold and famine, and the violence of bad men.

It needs no argument to show that from such a history must necessarily have been born a "Church without a bishop, and a State without a king." The family with all its God-given authorities, sacred subordination, and delicate dependencies, had been transported across the ocean, and stood unimpaired and unshaken on the shores of a new world. And never has it been more revered, or more honored, than by the fathers and mothers of New England. But all else of the religious and political authorities of the Old World had been left on the other side of the ocean. The individual man, the family

tie, and the golden chain that binds each individual man to "the throne and monarchy of God," were all that remained of the organic forces of society. These men are social beings, and therefore they will reconstruct religious and political society. But they will construct both only for the protection of individuals and families, in the enjoyment of their God-given rights, and to aid them in performing their divinely appointed duties and achieving their allotted destiny. The recognition of the rights, the duties of individual human beings, as the direct subjects of the government of God, *will, must be*, the germinant principle of all social arrangements. The principle will become recognized and crowned and enthroned, that every individual has rights which God gave him when he made him in his own image, and owes an allegiance to the Supreme Ruler which is superior to all human enactments, and which rights and duties no earthly power can over-ride in the smallest degree, without incurring the righteous displeasure of God. If from these feeble beginnings a nation shall grow up which shall stretch from ocean to ocean, and cover a continent with the emblems of its power, that nation must rest on this simple principle, as its mountains rest on their foundations of everlasting granite; and if at any point in its future history, in the pride of its prosperity and power, it shall violate this sacred principle, an earthquake will shake its strongest structures, and volcanic fires will burst up from beneath its foundations, and like Sodom of old it will be consumed with a storm of fire and brimstone, unless it repents in sackcloth and ashes, and puts away the national iniquity.

That this principle must be seminal to our national life, no thoughtful man surely will deny; and in searching for the true pathway of our progress, we are only to seek for the just and rational development of it. Is it not, then, equally obvious, that, in constructing society on this principle, the largest amount of liberty will be reserved to the individual which is consistent with provision for his social wants; and that, in all social arrangements, local provisions will be preferred to the provincial, the national, or the imperial, except in cases where the latter are found to be essential to the general welfare? The individual will not commit to a society what he can better take care of as an individual; and local communities will not commit to general societies what they can better understand and better provide for than any more general society can do it for them. Individuals will enjoy the largest liberty, local communities will surrender the smallest portion of their independence, consistent with the general good; and imperial power will only be permitted to meddle with those interests in which all the millions of a great nation are alike concerned. I need not argue before this audience to show, that as this results directly and necessarily from our national history, so it is a true enunciation of the characteristic principle of American institutions as they exist in fact; and that the more perfectly this principle is carried out, the more harmonious and beneficent is the working of our social machinery.

What, then, is the development of this principle in the direction of religion? I need not prove that earnest faith in the gospel must and always will have a social development. It follows inevitably from the nature of the religion, and the social affections to which it is largely addressed. Persons living in each other's neighborhood, reading the Bible in the same mother tongue, and believing with the heart its revelations of God and Christ, and redemption and forgiveness, and the life everlasting, will find themselves drawn into social relations by irresistible attractions. They will organize themselves into a religious society for mutual sympathy, edification, instruction, and coöperation, as naturally and necessarily as the loving pair unite in marriage bonds, or as beings possessed of human nature unite in civil society.

And, consistently with the conditions which the Pilgrim Fathers brought with them to the shores of New England, consistently with the fundamental principles of our social life,

they will organize those societies, independent* of all dictation or control in discipline, worship, and doctrine, except that of the one divine Head of the Church of God. Had not our fathers accepted a home in this great and terrible wilderness, that they might enjoy the doctrine, the discipline, the worship, which they approved? And should they now construct any authority of bishop, or council, or presbytery, empowered to interfere with their enjoyment of this dear-bought privilege? And why should any society of Christian men and women, associated for these religious purposes, subject themselves to any such control of human power and invention? They want religious teaching: are they not competent to select their own religious teachers, in the fear of the Lord? They want to exclude from their society the irreligious, the unbelieving, the scandalous, the profane: are they not better qualified to estimate the character of the men and women among whom they live than any distant church authority? They want a doctrine and a worship conformed to the divine word: must they not themselves prove all things, and hold fast that which is good? Can they delegate the judgment of these matters to other fallible men like themselves? Does not their individual allegiance to God imply their individual right to try the teaching they hear, and the worship in which they engage, by the standard of God's revealed will, and themselves to judge what is right? What bishop, council, presbytery, synod, can decide for them?

While thus claiming that the doctrine of the independency of the local Church was a most natural result of the circumstances and the religious convictions of the fathers of New England, I do not forget the fact, that the Pilgrims of Plymouth had been instructed in the principles of Congregational independency by that truly great and good man, John Robinson, before they left the mother country, and during their residence in Holland, and were 'heretofore rooted and grounded in them before they embarked for America. Nor do I forget the still more weighty fact, that Robinson himself was but the humble pupil of the Apostles themselves; that the churches which Paul and Peter and their fellow Apostles founded from Jerusalem to Rome were, by the agreeing judgment of the ablest writers on ecclesiastical history, independent local churches; and that, whatever other men may say of the fathers, independency is sustained by the uniform practice of the Apostles.

Such were the churches which our fathers planted amid the primeval forests of New England; such were the churches of Plymouth and Salem and Boston; and as their settlements encroached farther and farther upon the domain of the oak, the pine, and the fir, they covered the territory which they reclaimed from the wilderness with a complete net-work of such churches. It was the function of each of these churches to care for the intellectual and religious culture of the entire population within their respective boundaries. Thus, at every step of their advance, the Christian teacher and the schoolmaster, accompanied them, and every child was taught the rudiments both of secular and of divine knowledge. This was a true development of the principle of our national life; and we may well challenge any intelligent denial, that in application to such a State as Massachusetts only, and as it actually exists in practice at the present moment, it is a grand development; and that applied in its entirety to a great nation, extending from the Atlantic to the Pacific, it would be as sublime and glorious as it is free and simple. If it would have been as quiet and tranquil as sunshine, it would also have been as potent and life-giving.

Such was the conception of the fathers of New England; and that conception they

* The author of this discourse is quite well aware that the Congregationalism of our fathers recognizes two principles as fundamental, — the *self-government* and the *fellowship* of the churches; and if, in advocating the former as against ecclesiastical centralization, he has given it chief prominence in this discourse, it is not because he does not hold, or undervalues, the latter.

did make an actual reality in every settlement which they formed. And the network of Congregational churches, with which they covered over much the larger portion of New England, presented a completeness and symmetry of organization for the religious instruction and spiritual nurture of a free people, never attained to elsewhere in this country, and probably not even in the world. An unobstructed development of their principles would have covered our whole territory with such a chain of organizations from ocean to ocean. At least, on every six miles square of our inhabited territory, they would have planted such a society, not cared for and governed by some distant ecclesiastical authority, but by its own living forces, and efficiently caring for all the intellectual, moral, and spiritual necessities of the entire population within its limits; nor for these alone, but supplying the physical necessities of all the sick, the poor, and the afflicted.

And such a religious organization is essential to our national life and health. It is one of the great vital forces of all free society. There can be no better future, no millennium, either political or religious, without it. We do but grievously deceive ourselves if we imagine a sublime superstructure of freedom can be reared up, covering a continent, and enduring for ages, if this element is wanting. Some men among us glory in the superiority of this generation over our simple-minded fathers. But we are sadly fallen from the grace of such an organization for the religious culture of the people; and it is a grievous fall. Many of the stars are fallen even from the sky of New England, and no other luminaries have taken their places, and many others are sadly dimmed in their lustre and are reeling from their orbits. In most of the country which lies west and south of the Hudson, we have abandoned the conception itself, as impracticable and impossible. An eminent divine of one of the middle States, alike well known for his fervid eloquence and his burning zeal for the Christian cause, who thought I loved New England better than I ought, once sent this message to me in my distant home in the West, "Tell Mr. S. he cannot make New England in the West." Alas! thus far it is true, and it is this very element of New England which we have been unable to transplant. But I have not ceased and shall not cease to try, till I despair of my country and of the Church of God.

It would be greatly to the purpose of the present occasion to exhibit an exhaustive view of the causes which have prevented the realization of this simple but grand conception west and south of the Hudson. The time, however, which can be allotted to this discourse would be entirely insufficient for such a presentation. But there are four of these causes which seem to me imperatively to demand the consideration of the National Council. They are,

1. Want of homogeneity in our population.
2. Negro slavery.
3. Undue reliance on temporary, superficial, and inorganic efforts for home evangelization; and,
4. Want of sufficient tenacity in adhering to our own polity.

In naming the first of these, want of homogeneity in our population, I do not chiefly refer to the fact that everywhere there is a portion, and in some communities a very large portion, of the population, who are not believers in the gospel, who are either indifferent or hostile to that faith which is the basis of all living and permanent Church organizations. However deeply we must deplore this fact, this unbelieving portion of the population is not numerous enough to throw any insuperable obstacles in the way of a ubiquitous organization of the Church on Congregational principles. It rarely or never occurs in any American community, that, if the gospel is preached in its purity, such multitudes will not embrace it in the love of it, as to render the organization and sustentation of a Christian Church easy. And this remains true, after we have made ample allowance for those forms

of semi-Christian belief and worship which reject that gospel which we have received. That gospel which consists in repentance toward God, and faith in our Lord Jesus Christ, will still find adherents, who will be both able and willing to sustain an organized Church with all its ordinances. At least, within the circle of my observation, this has rarely, if ever, failed to be true. Aid they might need, while struggling with the first difficulties of a new settlement. But when these were a little over, I have seldom or never known a community in which there was not enough of earnest and devout Christians to sustain the institutions of social religion, if they were united in their endeavors; or, at least, in which, if the gospel were for a little time faithfully preached, it would not win converts, and make the problem of the Church easy. There are few communities, East or West, in which a Christian teacher may not preach as Paul did at Corinth, with the assurance that the Lord "has much people" there.

But the heterogeneousness of which I speak is of another kind. Almost everywhere west and south of the Hudson, the descendants of New England have met a religious population, holding, in a greater or less degree of purity and simplicity, the same religious faith as themselves, who yet are not willing to accept their conception of the Church. Everywhere beyond the western boundary of New England, they meet not only the divisions which have arisen among Independents on the mode and subjects of baptism, but, in its almost endlessly multiplied modifications, the Presbyterianism of Geneva, Holland, and Scotland, and the various offshoots of the modified Episcopacy of the Wesleys. The inevitable consequence is, a conflict of rival conceptions of the Church, which renders impossible the construction of any such system of religious organization as the Congregational conception of the Church has produced in New England. It is not only true that no one of these Christian denominations is able to construct a ubiquitous system for the instruction of the people in the things of God, but that their mutual rivalries render it impossible that such a system should exist, either by the efforts of any particular denomination, or of all together. This is not a random assertion, but it is capable of demonstration; and if true, it is surely worthy of the most serious consideration, not only of the Congregational churches, but of all men of every denomination that love our country and the kingdom of God.

In cities and large towns, all the different religious denominations that exist in our country may be represented by religious organizations, embodying each its own conception of the Church; and in this way provision may be made, in some sort, for the religious instruction of the population. But it is quite essential to the great social experiment which we are trying on a scale so gigantic, that our rural civilization should attain to a completeness never known in any other land. The means of intellectual, moral, and religious culture must not be shut up in cities: they must be carried to every square mile of our territory, and brought within easy reach of every human habitation. Every six miles square of the entire habitable surface of our country must contain such permanent and effective institutions for the instruction of the people, and the whole people, that the man who has never travelled beyond the limits of his own native township may yet have a noble education, and be a truly cultivated and civilized being, the product of all the centuries that are past. In order to this, I need not prove to this audience that it is indispensable that the Christian sanctuary shall be built there, and that on each successive Lord's Day the assembled people shall feel the influence of social worship, and of the clear, lucid, and earnest exhibition of evangelical truth.

What I affirm is, that the heterogeneousness of our population, in the sense in which I have defined it, renders it impossible to effect any such religious organization; but that, on the contrary, vast regions and multitudinous populations are by it doomed to religious destitution and a moral desolation, like some great Sahara, with only here and there a blooming oasis.

Subtracting from the sum total of our population the population of our cities and large towns where religious institutions can be maintained in some sort, in spite of the causes of which I am speaking, the remainder of course will be our rural population; and it will not be found to exceed some twenty-eight to the square mile, or about one thousand souls to each township of six miles square. Let us then bear in mind that in each of these townships will be found the usual amount of indifference to religion, and misbelief and unbelief; and that all this must be counted out, in estimating the capability of the township to sustain social religion. After then subtracting from the one thousand souls that inhabit the township all persons of this character, the remainder cannot be presumed to exceed six or seven hundred of all ages from the cradle to the grave, and of both sexes. If these were united, you could not expect of them more than that they would be able to sustain one Church with energy and efficiency. What then can we hope for, if they are to be divided between Presbyterians in all their diversities of Dutch, Scotch, and American origin, Wesleyan Episcopacy in all its modifications and independency, with the divisions which have arisen respecting the mode and subjects of baptism? What but the impossibility of sustaining any religious organization whatever?

And yet what I have supposed is but the stern and terrible fact over vast districts of our territory; and the result is religious destitution and religious anarchy, from which we can not only discern no deliverance in the immediate future, but we do also clearly see, that, in the present line of things, deliverance is impossible; that the evil must wax worse and worse with each successive generation forever. Sects will multiply and unbelievers will multiply, and the house of David will wax weaker and weaker. We might as well hope that the barrenness of the Arabian desert will be healed, while yet the clouds refuse to pour their rain upon it. Religious men of New England birth and education have impressed upon the very substance of their souls the conception of a religious organization of society, which will bring the influence of a regular Christian sanctuary within easy reach of every dweller on the soil. And they know well that by such an arrangement only can the education of the people be provided for. And I thank God, that in the hope of realizing this noble conception they will, if need be, pour out their money like water, in sustaining Home Missionary societies, in founding schools and colleges, and in educating young men for the Christian ministry; and they will give their own sons and daughters to this work in a spirit of as true heroism as ever poured out life in the defence of liberty, or endured martyrdom for the truth as it is in Jesus. And I shall never cease to thank God that it is so.

But we are in duty bound to look the stern facts of the case full in the face; and if we do so, we shall acknowledge and feel to our heart's centre that obstacles at present exist over the whole West and South, which render the realization of the noble conception which inspires this glorious Christian heroism as impossible as to cover the ice-fields of the polar circle with the luxuriant vegetation of the tropics.

It is often asserted, and seldom or never contradicted, that this want of homogeneity of which I speak is the inevitable result of religious freedom, acting through the permanent laws of the human mind. If that is so, the prospects of our country for a high religious civilization are gloomy enough. But I thank God the assertion, often as it is made, is made gratuitously. Nobody has, so far as I know, ever proved it; and to me it seems not only unproved, but most clearly untrue. The whole history of this country, from the landing of the Pilgrims till now, furnishes no proof, or ground of suspicion, that religious men, in the full enjoyment of religious liberty, would ever have invented any other Church polity than independency. I know not that any centralized system of Church government ever originated in this country, or any other country enjoying full religious liberty. Our Presbyterianism all sprung from the State churches of Geneva, Holland, and Scot-

land. It was originally constructed as an ecclesiastico-political system, through which a State Church could exert its power of control over all the religious interests of a nation. Organization did not begin with the people and grow up into the General Assembly, but with the General Assembly, and extended its radii of administration downwards to the Church sessions. If any one doubts this, I commend to his especial study the history of the Church of Scotland, and would especially recommend as a text-book the work of that stanch Presbyterian, Mr. Hetherington. Presbyterianism has greatly multiplied its sects in this country. But it has been only by subdivisions of itself, of which it has an unlimited capacity. They have all arisen from the attempt to carry out its principles in an atmosphere of freedom. But the system itself freedom never generated in any country, and there is no proof that it could. With no propriety can it be claimed as any necessary product of a religious liberty, however numerous its off-shoots may be in a free atmosphere.

There is just as little reason to believe that the Wesleyan polity could have originated from a condition of perfect religious liberty. Mr. Wesley's aim certainly was to organize an army of brave soldiers for Christ, and so to command it in the name of the Lord as to secure its efficiency. But the principles of organization by which that command was to be exercised were derived from the Episcopal hierarchy of the Church of England, which he never ceased to love and cherish. The seminal principle of the system is not that of the people propagating that gospel which they have received, but that of the rulers converting and governing the people in the name of the Lord.

I am free to affirm, that to begin with a free, self-governing Christian people, and develop from it either the Wesleyan or the Presbyterian polity, seems to me as impossible as to create an aristocracy by the free votes of democrats. Give us nothing but liberty and Christianity to begin with, and if we ever have any ecclesiastical centralization, it must be imported from some other clime.

As to the Papal and Episcopal systems of government, the case is still plainer. If we can find them taught in the inspired Word, of course we can account for their existence. But as most of us are unable to see that the Holy Scriptures lend them any support, we can only recognize them as offshoots from the civil and military systems of imperial Rome and the middle ages, transplanted to this land of freedom, and here endeavoring, with what success time must determine, to maintain themselves in the midst of all the forces of universal and absolute religious liberty.

The assertion, then, that the heterogeneous character of our population is the inevitable result of our perfect religious liberty, is without any foundation at all. The conflicting systems by which our population is divided and distracted are, for the most part, not the products of religious liberty, but of the Church and State systems of Europe, transplanted to American soil, and here trying the very interesting experiment, whether their existence and their power can be propagated in the midst of the absolute religious liberty of the United States. If in the all-wise providence of God they are destined to succeed in this greatest ecclesiastical experiment of the nineteenth century, then must every system of effort for establishing a symmetrical and efficient system for the religious instruction of the whole people necessarily be a failure. The whole history of the Church, from the great schism of the Eastern and Western churches to the last disruption of American Presbyterianism, shows, with the certainty of demonstration, that centralized Church governments, whenever they are liberated from State control, and are free to act out their own nature, will always indefinitely multiply rival Church governments and sects by their own internal convulsions. They all exhibit the phenomenon of a government claiming and exercising the right to command, without the power to compel obedience. They are all perpetually in the condition in which our Federal Government would have been,

if the doctrine of the Democratic party at the outbreak of the Rebellion had prevailed, that the Federal Government has no right to coerce a State. We should now have had as many nations as States. Every centralized Church government acts under these impossible conditions, and consequently is liable to be divided into two rival governments, whenever the majority or the governing power commands what any portion of the membership are unwilling to obey. It is therefore true, that, as things now are, we not only have so great a multiplication of rival ecclesiastical powers as to render efficient religious organization impossible, but also the certainty of an indefinite increase of their number in the future.

Want of homogeneity in our population is, then, one potent cause which has hindered, and is hindering, such a religious organization of our whole country as would have resulted from the development of the ideas of our New-England fathers. And it is an obstacle of giant magnitude with which we have still to contend.

2. Another fatal hinderance to the realization of the great conception of our New-England fathers has ever been negro slavery. On this point I need not detain you long, for the principles of the case are too familiar to require much illustration. Slavery degrades one-half the population to the condition of beasts of burden, and denies them any place in society as independent and personally responsible human beings. Our conception of the Church, on the contrary, is founded on the equal brotherhood of the human race. It cannot be supposed that the proud and lordly master can ever admit his slave to equality in Church relations, and recognize his independent manhood as a Christian brother. A higher power may admit both to the Church, and govern both; but the master will never admit the slave to an equal share with himself in the government of the Church, on principles of democratic equality. If churches of our polity exist in such circumstances, their membership must be confined either to the enslaving or to the enslaved class. Among the latter, in the Baptist connection, they have existed in great numbers. But driven out from all the fountains of knowledge, and deprived day by day of the earnings of their own hands, what could these poor people do for the spiritual enlightenment of the communities under whose oppressions they and their fathers before them lived and groaned?

Nor could the principles of our polity develop themselves with any better effect in the enslaving class. The white population of the slaveholding States has always been divided into two classes, which are separated by an immense distance from each other,—the wealthy and aristocratic slaveholders, and the poor white men, reduced both in respect to property and intelligence to a position scarcely less wretched than that of the slave himself, and this latter much the more numerous class. A population thus degraded, and reduced to ignorance and barbarism, would furnish but poor materials out of which to construct such Congregational churches as those which the fathers planted in the wilderness of New England. Many of this class have been organized into Baptist churches, and much has thus been achieved for their spiritual benefit. But little could be hoped from them in the way of a religious organization to supply the moral wants of a great people. Poverty and ignorance have been their leading characteristics, accompanied, of course, by a degrading servility to the proud and selfish aristocracy that is above them.

The wealthy slave-holding class, on the other hand, could not be expected to choose a system of Church government founded on the idea of an equal Christian brotherhood. It is impossible that such a polity as ours should be successfully developed, in a community thus divided by artificial and unjust legislation, into classes so widely removed from each other. When English aristocrats learn to love and cherish English Independency, you may expect our American slave-holding aristocrats to love and cherish the Congregationalism of New England. In both cases the Congregational polity is sure to be

rejected with scorn and contempt. We need, therefore, feel no surprise that our polity has no existence among the intelligent and wealthy classes of the South, and that the Congregational churches which once existed in South Carolina and Georgia have long since been swallowed up in those organizations in which the Christian brotherhood is less distinctly recognized. It may therefore be assumed, that, while slavery continued in the South, our polity was possible there only among the slaves and the most degraded and ignorant of the white population; and that there it would be quite powerless to provide a system of religious instruction for a great, free, and enlightened people.

And it should be remarked, that this obstacle to the progress of our polity has been felt much beyond the limits of the slave-holding States. Slavery has attacked, with terrible effect, that doctrine of fraternal equality which the gospel teaches, not only in the slave-holding States, but in all parts of our country, and especially in those portions of it to which emigrants from the South have gone in large numbers. It has fearfully assailed the fundamental principle of our free institutions, both civil and ecclesiastical, and, if God had not come to our aid in the destruction of slavery, would ere long have subverted the Republic itself. And the weakening of this principle shows itself earlier and more strikingly in the Church than in the State. Thousands, who would not acknowledge themselves aristocrats, would feel a decided aversion to joining a Church which was governed by the vote of the majority, and in which the vote of a poor man would be worth as much as their own. And that the growth of this aristocratic spirit has been greatly fostered and extended by the influence of a slave-holding aristocracy on our society, and that tastes have thus been generated which incline strongly to the less democratic forms of Church polity, I cannot for a moment doubt. He who has watched the causes which, for the last thirty years, have resisted the progress of our polity in the North-West, will not need proof of this proposition.

3. Another obstacle which has greatly hindered our organic work is undue reliance on modes of effort which are inorganic, and necessarily temporary and superficial.

I must tell you frankly, fathers and brethren; this has been a very painful subject to many of your frontier laborers during the last thirty years. We have seen great, and in their design truly Christian societies, having the ear of all our churches, and holding the very highest place in their regard, founding their plea for large pecuniary contributions upon the assumption, that the founding of the Church, the sustaining of an enlightened Christian ministry, the rearing-up of the permanent institutions of Christian learning, is too slow a process; that the results are too remote; that these efforts cannot reach the people, and that, therefore, other and speedier methods must be adopted. You must send the colporteur with his bibles, his tracts, and Christian books, and thus carry the word of life to the people at their own homes. And this logic has been accepted, — accepted against the solemn and clearly uttered protest of the very men whom you had sent there to build up the institutions of a Christian civilization on the frontier; and not only accepted, but most vigorously acted upon. While it has been a matter of the greatest difficulty to get a few hundred dollars to aid a feeble new congregation in building a house of worship, while heroic home missionaries and their still more heroic wives have been called to endure the severest privations and the greatest and most distressing hinderances in their work, while fields the most inviting of organic missionary enterprise could not be entered for the want of means, and while those colleges which your far-seeing liberality has founded were left so feeble and inadequately provided with the needful resources as often to fill the hearts of those who labored in them with shame and deep despondency, — while all these things and more were going on before our eyes, on those very same fields, funds derived from the sacred treasury of Christian benevolence were expended by tens of thousands, in the circulation of tracts and printed volumes,

which few care to read, and multitudes could not read if they would. I should not be surprised to learn, that for many successive years more money was annually expended in Illinois, in peddling religious books, than the entire cost of sustaining all the colleges which Christian liberality has founded there.

I do not say that all this has done no good. But I do say, that, having been constantly for more than thirty-five years, in the heart of the great North-West, I have never had but one view of it. It has always seemed to me very bad economy. As I have looked at these things, I have never doubted that the children of this world are in very deed, in their generation, wiser than the children of light. To found institutions as the vital organs of Christian society is our first business, and let us never be cheated into forgetting it. And there is no substitute for them, any more than for eyes and ears and lungs in the body. Let us build such institutions if we do nothing else. And let us put our books and our tracts and our Sunday-school libraries into the hands of our missionaries, as their munitions of moral warfare.

And I must ask any man, well informed and of sound judgment, what, beyond the permanent institutions we have founded, we have to show for the evangelical labors of the last thirty-five years in the North-West. I would ask him too, if we had, in the respects now indicated, used our money more wisely, these results might not have been far more abundant than they are; whether, if we had used the funds we have spent in forcing the circulation of printed books, in founding and building up our churches and our colleges, our churches might not have been far stronger and more numerous; and whether our colleges might not have been far nearer than they are to the attainment of the great destiny intended by their founders. For my part I have no doubt of it.

Let us learn by experience; let us put these more superficial and temporary agencies in their proper place, and address ourselves to our great organic work, and determine to do that, whatever else we neglect, and to trust in God that the seed we thus sow shall yield a glorious harvest for millions yet unborn. If we could learn this lesson, one of the greatest obstacles with which we contend would be overcome.

4. The only remaining obstacle of which I shall speak is the want of sufficient tenacity in adhering to our principles.

I do not mean that Congregationalists are tired of their mode of government, and desirous to change it for another. This is far from being true. As a general rule, and in its ordinary and normal working, our system in a good degree satisfies the taste of an intelligent, active-minded people, both for tranquillity and freedom. There is movement enough to give consciousness of life; freedom enough to give opportunity for individual development; and tranquillity enough to content even quiet and conservative spirits. In all these important respects, the history of the system gives abundant indications of a capability of enduring forever.

But it has been the glory of our churches, that under their influence men have always learned to put the gospel immeasurably higher in regard and honor than any mere forms and ceremonies and governments. And may they retain that glory for ever! And yet out of this very characteristic has grown one of the chief obstacles in the way of our realizing that grand organic conception with which our fathers subdued the wilderness.

As the men of New England emigrate westward, they would always, if left to their own tastes and wishes, organize the Church after the pattern of the fathers. And the sons of New England have pitched their tents toward the setting sun in sufficient numbers, and with sufficient preponderance of intellectual and moral weight, to have exerted, if they had adhered to their own polity, an irresistible formative influence on the religious institutions of our country, from the Hudson to the shores of the Pacific. And I do

not see how an enlightened, thoughtful New-Englander, acquainted with what has happened and is happening in our country, can help regretting that they did not do it. But the past is unalterable, and regret is useless. The reason why they did not do it is obvious. They held as a sacred article of their faith, that the gospel is primary, and government secondary. They met other streams of emigration, not of New England, holding the same precious faith with themselves, but tenacious of quite another system of polity. For the sake of securing the coöperation of these good men in planting the Church in the wilderness, they were induced, sometimes at a single step, sometimes by little and little, to surrender the polity of their fathers, and accept that of Calvin and Knox in its stead. They did not prefer the change, it cost them a struggle; but for the sake of unity and coöperation, they thought it best. And so the fathers of New England taught their emigrant sons, and it came to be understood, that the difference between the Congregationalism of John Robinson, and the Presbyterianism of John Knox, was a mere difference of longitude; that to cross the Hudson would make a good Presbyterian of any Congregationalist. Worse than this; when, a little more than thirty years ago, young men who went out from you to plant the gospel on the banks of the Mississippi began to feel a decided longing for the polity of the Mayflower, and to organize churches on that platform, they were met by their fathers and brethren here with a frown, and often treated by the leading men of New England as men wanting in sober sense and sound judgment. I am uttering the experience of more than one man in this audience. That state of things has, thank God, passed away, never, we trust, to return.

But the evil is not yet cured; or if I may not assume that it is an evil, this obstruction to the progress of our Church principles, in the regions which lie towards the going-down of the sun, is not yet removed. Need I say that to this hour Presbyterianism grows more from Congregational roots than from its own? that multitudes of the most gifted men whom the New England churches rear for the Christian ministry treat this question of polity as one involving no principle at all? do not hesitate a moment to accept the highest positions in the Presbyterian Church, and to become its standard-bearers "and champions for the spread of its most distinctive and denominational peculiarities, in advance of all others?" I need not say that this same facility of abandoning our polity is constantly exhibited by our emigrant laity, as well as by our ministry. New England men making their homes in the West, will, without hesitation, turn their backs on Congregational churches that need their help, to unite themselves with Presbyterian churches, for no higher motive than to secure customers to their business, or to attain to a higher social position.

The progress of the Congregational polity in the North-West during the last twenty-five years has been truly wonderful, unsurpassed certainly by that of any other religious denomination. But it has all been accomplished in spite of the existence of this obstacle in greater or less degree over all that field. It has achieved much, very much; but for this obstacle it would have accomplished vastly more. Many churches which are now weak would have been strong, and many which have been absorbed by the centralization of the Presbyterian Church would have remained in the simpler and freer polity of the fathers.

I cannot doubt that by this process the organic power of the emigration from New England has been greatly diminished; its power to multiply churches where churches are needed; its power to endow and sustain schools and colleges; its power to train up a Christian ministry; its power to multiply and strengthen all the institutions of a Christian civilization; its power to transplant whatever is precious in New England to the West, and the South, and the shores of the Pacific.

It is now quite time I draw this discourse to a conclusion by a brief consideration of

one great practical inquiry: What is, to the churches represented in this Council, now the line of practical wisdom and Christian duty?

1. We must never abandon that grand conception of a symmetrical and ubiquitous religious organization for the moral and spiritual care and culture of the whole people. To abandon this is to abandon the experiment of American liberty as a miserable failure. We cannot, *we cannot* succeed in this grandest social experiment of the age, except through the high intellectual and religious culture of the whole people. Our mother-country, England, is governed by her upper and middle classes: to these classes, therefore, she applies the forces of a high and noble culture, that they may be fit to govern, and leaves the lower classes in a great degree uncared for. This is at least consistent. We are governed by the people, the whole people, and therefore to the whole people we must apply all the forces of intellectual and moral culture, that all may be qualified to wield that share in the government which the law accords to them. Nothing but ruin can come of elevating the masses to the position of rulers, while we do not so teach and train them as to qualify them to rule well. And in order to this end we must have a ubiquitous rural civilization, purified and exalted by the influences of free Christian worship and instruction.

And to conclude that a system of perfect religious freedom cannot give us such a religious organization, that it will necessarily produce such a conflict of religious sects as to render it impossible for rural districts ever to establish the permanent institutions of religious instruction and worship, is to admit that the experiment of religious liberty is a failure, and that we must go back to some Church and State system, which can, by the compulsory power of law, divide the country into parishes, and maintain in every one of them the means of religious as well as of secular instruction. We must solve this problem *by a free system*, or acknowledge, in the face of exultant Europe, that our Protestantism and our voluntarism have signally failed. It is the trial question of American religious freedom, whether by it we can provide for the religious culture of our whole people. The Congregational churches must not be the first to pronounce this experiment a failure. God forbid!

2. We have a far better prospect of success through our own polity than through any other to which we might be induced to lend our coöperation. He who should adopt any form of centralized Church government, with the hope of effecting through it a universal religious organization for our country, would certainly choose an instrument very ill adapted to his ends. Rival governments, each claiming jurisdiction over the whole territory, in face of every other, may in this way be multiplied indefinitely, and be brought into more and more intense rivalry with each other; and that is all we can expect from that instrument, however vigorously used. This is about as hopeful for securing a religious organization for our country, as to favor State rights and no coercion was of perpetuating our national unity. It can only aggravate the evil indefinitely, and drive us farther from the end we wish to reach.

But if we will, even now, be true to the polity of our fathers, there is hope of ultimately attaining to complete success. The independency of the local Church is, as we have shown, a true development of the seminal principle of our national life. All centralized Church government is contradictory to it. The events of the last five years have taught us, as with a voice from heaven, that that principle is to be developed in the whole social life of this great nation; and that any and all principles which are contradictory to it, are, sooner or later, to be eradicated through the agitations and convulsions which they themselves occasion. I affirm that the principle of centralized Church government does constantly demonstrate its opposition to the foundation principles of American society, by the agitation, confusion, and anarchy which it causes. In this conflict I think it

reasonable to believe that the principle itself will sooner or later be overturned and destroyed.

This state of things cannot exist always. Men will see at last that these evils must be remedied, or the gospel itself must perish, and the light of the Sun of Righteousness go out. Men will cease at length to make labored apologies for the ceaseless conflict of the sect system, and begin to look around them for some platform on which the whole Church of God on earth can stand together, and make war on one another no more. And when they do begin in earnest to inquire after such a platform, they will find it in the independency of local churches, built on the everlasting foundations of the simple truth as it is in Jesus; each disciple as an equal brother receiving every other, and putting no yoke on his neck which the Master hath not imposed.

I have no wish to claim any especial glory for New England. The history of New England is not above criticism, and the men of New England I hope are not yet too wise to learn. But the principles which found their way to these shores in the cabin of the *Mayflower*, are evidently destined to prevail over this continent from ocean to ocean, and to give character to all our social systems both in Church and State. And I can see no reason why men who hold that conception of the Church, which was one of the most remarkable characteristics of that Pilgrim band, should despair of its power to overspread the continent. The tendency of American society is to localize the government even of the most centralized churches, is apparent to every well-informed man. Presbyterianism cannot be the same in America that it is in Scotland. Neither Presbyterianism nor Methodism can be the same in the presence of active and efficient Congregational churches, that it is in the absence of any such influence. In such circumstances their central forces are always weakened, and their local and individual forces strengthened. Why, then, should we doubt that a force which is always active and potent, and springs up from the very source of our national life, will ultimately prevail? In such circumstances, can we doubt for a moment that independency is the fittest instrument of religious organization in this free country?

One of the obstacles which has hitherto effectually resisted our progress over half our territory has been destroyed by a mighty earthquake from God. Babylon the great is fallen. Negro slavery shall no longer resist the organization of the Church on the basis of the equality of the Christian brotherhood over half our country. Another of the four obstacles which I have mentioned will be entirely removed when we, and all the churches represented here, adhere to our principles of ecclesiastical freedom with a zeal corresponding to their preciousness. The rivalry of opposing forms of Church governments is the only serious one that remains. In respect to this we may reasonably assume that there is deliverance in the not distant future.

"The day of freedom dawns at length,
The Lord's appointed day."

We have only to select that one of the conflicting systems in which all men are most likely to find harmony and fraternity, under the full-orbed influence of American freedom, evangelical truth, and the Spirit of the Lord, and to adhere to it. For my part, I am at no loss to choose.

3. We must teach and defend the principles of our polity. Such has not been our custom. Some may have done it; many have neglected it. We have not seldom trained our sons and the people of our charges, from infancy to gray hairs, without their ever once having heard one earnest and thorough statement of the reasons why they are, and should continue to be, Congregationalists. We have even inculcated upon ourselves and our brethren the notion, that a minister of the gospel can hardly be worse employed

than in defending the ecclesiastical polity to which, after all, he thinks it his duty to adhere. And then we are surprised and shocked that our brethren treat the matter of Church polity, not as a question of principle, but of mere convenience and worldly advantage. And as things have been over three-fourths of our territory, there are far more motives of convenience and worldly advantage inclining a man to be a Presbyterian, than a Congregationalist. There are hundreds in this assembly who could testify to the truth of this from their own experience. If there are reasons why we should adhere to our polity at all, the same reasons would prove that our practice in respect to teaching and defending our system should undergo a speedy and total change.

I imagine, however, I hear an objector inquiring, What! would you abandon that glorious characteristic of all our past history, that the gospel alone is primary, and all questions of mere polity, by a great remove from it, merely secondary? Bishop Butler has very truly said, "It is one of the peculiar weaknesses of human nature, when, upon the comparison of two things, one is found to be of greater importance than the other, to consider this other as of scarce any importance at all." I must add that it seems to me that this is preëminently "the peculiar weakness" of us Congregationalists. We have a polity, the glory of which is that it immeasurably exalts the spiritual truths and moral precepts of the gospel above all questions of mere polity; and therefore we never teach our people the excellence of this polity at all, or even explain to them its principles, but leave them without any instruction on the subject, to be swallowed up by other polities, under the influence of which they will be very sure to acquire and transmit to their children after them an intense spirit of proselytism, which is alike foreign to our history and to Christianity. Would it not be well to draw a little of the attention of our people to questions of polity, to guard them against such a danger?

There is at the present time a tacitly understood truce among the various denominations in respect to the open advocacy of their peculiarities of faith and order, especially the latter, entered into for the sake of peace and good feeling. We are told that the minor questions which divide evangelical Christians are not important enough to justify the agitation and the disturbance of good feeling and Christian charity, which might result from the open discussion of our differences. These differences are assumed to be important enough to justify our rending the body of Christ asunder for the sake of them; important enough to justify us in demanding for every little community in Christendom at least six Church organizations, when one only can be supported, and thus entailing on the whole Christian cause division and weakness before its enemies, and religious anarchy; they are important enough to justify Christian men, and, still more, Christian women, in plying with unresting activity all the arts, all the social influences, all the motives, both religious and secular, of the most intense proselytism, to build up each his own denomination; but not important enough to justify us in a little honest, outspoken defence of what we really think to be important truth, and clear and fair refutation of what we think to be erroneous and injurious.

Indeed, fathers and brethren, I have in some sense taken my life in my hand in delivering such a discourse as this on the present occasion. I am in danger of being thought to have violated established inter-denominational law, and thereby to have criminally disturbed the peace of those high contracting ecclesiastical powers which at present assume to divide Protestant Christendom among them. Nor need I limit the statement to Protestant Christendom. The truce, when once fairly established, will necessarily embrace Romanism itself. We have, in recognizing such a truce to the extent we have recognized it, erected a false standard of judgment, by which any Christian minister would be sure to be condemned as a bigot and a bitter sectarian who should truly represent to his congregation the falsehoods, the delusions, and the despotisms of Popery. That great red

dragon is to-day greatly protected from the merited and healthful indignation and abhorrence of a free Christian people by this truce among our Christian denominations. We cannot agree not to rebuke one another, without imposing restraint on ourselves in respect to rebuking other and perhaps more heinous sinners.

This truce proceeds upon the assumption that the present divided condition of Protestant Christians is an inevitable result of religious freedom, and destined to be perpetual, and that therefore we must divide up every Protestant community among the existing powers ecclesiastical as quietly as possible. The advocates of those centralized Church governments which, like the Presbyterian and Methodist, are not exclusive, generally not only accept this state of things as inevitable, but apologize for it as desirable and beneficial to the interests of Christ's kingdom. I am glad to say that I have met very few Congregationalists who take this view of it. They generally deplore it as a great and intolerable evil. If, however, we would be truly prepared for our great work, we must go one step farther, and believe that the Lord has deliverance for his people; that they are not perpetually to wander thus bewildered and confounded; that the Lord will at last appear, and lead his people over Jordan into the promised land of freedom and blessed fellowship. And, amid all the confusion of the present, we must seek light from God to guide us in the true path by which he will lead his people to this blessed consummation, and point out that path to all over whom we have any influence, and exhort them to pursue it.

For myself, I must frankly declare, that, to me, the whole beauty and preciousness of the Congregational system lies in this, — that it is a method by which the whole Church of God under heaven may stand in blessed moral unity, on the basis of the gospel, the whole gospel, and nothing but the gospel, divided and distracted by no forms or ceremonies or governments which man hath devised. And I think, in the midst of such a scene of religious anarchy as that in which I have lived, such a polity is worthy of being explained, defended, and adhered to, till God shall call me hence.

4. We must make this ecclesiastical question one of principle, otherwise we cannot be efficient laborers for the evangelization of this continent and the world. If our gifted and strong young men regard the present confused and anarchical condition of religious society in the Valley of the Mississippi as necessary and inevitable; if they are taught that there is no question of principle at issue between the Protestant denominations, and that all which a pastor can do is, in the general rivalry of churches, to build up his own as well as he can, by the power of his eloquence, the attraction of his own social character, and the social influences which he can gather around him, and by the excellence of his organ and his choir, without any appeal to the principles and convictions of the people: I say if strong and vigorous-minded young men see that churches are chiefly to be built up by such influences as these, they will feel little attraction in the pastoral office, and seek some other profession; or if they enter the ministry, a sense of these difficulties will weaken their hands, and sicken their hearts and crush their spirits. And this, my brethren, is one of the most potent causes which is thinning the ranks and impairing the energies of the Christian ministry.

Let us have done with all this. Let us bring before our minds the grand conception of a continent to be overspread with a net-work of Christian institutions. Let us with devout earnestness inquire what ecclesiastical system is the fittest instrument for achieving this great result; and when we have chosen it with full conviction, we shall wield it with hearty good will; we shall see and feel the giant obstacles that oppose us; but we shall believe that the truth and spirit of God are strong enough to overcome them. We shall not be intolerant or exclusive. We shall meekly instruct those who oppose themselves, but we shall instruct them, and not dodge them by any cunning artifices. We

shall have principles to defend, and we shall defend them, and we shall put our brethren of other denominations on the defence of theirs; and if the truth is with us, our cause will go up, and theirs will go down; if with them, theirs will go up, and ours go down. In either case we shall have labored successfully, and the truth will have triumphed.

If we mean to be efficient, we must not purpose to hold our own in a conflict of sects, admitted to be interminable and inevitable; but in all thing we must be the advocates of principles which are true, and therefore, through God, mighty, and destined to overcome and exclude all opposing error. And it is infinitely important that this spirit be infused into the Independent churches all over the world. English Independency is suffering the same paralysis, only in a far higher degree. It is but too content to be the religion of the middle class. It is expected, if a family becomes rich and great, it will desert "the Chapel" and go to "the Church." English Independency must have done with this. It must recognize its principles as true for all men, and fitted to rule the world, and wield them with the expectation of overturning the proud hierarchy which has so long crushed them down, and establishing, in face of the aristocracy of England, the doctrine of the equal brotherhood of the disciples of Christ. It must demand for its sons a culture as large and as generous as Oxford and Cambridge give to the sons of nobility and the State Church. It must claim to speak in the name of the Lord, alike to high and low, rich and poor. When this spirit fully possesses English Independency, bishops will hold their mitres and their revenues by a very frail and transient tenure. Fathers and brethren, both in this country and in England, any polity is worthy of being advocated and defended thus, or it is not worthy of being adhered to at all. If it is true, let it triumph and reign; if false, let it go into oblivion as soon as possible.

Finally, we must be in earnest. God never gave to any other people such a problem to be solved as that which he has given to the Christian people of our country, — to plant the gospel under the full-orbed sunlight of civil and religious freedom, from the Atlantic to the Pacific, and from the eternal snows of the Arctic to the eternal verdure of the Tropic. In these last few months he has come with his own terrible earthquake, and shaken down and utterly destroyed the only political barrier which obstructed our progress. The land is now before us, and the sunshine of freedom is on it all. And God is calling us as by a voice speaking to us from out of the sky, Arise and build; rear up the Church of Christ on the foundation of apostles and prophets, Jesus Christ being the chief corner-stone, over all those hills, amid all those valleys, that it may teach to all the millions that shall soon dwell there, in your own dear mother-tongue, these wonderful works of God; that it may be so ubiquitous that no human being shall fail to hear its melodious summons every Sabbath morning to the house of Christian prayer and praise; that all those dark places of the land that have been cursed by the abominations of slavery may be purified, and filled with light, and covered over with a population as peaceful, as free, as enlightened, and as religious, as the inhabitants of the sweetest valley that nestles among New-England hills; in one word, to found and nurture the institutions of learning, freedom, and religion, for a mighty nation very soon to surpass in population the empire of China, and in wealth and ubiquitous influence the empire of Britain.

And shall we deal lukewarmly, coldly, and in a worldly spirit, with the elements of such a problem? Shall we not, in such a cause, pour out our wealth like water, and give our sons and daughters to the work, as freely as patriots ever gave their sons to their country, and offer our own selves as freely as our adorable Redeemer gave himself for us?

The Committee on Credentials made a partial report.

An invitation was received from the committee having in charge the proposed

Temperance Celebration on the 17th inst., asking the Council to take part in the same. On motion of Dr. Thompson, of New York, it was *voted*, that while this body acknowledge the courtesy of this invitation, and deeply sympathize with the object of the celebration, the pressure of the business of the Council is such as to compel it to decline compliance with this invitation.

A committee on nominations was appointed as follows: Rev. I. P. Langworthy, of Massachusetts; His Excellency J. G. Smith, of Vermont; Rev. M. Badger, D.D., of California; Rev. Flavel Bascom, of Illinois; Asahel Finch, Esq., of Wisconsin. Adjourned to three P. M.

THURSDAY, JUNE 15, 3 P. M.

On motion of H. C. Bowen, Esq., of New York, the following telegram was ordered to be sent to the President of the United States, viz.:

To His Excellency Andrew Johnson, President of the United States, Washington, D. C.

The National Council of the Congregational churches of the United States now in session in this city, representing nearly three thousand churches in all sections of the country, desire to present you their Christian salutations, to assure you of their profound sympathy in your great and trying labors, to promise you their loyal support and prayers, and express their solemn conviction that the hundreds of thousands embraced as worshippers in their churches will most heartily coöperate with you in extending the institutions of civil and religious liberty throughout the land.

(Signed)

W. A. BUCKINGHAM, *Moderator.*

Mount Vernon Church, Boston, June 15, 1865.

The committee to report Rules of Order made their report, which was accepted and adopted as follows:

RULES OF ORDER.

I. Each morning, at the time to which the Council is adjourned, the Moderator shall open the meeting with prayer, and the Scribe shall read the minutes of the preceding day, that any needful correction may be made.

II. In case of an equal division of votes, the Moderator shall have a casting vote.

III. Whilst the Moderator is putting any question or addressing the body, no one shall walk out of or across the house; nor in such case, or when a member is speaking, shall entertain private discourse or read any printed book or paper; nor whilst a member is speaking shall pass between him and the chair.

IV. When any member, in debating or otherwise, shall transgress the rules of the body, the Moderator shall, by his own authority, or at the request of any member, call him to order; and if a question shall arise concerning his being in order, it shall be decided by an appeal to the body.

V. Every member, when he wishes to speak, shall address the Moderator, who shall announce his name. When two or more rise at once, the Moderator shall name the member who is first to speak.

VI. No member shall speak more than twice to the merits of the question in debate, except by special permission of the body; nor more than once until every member choosing to speak shall have spoken.

VII. Every motion, except for adjournment, shall be reduced to writing, if the Moderator or any two members desire it.

VIII. When a motion is regularly made and seconded, and has been stated by the Moderator, it cannot be withdrawn or modified by the mover without the consent of the body.

IX. No vote can be reconsidered except on the day of its passage or the next succeeding, and on motion of one who voted with the majority.

X. When a question is under debate, no motion shall be received but to adjourn, to lay on the table, for the previous question, to postpone to a day or hour certain, to commit, to amend, to postpone indefinitely; which several motions shall have precedence in the order in which they are arranged. On a motion for adjournment, for laying on the table, for indefinite postponement, or for the previous question, there shall be no debate.

XI. The effect of a negative of the previous question is to allow further debate and the issue of the subject in due order; the effect of adopting the previous question is to put an end to debate, and to bring the Council to a direct vote upon pending amendments, if any, and then upon the original question.

XII. If a question under debate contains several parts, any member may have it divided, and the question taken on each part.

XIII. Every committee shall consist of three members, unless expressly ordered otherwise by the body, and shall be nominated by a committee appointed for the purpose.

XIV. If the report of a committee contains nothing more than matters of fact for information, or matters of argument for the consideration of the Council, the question is, *Shall the report be accepted?* and that question, unless superseded by a motion to reject, to recommit, to postpone, or to lay upon the table, shall be taken without debate. Such a report, if accepted, is placed upon the files of the Council, but, not being an act of the Council, is not entered on the minutes.

If the report is in the form of a vote or resolution, or of a declaration, expressing the judgment or testimony of the Council, the additional question arises, *Shall the report be adopted?*—and motions for amendment are in order. Such a report, if adopted, with or without amendment, is the act of the Council, and is entered on the minutes.

If a report gives the views of the committee on the matter referred to them, and terminates with the form of a resolution or declaration in the name of the Council, the adoption of the report is the adoption only of the resolution or declaration; and while the report at large is placed on file, that part of it which has become the act of the Council is entered on the minutes.

XV. It shall be the duty of the Business Committee to prepare a docket for the use of the Moderator, upon which shall be entered all items of business which members of the Council may desire to bring before the body; and except by special vote of the Council, no business shall be introduced which has not in this manner passed through the hands of the committee.

Credentials accrediting to the Council various delegates from foreign bodies and churches were read and accepted; and on motion of Rev. Dr. Bacon, of Connecticut, it was *voted* that these delegates be received as honorary members of the Council.

On motion of Rev. Mr. Eustis, of Connecticut, it was *voted* that a Committee on Business, a Committee on Finance, a Committee on Printing, and a Committee on Devotional Exercises, be appointed; the number of each to be fixed by the nominating committee.

On motion of Rev. Dr. Budington, of New York, it was *voted* that the Rev. Dr. Massie, who formerly visited this country, and has been known as our stanch friend in trial, be invited to sit in this Council as an honorary member, on the same footing as the other brethren from England.

The Moderator welcomed the delegates from abroad; and addresses of response were made by Rev. Dr. Vaughan, Rev. Dr. Raleigh, and Rev. Dr. Massie, of England, and Rev. Dr. Wilkes, of Canada.

The Committee on Nomination reported nominations to membership in the committees assigned to them, as follows, which report was adopted, and the committees so appointed:

Business Committee — Rev. A. H. Quint, Massachusetts; Rev. S. Wolcott, D. D., Ohio; Rev. B. Labaree, D. D., Vermont; Dea. Philo Carpenter, Illinois; Dea. S. F. Drury, Michigan.

Committee on Devotional Exercises — Rev. E. N. Kirk, D. D., of Massachusetts; Rev. W. Deloss Love, of Wisconsin; Rev. Asa Turner, of Iowa; Rev. George E. Adams, D. D., of Maine; Dea. Daniel H. Parker, of New Hampshire.

Committee on Finance — Dea. Charles Stoddard, of Massachusetts; Henry C. Bowen, Esq., of New York; E. B. Preston, Esq., of Connecticut; Douglass Putnam, Esq., of Ohio; Dea. Moses Pettingill, of Illinois.

Committee on Printing — Rev. J. B. Miles, of Massachusetts; Rev. J. C. Holbrook, D. D., of New York; Dea. E. F. Duren, of Maine.

The Business Committee reported the following recommendations, viz.:

(1) That the time from eleven A. M. to half past eleven A. M. be daily devoted to devotional exercises and singing.

(2) That the contracts made by the Committee of Arrangements with phonographic and other reporters be ratified by the Council.

(3) That the reports originating with committees outside of the Council be referred to special committees immediately on their acceptance by the Council, and without debate on their merits; all debate to take place when these special committees report to the Council.

This report was accepted, and its provisions adopted.

Adjourned at five P. M. to nine A. M. to-morrow morning.

THIRD DAY; FRIDAY, JUNE 16, 9 A. M.

Council was opened with prayer by the Moderator.

The Committee on Credentials made report as follows:

1. That they have added to the roll the names of all delegates who have presented credentials since their last report.

2. That they recommend that the chairmen of committees appointed to present special reports to this Council preparatory to business, who are not members of the body, be invited to sit as honorary members, including Rev. J. E. Roy, who brings a document from the General Association of Illinois by its special order.

3. That application has been made to them to admit a delegate appointed by a Church which was omitted in the letters-missive sent to its neighboring churches (and thus had no opportunity to act with them in conference); and that the committee, while they perceive the injury caused by the omission, do not consider the case as within their province to redress such injury, and therefore report the case to the Council.

The report was accepted in its first section.

The second was amended by enlarging it so as to admit *members* (as well as the chairmen) of committees presenting special reports, and of the local committee of arrangements, to honorary membership with the Council.

On motion of Prof. Harris, of Maine, action on the third section was indefinitely postponed.

Rev. Dr. Dutton moved a reconsideration of this motion of indefinite postponement, and the motion was declared lost.

This decision was doubted, and a count was made; ninety-four voting for reconsideration, and one hundred and twenty-six against the same. So the motion was not reconsidered, and action was indefinitely postponed.

The Business Committee recommended that to-morrow, June 17, be set apart as the time recommended by the preliminary committee as a special service of devotion for the acknowledgement of the marvellous and the merciful dealings of Almighty God with the nation in connection with the war, and for supplicating a gracious dispensation of the Spirit of God upon the land, that our restored national unity may be consecrated in righteousness, and in the peace and joy of the Holy Ghost; and that the invitation from the First Church in Charlestown, to hold service in their meeting-house on Harvard Hill, be accepted, and that the Council meet there at three o'clock, P. M.

This report was referred to the Committee on Devotional Exercises.

An invitation from the Committee of Arrangements to visit Forefather's Rock at Plymouth, on such day as the Council may be pleased to designate, was accepted by the Council.

His Excellency J. G. Smith, of Vermont, resigned his place on the Committee of Nomination, being compelled to retire from the further sessions of the Council on account of ill health; and Rev. C. E. Ferrin, of Vermont, was appointed to fill the vacancy.

It was *voted* that a committee be appointed to prepare and report resolutions on the state of the country.

It was *voted*, that hereafter the Nominating Committee have the power to appoint such a number of members upon each committee as may seem to them expedient.

Rev. Theodore Monod, of Paris, France, addressed the Council, as a delegate from the Union of the Evangelical Churches of France; and was followed by Rev. John Thomas, delegate of the Congregational churches in Wales.

On motion of Rev. Henry Ward Beecher, of New York, the following resolution was adopted, viz.:

Whereas, The attitude of various religious bodies in Europe toward the United States, during the past five years, requires a careful discrimination and statement, therefore

Resolved, That a committee of five be appointed to prepare a suitable reply of this Council to the delegates from foreign bodies who have been heard.

Rev. Joseph P. Thompson, D. D., chairman of the committee appointed to report a Declaration of Faith, then read the report of that committee.

REPORT ON DECLARATION OF FAITH.

The committee appointed by the preliminary conference to prepare a Declaration of Faith to be submitted to the Council, respectfully report:

That, in the light of the discussions of that conference upon the expediency of such a Declaration, and also of the general principles of our polity, they could not regard it as

their function to prepare a Confession of Faith to be imposed by act of this, or of any other body, upon the churches of the Congregational order. "It was the glory of our fathers, that they heartily professed the only rule of their religion, from the very first, to be the Holy Scriptures;"¹ and particular churches have always exercised their liberty in "confessions drawn up in their own forms."² And such has been the accord of these particular confessions, one with another, and with the Scriptures, that we may to-day repeat, with thankfulness, the words of the fathers of the Savoy Confession, two centuries ago: while, "from the first, every, or at least the generality, of our churches have been, in a manner, like so many ships—though holding forth the same general colors—launched singly, and sailing apart and alone in the vast ocean of these tumultuous times, and have been exposed to 'every wind of doctrine,' under no other conduct than the Word and Spirit," yet "let all acknowledge that God hath ordered it for his high and greater glory, in that his singular care and power should have so watched over each of these, as that all should be found to have steered their course by the same Chart, and to have been bound for one and the same Port; and that the same holy and blessed Truths of all sorts, which are current and warrantable amongst all the other churches of Christ in the world, are found to be our Lading."³

Whatever the diversities of metaphysical theology apparent in these various Confessions, they yet, with singular unanimity, identify the faith of the Congregational churches with the body of Christian doctrine known as Calvinistic; and hence such Confessions as that of the Westminster divines, and that of the Savoy Synod, have been accredited among these churches as general symbols of faith.

It has not appeared to the committee expedient to recommend that this Council should disturb this "variety in unity"—as Cotton Mather happily describes it—by an attempted uniformity of statement in a Confession formulating each doctrine in more recent terms of metaphysical theology. It seemed better to characterize in a comprehensive way the doctrines held in common by our churches, than thus to individualize each in a theological formula. The latter course might rather disturb the unity that now exists amid variety. Moreover, little could be gained in this respect beyond what we already possess in the ancient formulas referred to, which, being interpreted in the spirit in which they were conceived, answer the end of a substantial unity in doctrine, and have withal the savor of antiquity and the proof of use.

In the language of the Preface to the Savoy Declaration, a Confession is "to be looked upon but as a meet or fit medium or means whereby to express a common faith and salvation, and no way to be made use of as an imposition upon any. Whatever is of force or constraint in matters of this nature, causes them to degenerate from the name and nature of Confessions, and turns them from being Confessions of Faith into exactions and impositions of Faith!"⁴ Yet a common Confession serves the important purpose—the "neglect" of which the Savoy fathers sought to remedy—of making manifest our unity in doctrine, and of "holding out common lights to others whereby to know where we are."⁵

With these views, as the result of prolonged and careful deliberation, the committee unanimously recommend that the Council should declare, by reference to historical and venerable symbols, the faith as it has been maintained among the Congregational churches from the beginning; and also that it should set forth a testimony on behalf of these

¹ Preface to the Confession adopted at Saybrook, Conn., 1708.

² Cotton Mather, Preface to "Faith professed by the Churches of New England."

³ Preface to the Savoy Declaration, in *Hanbury's Historical Memorials*, III., 523.

⁴ *Hanbury's Historical Memorials*, III., 517.

⁵ *Hanbury's Historical Memorials*, III., 523.

churches, for the Word of Truth now assailed by multiform and dangerous errors; and for this end, they respectfully submit the following

RECITAL AND DECLARATION.

When the churches of New England assembled in a general Synod at Cambridge, in 1648, they declared their assent, "for the substance thereof," to the Westminster Confession of Faith. When again these churches convened in a general Synod at Boston, in 1680, they declared their approval (with slight verbal alterations) of the doctrinal symbol adopted by a Synod of the Congregational churches in England, at London, in 1658, and known as the "Savoy Confession," which in doctrine is almost identical with that of the Westminster Assembly. And yet again, when the churches in Connecticut met in council at Saybrook in 1708, they "owned and consented to" the Savoy Confession as adopted at Boston, and offered this as a public symbol of their faith.

Thus, from the beginning of their history, the Congregational churches in the United States have been allied in doctrine with the Reformed churches of Europe, and especially of Great Britain. The eighth article of the "Heads of Agreement," established by the Congregational and Presbyterian ministers in England in 1692, and adopted at Saybrook in 1708, defines this position in these words: "As to what appertains to soundness of judgment in matters of faith, we esteem it sufficient that a church acknowledge the Scriptures to be the Word of God, the perfect and only rule of faith and practice, and own either the doctrinal part of those commonly called the Articles of the Church of England, or the Confession or Catechisms, shorter or larger, compiled by the Assembly at Westminster, or the Confession agreed on at the Savoy, to be agreeable to the said rule."

And now, when, after the lapse of two centuries, these churches are again convened in a General Council at their primitive and historical home, it is enough for the first of those ends enumerated by the Synod at Cambridge — to wit, "the maintenance of the faith entire within itself" — that this Council, referring to these ancient symbols as embodying, for substance of doctrine, the constant faith of the churches here represented, declares its adherence to the same, as being "well and fully grounded upon the Holy Scripture,"⁶ which is "the only sufficient and invariable rule of religion."⁷

But having in view, also, the second end of a public confession enumerated by the Cambridge Synod, to wit, "the holding forth of unity and harmony both amongst and with other churches," we desire to promote a closer fellowship of all Christian denominations in the faith and work of the gospel, especially against popular and destructive forms of unbelief which assail the foundations of all religion, both natural and revealed; which know no God but nature; no Depravity but physical malformation, immaturity of powers, or some incident of outward condition; no Providence but the working of material causes and of statistical laws; no Revelation but that of consciousness; no Redemption but the elimination of evil by a natural sequence of suffering; no Regeneration but the natural evolution of a higher type of existence; no Retribution but the necessary consequences of physical and psychological laws.

As a Testimony, in common with all Christian believers, against these and kindred errors, we deem it important to make a more specific declaration of the following truths:

There is one personal God, who created all things; who controls the physical universe, the laws whereof he has established; and who, holding all events within his knowledge, rules over men by his wise and good providence and by his perfect moral law.

God, whose being, perfections, and government are partially made known to us through

⁶ Preface to the Savoy Confession, as adopted at Saybrook in 1708.

⁷ Ditto.

the testimony of his works and of conscience, has made a further revelation of himself in the Scriptures of the Old and New Testaments — a revelation attested at the first by supernatural signs, and confirmed through all the ages since by its moral effects upon the individual soul and upon human society; a revelation authoritative and final. In this revelation God has declared himself to be the Father, the Son, and the Holy Ghost; and he has manifested his love for the world through the incarnation of the Eternal Word for man's redemption, in the sinless life, the expiatory sufferings and death, and the resurrection of Jesus Christ, our Lord and Saviour; and also in the mission of the Holy Ghost, the Comforter, for the regeneration and sanctification of the souls of men.

The Scriptures, confirming the testimony of conscience and of history, declare that mankind are universally sinners, and are under the righteous condemnation of the law of God; that from this state there is no deliverance, save through "repentance toward God, and faith in the Lord Jesus Christ;" and that there is a day appointed in which God will raise the dead, and will judge the world, and in which the issues of his moral government over men shall be made manifest in the awards of eternal life and eternal death, according to the deeds done in the body.

JOSEPH P. THOMPSON,
EDWARD A. LAWRENCE, } *Committee.*
GEORGE P. FISHER,

Boston, June 16, 1865.

A half-hour was spent in devotional exercises.

Business being resumed, Rev. S. Wolcott, D. D., of Ohio, moved that the report presented by Dr. Thompson be accepted, and referred to a committee, with instructions to consider the propriety of submitting to the Council a declaration of the common faith of our churches, and, if thought advisable, to report such a declaration. And it was so voted.

The Committee on Devotional Exercises reported through Dr. Kirk, chairman; which report was accepted and adopted so far as to order that each day's exercises be closed by the singing of the doxology; and that the special service of devotion contemplated by the preliminary meeting at New York City be held in the meeting-house of the First Church in Charlestown to-morrow afternoon, at three o'clock, to continue one hour.

Rev. Dr. Bacon, of Connecticut, chairman of the committee requested to report on Church Polity, reported as follows:

REPORT ON CHURCH POLITY.

To the National Council of Congregational Churches:

FATHERS AND BRETHREN, — In the preliminary conference which made arrangements for this National Council, the undersigned and the Rev. Dr. Storrs of Cincinnati, now in England, were appointed to bring before the Council "the expediency of issuing a statement of Congregational polity." We, therefore, ask leave to present for the consideration of this venerable assembly, *first*, the fitness and desirableness of such a measure; *secondly*, the principles which ought to determine the character and contents of the document to be issued; *thirdly*, the kind and degree of authority with which such a document, proceeding from this Council, would be invested; and *fourthly*, the form of a statement, to be adopted with or without amendment, or to be rejected, as the Council shall see fit.

I. The fitness and desirableness of a statement from this Council, describing the polity of the Congregational churches, may appear from these considerations:

1. In issuing such a statement, we only follow the example of ancient Congregational synods. The Cambridge Synod, as it is commonly called, which assembled in 1636, and was continued by successive adjournments till 1638, and to which all the churches of the New England colonies were invited, left, as a perpetual memorial of itself, that statement of Congregational polity which has ever since been called the Cambridge Platform. The synod of Congregational churches which was convened under the patronage of the English government in 1658, at the Savoy in London, issued a "Declaration of the Faith and Order owned and practised in the Congregational Churches of England." The synod of the churches in the colony of Connecticut, which was convened at Saybrook in 1708, gave out that scheme of a modified Congregationalism, which, though never formally adopted elsewhere than in that State, has had its influence on our churches in almost all parts of our country. And more recently, the meeting in which the Congregational Union of England and Wales was instituted, though it was not properly a synod or council of churches, issued a declaration or statement describing the Faith and Order of the Congregational churches in that country.

2. A document which shall exhibit, with more authority than can belong to any individual or local testimony, the system of order actually held by the Congregational churches in the United States, is greatly needed. The churches need it for their own information and guidance. Pastors and home missionaries, and indeed all our ministers, need it. Young men in theological schools, who are preparing themselves for the service of the churches, need it. Many whose ecclesiastical connection is with other portions of Christ's universal Church need it, that their minds may be disabused of misinformation or of prejudice. Especially is it needed in the new States and Territories where ecclesiastical institutions are yet to be formed; and in the recovered States so lately ravaged by rebellion, where ecclesiastical reconstruction, disembarassed of all connection with a Christianity apostate from the first principles of righteousness, is hardly less important to the future welfare of society than a new political and social order.

3. No ancient document can be wisely referred to as being in all respects sufficient for our present need. The Cambridge Platform was made more than two hundred years ago, when American Congregationalism was in its infancy; and it is now more valuable as a means of showing how little our churches have departed from the original principles and methods of their polity, than as a guide to the manner in which those principles are applied and administered in the practice of our churches at the present day. Indeed, there are portions of it which, to readers not versed in our ecclesiastical history, nor familiar with the technical terms of a logic now obsolete, are hardly intelligible without a commentary.

II. What sort of a statement will best supply the existing need, is a question which seems to answer itself. There is no need of an argumentative or rhetorical defence of Congregationalism to be issued by this assembly. Such expositions of our polity may proceed more fitly from individuals than from any representative body. On the other hand, a simple statement of the two or three first principles which constitute the radical difference between Congregationalism and other theories of Church government would not be sufficient. Those first principles are only the points of divergence between differing systems; and how wide the divergence is, cannot be shown but by tracing out the application of the principles. A simple and perspicuous statement, not only of the principles on which our polity is

founded, but also of the usages and arrangements which those principles have established among us, and in which, by common consent, they are applied and made practical, will be, it is believed, of great use to our churches both in their internal administration and in their fellowship with each other.

III. The authority of any document issued by this assembly of elders and messengers is wholly unlike the authority which is claimed for the canons enacted by the various assemblies of clergy and delegates which assume to govern the particular churches under them. It is little more than a truism to say that this Council has no legislative power to ordain a new constitution for the Congregational churches, or to make any new law, and no judicial power to establish precedents which inferior judicatories must follow. All that a Council like this can do is to inquire, to deliberate, and to testify. The testimony of this assembly concerning what is and what is not the Congregational polity cannot but have whatever authority belongs to the testimony of competent witnesses, assembled in a great multitude, well informed concerning the matter in question, and representing all "those Congregational churches in the United States of America which are in recognized fellowship and coöperation through the general associations, conferences, and conventions in the several States." Whatever authority the Cambridge Platform has as testifying what the Congregational polity of our fathers was in 1648, just that authority a similar statement proceeding from this assembly will have as testifying what American Congregationalism is in 1865.

IV. The undersigned therefore respectfully submit the accompanying form or draught of a statement to be issued by this Council, together with a briefer document stating substantially the same points. We have not presumed to insert any novelties, nor to express our individual preferences, but only to state the usages of the churches. A comparison of our draught with the Cambridge Platform will show how closely we have followed that time-honored instrument in the general plan, in the arrangement of topics, and in language, and, at the same time, how freely we have departed from it, whether for the sake of increased perspicuity, or for the sake of exhibiting the Congregational polity as it is in fact to-day, instead of exhibiting it as it was in theory when our fathers, more than two hundred years ago, were beginning to build on this continent the living and ever-living temple of our God.

LEONARD BACON.
ALONZO H. QUINT.

Boston, June 16, 1865.

CHURCH GOVERNMENT AND FELLOWSHIP.

PART I.—PRELIMINARY PRINCIPLES.

CHAPTER I.—DEFINITION AND RULE OF CHURCH POLITY.

1. The first principle from which the polity of the Congregational churches proceeds is that the Holy Scriptures, and especially the Scriptures of the New Testament, are the only authoritative rule for the constitution and administration of Church government; so that no other rules than those which are warranted by Christ and his apostles can be imposed on Christians as conditions of membership and communion in the Church.

2. Ecclesiastical polity, therefore, or Church government and discipline, is that

association of believers for united worship and spiritual communion, in order to the visibility, the purity, the advancement, and the perpetuity of Christ's kingdom, which God has prescribed by the teaching of the Holy Spirit in the Scriptures.

CHAPTER II.—THE CATHOLIC CHURCH, AND A PARTICULAR CHURCH.

1. Christ's catholic or universal Church is the great company of God's elect, redeemed and effectually called from the state of sin and death into a state of reconciliation to God.

2. The Church universal is either triumphant or militant. They who have come out of the great tribulation, and have entered into the joy of their Lord in heaven, are the Church triumphant. They who are still serving Christ on the earth, and contending with the powers that rule the darkness of this world, are the Church militant.

3. The universal Church on earth is not invisible merely, as discerned by God, who searches the hearts and knows the relation of every individual soul to Christ, but is visible, also, as including all who profess to believe in Christ, and do not wholly contradict that profession by ungodliness in their lives, or by denying the essential truths of the gospel.

4. The visible Church catholic, as it includes all visible Christians, comprehends not only such particular churches as are constituted and governed according to the word given in the Holy Scriptures, but also all assemblies of Christian believers and worshippers, even though, in things not essential to the Christian faith, they err through the force of tradition or the infirmity of human judgment; and it is governed, not by the pretended vicar of Christ, nor by any human authority assuming to have jurisdiction over all particular churches, but only by Christ himself through his Word and Spirit.

5. As we renounce the notion of an organized and governed catholic Church, which has no warrant from the Scriptures, so we renounce the equally unwarranted notion of a national Church having jurisdiction over the particular churches in a nation. Under the gospel, the organized and governed Church is not ecumenical, nor national, nor provincial, nor diocesan, or classical, but only local or parochial—a congregation of faithful or believing men, dwelling together in one city, town, or convenient neighborhood.

6. A local or Congregational Church is, by the institution of Christ, a part of the militant visible Church, consisting of a company of saints by calling, united into one body by a holy covenant, for the public worship of God, and their own mutual edification in the fellowship of the Lord Jesus.

7. All particular churches, being the one body of Christ, and having one Lord, one faith, one baptism, one God and Father of all, are bound to maintain and hold forth the catholic communion of saints; endeavoring, in their intercourse and relations one with another, to keep the unity of the Spirit in the bond of peace.

PART II.—THE CHURCH: ITS FORM, ORGANIZATION, AND GOVERNMENT.

CHAPTER I.—HOW A PARTICULAR CHURCH IS CONSTITUTED.

1. The visible Church consists of those who belong to Christ, and are therefore, in the phrase of our ancient platform, "saints by calling," and who, being holy by their calling and profession, are gathered out of the ungodly world, and united in a holy fellowship.

2. Those who visibly belong to Christ are, *first*, such as have not only attained a knowledge of the principles of religion, and are free from gross and open scandals, but also do profess their personal faith and repentance, and walk in blameless obedience to the word; and, *secondly*, their children, who, being children of the covenant, are also holy.

3. The members of one Church ought ordinarily to dwell in such vicinity to each other that they can meet in one place; so that every city, town, or convenient neighborhood, shall have its own Church complete and distinct. And ordinarily the members of one Church ought not to be more in number than can conveniently meet for worship in one assembly and manage their affairs by one administration. Yet if there be many congregations, distinct from each other, in one town or city (whether their several parishes be distinguished by geographical lines or otherwise), they ought to regard themselves and each other as so many branches of Christ's one catholic Church in that place.

4. Those believers who dwell together in one place become a particular and distinct Church by their recognition of each other, and their mutual agreement, express or implied, wherein they give themselves unto the Lord to the observing of the ordinances of Christ in the same society. Such a recognition and agreement is usually called the Church covenant.

5. Different degrees of explicitness in such an agreement do not affect the being of the Church or the duties and responsibilities of membership. The more explicit and solemn the act of covenanting, the more are the members reminded of their common and mutual duties, and the less room is there for uncertainty in distinguishing between those who are members and those who are not. Yet the whole essence and meaning of the covenant are in fact retained, where the agreement of certain believers to meet constantly in one congregation for worship and edification is expressed only by their *practice* of thus meeting, and their actual use and observance of Christ's ordinances in their assembly. However explicit the covenant may be, it can reasonably and rightfully express nothing more than a mutual agreement to observe all Christ's laws and ordinances as one Church; and however informal the agreement may be, it can mean nothing less.

6. All believers, having the opportunity, should endeavor to become members, every one, of some particular Church, that they may honor Christ by their professed conformity to the order and ordinances of the gospel, and that they may have the benefits of visible union and fellowship with the Church, which is the communion of the saints. These benefits are, *first*, a participation in the promise of Christ's special presence with his Church; *secondly*, their increased activity and enjoyment in the Christian life by the combination of their affections and their endeavors, and by their inciting each other to love and good works; *thirdly*, watchful and fraternal help to keep each other in the way of God's commandments, and to recover by due admonition and censure any that go astray; and, *fourthly*, aid in the Christian nurture and training of their children, that their households may be holy, and their posterity be not cut off from the privileges of the covenant. Should all believers neglect this duty of voluntarily entering into organized Christian fellowship, to which duty they are moved by all the impulses of a renewed and holy mind, Christ would soon have no visibly associated and organized Church on earth.

CHAPTER II. — GOD'S INSTITUTED WORSHIP IN THE CHURCH.

1. Believers joined to each other and to Christ, in a Church relation, are builded together for a habitation of God through the Spirit, on the foundation of the

apostles and prophets, Jesus Christ himself being the chief corner-stone; in whom all the building, fitly framed together, groweth into a holy temple of the Lord.

2. The worship of God in his spiritual temple, the Church, includes prayer, the singing of psalms and hymns and spiritual songs, the ministry of the word, the sacraments, and the contribution of gifts and offerings for the service of Christ.

3. Prayers offered in the Church should be grave and earnest, lifting up the thoughts and desires of the assembly to God; they should be offered not in any prescribed and inflexible form, but freely, according to the vicissitudes of need and trial, and of joy or sorrow, in the Church or in its households; they should be offered for all men, for those who are in authority, for the welfare of the civil State, and for the universal Church of Christ on earth; and in the matter and manner they should be conformed to such models as the Scriptures give, and, above all, to that model which Christ himself gave to his disciples, that he might teach them how to pray.

4. Singing in the Church is not for the delight of the sense, as in places of amusement, but for the union of voices and hearts in worship, and for spiritual edification. The Psalms which God gave by the Holy Spirit in the Old Testament are sanctioned for us by Christ and his apostles, and remain in the Church forever, to be used in praising God. There is warrant also in the New Testament for the use of hymns and spiritual songs, but not to the exclusion or neglect of the Psalms.

5. The ministry of the word in the Church is by the reading of the Scriptures, with such exposition as may aid the hearers in their personal and family searching of the Scriptures; and also by preaching and teaching, that the truths and principles which God has revealed in his law and in the gospel of his grace may be set forth distinctly in their manifestation of the glory and government of God, in their relations to each other, and in all their applications to the duties of men and to the salvation of sinners.

6. The two sacramental institutions of the New Testament, representing significantly, and commemorating through all ages, the two-fold grace of God offered in the gospel, as they are to be observed by all believers, are also to be administered in every Church. Baptism, wherein the purifying element of water signifies and holds forth the inward washing of regeneration and renewing of the Holy Ghost, which God shed on men abundantly through Jesus Christ our Saviour, is most becomingly administered in the Church, whether on converts from without or on the children of the covenant, and should be administered in simplicity, with no addition of vain or superstitious ceremonies. In like manner, the Lord's Supper, wherein his disciples, partaking of the bread and the cup, partake of his body which was broken for us, and of his blood which was shed for many for the remission of sins, is to be celebrated in all simplicity, according to the recorded words of the institution, without any mixture of human inventions.

7. In the place of the tithes and offerings, which were part of God's instituted worship before the coming of Christ, are the free gifts of Christ's disciples to his suffering brethren and to his cause and service. The contribution in the Church is not a secular thing, intruded into the house of God for mere convenience's sake, and adverse to spiritual edification, but is itself an act of grateful homage to Christ as well as of communion with his brethren.

CHAPTER III. — CHURCH POWER.

1. Church power, under Christ, resides primarily, not in the officers of the Church, nor in any priesthood or clergy, but in the Church, and it is derived through the Church, to its officers, from Christ.

2. Church power is not legislative, but only administrative. It extends no further than to declare and apply the law of Christ. No Church has any lawful power to make itself other than simply a Church of Christ, in which the mind of Christ, as made known in the Scriptures, shall be the only rule of faith and practice. As no Church may lawfully add anything to the sum of Christian doctrine, or take anything therefrom; so no Church may lawfully add anything to or take anything from the rules of Christian living, and the conditions of Christian fellowship, which the Scriptures prescribe.

CHAPTER IV. — CHURCH OFFICERS.

1. Though Church officers are not necessary to the mere existence of a Church, yet to its well-being, and to the performance of its functions, officers are necessary. Therefore they are appointed by Christ's institution, and are counted among the gifts of his triumphant ascension to glory.

2. The powers and functions of Church officers are not to be confounded with the powers and functions of the apostles and other extraordinary ministers of Christ, who were sent forth at the beginning of the gospel. Nor are any Church officers to be recognized as holding their official power in succession from the apostles, or as having any of that authority over all the churches with which the apostles were invested.

3. Church officers, according to the arrangement which the apostles instituted in every Church, are of two sorts, — bishops or elders, and deacons.

4. The office of elder or bishop in the Church is two-fold; to labor in word and doctrine, and to rule. As laboring in word and doctrine, elders are pastors and teachers, for the perfecting of the saints, for the work of the ministry, for the edifying of the body of Christ; and in order to this, they are rightly to divide the word of truth, and to administer those sacramental ordinances in which the grace of the gospel is visibly set forth and sealed. Like all whom God has put into the ministry of his gospel, they are to preach the word, and are to be instant in season and out of season, reproving, rebuking, exhorting with all long-suffering and patience, holding forth the faithful word, that they may be able by sound doctrine both to exhort and to convince the gainsayer. As ruling in the Church, they are to be not lords over God's heritage; but being the servants of all, for Jesus' sake, they are to watch for souls as they that must give account. They are to open and shut the doors of God's house by the admission of members approved by the Church, by ordination of officers approved by the Church, by excommunication of obstinate offenders denounced by the Church, and by restoring penitents forgiven by the Church. They are to call the Church together when there is occasion, and seasonably to dismiss them again. They are to prepare matters for the hearing of the Church, that in public they may be carried to an end with less trouble and more speedy despatch. They are to preside in the meetings of the Church, whether for public worship or for the transaction of Church business. They are to be guides and leaders in all matters pertaining to Church administration and Church action; but they have no power to perform any Church act save with the concurrence and by the vote of the brotherhood. They are to care for the spiritual health and growth of individual members, and to prevent and heal such offences in life or doctrine as might corrupt the Church; and they are to visit and pray over their brethren in sickness when sent for, and at such other times as opportunity shall serve.

5. The number of elders or bishops in a particular Church is neither prescribed

nor limited, but is to be determined by the discretion of the Church itself, in view of its ability and its need. In the primitive churches, a plural eldership seems to have been the rule, and not the exception. In the American Congregational churches, at the beginning, it was thought needful that every Church should have at least three elders, of whom two were to labor in word and doctrine, and the other was to be associated with them in all their work as bishops or overseers of the flock. While no Church is rightfully subjected to any presbytery exterior to itself, every Church should have its own presbytery. The modern usage, concentrating all the powers and responsibilities of the eldership in one person, is founded on convenience only, and is exceptional rather than normal. Whether, instead of one elder, who under the title of pastor performs the whole work of the eldership in a Church, there shall be two or three, or more, among whom the work of public preaching and the work of ruling and oversight shall be divided, is a question which every Church may determine for itself, without infringing any principle of order.

6. Inasmuch as the duty of contributing for the poor saints, for the support and advancement of the Church, and for the spread of the gospel, is incumbent on all disciples of Christ according to their ability, and is essential to the communion of saints; and inasmuch as the Lord's Day is especially designated as a day for such contributions; the Church is provided with officers for that service. Deacons are chosen in every Church to help the elders, not by taking part in the public ministry of the word, nor by ruling in the Church, but chiefly by serving tables. Their office is to receive the contributions and whatever gifts are offered to the Church; to keep the treasury of the Church; and to distribute from it for the relief of the poor, especially of those in communion, for the supply of the Lord's table, and, if needful, for the support of the ministry. As almoners of the Church, they are to care for the poor, to know them personally, to inquire into their wants and afflictions, and to be the organ of communication between them and the brotherhood.

7. Other officers than bishops and deacons are not provided for the Church by any precept or example in the Scriptures. Yet it is in the power of the Church to designate any member or members to the performance of a certain work, such as that of a scribe or clerk, and keeper of the records, or that of the superintendents or teachers in a Sabbath school, or that of a committee for some inquiry. In designating fit persons to perform such duties, it institutes no new order of Church officers, but only distributes among its members certain duties which are common to the brotherhood.

CHAPTER V.—ELECTION AND ORDINATION OF CHURCH OFFICERS.

1. Though no man may assume an office in the Church but he that is called of God, the call of bishops and deacons is not, like that of the apostles, immediately from Christ, but mediately, through the Church.

2. Those who are to bear office in the Church should first be proved by thorough acquaintance and trial, and should be known and well reported of as having not only the needful gifts, but also those graces and virtues which the Scriptures prescribe as qualifications of bishops or of deacons.

3. A Church, being free, cannot become subject to any but by a free election; yet when such a people do choose any to be over them in the Lord, then do they become subject, and most willingly submit to the divinely authorized ministry of those whom they have chosen.

4. Church officers are not only to be chosen by the Church, but are also to be ordained by laying on of hands and prayer, with which, at the ordination of elders,

fasting is also to be joined. This ordination is the solemn and public induction of the chosen officer into his place and office, like the inauguration of a magistrate in the commonwealth. Such ordination of a pastor or teacher is his induction into the work of ministering in the word; and if he be afterwards dismissed from his eldership in that Church, and be called to a like office in another Church, it is not deemed necessary that his installation in his new place be with the laying-on of hands. Yet we protest against the superstitious notion, that consecration to the ministry by imposition of hands introduces the person into a hierarchical or priestly order, and so may not be repeated.

5. In a Church which has elders, the laying-on of hands in ordination is to be performed by those elders. But if the Church be destitute of elders, then other fit persons, elders of other churches, or ministering brethren not in office, or (if need be) brethren who have not been called and set apart to minister in the word of God, may be deputed by the Church to perform this service; and the laying-on of their hands with prayer and fasting is a fit and sufficient induction of the chosen elders or bishops, not less than of deacons, into the office to which they have been designated.

6. Neither a deacon nor an elder or bishop is an officer in any other Church than that which has elected him to his office; nor can he perform official acts in another Church, otherwise than at the invitation of that Church, and by a power derived through them from Christ; for as no Church has authority over another Church, so no Church can invest its officers with authority over other churches.

CHAPTER VI. — THE MAINTENANCE OF CHURCH OFFICERS.

1. The duty of every Church to provide a sufficient and honorable support, according to its ability, for the officers who give their time and strength to its service, is evident in itself, and is expressly enjoined by the Scriptures. Every member of the Church, in his place, and in the measure of his ability to contribute, is responsible for this duty.

2. Inasmuch as not only the covenanted members of the Church, but all who are taught, may be reasonably expected, and should be encouraged, to bear their part in the expense of building the house of God and sustaining the ministry of the word, the civil incorporation of ecclesiastical societies or parishes, in connection with churches, is a natural arrangement of Christian civilization in a free Commonwealth. The form in which a society may be incorporated, for the legal ownership of ecclesiastical property and the support of public worship, is determined by the laws of the State; but the Church, as a spiritual fellowship, electing and ordaining its own officers, and worshipping God according to the New Testament, holds its charter only from Christ, and may not surrender its spiritual rights and powers to any civil corporation. Therefore the independence of the Church in the choice of its own officers, and in all its discipline, and in the conduct of its worship, must be steadfastly guarded. At the same time, the right of the parish or ecclesiastical society, as a legal corporation (including or representing all who in any equitable manner aid in the support of public worship), to control, within the limits of its trust, the use and expenditure of its own property, must be recognized. While the Church is at liberty to elect whom it will, and as many as it will, to be Church officers, it cannot, by its own authority, require the parish to assume the burthen of supporting them. Thus, in the election and settlement of a pastor or other officer who is to be supported by the parish, the concurrent votes of the Church and the parish are necessary.

CHAPTER VII.—ADMISSION OF MEMBERS INTO THE CHURCH, AND DISMISSION OF MEMBERS FROM ONE CHURCH TO ANOTHER.

1. The things which are requisite in all Church members are repentance from sin, and faith in Jesus Christ; and therefore these are the things whereof men are to be examined at their admission into the Church, and which then they must profess and hold forth in such sort as may satisfy rational charity that the things are there indeed.

2. The weakest measure of faith is to be accepted in those that desire to be admitted into the Church; because weak Christians, if sincere, have the substance of that penitent faith and holiness which is required in Church members, and such have most need of the ordinances for their confirmation and growth in grace. Such charity and tenderness are to be used, that the weakest Christian, if sincere, may not be excluded or discouraged.

3. It is not needful that the profession of repentance and faith should be always in the same form of words; but it must always be in such words as are satisfactory to the Church, and must be accompanied by a professed engagement to walk with the Church according to the gospel.

4. Such personal profession is required not only of those who have not been before in any Church relation, but also of those who, having been born and baptized in the Church, may be considered as in some sort hereditary members; for they, too, must credibly show and profess their own repentance toward God, and faith toward our Lord Jesus Christ, before they come to the Lord's table or are recognized as members in full communion.

5. A Church member, removing his residence to another place, does not thereby throw off his responsibility to the Church with which he is in covenant. If his removal is permanent, he ought to seek, and, unless he is liable to some just censure (in which case he must be dealt with as an offender), he has a right to receive, a letter of dismission and commendation to an evangelical Church in the place of his new residence; or if there be no such Church in that place, to any such Church with which he can have communion statedly in Christian ordinances. But his dismission cannot take effect till he shall be received as a member by the Church to which he has been commended.

6. A Church is not bound to receive a member merely because of his dismission and commendation from another Church; but if it find any just ground of objection to him, it may remit the case to the consideration of the Church from which he came, and of which he is still a member.

CHAPTER VIII.—THE METHOD OF DEALING WITH OFFENDERS.

1. The censures of the Church are appointed for the prevention and removal of offences and the recovering of offenders; for purging out the leaven which may infect the whole lump; for vindicating the honor of Christ and of his Church, and the profession of the gospel; and for preventing the displeasure of God, that may justly fall upon the Church if they suffer his covenant and the seals thereof to be profaned by notorious and obstinate offenders.

2. Censures in the Church are of two sorts; admonition and excommunication.

3. If an offence be private, one brother trespassing against another, the offender is to go and acknowledge his repentance of it unto his offended brother, who is then to forgive him. But if the offender neglect or refuse to do this, then (1) the brother offended is to go and admonish him privately, between themselves. If thereupon

the offender be brought to repent of his offence, the admonisher hath won his brother. But if the offender hear not his brother, then (2) the offended is to take with him one or two more, that in the mouth of two or three witnesses every word may be established, whether the word of admonition if the offender receive it, or the word of complaint if he refuse. (3) If the offender be not recovered by that second admonition, the offended brother is then to tell the Church. If the Church find that the complaint is well founded, it admonishes the offender; and then if he hear the Church, and penitently confess his fault, he is recovered and gained, and is to be forgiven. But if, after being admonished by the Church, he be not yet convinced of his fault, and ready to profess, frankly, his repentance of it, he remains under the censure of admonition, which of itself excludes or suspends him from the holy fellowship of the Lord's Supper, till either the offence is removed by his penitent confession, or the Church, after reasonable forbearance, proceeds to cast him out by excommunication.

4. When the offence is already public and notorious, and is of such a character as to be infamous among men, a more summary proceeding is authorized by the Scriptures. The Church, without waiting for an individual complaint or for the effect of private admonition, may take notice of the notorious fact, and cast out the offender without delay, for the mortifying of his sin and the saving of his soul in the day of the Lord Jesus, as well as for the vindication of the gospel which he has dishonored. Yet no offender may be censured without trial and the opportunity of being heard.

5. In dealing with an offender, great care is to be taken that we be neither too rigorous nor too indulgent. Our proceeding ought to be with a spirit of meekness, considering ourselves lest we also be tempted. Yet, the winning and healing of the offender's soul being the end of these endeavors, we must be earnest and thorough, not healing the wounds of our brethren slightly.

6. While the offender remains excommunicated, the Church is to refrain from all communion with him in spiritual things, and also from all familiar communion with him in civil things, further than the necessity of natural, domestic, or civil relations may require. Yet, while there may be any hope of his recovery, we are to be kindly watchful for signs of repentance in him; not counting him an enemy, but admonishing him as a brother.

7. If the censure be made effectual by the grace of Christ, so that the excommunicated person repents of his sin, and with confession desires to be restored, the Church is thereupon to forgive him; and, as the censure was public, he is to be publicly absolved or loosed from the censure, and restored to full communion.

8. It is doubtless of great importance to the welfare of the Church, that profane and scandalous persons be not permitted to continue in its fellowship and to partake at the Lord's table; and the Church which neglects to deal with such members, and to use the discipline of the Lord's house for their reformation or their exclusion, is greatly to be blamed. Yet such a Church is not therefore to be immediately forsaken and renounced by those who would live godly in Christ Jesus. Nor is it reasonable that any individual member of that Church should therefore withdraw himself from the Lord's table. In so doing, he wrongs his own soul by denying to himself the appointed means of grace, and wrongs the Church by adding another scandal to that which he would rebuke. Let him rather endeavor, modestly and seasonably, according to his power and place, that the unworthy may be duly proceeded against by the Church to whom that duty belongs.

CHAPTER IX.—RELATION OF THE CHURCH TO CIVIL GOVERNMENT, AND THE CONFLICT OF LAWS.

1. The right of the Church to assemble for worship, to observe Christ's ordinances, to hold forth the Word of Life by public preaching and by private communion, to receive into its communion those who give evidence of repentance and faith, and to admonish offenders or exclude them, is not a mere concession from the civil power, but is part of that religious liberty which Christ, by commanding his gospel to be preached to every creature, challenges for all men, and which no human government can suppress or violate, without incurring the displeasure of God.

2. The law which the Church administers in its discipline is not merely the law of the land, nor the law of common use and opinion, but the higher law of God as revealed in the Scriptures; for that which is highly esteemed among men conformed to this world may be abominable to God and to men enlightened by his Word and Spirit. If wickedness go unpunished in the civil State, or be even honored by public opinion, it is not therefore to be tolerated in the Church. If the law of the land require of any man, under whatever penalties, that which the law of God forbids him to do, or if it forbid him to do what the law of God requires, it is better to obey God rather than men; and the Church is to require of all its members obedience to the higher law of God. Yet, inasmuch as the Scriptures require of every Christian soul subjection to existing powers in the civil State, whether Christian or anti-Christian, the duty of loyalty to government, of conscientious obedience to every law which does not positively require what God forbids, or forbid what God requires, and of patient submission to persecution or other injustice when there is no lawful redress, is a duty of religion which the discipline of the Church must honor and maintain.

3. With matters properly and exclusively political the Church has no concern; for Christ's kingdom is not of this world. But with matters of morality and religion, the Church, in the administration of its discipline, and in the testimony which it is to give for God, has much to do. Especially in a free Commonwealth, where the government proceeds continually from the people, the Church is bound to testify, in its discipline and in its teaching, against wicked laws and institutions, not fearing to assert and apply the law of God as revealed in the Scriptures, whatever may be the contradiction of sinners, and whatever the conflict between that supreme law of Christ's kingdom and the laws ordained of men, or the institutions and usages of society. Thus the moral sense of communities and nations must be corrected and enlightened, and must be made to advance with the progress of the Church, till Christ shall be honored in all lands as King of kings and Lord of lords, the blessed and only Potentate.

PART III.—THE COMMUNION OF CHURCHES.

CHAPTER I.—PRINCIPLES AND SPECIFICATIONS.

1. Although churches are distinct, and therefore may not be confounded one with another, and equal, and therefore have not dominion one over another; yet all the churches ought to preserve Church communion one with another, because they are all united to Christ as integral parts of his one catholic Church, militant against the evil that is in the world, and visible in the profession of the Christian faith, in the observance of the Christian sacrament, in the manifestation of the Christian life,

and in the worship of the one God of our salvation, the Father, and the Son, and the Holy Ghost.

2. The communion of churches with each other is manifested in various acts of fraternal courtesy, correspondence, and helpfulness:

(1.) In mutual recognition; one organized congregation of Christian worshippers acknowledging another to be a visible Church of Christ, and each professing a readiness to interchange with the other all reasonable acts of Christian courtesy and love.

(2.) In admitting members of one Church to commune, as such, at the Lord's table in another Church, and refusing to admit them if they are under censure.

(3.) In permitting and inviting a minister of the word, recognized and accredited as such by one Church, to speak for Christ in another Church.

(4.) In the dismissal and reception of members, when, for any sufficient reason, they pass from one Church to another.

(5.) In giving and receiving advice when one Church desires counsel of another, or of many others.

(6.) In giving and receiving help; as when one Church gives of its members that another may be supplied with officers; or as when one Church receives outward support from the contributions of another, or of many others.

(7.) In consultation and coöperation for each other's edification and prosperity, or for the common interest of the gospel.

(8.) In giving and receiving admonition; as when there is found in a Church some public offence which it either does not discern, or neglects to remove; for though churches have no more authority one over another than one apostle had over another, yet as one apostle might admonish another, so may one Church admonish another, and yet without usurpation; in which case, if the admonished Church refuse to hear its neighbor churches and to remove the offence, it violates the communion of churches.

3. The Congregational churches in the United States of America, as integral portions of Christ's catholic Church, maintain all practicable communion with all other portions of the Church universal. While other churches differ from us in their internal polity, in their relations and connections with each other, in their forms of worship, or in the uninspired statements and definitions of doctrines disputed among Christians, and while we disown their schemes of hierarchical or synodical government, we acknowledge as particular churches of Christ all congregations of Christian worshippers that acknowledge the Holy Scriptures as their supreme rule of faith and practice, and Christ as the Lamb of God who taketh away the sin of the world. We pray for their peace and prosperity. We invite their members to occasional communion with us in worship and in sacramental ordinances. We receive their letters of dismissal and commendation, and, in return, dismiss our members, as occasion may require, with letters of commendation to them. We are ready to be edified by their ministers. And, in all reasonable and hopeful methods, we are ready to consult and coöperate with them for the advancement of the gospel.

4. As some acts of the communion of the churches are due, in one degree and another, to all the integral parts of Christ's catholic Church, so other acts of communion are specially due from churches instituted and governed according to the Congregational polity to other churches instituted and governed according to the same polity. Certain acts of communion are not practicable between churches congregationally governed and churches that are under a hierarchical or synodical

government; and certain acts of communion are not practicable between churches which seriously differ from each other in the systems of doctrine which they deduce, respectively, from the Scriptures, even though they recognize each other as holding that faith which is necessary to salvation. A Church desiring the approbation and assistance of other churches in the ordination of its officers cannot wisely or courteously ask such approbation and assistance from churches in whose professed theory of government all ordinations must be by a prelate, or in whose theory the power of ordination is given only to a presbytery ruling over many congregations. In like manner, if it desire counsel in any case involving questions of doctrine, it cannot, wisely or courteously, ask such counsel of churches not accepting that general system of doctrines which is the well-known basis of mutual confidence and intimate communion among churches of the Congregational polity.

5. The more intimate communion existing among these churches is exercised in asking and giving counsel, in giving and receiving admonition, in various acts of helpfulness towards churches needing help from others, and in conferences and consultations for the parochial revival and prosperity of religion, or the general advancement of Christ's kingdom.

CHAPTER II. — COUNCILS.

1. Councils of churches, orderly assembled, to declare the opinion of the churches on any matter of common concern, are an ordinance of Christ, and are necessary to the communion of the churches. That scriptural example, where the Church at Antioch sent messengers to the Church at Jerusalem, for consultation and advice, in a difficult question, is a sufficient warrant for such Councils.

2. The churches invited to assist in a Council are represented by messengers or delegates chosen by them for the particular occasion. By ancient usage, the pastor of a Church, having been duly recognized as its presiding elder or bishop, is always expected to be one of its messengers; and the letters convening the Council invite each Church to be represented by its pastor and delegate. Yet in the Council, when convened, there is no distinction of authority between the pastor and other delegates.

3. It is manifest, from the reason of the case, that in ordinary cases a Council ought to be made up chiefly of churches in the near vicinity. But when a Council is called to advise in some personal or parochial controversy which involves strong sympathies and interests in the surrounding region, it may be expedient to ask counsel from more distant churches, rather than exclusively from those near at hand.

4. A Council is to be called only by a Church, or by an aggrieved member or members in a Church which has unreasonably refused a Council, or by a competent number of believers intending to be gathered into a Church. In a difficulty or controversy between the Church and its elder or elders, or between the Church and some other person or party in the Church, if a Council is desired, and the Church consents, the churches to constitute the Council are selected by agreement between the parties, and are invited by letters-missive from the Church; and this is called a mutual Council. If a Church unreasonably refuses to call a mutual Council, then an *ex parte* Council may be invited by letters-missive from the aggrieved member or members.

5. An *ex parte* Council, properly called, has the same standing, and is entitled to the same respect, as a mutual council; for it were unreasonable that, in case of grievance, either party should be deprived, by the obstinacy of the other, of such

relief as the neighboring churches could give. But that it may be properly convened, it is requisite, (1,) that there be proper ground for calling a Council; (2,) that one party, properly requested, has unreasonably refused to join in calling a mutual Council; (3,) that the *ex parte* Council is called upon the statement of the original grounds for asking a Council, and of the unreasonable refusal of the other party to join; and, (4,) that the churches invited be impartially selected. When assembled, the *ex parte* Council should first offer itself to the refusing party as a mutual Council.

6. Councils consist solely of such churches as are invited, with the occasional addition of persons whose advice is especially desired. After being called, no Church or person can be added to or taken from the proper members in any manner. For the letters-missive having specified the churches and persons invited, each Church appointed its delegates upon that knowledge of those with whom it was asked to associate.

7. Councils are not to be convened upon every ground of dissatisfaction with a Church, nor in cases of light moment. They are proper only upon some matter of common interest to the churches; such as relations of fellowship between churches; or the relation of a member to the communion of other churches; the relations of pastors and churches; the reputation of the brotherhood of churches, as affected by the acts or condition of a Church; or matters of general interest to the cause of Christ. They are in no such sense such courts of appeal that they may alter or rescind any act of a Church. Yet in cases of censure, if the proceedings complained of are found to have been in gross violation of the rules given in the Scriptures, the Council may advise and declare that in its judgment the censure complained of is wrong, and may commend the censured person to be received by some other Church, as a member in full communion.

Particular occasions for Councils are such as these:

(1) When a competent number of Christian brethren propose to unite in a Church covenant, and desire to be recognized as a Church in the more intimate communion of the Congregational churches, the ordinary and most orderly method of obtaining such recognition is by an ecclesiastical Council, invited for that purpose by their letters to a convenient number of churches, and especially of churches in the near vicinity. Having given to that Council, when assembled, a satisfactory statement of their faith and order, and of the reasons for their becoming a distinct Church, together with sufficient evidence not only of their Christian character, but also of their fitness in respect to gifts and numbers for performing the duties of a Church, they receive as a Church the right hand of fellowship extended to them by the Council in behalf of all the churches.

(2.) The induction of a pastor or teacher into his office, in any Church, or, on the other hand, the dismissal of such an officer from his place, concerns the communion of the churches. Therefore an ecclesiastical Council is convened for the ordination or installation of a pastor, and, in like manner, for his dismissal at his own request. A due respect to the communion of the churches requires that no man assuming to be a pastor of a Church shall be acknowledged as such by other churches, unless, at or after his entrance on the duties of the office, he has been publicly recognized by receiving the right hand of fellowship from neighboring churches through a Council convened for that purpose. The welfare of the churches, in their intimate communion with each other, requires this safeguard. In like manner, the communion of churches requires that no minister dismissed from his charge shall be regarded as having sufficient credentials of his good standing

unless he is duly commended by a Council convened on the occasion of his dismission.

(3.) When difficulties, whether internal or external, threaten the peace and spiritual prosperity of any Church, and are not likely to be adjusted without aid, or when any question arises on which the Church needs advice for the guidance and correction or confirmation of its own judgment, that Church has a right to ask the advice of other churches with which it is in communion. To such an advisory Council the trial of a difficult case is sometimes referred. The Council examines the questions referred to it, whether questions of fact or questions of principle and duty; it pronounces its conclusions; but it has no power to inflict any Church censure, or to absolve from censure. It can only advise the Church; and the Church, by accepting and adopting the result of the Council, carries the advice into effect.

(4.) When a member against whom charges have been preferred requests the calling of a Council for the trial of those charges, and the Church consents to the request, or when, in any manner, parties have arisen who desire a Council for the hearing of the questions between them, the churches to constitute the Council are mutually agreed upon between the parties. Yet a mutual Council is not convened in the name of the parties, but in the name of the Church. But, in such cases, a refusal on the part of the Church to agree to call a Council before trial does not give any occasion for an *ex parte* Council.

(5.) When a member, having been censured by the Church, conscientiously protests that the censure is not according to the facts, or that it is not warranted by the word of God, he may respectfully ask the Church to join with him in calling a mutual Council for a new hearing of his case; and, that request being denied by the Church without sufficient reason, he may appeal to other churches for advice, and for such relief as they may find reason to give him, and may invite them to meet in an *ex parte* Council. Or when a portion of any Church has been seriously aggrieved by such action of the Church as causes public scandal to the cause of Christ, and their request for a Council has been denied by the Church, they may in like manner appeal to other churches for a hearing of their cause and for advice concerning their duty.

(6.) When a member liable to no just censure has requested letters of dismission and recommendation to some other recognized Church, and the request is refused, he may request the Church to invite a Council to hear the case; and, if the Church refuses, he may himself ask a Council to give him relief.

(7.) When a pastor or other ordained minister in any Church is charged with offences which would render it proper that he be deposed from the ministry, then the Church should invite a Council to examine the charges; if they be proven, the Council should advise that fellowship be withdrawn from him, and that he be no longer recognized as a Christian minister.

8. The Council, when assembled, organizes itself by the choice of a moderator and scribe, that its proceedings may be orderly and deliberate, and may be duly written down for the use of those whom the result concerns. If half of the churches invited be not represented, those present ought not to proceed to act, unless the party inviting consents. Being a representative body, its functions are limited to the subjects specified in the letters-missive. In voting, it was an ancient and laudable custom that each Church give its voice as a Church, and not that the messengers vote as individuals; but this custom is not universal. Having properly deliberated, and made up its decision, the Council is forthwith to be dissolved; and the scribe is to convey a copy of its proceedings and advice to the parties concerned.

9. The decision of a Council is only advisory. Yet it is to be received with rev-

erence and submission (unless inconsistent with the Scriptures) as the voice of the churches, and as an ordinance of God appointed thereunto in his word. In cases of difference, therefore, the party adopting the advice of Council is entitled to the sympathy and commendation of the churches, rather than the one rejecting it.

10. When a Council, properly convened and orderly proceeding, whether mutual or *ex parte*, has pronounced its advice, a second Council upon the substance of the same questions, or upon the advice of the first, is manifestly improper. If both parties desire further light, they may agree thereto. But, if one refuse, an *ex parte* council is in that case not warranted, and is manifestly disorderly.

11. A Council orderly assembled to advise concerning the acts and administrations of a Church, and finding that such Church deliberately receives and maintains doctrines which subvert the foundations of the Christian faith, or that it wilfully tolerates and upholds notorious scandals, or that it persistently disregards and condemns the communion of churches, may, after fit admonition, advise the churches to withhold from that erring Church all acts of communion till it shall give evidence of reformation. And any Church, after due admonition, may call a Council.

12. Some Congregational churches, neighboring to each other, are confederated, more or less strictly, for mutual assistance in cases which require a Council. Such confederations, whether under the name of consociation or convention, may be useful if they duly recognize and guard the principle that the power of inflicting Church censures and of absolving from censure, and the power of choosing and ordaining officers and of removing them from office for good cause, reside, under Christ, in the particular Church, and not in some ecclesiastical authority extrinsic to the Church; and the cognate principle, that Councils, however constituted, are for the communion of churches with each other, and not for government over the churches.

CHAPTER III.—CONFERENCES OF CHURCHES.

1. It is fit and convenient for the churches of a neighborhood to meet sometimes, by their pastors and delegates, for the purpose of reporting to each other their spiritual prosperity and progress, and of consulting together how to advance the cause and kingdom of Christ. Such meetings are commonly called Conferences of Churches, and are distinguished from Councils in that they have nothing to do with giving advice to any particular Church concerning the ordination or dismissal of any of its officers, or concerning the administration of its government. They meet only for mutual information and inquiry, that through them the churches may provoke each other to love and to good works.

2. Conferences of churches are either occasional or stated. Any Church may invite the neighboring churches, more or fewer, at its own discretion, to meet with it for mutual edification and inquiry. Or a number of churches may associate to hold such conferences at fixed periods and under definite regulations. Stated conferences of the churches have been greatly useful in promoting zeal and Christian activity, and in making the gifts of one Church subserve the edification of others.

3. In some States, the several conferences are associated in a General Conference or Association of Churches, which institutes a careful inquiry every year, and makes its report, concerning the general prosperity and progress of the churches throughout the State.

CHAPTER IV.—SYNODS OR NATIONAL COUNCILS.

1. Occasions may arise in the progress of Christ's kingdom, when a representa-

tive assembly of churches, coming together for consultation and agreement and for testimony, is required; an assembly which shall be larger in its numbers than any Council, such as a single Church can convene for its own need, and larger in its constituency than any stated conference of churches. Such synods were required, and were held at sundry times, when the fathers of the American Congregational Churches were laying the foundations on which many generations were to build.

2. A synod cannot be constituted by any number of unauthorized individuals assuming to represent the churches. The express consent of the churches, acting severally, in their self-government under Christ, recognizing the call, and sending forth their elders and other messengers, is what constitutes the synod as a representative body. An assembly thus constituted by the joint action of many churches, and coming together, not for strife and contention, but for devout and earnest consultation concerning things that pertain to the kingdom of God, may be expected to have much of those gracious influences, and of that guidance by the Holy Comforter, in which Christ fulfils his promises: "Lo, I am with you always, even unto the end of the world;" and "Where two or three are gathered together in my name, there am I in the midst of them."

3. The calling of such a synod ought not to proceed from the mere will or motion of unauthorized individuals, nor from the mere motion of any one Church acting without consultation. When the elders and other messengers of any considerable body of churches, coming together in a representative assembly, such as the General Conference or General Association of a State, are convinced that an occasion has arisen which requires a national synod or Council, they may reasonably institute inquiries by correspondence with other similar bodies; and if, after such correspondence and conference as may conveniently be had, the conviction is strengthened and extended, that, in the providence of God, there is a call upon the churches to confer with each other in a national Council, the arrangements may be made, and the invitation issued by such persons as shall have been designated to that service by common consent in the preliminary consultations. The invitation should be addressed, not to associations or conferences purporting to represent the churches, but distinctly to each several Church, so that the ultimate determination of the question shall proceed directly from the churches themselves; and every Church shall have the opportunity of consenting or withholding its consent according to the wisdom given to it from above.

4. The proper function of a synod is not to legislate for the churches, nor to determine imperatively any question which is not already determined by the Scriptures, but by inquiry and brotherly conference, with prayer for divine illumination, to obtain and hold forth light on such matters as the churches have referred to its deliberations. A synod, as a great cloud of witnesses, may properly testify in behalf of the constituent churches not only their common faith in Christ their Saviour, but what is the system of Christian doctrine, and what the system and theory of ecclesiastical administrations, which are the basis of their special communion one with another as churches walking in the order of the New Testament.

CHAPTER V.—CONFESSIONS OF FAITH.

1. Neither Christ nor his apostles prescribed any form of words to be imposed on disciples, or on churches, for the confessing of their faith. Had such a form been given, it would have become a part of the canonical Scriptures.

2. Every Church is to judge for itself whether the form of words offered or adopted as a confession of faith, by any who desire admission to its holy communion, is a satisfactory profession of faith in Christ and his gospel.

3. When a Council is assembled for the ordination or recognition of a pastor, or for the ordination of a missionary or other minister at large, the candidate for ordination or recognition may reasonably be required to make a more ample declaration of his religious belief, holding forth to the Church and the Council, not only his personal faith in the Saviour of sinners, but also his doctrinal soundness as a preacher of the word. Such confession of faith should be in words deliberately and accurately chosen, and the Council must judge for itself whether the confession is sound and sufficient.

4. Every Church desiring to share in the fellowship of the churches should make some adequate declaration of its fidelity to the doctrine which is according to godliness. It is therefore fit that every Church set forth, in the form of a confession or catechism, the system of truth which it receives as the faith once delivered to the saints, which its fathers and teachers maintain by their ministry, and in which it trains its children.

5. Any assembly of elders or messengers representing a body of churches, local or national, is competent to testify in the form of a confession what system of doctrines is received and maintained in the churches which it represents. Or any body of Christian men, being called thereto in the providence of God, may frame and publish, as a confession of their faith, a declaration of the truths which they receive as revealed from God by his word and spirit. Such confessions of faith have often been useful for the refutation of injurious reproaches, or for the confirmation of the truth.

6. The right use of confessions of faith is not for separation and mutual exclusion among Christians, but rather for mutual information and confidence, and the manifestation of unity. For this purpose, inasmuch as the Scriptures are often perverted, and doctrines subversive of the faith once delivered to the saints are brought in among the churches, it sometimes becomes reasonable and fit for churches or for representative assemblies not only to testify and confess, but also to bear witness against doctrines contrary to the gospel of Christ, and dangerous to the souls of men. For Christian unity is not to be maintained by compromises with doctrines which corrupt the word of God, but only by adherence to the truth as it is in Jesus. Yet no confession of faith or testimony against error is to be set up in place of the Scriptures, which are the only standard and unerring rule of faith, and with which all human formularies are to be constantly and diligently compared.

PART IV.—THE MINISTRY.

CHAPTER I.—THE PREACHING OF THE WORD.

1. While those whom the Church chooses and ordains to be its pastors and teachers, are, by virtue of their office, preachers of the gospel, laboring in word and doctrine, the Congregational churches have always acknowledged that the work of preaching is not exclusively a function of Church officers. Fit men not bearing office in the Church, but giving themselves to the work of preaching, have always been recognized among us as ministers of the word.

2. The necessity for a recognized class of ministers not holding office in any Church is manifold. (1.) In preaching the gospel to every creature, there is much to be done which cannot be done by elders or bishops of churches, whose proper work is parochial, and not missionary. (2.) There is, and ever must be, need of ministers, recognized as such, who can supply, by occasional and temporary ministration, the lack of service in churches that have no preaching elders. (3.) Those

who are to teach and train men for the ministry must needs be ministers, recognized as such among the churches, and esteemed for their zeal and power in holding forth the word of life; and yet they cannot ordinarily be at the same time officers in churches. (4.) Under every theory of Church order, three must be, in fact, a class of men accredited in some way, and recognized as qualified by natural endowments, by learning and study, and by the work of the Holy Spirit on their souls, to preach the word; among whom the churches may find fit men to be their pastors and teachers. (5.) Nor can the churches consent that when a pastor, for any good reason, resigns his office, and is discharged with commendation as a good and faithful servant of Christ in the gospel, he shall thenceforth cease to be reputed and recognized as a minister of the word. (6.) It is abundantly evident from the Scriptures, that, in the beginning, there were many ministers of the word, beside the elders who were ordained in every Church; and that while the distinctive work of the apostles was essentially extraordinary, ceasing with their lives, and transmitted to no successors, the work of ministers not holding office in the churches was a work which continues and must continue till Christ's catholic Church on earth shall cease to be militant.

3. Such ministers of the gospel, not being apostles nor successors of the apostles, are invested with no apostolic authority; and, not being elders or bishops, they have no official place or power in any Church (except when temporarily invited by any Church); but each one, in the Church with which he is in covenant, is only a member till the Church shall call him to office either as a deacon or as an elder; and if he be called to office as an elder, laboring in word and doctrine, then the communion of the churches will require that his induction into office shall be approved by a Council before he can be recognized as pastor by the neighbor churches.

4. A minister who is not a member of some Congregational Church, is not in fact, and ought not to be, counted a minister in connection with the churches and ministry of the Congregational order, though he may be worthy of confidence and fellowship by virtue of his responsible connection with some other body of evangelical churches.

CHAPTER II.—CALL AND ORDINATION TO THE MINISTRY.

1. As it was in the Church at Antioch that Barnabas and Saul received their special call to the missionary work among the Gentiles, so, by parity of reason, the call of any brother to the work of a minister at large ought always to proceed from some Church cognizant of his gifts and graces, and therefore competent to judge, in the first instance, whether he is called of God; nor ordinarily should the call proceed from any other Church than that in which he is, or in which he is to be, a member.

2. As Barnabas and Saul, when sent from the Church at Antioch on a mission to the Gentiles, were separated to their work by ordination; so it is fit, that, after reasonable trial, those who are called to minister in the word of God, without holding the office of elders or bishops in any Church, be solemnly commended to the grace of God, and, by the laying-on of hands and prayer, be separated to the work whereunto he hath called them. No Church ought to ordain any without the approval of neighbor churches assembled in a Council. Yet it should be remembered that the ordination or installation is the act of the Church, and that the duty of such Council is not to exercise jurisdiction or authority over the Church, but simply to advise and assist, and to express the fellowship of other churches in the

transaction. We therefore commend the ancient custom, now too much disused, of calling on the Church, before the prayer of consecration and the giving of the charge, to renew their choice and call, and on the candidate to renew his acceptance of the call, in the presence of the approving Council and the witnessing assembly. Thus the ordination or installation will proceed by the authority which Christ has given to that Church; and the Council, as representing neighbor churches, will give in their behalf the right hand of fellowship.

3. When a minister without pastoral charge is accused of any scandal dishonorable to the ministry with which he is intrusted, or with teaching that which is contrary to the gospel, and dangerous to the souls of men, the Church of which he is a member should seek the assistance of an ecclesiastical Council in the trial of the case, and, if he be found guilty, should declare him to be deposed from the ministry, and then deal with him by admonition and excommunication, as with any other member.

CHAPTER III. — ASSOCIATIONS OF MINISTERS.

1. The experience of our churches, from the beginning, has proved that the frequent consultation of ministers with each other, so that the watchmen may see eye to eye, is of great importance to their efficiency in their work; and the formal association of pastors, not excluding other ministers, for mutual counsel and helpfulness, is an arrangement which has been greatly blessed of God for the welfare of the churches and the advancement of religion.

2. An association of ministers has no jurisdiction or authority over the churches. It may give advice to its own members, or to any other persons asking its advice, on questions of Church order or questions of doctrine; but it can neither inflict nor remove any Church censure. It forms its own rules concerning the qualifications and conditions of membership, and in accordance with those rules it can admit members and exclude them; but it can ordain no man to the ministry, nor can it depose any man from the ministry. If one of its members, whether a pastor or a minister without pastoral charge, is guilty of an offence for which he should be deposed from the ministry, it may not only exclude him from its fellowship, but may bring the matter to the notice of the Church to which he is responsible. Or if any minister or professed minister of scandalous or heretical character is presuming to officiate in the churches of the vicinity, the association may take measures to bring the matter to the notice of the proper ecclesiastical authority, or, if necessary to the protection of the churches and the vindication of the ministry, may give public notice that he is not in their fellowship.

3. By the common consent and ancient usage of our churches, the recognized associations of pastors and other ministers are intrusted with the duty of examining those who are to preach as candidates for the ministry, and of commending them to the churches by letters of approbation, so that untaught or otherwise unfit persons may not intrude themselves into the work of preaching.

4. The associations of Congregational ministers, throughout the United States, have their own methods of correspondence with each other, and of mutual recognition, through General Associations in the several States, or otherwise.

CHAPTER IV. — CANDIDATES FOR THE MINISTRY; THEIR EDUCATION, AND THE TRIAL OF THEIR GIFTS.

1. Inasmuch as the work of ministering in the word of God, to the edification of the churches and to the advancement of religion, requires not only natural gifts

of intelligence and discretion and of utterance, but also a personal experience of the gospel as the power of God unto salvation, a hearty love to Christ and to the souls of men, and a comprehensive knowledge of the Holy Scriptures and of the system of truth which they reveal, our fathers, at the beginning, made great endeavors and sacrifices to establish colleges consecrated to Christ and the Church, that a faithful and competently learned ministry might be provided for their posterity, and for the country which they were redeeming from the wilderness. Colleges under Christian influence and control, and founded primarily for the education of men whom the churches may call to the ministry, are among the foremost of the voluntary institutions which accompany the prosperity of churches walking in the faith and order of the gospel; and the work of presiding and teaching in such institutions is a work in which consecrated ministers of the gospel may make full proof of their ministry, and may obtain a place among those who have turned many to righteousness.

2. In later times, the progress of society, and the increase and wide diffusion of knowledge having changed, in some degree the course of education in the colleges, so that other and special studies are now necessary to a full preparation for the ministry, theological seminaries have been founded, that those who offer themselves to the service of Christ in the preaching and defence of his gospel, and who have been disciplined by liberal studies and enriched with general knowledge, may be instructed in all kinds of sacred learning, and, under the guidance of teachers who are also able and faithful preachers of the word, and experienced in the care of souls, may, by God's blessing on their endeavors, prepare themselves for the largest usefulness in the churches that may call them to office, and in the work of preaching the gospel to every creature.

3. The credentials which a young man may receive from a college or a theological seminary are not sufficient for his introduction to the churches as a preacher. Still less may his own desire to preach, or the desire of his friends, and the commendation he receives from them, authorize him to offer himself as a candidate for the ministry, or make it safe for congregations to employ him for the trial of his gifts. Even at the beginning, when the churches were few and not far distant from each other, it was soon found needful to institute some well-considered arrangement for the examination of candidates and their orderly introduction to the churches. And inasmuch as it devolves on the pastors and teachers of churches to feed the several flocks of which the Holy Ghost hath made them overseers, and to take heed whom they severally introduce to preach the word, it was agreed that neighboring pastors should jointly exercise their right of examination and inquiry before recognizing or commending a candidate as qualified to preach in public. It is therefore a long-established usage in the communion of our churches that no man is to offer himself as a candidate for the ministry, or is to be received as such, without having been examined and approved by some recognized association of pastors.

4. In the examination of a candidate, the association, having received evidence of his standing as a member in full communion of some evangelical Church, with other testimonials to his blamelessness of life and his attainments in knowledge, inquires of him concerning his experience of the power of godliness, the reasons of his desire and choice to preach the gospel, the studies he has pursued, his knowledge especially of the system of doctrines contained in the Scriptures, and his readiness in the exposition and application of the word of God; and, having obtained satisfactory evidence of his fitness to preach in the churches for the trial of his gifts, the pastors and other ministers in that association assembled certify their approbation in a written testimonial.

5. The person thus accredited is not yet recognized as a minister of the gospel, but is only a candidate for the ministry temporarily commended to the churches that they may make trial of his fitness for that sacred work; and, till he shall be duly ordained to the ministry, the testimonial given to him may be withdrawn whenever that association, for any good reason, is no longer willing to be responsible for him.

EPITOME OF CHURCH GOVERNMENT AND FELLOWSHIP.

I.—GENERAL PRINCIPLES.

I. Ecclesiastical polity, or Church government, is that form and order which is to be observed in the Church of Christ.

II. The Holy Scriptures are the sufficient, exclusive, and obligatory rule of ecclesiastical polity. Church powers, therefore, are only administrative, not legislative.

III. For government, there is no one visible, universal Church; nor are there national, provincial, diocesan, or classical churches, but only local churches or congregations of believers, and responsible directly to the Lord Jesus Christ, the one Head of the Church universal and of every particular Church.

IV. Each local Church is complete in itself, and has all powers requisite for its own government and discipline. But all churches, being in communion one with another, have such mutual duties as grow out of the obligations of fellowship.

II.—OF A CHURCH.

I. Of its matter and form.

1. A Church is always to be composed of such as are judged to belong to Christ, and of none others.

2. A Church is a society of professed believers, united by a covenant, express or implied, whereby all its members agree with the Lord and with each other to observe all the ordinances of Christ, especially in united worship and in mutual watchfulness and helpfulness.

3. It is the duty of all believers in Christ to unite in Church fellowship.

4. Believers are added to the Church by entering into covenant, upon the vote of the brotherhood, after due trial of their repentance from sin, and faith in the Lord Jesus Christ.

5. Members cease to be such when they are recommended to and received by some other recognized Church; to which dismission and commendation they are always entitled unless liable to some just censure.

II. Of the officers of a Church.

1. Though officers are not necessary to the being of a Church, they are to its well-being.

2. The officers appointed by Christ's institution are bishops (or pastors and teachers) and deacons. Other persons, appointed for special duties, constitute no order of Church officers.

3. Church officers are to be chosen exclusively by the Church to which they are to minister; and they may be dismissed for cause, by the same authority. Yet, in the choice or dismission of a pastor, neighboring churches should be consulted, both for advice, and for the sake of fellowship among the churches.

4. No man may be a pastor but one that is called of God to the work of the ministry. But the Church judges of his fitness by due trial of his faith, graces, and abilities.

5. Church officers are to be ordained or solemnly inducted into their several offices; and the ordination of a pastor involves his consecration to the ministry of the gospel.

6. The work of a pastor is to labor in word and doctrine; and to rule, not as a lord over God's heritage, but with the consent of the brethren. The work of a deacon is to assist the pastor, not by ruling or teaching, but chiefly by "serving tables."

7. The Church should provide proper maintenance for the pastor. It is right also that not only members of the Church, but all who are taught in the word, contribute to his support. When incorporated societies assume the maintenance of a pastor and teacher, it is also right that they have concurrent voice with the Church in his election.

III. Of Church censures.

1. It is the right and duty of every Church to preserve its purity by the prevention and removal of offences, and the recovery of offenders. This duty it cannot depute to others, neither can others rightly assume it.

2. In the treatment of offences, the object is both to reclaim offenders, and to preserve the purity of the Church in faith and practice.

3. Church censures are of two sorts, — admonition and excommunication.

4. If one brother offend another, and does not acknowledge his fault, it is the duty of the brother aggrieved to follow the course which Christ has prescribed in the eighteenth chapter of Matthew, in the hope of winning his brother. If the grievance come before the Church, the Church should endeavor to recover the offender; and, failing that, it should admonish him, which of itself suspends him from Church communion until the offence be removed.

5. If an offence be public and scandalous, the Church may proceed, without such gradual steps, to try the offender, and, if it find cause, admonish or excommunicate him.

6. When an offending brother makes penitent confession to the Church, to its entire satisfaction, he is recovered and gained. If not fully satisfied, the Church should admonish him. If, in any case of admonition, the offender prove obstinate, he is, after reasonable delay, to be excommunicated by vote of the brotherhood.

7. While one lies under the censure of excommunication, he is not to be received to spiritual communion in any Church. But, upon repentance, he may be absolved of the censure, and restored.

8. Inasmuch as the first object is to reclaim the offender, all things should be done in a spirit of gentleness and meekness. In the trial of one who is accused, all proceedings should be conducted with equity and patience; and, in the decision, unnecessary harshness is not less to be avoided than remissness.

9. If a brother claims to be aggrieved by any censure affecting his communion with other churches, or if a letter of dismission and recommendation is unreasonably refused, he may ask the Church to join with him in requesting advice of the neighboring churches, and, if the Church refuse, may of himself request the churches to assemble by their messengers to inquire into his case, and to give him advice.

III. — OF THE COMMUNION OF THE CHURCHES.

I. Although churches are distinct and equal, yet they ought to preserve fellowship one with another, being all united to Christ, their Head.

II. When a company of believers propose to unite in a distinct Church, it is requisite that they ask the advice and help of neighboring churches; particularly that

those churches, being satisfied with their faith and order, may extend to them the hand of fellowship.

III. Communion is to be exercised by recognizing each other's rights, by due regard to each other's welfare, and by consultation before acts of common concern.

IV. Councils are the ordinary and orderly way of consultation among churches, and are proper in all cases where the communion of the churches is involved:

1. In Councils the churches meet for consultation, usually by messengers (pastor and delegate) chosen for the special occasion.

2. Councils are properly called of churches in the near vicinity, except when matters which excite strong local sympathies render the advice of distant churches necessary.

3. Councils are called only by a Church, or an authorized party in case of disagreement, when the Church unreasonably refuses to join; that is, by a Church desiring light or help; by a Church and pastor (or other member or members) in case of differences, when it is styled a mutual Council; or by either of these parties when the other unreasonably refuses to unite, when it is styled an *ex parte* Council; which *ex parte* Council, when properly convened, has the same standing as if it had been mutual.

4. Councils consist solely of the churches invited by the letters-missive, to which no member can be added, and from which none can be removed.

5. Councils are convened when a Church desires recognition; when a Church asks for advice or help; when differences are to be composed; when men whose call of God is recognized by the Church are to be separated to the ministry; when pastors are to be inducted into office or removed; when a brother claims to be aggrieved by Church censure; when letters of dismission are unreasonably refused; when a Church or minister is liable to just censure; and when matters of common moment to the churches are to be considered.

6. The decision of a Council is only advisory. Yet, when orderly given, it is to be received as the voice of the churches, and an ordinance of God appointed in his word, with reverence and submission, unless inconsistent with the word of God. But Councils cannot overrule the acts of churches so far as they are within the Church, nor exercise government over them.

7. When, in any case of difference, a Council properly convened, whether mutual or *ex parte*, has given its judgment, neither party can demand that another Council be called, whether to re-examine the substance of the questions referred to the first, or to judge of its advice. An *ex parte* Council in such case is manifestly disorderly, and without warrant.

V. Fellowship should be withdrawn from any church which is untrue to sound doctrine, either by renouncing the faith, or continuing to hear a teacher declared by Council to be heretical; or which gives public scandal to the cause of Christ; or which wilfully persists in acts which break fellowship. When one Church finds such acts in another, it should admonish, and, if that fail, invite a Council to examine the alleged offence.

VI. Conferences of churches are allowable and profitable; but they hear no appeals, give no advice, and decide no question of Church or ministerial standing.

IV.—OF THE MINISTRY.

I. The ministry includes all men called of God to that work, and orderly set apart by ordination.

II. When ordination of a pastor is to be performed, the Church in which he is

to bear office invites a Council to examine as to faith, grace, and ability, that, if he be approved, they may extend the hand of fellowship. If the ordination be in view of any other sphere of labor, the request for a Council ought to come from the Church of which he is a member.

III. A pastor dismissed does not cease to be a minister; but he cannot exercise any official act over a Church until orderly replaced in office, except when particularly invited by a Church.

IV. In case a pastor offend in such way that he should no longer be recognized as a minister, the Church should request a Council to examine the charges, and, if it find cause, to withdraw all fellowship from him, so that his ministerial standing shall cease to be recognized. If a minister who is not a pastor be the offender, the Church to which he belongs, or the Church nearest his residence, should take the same course.

V. Associations of ministers are useful for mutual sympathy and improvement. They can exercise no sort of authority over churches or persons, save to prescribe the rights and duties of their own membership. But common consent has recognized that their examination of candidates for introduction to the churches is a wise safeguard.

A communication from the Massachusetts Convention of Congregational Ministers was received through Rev. J. H. Means, its secretary, as follows:

To the Moderator of the "National Council of Congregational Churches."

DEAR SIR:—Will you please lay before the Council the following resolution, passed at a meeting of the "Massachusetts Convention of Congregational Ministers" held in Boston, May 31, 1865?—

"Resolved, That the 'National Council of Congregational Churches' to be held in this city on the 14th of June next, be respectfully requested to use such language in their procedures as a Trinitarian Congregational Council as shall recognize the fact that there are Unitarian and other Congregational churches in this Commonwealth.

Very respectfully, JAMES H. MEANS, *Scribe of the Convention.*

Dorchester, June 12, 1865.

On motion of Rev. Mr. Quint, of Massachusetts, it was referred to a special committee.

Council adjourned to meet at 3, P. M.

FRIDAY, 3, P. M.

Dr. Bacon's report was accepted, and referred to a special committee.

The Committee of Nominations reported the following committees, and their report was accepted and adopted, as follows:

Committee on the State of the Country—Rev. T. M. Post, D. D., Mo.; Hon. Seth May, Maine; Hon. James D. Bell, Vermont; Hon. Milan Harris, New Hampshire; Hon. A. C. Barstow, Rhode Island; Hon. Dudley R. Wheeler, Connecticut; Judge Henry Morris, Massachusetts; Rev. O. E. Daggett, D. D., New York; Rev. J. M. Holmes, New Jersey; Rev. Edward Hawes, Philadelphia; Dea. Abner H. Bryant, Delaware; Rev. Edwin Johnson, Maryland; Rev. J. A. Thome, Ohio; Rev. J. C. Webster, Illinois; Dea. A. Fish, Michigan; Hon. E. D. Holton, Wisconsin; Rev. A. B. Robbins, Iowa; Rev. C. S. Seccomb, Minnesota; Hon. S. C. Pomeroy, Kansas;

Rev. E. M. Lewis, Nebraska; L. P. Fisher, Esq., California; Rev. G. H. Atkinson, Oregon; Rev. W. Crawford, Colorado.

Committee on the Declaration of Faith—Rev. J. O. Fiske, Maine; Prof. D. J. Noyes, D. D., New Hampshire; Rev. N. Gale, D. D., Massachusetts; Rev. J. Eldridge, D. D., Connecticut; Rev. L. Swain, D. D., Rhode Island; Dr. A. G. Bristol, New York; Rev. J. C. Hart, Ohio; Dea. S. S. Barnard, Michigan; Rev. G. S. F. Savage, Illinois.

Committee on the Communication from the Massachusetts Convention of Congregational Ministers—Rev. A. H. Quint, Massachusetts; Rev. W. T. Eustis, Connecticut; Asa Freeman, Esq., New Hampshire.

Committee on the Platform of Church Government—Rev. J. P. Gulliver, Connecticut; Prof. S. Harris, D. D., Maine; Rev. N. Bishop, Vermont; Prof. E. A. Park, D. D., Massachusetts; Rev. J. G. Davis, New Hampshire; Rev. E. F. Burr, Connecticut; Rev. J. Leavitt, D. D., New York; Prof. S. C. Bartlett, D. D., Illinois; Rev. J. Guernsey, Iowa; Rev. C. C. Salter, Minnesota; Judge Lester Taylor, Ohio; Rev. J. S. Hoyt, Maryland; Rev. J. D. Liggett, Kansas.

Committee on Response to Foreign Delegates—Rev. L. Bacon, D. D., Connecticut; Rev. Henry Ward Beecher, New York; Rev. J. M. Sturtevant, D. D., Illinois; Rev. Rufus Anderson, D. D., Massachusetts; Hon. J. B. Walker, D. D., Michigan.

The Committee on the Evangelization of the West and South reported by Mr. Carrier, of Missouri, as follows:

EVANGELIZATION IN THE WEST AND SOUTH.

The subject on which this committee is required to report presents itself to their minds under two distinct aspects, each of which will properly and almost necessarily, in a greater or less degree, engage the attention of the National Council.

Foremost meets us the great fact, which has been a sublime characteristic of our whole history as a people, that our population is always spreading itself over vast regions hitherto unoccupied by civilized man, and requiring the unceasing activity of all Christian people to accompany the emigrant to the wilderness with Christian instruction, and make the institutions and influences of the religion of Christ coextensive with our physical civilization.

To this fact, at the moment when we are called together to consider the greatest crisis in our nation's history, is added another of a still more solemn and momentous import,—that over one-half of our hitherto peopled territory, Christian institutions, though once existing in a greater or less degree of purity and efficiency, have been corrupted by slavery, and well-nigh obliterated by the ravages of war connected with the slaveholders' rebellion.

Regions of country larger than a great European empire are thus left in moral desolation, imposing on the Christian people of our nation the imperative and most urgent duty of building again in these waste places the institutions of a Christian civilization.

In this view of the home missionary work now devolved upon us, there is nothing denominational. It appeals to the whole American Church, and to every American Christian, simply as such.

But there is another aspect of the subject, which is not without its importance, and which we believe the National Council cannot altogether disregard.

We are as sure that God chose and called the early fathers of New England to

be the founders of this nation as we are that he chose Abraham to be the founder of his ancient people. They were men whom he had trained and qualified for the work to which they were appointed. And it ought not to be assumed without proof, that the peculiar conception of the Church which they brought with them to the shores of New England, and which was the seed from which have sprung all the churches represented in this Council, had no value in the estimation of the Divine Architect of our national edifice. This Council is bound by the most solemn obligations rightly to estimate the value of that unique conception, and to recommend to the churches such a system of home evangelization as shall fully recognize its importance as a universal and permanent element of American society.

During a considerable portion of our history, our home missionary arrangements have been such as apparently to concede that the Congregational idea of the Church was of no especial value,—well enough in New England, where it was already established, but, west of the Hudson for the most part inapplicable and impracticable. If that view was sound and just, then all effort to plant distinctively Congregational churches in the new regions of our country is worse than useless. If Congregationalism has no mission except to add one to the number of religious sects which divide and distract the household of faith, then far better confine itself within the limits of New England, and consign at once all its emigrant population to the care of those centralized Church governments which always stand ready to receive and assimilate them. But if the Congregational conception of the Church is true and precious,—if it is as well fitted to all latitudes and longitudes as to New England and is really an important element of American civilization, and of the brighter and better ages of the promised future,—then these Congregational churches are bound to be true to their fundamental principles. In this system of home evangelization they are bound to put forth their strength not only to accompany our emigrant population with the gospel of Christ, but to plant the Church, after the conception of the Pilgrim Fathers, wherever they make their home on the borders of the wilderness.

We trust the Council will have in view both these aspects of the case, in all the advice it may give to the churches.

In order to present a survey of our home missionary work with as much clearness as possible, we shall divide it into four parts.

First. Those portions of the West and North-west in which numerous churches have been already planted by our missionary efforts, many of which are still dependent, in part, on missionary funds for their support.

Second. Certain districts of the same States in which our missionary efforts have hitherto been attended with little success, and in which few churches are now receiving our aid.

Third. The new States and Territories of the West and North-west, toward which the tide of emigration is now setting, and is likely to flow in the immediate future.

Fourth. The States of the South and South-west which have been the principal theater of the great rebellion.

Of the *first* of these divisions the committee have little to say; not because the work of evangelization in that section of our field is complete, nor because what remains to be done is not vastly important, but only because the condition of other sections of the field is so critical and their claims so urgent. In respect to these more favored parts of our home missionary field, it should not be forgotten that there yet "remaineth much land to be possessed." The prominent centres of influ-

ence are for the most part occupied. The towns and villages along the thoroughfares of travel and traffic are generally supplied with gospel ministrations. But in the wide intervals between the railroads, and remote from the villages, a great majority of the population is beyond the influence of the churches we have planted, and is very inadequately supplied with religious privileges. Unless this rural population is brought more directly under gospel influences, and their children and youth are furnished with better opportunities for Christian education, we have great reason to fear the results which must follow. These wide fields, neglected, will become moral wastes, whose population will have no sympathy with the sentiments and institutions which have been the glory of our land.

To meet the wants of this part of our field, Sabbath schools, prayer meetings, family visitation, and colportage, ought to be sustained by the voluntary efforts of the self-denying men and women of adjacent churches. But, in addition to this instrumentality, we need a class of missionaries who go forth, not to seek eligible settlement in a community that is prepared to welcome and support them, but who, in the spirit of Paul, are willing to build where no man has yet laid a foundation.

There is scarce a county, even in the most favored portion of the North-west, that does not contain waste places which would repay the best religious culture we could bestow upon them.

The *second* division embraces large portions of Southern Illinois and Indiana, and probably, also, important districts in other States, with which the committee are less acquainted.

In these districts, so far as the knowledge of the committee extends, our home missionary efforts in the past have been crowned with little success, and at present, and for several years just past, we are scarcely attempting anything. They are passed by as fields for which, at present, little or nothing can be done. But they are not passed by because there is no need of doing anything for them.

It may be said that *other* denominations have the ground, and therefore for us, as Congregationalists, there is no room.

If other denominations do have the ground, they occupy it most inefficiently and unsatisfactorily. The people are not taught. The Sabbath is not made a day of religious rest and instruction. Ignorance, both of things secular and divine, widely prevails. In all these respects a state of things exists which cannot extensively prevail in our country, without disqualifying us to continue long a free people. The truth of the case is, that the districts in question are not in such a sense pre-occupied by other denominations as to relieve us from the obligation of further effort, until, by a fair experiment, it is proved that there is nothing more which we can do.

If our home missionary effort must be limited to the organization of churches from materials found ready to our hands, and to the aiding of churches so formed till they become self-sustaining, then it is difficult to see what more can be done for these districts than we are now doing. But why must our efforts be circumscribed to such limits? Why should we wait till some Church or community is ready to invite a missionary to labor with them, and to assume a part of the responsibility of his support? Why should we not rather send forth into such districts devoted men, with their support fully guaranteed, to labor where they can find a field, and to preach Christ where they can find hearers,—leaving it to their judgment to bestow their labors where the best results are to be expected, and to organize churches where there is promise of permanence and usefulness? That in this way sinners can be converted to Christ, and churches founded and multiplied which will

prove blessings to generations yet unborn, no believer in the adaptation and power of the gospel is at liberty to doubt.

In this section of which we are speaking, there are certain points of great and growing importance, where the population is already large and is rapidly increasing; but religious people are few, and religious privileges scarce and meagre. At such points, the committee believe, missionaries should at once be stationed and sustained, till they can gather around them congregations able to support them. Houses of worship should also be provided in such fields, either wholly by the Congregational Union, or partly by them, and partly by such contributions as liberal men on the spot are willing to make.

Enterprises thus commenced should be adequately sustained till they can stand alone. It would perhaps be invidious and unwise to name particular places which should be thus occupied. But the committee are of the opinion that places may be found in these districts where enterprises of this sort have already been delayed years too long. Until such efforts have been made and have failed, it is the judgment of the committee that the conclusion is premature, that nothing can be done for these districts. Till such attempts are made, the few brethren now scattered over these regions, and struggling almost alone against prejudice and abounding wickedness, will not cease to feel and to lament their lack of the earnest and efficient coöperation of the churches in more favored sections of the country.

Our *third* division of the field consists of those new States and Territories toward which the tide of emigration is now setting in great force.

It will be no easy matter for the members of the National Council to bring their minds up to a conception of the vastness and urgent importance of this field of Christian effort.

North of the south line of Kansas extended to the Pacific, and west of the Mississippi, excluding Missouri, there is an area of territory belonging to the United States of one million three hundred thousand square miles. Embraced in this area are the States of Iowa, Minnesota, Kansas, Oregon, Nevada, and a part of California, and the Territories of Nebraska, Dacotah, Colorado, Utah, Washington, Idaho, and Montana. Within these limits are four-tenths of the entire territory of the United States, equal to twenty times the area of New England, twenty-six times that of the State of New York, and one hundred and sixty times that of Massachusetts.

In 1860, the above States and Territories had a population of one million three hundred and eighty-five thousand one hundred and fifty three, which now undoubtedly exceeds two millions. Until 1859, the population was confined mostly to the States on the Mississippi and the Pacific, and those parts of Kansas and Nebraska contiguous to the Missouri River. The whole mountain region, aside from the Mormon settlements in Utah, was uninhabited, and to a great extent unexplored. Since that time many thousands have made houses, either temporary or permanent, in the mountains; and four new Territories have been organized since 1861 along the mountain ranges. The great Platte Valley, stretching eastwardly from the mountains to the Missouri River, a distance of five or six hundred miles, has become an immense thoroughfare of travel and transportation to the mountain territories and Pacific States. This results, in a great measure, from the discovery of the precious metals, in various localities, over a large extent of country. This first caused the settlement of California, and is now with equal rapidity peopling the fastnesses of the Rocky Mountains. Wherever gold has been found, cities and villages are springing up with marvellous rapidity. There is no longer any doubt as to the

richness and inexhaustibleness of the gold deposits in these regions. And as gold has always proved a mighty motive power, we may infer with certainty, that with increasing facilities for reaching the mining localities, with improved machinery for obtaining the precious metals, and with the aid of the surplus capital of the Eastern States, the tide of emigration will increase in volume from year to year. The vast agricultural regions of Kansas, Nebraska, and Iowa, will find a remunerative market for their productions in this mining region. Thus the one will help the other, and both will develop together.

Such are the elements of growth and progress which this wide region contains within itself; and we cannot doubt it will soon be occupied with a multitudinous population. The foundations of those future States are now being laid, and their character and influence will, to a great extent, be determined by these early beginnings.

Another very material fact, in its bearings on the growth of these new States and Territories, is the construction of the great Union Pacific Railroad. Chartered by Congress, and liberally endowed by the General Government, this road is to connect the Missouri River with the Pacific Ocean, carrying the facilities for travel and commerce through all the vast interior. This work is actually in process of construction at both ends of the line. And such is the influence of railroads in developing the resources of a country, in stimulating enterprises, increasing the value of property, and contributing to the growth of towns and cities, that we doubt not the completion of this road to the mining region will, in a brief period, quadruple its population, while at the same time it will add greatly to the population and wealth of the Missouri and Mississippi Valleys.

Emigration to the mountains tends strongly to concentrate in cities, thus affording greater facilities for preaching the gospel, and rendering delay in sending it more perilous. This population is enterprising and energetic, and ready to aid liberally in the support of the gospel and in building houses of worship. And yet they are exposed to many and peculiar temptations, and without the influence of the gospel they are exceedingly exposed to the worst vices which corrupt society.

Among the inhabitants of these new States and Territories are not a few members of Congregational churches, and many sons and daughters of New England, who love her simple Church polity, and believe it better fitted to develop and elevate man than any other. From the "*Congregational Quarterly*" of January, 1865, we learn that these numerous States and Territories, with their two millions of people, had, one year ago, two hundred and seventy Congregational churches, with an aggregate membership of a little more than ten thousand. They had also one hundred and eighty-two ministers, either supplying these churches, or laboring in new settlements where churches were not yet organized. In the four mountain Territories and the State of Nevada we have, by report, but three churches and an equal number of ministers. Yet the population to-day probably exceeds two hundred thousand, with the certain prospect of a very large increase.

It seems to the committee that this portion of our home missionary field ought to be most seriously considered by all the churches represented in this Council. Here is a call for new zeal and increased efficiency in the prosecution of the home missionary work, in order to carry it forward upon a scale commensurate with the vastness of the field to be cultivated.

The other portion of the home missionary field, which demands our attention, embraces the States that have just been redeemed from slavery, and are thus opened to a pure gospel, and to churches founded on the principles of Congregational freedom.

In the survey of this field, the first feature which arrests our attention is the peculiar condition of the four millions of people now emerging from slavery into manhood, and the light and liberty of the sons of God. Deprived hitherto of all opportunities for education, they now hunger and thirst after learning. Never before did any people manifest such eagerness to acquire the rudiments of education and the knowledge of God's Word. In Virginia, North and South Carolina, and along the banks of the Mississippi, they began early in the war to come within our lines, and were immediately provided with schools and teachers by the American Missionary Association. In the progress of the war, this work has continually grown in magnitude and importance, until, by the overthrow of the rebellion, the whole colored population of the South are soon to be brought within the reach of Christian teachers and missionaries. Never was a missionary field more inviting. The soil is rich and mellow, and all prepared for the "good seed of the kingdom." Blessed are they that shall so cultivate this field as to reap the rich harvest of which it is capable.

How far the way is open for home missionary labors among the white people of the South, the committee is unable to speak with much definiteness, for the want of accurate information. But we rejoice to know that hostile armies no longer overrun those States. The rebellion is crushed; and the way is prepared for a thorough and accurate survey of the moral desolation which slavery and war have left in their track. Let such survey speedily be made, and the result laid before the churches. In the meantime, the following facts, reported from certain portions of the field which have been longer under Federal control, and therefore better known, may be taken as specimens of the whole.

Missouri, in its general condition and history, may be taken as representing, in the main, the region of country under consideration, and is, in position, territory, and population, no inconsiderable part of it. In 1860 it had the largest white population of any of the slave States, and is in territory larger than the whole of New England, and much richer in natural resources. But from its earliest settlement slavery has been there, paralyzing its energies, depressing its industry, corrupting its politics, perverting its theology, and poisoning the whole surrounding atmosphere. From this blighting curse the State is now delivered by a war undertaken in the interest of slavery, and having for its object its perpetuation, and lasting domination over a continent.

While emancipation in Missouri is a consequence of the war, it is a consequence wrought out through conviction, — a radical change in the opinions and feelings of the people. It is not the result of military coercion operating upon the elections. It rests on the deliberate choice of the people, ascertained through the ballot box, and that, too, by a most decisive and significant majority. "An ocean of changed thought and feeling" has rolled over the State in these last four years. And what has happened in Missouri in this respect, we believe will be found, to a considerable extent, to be true in the other slave States.

Missouri came into the Union in a convulsive struggle that shook the nation. New England protested; but her protest was unavailing, and for the time slavery triumphed. This was forty-five years ago. On the eleventh day of January last, she was born again, amid the rejoicings and congratulations of millions of freemen from the Atlantic to the Pacific.

In January, 1852, there was not a Congregational Church in this State. Nine years later, at the outbreak of the slaveholders' rebellion, there were two, and the only two in the slave States, — one at St. Louis, and one at Hannibal, both situated

on the eastern boundary line of the State, and together containing scarcely more than three hundred members. At this date ten are reported, and the door is wide open for the planting of as many more as Christian zeal and enterprise may elect. Old temples and altars have been thrown down. The priests of slavery, with their followers, are scattered and gone, or are fast going. The society of Missouri is no longer suited to their tastes. They prefer a hiding-place anywhere else to the scene of their former pride, where all is now so changed, and where the friends of the Union and the enemies of slavery are in the popular ascendant.

What has been said indicates, in general, the state of things in Missouri. To a great extent, except in a few counties, it is, in respect to religious organizations, a mighty waste. We give an example or two, by way of illustration:—Jefferson City, the capital of the State, is situated on the south bank of the Missouri River, one hundred and twenty-five miles west of St. Louis, with which it is connected by railway. It has a population of about four thousand. In 1861 it had four churches, representing as many different denominations; viz., Presbyterian (O. S.), Baptist, Episcopal, and Methodist (South). All these churches are now, or were as late as March last, closed. No services have been held in the Presbyterian Church for four years, and only occasionally, if at all, in the three others during the same period. A Methodist Church (North) has in the mean time been organized, and a small house of worship erected, of dimensions to accommodate, perhaps, a hundred and fifty persons. This is the only Protestant house of worship now in use in the capital of the State of Missouri, although it has been constantly within the Federal lines, and in daily connection with St. Louis.

If such a state of things exist in the protected capital, it is not to be imagined that religious institutions are in a more satisfactory condition where bushwhackers and guerillas have roamed at large.

In a growing town of some two thousand inhabitants, on the Pacific Railroad, West of Jefferson City, no Church organization or house of worship exists. An agent of the American Missionary Association visited it last summer, and was much encouraged by the friendly temper of the people, and their readiness to hear. His chief difficulty during his short stay was to find a room large enough to accommodate those who wished to attend upon his services.

It is believed that these are only specimens tending to give a true idea of the condition of a large part of the State. And, as far as the committee can judge from the information in their possession, they believe a very similar state of things exists in all the States, which, at the outbreak of the rebellion, were under the controlling influence of slavery. Religious organizations existing previous to the rebellion are overturned. The Church, in its various denominations, was as thoroughly pervaded and corrupted by slavery as the State, and as completely involved in the rebellion, and consequently has been equally dissolved and destroyed by the overthrow of slavery and the rebellion. And, if the work of political reconstruction is to tax the mind and heart of the nation to the utmost, the reconstruction of religious society in the South is a work no less difficult and momentous. If the restoration of government in the South on the basis of universal freedom is the trial question of our political institutions, the restoration of religious society on the basis of the gospel of Christ is no less the trial question of our Protestant Christianity.

No graver question at present demands the attention of the churches which we represent, than the inquiry, "What part in this mighty work belongs to those men and those churches which adhere to that conception of the Church which found its

way to this continent in the cabin of the May-flower?" The committee certainly is not prepared fully to answer this question. But to say that in all this Congregationalism is to have no share seems to us like saying that the principles of that polity are not worthy of what our Pilgrim Fathers suffered for them, nor of the tenacity with which we hold them. If we have a conception of the Church, which must be laid aside before we can enter upon the greatest Christian enterprise of the nineteenth century, the sooner we discard it everywhere the better, that we may take up some other polity which is capable of universal application.

In reconstructing religious society at the South, it seems to the committee as most obviously important to adopt a policy analogous to that pursued in military affairs. There are many cities and large towns which are as truly strategic points in our moral as in our carnal warfare. No time should be lost in taking possession of them in the name of our Great Captain, and in erecting in them fortresses of evangelical truth furnished with all the munitions of spiritual warfare. Persons who, in connection with the army, have had opportunity to study the South, testify on this point with great unanimity and earnestness.

Commencing at Cairo, Illinois, every considerable town on the Mississippi and its tributaries, quite down to the Gulf, should receive early and earnest attention.

At Memphis, an organization has already been effected under favorable auspices, and a self-sustaining Church established.

In New Orleans, a handful of men, noble and true, are already soliciting our cooperation. Congregational polity was once at home in Charleston, and in Savannah, and other parts of Georgia. Is it not our duty to make haste to rebuild what slavery has corrupted and destroyed?

In Wilmington, Norfolk, Richmond, Baltimore, and Washington, and doubtless in many less prominent cities of the Atlantic States of the South, we may soon expect openings for the introduction of a pure gospel, and the establishment of permanent religious institutions by our instrumentalities for home evangelization. In the prosecution of this great work, why should we not imitate the example of the apostolic age? The apostles of Christ were appointed to plant the Christian Church, not for the Roman Empire, but for the world; not for one age, but for all time. They began, indeed, at Jerusalem; but, as soon as they began to go abroad from that center, they hastened to the centers of that influence which controlled the world,—the cities that lay around the Mediterranean Sea. In them they preached the gospel and planted churches; and from Ephesus and Philippi and Thessalonica and Corinth and Rome, the gospel spread into the surrounding populations.

The Valley of the Mississippi is the Mediterranean region of this continent, and in the great centers of influence in this valley our work must begin. These strategic points must be speedily garrisoned for Christ; and it must be done by hands that are clear of all participation in the great rebellion.

To no portion of the Christian people of the United States does the call to engage in this great religious enterprise come more imperatively than to the churches represented in this Council. In this connection, the committee deem it proper to call attention to the following passage from Bancroft's History of the United States, volume i., pp. 467-8: "I have dwelt the longer on the character of the early Puritans of New England," says the historian, "for they are the parents of one-third of the white population of the United States. In the first ten or twelve years,—and there was never afterwards any considerable increase from England,—we have seen that there came over twenty-one thousand two hundred persons, or four thousand families. Their descendants are now (1834) not far

from four millions. Each family has multiplied, on the average, to one thousand souls. To New York and Ohio, where they constitute one-half of the population, they have carried the Puritan system of free schools; and their example is spreading it through the civilized world."

If this calculation be brought down to the present time, it will be found that the descendants of the early Puritans of New England now number about ten millions, and that they have not only carried the Puritan system of free schools to New York and Ohio, but that they have carried these, and all the ideas and institutions of a society founded on the doctrine of the equal rights of man, beyond the Great Lakes, beyond the Mississippi and the Missouri, to the banks of the Columbia and the shores of the Pacific. It is patent to every observant eye, that that great current of opinion which made the lamented Lincoln President of the United States, and overturned the iniquitous system of slavery, and with it the whole structure of Southern society, followed everywhere along the ramifications of this stream of New England emigration. It is no wonder that the rebels and their Northern allies proposed to leave New England out in the cold. New England ideas were found utterly incompatible with the continued existence of slavery.

What then so fit as that, in reconstructing society at the South on the basis of freedom and Christianity, large room should be given to the spirit, the principles, and the modes of organization, of these Puritan Fathers? It is not the business of the committee to urge this matter. But we religiously believe and honestly affirm, that, if our Puritan Fathers had brought to New England a centralized Church government, they never could have exerted their mighty and benignant influence on the destinies of their country and the world. And we can assign no reason why their ideas are not just as precious and just as potent in restoring society at the South as they were in constructing it in New England. Bible principles never grow old, and their value and their adaptation undergo no change.

The committee cannot refrain from expressing their full conviction, that, in this work of religious reconstruction, an indispensable condition of success is our hearty recognition of our equal brotherhood with the colored man, and our earnest endeavor to raise him to the full enjoyment of all the privileges of the gospel. God has overturned society in the South for the crime of trampling on the rights of the negro; and let no one think to restore it, without fully recognizing his equal rights with the white man to citizenship, both under our government and in the kingdom of God.

He who is no respecter of persons will surely frown on all such attempts, however cunningly conceived and zealously prosecuted.

Such, then, is the vast work to which the providence of God calls the churches and people represented in this Council. And what shall we say of the machinery needed to accomplish it? On this point we have but little to suggest. We see no necessity for any new organization. The American Home Missionary Society and the American Missionary Association, those noble institutions through which we have been accustomed to act in the work of home evangelization, seem in the good providence of God to be raised up especially for this very time. They have a prestige, an experience, and an adaptation, that commend them to universal confidence.

The American Home Missionary Society, formerly the organ of another denomination as well as of our own, without its own seeking or ours, has been released from any obligation which would have restrained its action in promoting the Church polity of our Puritan Fathers. In the progress of events, the way seems now prepared for the universal acceptance of the anti-slavery principles which the American

Missionary Association has always maintained. Both societies have therefore an open field, and both enjoy largely the confidence and sympathy of the churches.

Nor do we find any difficulty in recognizing the respective spheres of these two societies. For while no separation is or can be made by a geographical line, and still less by any invidious distinction of color, we yet discover in the past labors of the American Missionary Association, among the colored people of America, the West India Islands, and Africa, and in the ready facility with which it has adapted itself to the peculiar condition of this people at the South, an instrumentality providentially prepared for their evangelization. We therefore commend to the churches this association for the work at the South, with special reference to the Freedmen.

The American Home Missionary Society, on the contrary, is limited by its Constitution to one specific work of aiding destitute communities and feeble churches to sustain the preaching of the gospel. For this distinctive work it will find comparatively little preparation among the Freedmen; but its glorious history, endearing it to the affections of all the churches, points it out still as the chosen instrumentality for its specific home missionary work in all parts of our country, — in the South, as far as the door may be opened, as well as in the North and Great West.

With these limitations of special adaptation and constitutional provision, each organization has a distinct work; and the field is so large, and the relation of the two societies so friendly, that each can expend its utmost energies without rivalry and collision.

In this connection we recognize the important mission of the Congregational Union, but forbear discussion of it here, since its claims are to be submitted outside of this report.

It is not, then, new machinery which we want, but to give greatly increased efficiency to the machinery which we have, by supplying a vastly greater moving power. The great question before this body is, How can this be done? It is perfectly obvious that our missionary societies cannot carry out the policy recommended in this report, without a large increase of their resources. They will need a yearly income of not less than half a million of dollars. Our resources for Church building, and all the other auxiliary instrumentalities, will need also to be proportionably increased.

How can such an increase be obtained? That is the question of this occasion. One thing the committee will suggest in answer to this inquiry. We must determine in good solemn earnest to do the work whereunto God has called us. No man who has borne a part in the work of evangelization in any of our new States and Territories within the last ten or fifteen years can have failed to see, and with sickness of heart to feel, that the American churches, after all, are not half in earnest in this work. In times of prevailing worldly prosperity, men of the noblest endowments of mind and heart, who have given themselves to this sacred cause in the true spirit of self-sacrifice, have found themselves left, like soldiers in the field, without arms, without ammunition, and without rations. If this state of things is to continue, the hope of accomplishing the glorious work which now invites our efforts will prove utterly fallacious and delusive. The spirit of Christian self-sacrifice must not be confined to a few missionaries, teachers, and colporters, while the thousands of our Israel dwell in their ceiled houses, and suffer the house of God to lie waste. If we enter on this enterprise with some such all-pervading earnestness as that with which we undertook the work of subduing the great rebellion, there will be no difficulty in obtaining the needful resources. It will be as it has been in the war. When men are needed, they can be had; and when money is wanted, it will be poured out like water.

In closing this Report, the committee present the following summary of the results to which they have come.

1. In addition to the work to which our Home Missionary Societies have, for the most part, confined their labors,—that of planting and fostering churches where materials are found ready to their hand for forming them,—there is an imperative necessity that able and devoted men should be sent to labor for Christ where no churches exist and no materials are ready for their formation. At whatever cost of men and money, the great centers of influence should at once be occupied by men divinely endowed for such a work; and their support should be drawn from missionary funds, till their congregations are able to sustain them.

2. That the time for efficient action is emphatically the present. In the West and North-west, our emigration is spreading itself over a field vaster than ever before; and immediate and most efficient action is necessary to overtake and keep pace with this ever-swelling tide of population, in founding the institutions of Christianity, learning, and freedom. In all the late domain of slavery, society is dissolved, ecclesiastical organizations are broken up or paralyzed. By their sanction of human chattelism, and their complicity with the rebellion, the churches have become utterly demoralized, and are like salt that has lost its savor. Church edifices and school-houses are abandoned, and in wide districts the institutions of education and religion have no practical existence. In all these regions, now or never is the time to arise, and build the temple of the Lord. If we neglect to occupy this inviting field of labor to which God now calls us, he may, we trust he will, raise up others who will cultivate and possess it for him. But for us it will be an opportunity forever lost, a harvest season never to return.

3. We cannot perform our part in this work without a vast increase in earnestness, zeal, and self-denial in our churches. Without this, it will be impossible to command either the men or the money for the work. The resources and the strong young men of any community will always be where its heart is. If the heart of the Church is in the world, her sons and her wealth will be there also; and she will be as powerless in promoting the cause of Christ, at home or abroad, as Samson was to meet the Philistines when his locks were shorn.

Three questions the committee must leave unanswered, pressing, we trust, on the hearts of the National Council.

1. How can the requisite spirit of earnestness and self-consecration be imparted to the churches?

2. How can our young men be induced, by thousands, to consecrate their lives to this holy cause?

3. How can we raise the requisite pecuniary resources for a religious enterprise so vast, and so imperatively demanding immediate action?

The American Church is in much the same relation to this great crisis that our Government was to the rebellion at its outbreak. From whence will the Lord send deliverance?

WARREN CURRIER, JULIAN M. STURTEVANT, REUBEN GAYLORD, THOMAS E. BLISS, FLAVEL BASCOM,	}	<i>Committee.</i>
---	---	-------------------

This report was accepted, and referred to a special committee. Council adjourned with the Doxology.

FOURTH DAY; SATURDAY, JUNE 17, 9 A. M.

The Council was opened with prayer by the first Assistant Moderator, Hon. C. G. Hammond of Illinois.

The minutes of yesterday's sessions were read and approved.

The Committee on Business reported the following resolutions; viz.,

Resolved, That, for the correction and certification of the list of delegates to this Council, the roll shall be called by the scribe after the reading of the minutes on Monday morning, and members who do not answer to their names, or whose presence during the session is not attested by delegates, shall be stricken from the list.

Resolved, That, in making up the roll of members of this Council, the Committee on Credentials be requested and instructed to record the churches which they severally represent, and the residence of the delegates, both ministers and laymen; and that the Committee on Credentials be directed, when recording the names of members, to insert their Christian name in full.

The first resolution was amended so as to require the roll to be called at 12 o'clock at noon to-day, and that all not answering, or answered for, to-day, have an opportunity to answer the roll-call on Monday at 12 M., before being stricken from the list, and was so adopted.

The second resolution was laid upon the table.

On motion of Dr. Beechër, of Illinois, it was

Voted, That a committee be appointed from each State and Territory here represented to report in full the names of the pastors and delegates of such States and Territories present in the Council, and also the names of the associated churches from which they come.

The Business Committee reported the following resolution, which was adopted; viz., The Committee on "the work of Evangelization in the West and South, and in Foreign Lands," having omitted, through inadvertance, to report on the last-named topic,

Resolved, That a committee be appointed to report on the work of Evangelization in Foreign Lands.

The duty of facilitating the supply of pulpits in this city and vicinity by the services of members of this Council, to-morrow, was assigned to the Committee on Devotional Exercises.

The Committee on Credentials reported the following order; viz.,

That when a delegate has appeared and taken his seat in this Council, but vacates it before the close of its sessions, his alternate may occupy his place. This order was laid on the table.

The Chairman of the Committee of Arrangements notified the Council that Thursday next had been definitely fixed upon as the day on which the Council is invited to visit Plymouth Rock; and that the price of tickets to friends of the Council desiring to accompany them had been fixed at \$2.00, including a ticket to the collation.

The Committee on the subject of Ministerial Support reported by their chairman, Prof. Shepard, of Maine, as follows:

MINISTERIAL SUPPORT.

The Committee appointed to present to the Council the topic of Ministerial Support hereby report.

We find the most concise and comprehensive axiom on this subject,—uttered by

the Lord in connection with the sending-forth of the seventy disciples, — "The laborer is worthy of his hire." The laborer here pronounced upon is the servant of Christ, the minister and messenger of his gospel, the bearer of spiritual blessings to the lost race of man.

We have here a class of men set apart to a service deemed of vital importance to the welfare of the world; a class extending down the centuries; their permanence affirming their indispensableness. They are appointed and commissioned of God; consecrated to a single and peculiar service. That they may the most largely compass the benefits of this, they are set apart from the ordinary and gainful pursuits of life; all their capabilities held to and absorbed in a ministry of beneficence, — not to get good, but confer good.

We find the *order* and the principle in the opening of the Mosaic dispensation. First, The *separation* and *consecration* of the sons of Levi: "Behold, I have taken your brethren, the Levites, from among the children of Israel; to you they are given as a gift from the Lord." Secondly, The *obligation* on the part of the people to them. "Wherefore forsake not the Levite as long as thou livest upon the earth." Under the old and the new dispensation, the principle of service and support is the same, — a principle of support meant to conduce to the highest measure of service, and service the most effective in quality.

The *principle* of support to those who preach the gospel, the *obligation* to support those who consent to be separated to this service, we find put by the Apostle Paul in a peculiarly terse and satisfactory way, as is the manner of that apostle to put things — in a sort of closing-up fashion, as though the brief word he used held within itself the finality of all argument. He says, linking his conclusion with the arrangement divinely made ages before, "Even so hath the Lord ordained, that they which preach the gospel should live of the gospel." So far as *authority* can settle this principle, the arranging and commanding of the Supreme Lord settle the principle, that preachers derive their living from the people whom they serve.

There are *good reasons*, statements which come to the people with the *authority* of reason, why what the Saviour so explicitly enjoins should be done; viz., the laborer in this field have his hire; that the preacher of this gospel be furnished a living in it.

The first is, he is a *laborer*; what he does is labor. An apostle pronounces it a good work. Every undertaker of it, who with a soul of zeal aims at any tolerable fidelity in it, is satisfied of one thing, that it is *work*; work various, multiform, ever-crowding, never finished; reaching to the inmost sensibilities of life; drawing off its most ethereal quality, its finest capital. No man could bear the strain and intensity of this toil, only as he felt and was allowed to say, It is a *good* work.

2. It is a work which, to get ready to do, fit, qualified to do, takes years of laborious preparation, and expenditure in the preliminary, *exceeded* in no other human function, equalled by very few. It is a work which cannot be worthily done unless done by a class prepared by the discipline of study and grace; to them committed; themselves consecrated to it, and made responsible for it; their *life's* work, and all the work of life they are allowed to do,

3. It is a work most vital in its bearing upon all human interests. Society could hardly subsist without it. It has an essentially modifying influence upon all branches of industry; makes even the fields more prolific, the houses more tasteful and comfortable. It elevates and makes purer the whole course of morals; holds in check the wasteful propensities; inclines toward harmony the conflicting passions; advances the character in all worthy attributes; greatly quickens and strengthens the intellect; lifts the heart to the divine and heavenly; nullifies, or turns into positive benefit, the most formidable powers of evil.

It is admitted, because *demonstrated*, that the work of the minister adds largely to a people's worldly prosperity, to their literal enrichment; brings a gain in character, in happiness, in possession, in items of benefit innumerable, beyond the count of gold.

This is admitted, confessed to, by Christian people, that the support of these workers is a just due, enjoined by the Lord, earned by hard, exhausting, and invaluable service; yet their hire is kept back in part; as a general thing, they are not at all adequately paid; the average of pay is decidedly below a proper standard of compensation. This I may safely assume; no Christian mind will question it. There is no time to array facts in confirmation of this. They abound; are everywhere: they are humiliating; are positively disgraceful to our Christianity.

In some instances, this inadequate support arises from the *inability* of the people to do more. These discharge themselves from blame by doing what they can; *all* that they can. In other instances, and these probably the more common, the inadequate compensation for service grows out of a *prevalent low estimate of the value of the service*. It is service in the department of religion, which, as many conceive, if not wholly without cost, is proximately so. And men of this way of judging justify themselves in crowding all estimates in the direction of a cipher. The very economical argument is — The Master opened by giving himself. And then his salvation is put without price. And the men sent to dispense it are supposed to be above all self-seeking; bound to be mortified in all their desires; the whole living they aspire to is simply a partial deliverance from starvation. There is here furnished to the people a promising field for cheapening; and the minister's work is sometimes cheapened with a vengeance. If it were some other branch of service; stood in some likely or prolific connection with increase; had to do with hoarding money, rather than garnering souls; the standard of compensation and expenditure would be set higher at once; a larger price proffered and paid, because greater *value* is assigned to the service.

All the causes of depressed pay we cannot run over or even hint. *This low appreciation of the things of God* may be clearly set down as prominent among them. That there is ever downright *fraud*, the hire of *these laborers* kept back of fraud, we like not to think; we recoil from making so grave a charge: yet the eye that sees through all *may* see even this. We choose the rather to assume that the people do not consider; and that they will do better when they perceive the obligation in its true light, — will be disposed to do what is equitable and just, when they see what that is.

And what is it? What is the just measure of a minister's compensation or support?

This is a quantity we can state in no fixed formula of figures. It is a variable quantity; changes with times, circumstances, places. It is not pay for his work, as men in other callings are paid. It is not of course the highest pay his measure of talent is capable of commanding, — not the most liberal pay he may win by consenting to be the tool of competing churches, and swayed by their bids on his coveted gifts. He degrades the office, shows himself not worthy of the office, if he consents to forsake a place where God has put him; where he is largely useful; where generous hearts surround him, are ready to give him, actually *do* give him, all he needs; and attempts the perilous grade that promises to take him higher in the scale of emolument or notability.

We can fix the just measure of a minister's support only by the statement of a principle. It is that measure of support which conduces to the highest effectiveness in his work. *That* is an *average* quantity, a *medium* quantity. The flush of gain, the excitement of rolling up property, can only be damaging to him. The harassments of poverty will necessarily cramp and deaden the life of all noble endeavor. What meets the case is an easy competence; that he have what he needs to make him comfortable; to set him free from corroding anxiety; to give him a tone of assurance favorable to vigorous work; a

sense of manly independence ; a deliverance from a feeling of meanness ; from a subjected and cringing spirit, as one afraid to affirm and press obligation. We strike a conception of the quantity as that which puts him in the best condition for his work. On the one hand, it is that which does not, by largeness of emolument or any item of worldly attraction, draw by unworthy motives aspirants into this field ; and does not on the other hand, by rigor of place or unjust severities of treatment, repel from the office those who ought to enter it, and in this way deplete the ranks of competent Christian laborers.

This, then, the measure of support in the place or office ; that it is a position in which those who enter it are assisted, enabled by the compensation rendered, to live on a scale which shall be a full average, if not a little above the average, of the community they serve ; a position, therefore, in which they can assuredly live and be largely useful.

The reasons, in addition to those already given, for furnishing this measure of support, press on *interest, conscience, sensibility*. They so throng, that we can do little more than make a naked statement of them. The minister, then, should be thus equably supported.

1. That he *may be enabled to give himself wholly to his work*. This work is sufficient to tax all his powers and absorb all his time. Few men, for any reason, even for the necessities of a living, can go outside of it without detriment. Paul did ; but he is the grand exception and anomaly of the ages. The man who truly desires this work, so desires it that he will lay down all other work for this alone, will choose to make this his sole work ; and the savor of his example and the measure of his usefulness will turn almost entirely upon the singleness of his consecration to it.

2. That he may keep himself *in the best condition for his work* ; which means that he be not tempted to over-work by a necessity laid upon him to do other things ; which also means, that he be able to command the reasonable means of recuperation by diversion, travel, rest.

3. That he may *furnish himself with the indispensable helps to his work* ; that it be put in his power to purchase the books and otherwise, the materials of thought, argument, exposition ; whatever will feed and replenish his own mind, bring to it strength and opulence, and make it a storehouse of varied and exhaustless supply to the minds of the people. If the people but understood how solid and good books put into the hands of their minister find their terminus in themselves, they would load his arms and shelves with them, or give him the means to do it.

4. That he *may be respectable, and appear respectable*. In house, in furniture, in the dress and culture of his children, he must come up to a certain standard, or he drops in the public estimation and influence. If his bearing is mean, his words will be despised.

5. That *he may be honest*. To a just measure, he must be paid, that he may pay ; may stand in that pecuniary supremacy Paul commends in the words, "Owe no man anything." The minister should have the power of standing on this high vantage-ground, that there may be nothing between him and the hearts and the consciences of his people ; that he may enforce by word and deed all the claims of integrity, and lead the people to "love one another."

6. That he *may be liberal* ; take the lead ; be an example in Christian giving. One of his hardest functions everywhere will be to train his flock worthily to the grace of giving. No argument or eloquence of speech alone will do it, so terribly and deeply knotted and intrenched, even in *Christian* hearts often, is the lust of getting and laying up. He must lead the way, like that old hero of a weaponless fight, Gideon ; he must be in a condition to say to the people when they come together to deal blows against this master lust, so loth to die, — with Gideon to say, "Look on me, and do likewise." No one thing is so important to a minister's efficacy in this respect as that he be in a condition that will enable him thus to be an *example of giving* to the people.

7. That *he may have some prudent forecast and providing* for the loved ones he will be called to leave. Some may think faith will dispense with this; yet humanity demands this, that he leave something for the helpless behind.

8. That *the favor of the Master may be conciliated toward* his churches. Just and liberal in their treatment of the servants he sends to them, the like the style and measure of his dispensation to them. If it is a course of withholding on the part of any of the churches; a niggardly policy; depressing and grinding down the promulgers of this free and most generous gospel, making them compulsory patterns of self-denial, and fleshly mortification; then probably receive they, in the like, from the Divine source. It proves a ministry of leanness to them, on the principle that their reaping is according to their sowing. We have here doubtless the explanation of numberless parched and arid fields. It is a grand law of God's treatment — With the merciful he will show himself merciful, with the froward he will show himself froward. And, we may add, with the stingy he will show himself stingy.

9. There is another reason for yielding an equable support, according as we have defined it; viz., if it *be not done*, ministers *will not be* to be supported. It is possible that there be a treatment of them that shall not only diminish, but threaten to run the class out.

Christian men, appointed to do God's service, will stand forth ready to bear cheerfully any severity of lot God may appoint for them. But the stint and the mean withholding of *men*, they will not so degrade themselves as to put up with that; but with a self-respecting independence will they be tempted to say to these men too niggardly to pay in support of God's free gospel of saving, in the words of Paul, "Thy money perish with thee." Any hardship God ordains in the fulfilment of his commission, let the servant of this gospel rejoice and glory to bear. Let no servant shun the service because of the hardship. Be this ever and supremely the motive which draws into this field the highest and noblest talent, — *the privilege to serve Christ* in the ministry of his gospel, though in the want of all things.

When the naked work or office ceases to have the power to draw the men, then it is a function, an office, which can no longer find men; the absence of them being proof that the race of *fit* men no longer exists.

The above constitute what one rightly calls the economic reason why the Church should worthily support its ministry.

There is another and higher we have previously touched, which is the moral reason — that which shows it to be eternally right that the preacher of the gospel be compensated for his service. It is the reason the Lord gives, "The laborer is worthy of his hire;" a statement of principle which makes it infinitely just that he be paid for the value of services rendered — services which are literally invaluable, lying beyond the power of money to measure.

We come to another department of the topic; viz.,

The mode of payment. This is of importance as well as the measure. It is a satisfaction to the minister, after he has wrought and deserved and earned, to receive what he needs in equity, as matter of just due. He knows, and the people also, that it is matter of just debt. He feels a more manly sentiment when it comes regularly, punctually, cheerfully, as what is due him. If it is looked upon, in any sense, as charity; if his support is made by contract, inadequate through avarice, when the people are abundantly able to make it a sufficiency, and then they supplement, piece out the stipend, for *this reason* deficient, by donations in visits and otherwise; though in the end he may receive as much, yet the *mode* is somewhat degrading to him, — degrading that he has to take as a gift what is so ascendantly his due. If the people choose to make gifts to their ministers, over and above a just compensation for service, it is all amiable; honorable to both parties. If

they put to him as a *present* what they owe to him as a *debt*, it is not honorable to either of the parties. These sunny-side chapters, found weekly in our religious papers, if *established* as the people's mode in part of paying their minister, then brood they over the future of our Zion in most ominous shape. They are admissible only as setting the pastor by an emergency, which comes by a *temporary* rise of prices.

We lay down this as indispensable in the arrangement of the minister's support; viz., that *there be a legally constituted corporate body responsible for his support*. Then, if *individuals* fail, this body stands as good; held according to the contract. We deprecate the practice of bringing the minister at the beginning, and annually ever after, to the test of a subscription-paper, the figures of which, in the putting-down, are the votes for his call or his continuance. The failure to cancel any of these subscriptions, in some cases, is set to the minister's account; made detractions from his stipulated pay.

We like the theory, and are clear in commending it where circumstances favor, — *the theory of no individual property* in the house of God. All contributions to build it are gifts to the Church for this purpose; the house held by the Church for God and his worship, and the minister's support provided for by the rentals of the place. This comes as near to a free Church as is feasible or desirable. It is desirable, and important as a means of spiritual benefit from the service, that all responsible members of the body be instructed, encouraged, and expected to bear *some part, help in some form*, of payment — the body to meet the expenses of sustaining the worship and ordinances of God.

It is a principle most will admit, that the *primal obligation to support the minister* lies with the Church he serves, in such form as they may choose; each Church, as a general thing, held solely responsible for its own minister. While this is valid and true, it is also true that the able churches are *bound to help support* the weak — the ministers of the weak churches. Here lies the argument and appeal for home missions.

Another point, which may not be passed in this discussion, is *the minister's function and responsibility in the matter of his own support*. It being a contract between him and the people, of course there is a side for him to fulfil.

The main consideration here is that he do his work to the extent of his ability — all he has consecrated to the service of God in promoting the highest interests of his people. His right to a support as a minister depends upon the fact that he is a minister *only*.

As another item of duty resting upon him, let him *keep young and fresh*, that he may do the work a long time; keep young by continuing to grow intellectually as well as spiritually, even up to length of years. For every man has a claim to be held as young so long as he keeps growing. Fresh and full of fire, let him make the people forget that he is an old man, even when he is beginning to be one. Let the people also bear with the offence which their minister cannot wholly avoid taking on; viz., some of the outer signs of age. There is a wrong done to some of the best and most useful men of the pulpit, by a judgment or demand which cuts short, many years, their term of service, and takes away their breath — men in the acme of their strength and their usefulness but for the inevitable signs just alluded to. Moses at eighty said, "I cannot speak, for I am a child." The people now sometimes reverse his plea against speaking, and hurl it into the face of the veteran — you cannot speak — *not fit to speak* because you are growing old. "Milk for babes," says Paul in substance. The people say now, Babes to dispense the milk. There is coming to be almost an insane demand for *young* ministers; nearer to boys, the better. Still there is another and a conflicting taste abroad, which demands that they pause a while at Jericho. For we have come to a time when a man's hair is an essential part of him.

Another item in the minister's responsibility in his own support is that he be a man and his wife a woman of *stable and frugal ideas* as to *what constitutes* a living. While

they should not be subjected to live meanly, they should be willing to live moderately; in that medium condition which doubtless is the most conducive to comfort, respectability, and usefulness. It is the case with some ministers, that they are not supported because they are not supportable; good ministers in most regards, only they have not the faculty to come down to a minister's stipend of living. They are given to fancies and fashions which overleap all the regular estimates of the people. It is important that the minister regulate and adjust his expenditures to a tolerably fixed scale, in order that the people may know right along the probable limit of their responsibility in this regard.

There is still another department of the minister's function in his own support. It is *incumbent on him to educate his people to integrity* and benevolence; make them honest and benevolent. The first done, they will pay as they agree. The second done, they will agree to furnish him a reasonable amount.

The minister, to do this part of his work thoroughly, must be on his guard against the prevalent fallacy, that converting men, making them Christians, *of course* makes them honest and benevolent. Frequent and painful facts shows that it does not *of course*. Christians we must believe we have, and, notwithstanding the grace of God, not strictly, purely, honest; certainly not free to give and do for the cause of God and the saving of men.

Let the minister put in clearly and *specifically* truth, precept, instruction, for the grace of God to vivify. And let him persist to do this, and be still more faithful and specific, till the conscience is brought up to a point of enlightenment and measure of fidelity, where, so far as his flock is concerned, not only himself, but all other men, will be paid what is due to them, if to pay is possible.

Doctrine, duty also, line upon line, on the other *cardinal virtue*, benevolence; the disposition to disburse freely for all good objects. To the doctrine, the instruction, precept, which here too must lead, let practice be made to follow closely and perpetually. Nothing like giving to make people love giving.

There is a hurtful fallacy here to be guarded against; that giving depletes the resources, and so reduces the ability of a Christian man or body. The minister in a circle of small means, in a parish of limited strength, is liable to reason directly wrong; viz., thus: If I am to be supported, I must be on the watch, and use my influence to keep the money mainly at home. He does so, and he takes the high road to starvation. And he deserves to.

Let him change his policy, and throw wide open his heart; take into it the whole world, and make it his glad rule to help, personally and through his people, all good objects; thus lead his people in acts of giving, till they attain to a hearty love of giving, that minister's support has a basis equal to the strength of two Gibaltars; one the integrity of his people, the other the benevolence of the people.

GEORGE SHEPARD,	} Committee.
CHARLES G. HAMMOND,	
W. A. BUCKINGHAM,	
SAMUEL HOLMES,	
DOUGLAS PUTNAM,	

This report was accepted, and reference ordered to a special committee.

On motion of the chairman of the Committee of Nominations, the following persons were added to the committee to whom had been referred the report of the Committee on a Declaration of Faith: viz., Prof. Harris, of Maine; Prof. Park, of Massachusetts; Prof. Lawrence, of Connecticut; Prof. Porter, of Connecticut; Prof. Haven, of Illinois; Prof. Fairchild, of Ohio.

A half-hour was spent in devotional exercises.

The Committee on Nomination presented the following lists of committees, which were approved by the Council; viz.,

Committee to whom was referred the Report on Evangelization at the West and South—Rev. S. W. S. Dutton, D. D., Connecticut; Hon. Samuel Williston, Massachusetts; Rev. B. P. Stone, D. D., New Hampshire; Rev. L. H. Parker, Illinois; Rev. D. Cleary, Wisconsin; Hon. F. O. Parish, Ohio; Rev. J. Guernsey, Iowa; Rev. W. Crawford, Colorado; Jacob Bacon, Esq., California; Rev. George H. Atkinson, Oregon.

Committee to make out the Roll of this Council—Rev. E. Beecher, D. D., Illinois; Dea. E. F. Duren, Maine; Rev. J. K. Young, D. D., New Hampshire; Rev. E. H. Byington, Vermont; Rev. J. W. Harding, Massachusetts; Rev. W. Barrows, Massachusetts; Rev. R. C. Learned, Connecticut; Rowland Hazard, Esq., Rhode Island; Rev. L. Smith Hobart, New York; Rev. Edwin Hawes, Pennsylvania; Rev. Edwin Johnson, Maryland; Rev. S. Kelsey, Delaware; Rev. A. S. Kedzie, Michigan; Rev. S. H. Emery, Illinois; Rev. J. W. Healey, Wisconsin; Rev. J. A. Reed, Iowa; Rev. Richard Hall, Minnesota; Rev. J. L. Jenkins, Indiana; Rev. J. M. Sturtevant, Jr., Missouri; Rev. L. Bodwell, Kansas; Rev. Reuben Gaylord, Nebraska; Rev. W. Crawford, Colorado; Rev. Kinsley Twining, California; Rev. J. M. Holmes, New Jersey; Rev. George Darling, Ohio.

On the Relation of Congregationalism to Foreign Missions—Rev. W. I. Budington, D. D., New York; Rev. Z. Eddy, D. D., Massachusetts; Rev. C. C. Parker, Vermont; Rev. William Carter, Illinois; Hon. Benj. Douglass, Connecticut.

At 12 M. the roll was called, as by special order.

It was *Voted*, That a special committee of five be appointed by this Council to consider the subject of securing for the permanent use of our denomination a house of worship in the City of Washington, and, if they deem it expedient for the churches of our order to engage in such a movement, to report to the Council a plan for its accomplishment.

The Committee of Nomination nominated the following to be the Committee thus ordered, and they were so appointed: viz., Henry C. Bowen, Esq., New York; Dea. Charles Stoddard, Massachusetts; Rev. Leonard Bacon, D. D., Connecticut; Hon. Douglass Putnam, Ohio; Hon. S. C. Pomeroy, Kansas.

* Several communications were read and appropriately referred to various standing committees.

It was *Voted*, That a special committee be appointed who shall consider and report to this Council what deliverance, if any, it ought to make on the subject of temperance.

It was *Voted*, That a special committee be appointed to consider and report upon a paper presented proposing "An American Protestant Assembly."

On motion of the chairman of the Committee of Nominations, Rev. E. F. Burr, of Connecticut, was added to the Committee on Church Polity.

The Committee on Education for the Ministry made partial report by Rev. Ray Palmer, D. D., chairman, as follows:

EDUCATION OF YOUNG MEN FOR THE MINISTRY.

By the conference of committees from the principal ecclesiastical bodies representing the Congregational churches in the United States, which met at New York, in the chapel of the Broadway Tabernacle Church, on the seventeenth of Novem-

ber, 1864, the undersigned were appointed a committee to call the attention of the National Council to be assembled at Boston on the fourteenth of June, 1865, to the subject of the education of young men for the Christian ministry, and to make such suggestions as might facilitate the thorough consideration and discussion of the topic. The duty so imposed has seemed to them a difficult one. The magnitude of the matter in itself; its relation to various questions pertaining to the state and duty of the churches; to the condition and prospects of our colleges, and especially of our theological institutions; to the work of home evangelization and that of foreign missions; and the new aspects under which it is just now providentially presented; render it no easy thing to exhibit it so concisely that it can be comprehended at a single view, and yet so fully that it shall make, in its details, anything like the desired impression. The committee, accordingly, have not been able to make their statement so brief as they desired; but they have not been willing, for the sake of brevity, to omit anything that seemed necessary to be said in order to a just view of the subject. If they have succeeded in bringing it fairly before the Council for discussion, they suppose that this is all that was expected of them. They, therefore, respectfully submit the following paper:

SECTION I.

FACTS BEARING ON THE SUBJECT OF THE EDUCATION OF YOUNG MEN FOR THE MINISTRY.

1. From the day when the Pilgrims landed on Plymouth Rock, it has been the settled conviction of our Congregational churches, that for the high and responsible work of the Christian teacher a thorough intellectual discipline and culture, a truly liberal education, is, as the rule, imperatively demanded. No other view could be expected to prevail in churches whose earliest pastors were many of them men of eminent learning, and wore the honors of the highest scholarship in the English universities. To provide the means of raising up for themselves an educated ministry, was, it is well known, one of the first things connected with their settlement here on which they bestowed anxious care and thought. Our standard of ministerial education has, therefore, always been relatively high; for the last fifty years it has been rising steadily; and it is no longer a question with us whether it should be carried to the highest practicable point.

2. The number of young men in a course of preparation for the ministry, as compared with the whole number of persons pursuing liberal studies, has for the last twelve or fifteen years been on the whole materially *diminishing*. The inducements offered to Christian young men to enter into secular pursuits, the growing respectability of teaching as a profession, the increasing profitableness of literature, the attractions of the political arena, the new enterprises opened on every hand and promising rapid gains—all the stimulants, in short, which are fitted to stir an honorable ambition in gifted minds, have exerted an effective influence in diverting from the sacred office those who might naturally have been expected to desire to enter it. A mistaken impression has also prevailed that the ranks of the ministry were already over-full, and by this error many have doubtless been turned aside. The demands of the war just closing have called great numbers of young men not only to leave their studies, but to lay down their lives, for the sacred cause of national unity and freedom. By these and other causes, it has come to pass that the number of students in our colleges and seminaries who are looking forward to the service of Christ in the pulpit is *painfully small*, just when the need of men in this holy work is becoming every day more urgent.

3. While in general scholarship and theological training the Congregational clergy, as a body, are probably unsurpassed by any equally numerous clerical body in the world, it is quite plain that they are but partially meeting the spiritual necessities of our advancing population. Even in New England, where our churches originally had the ground, and where it would seem that they ought to have kept pace with the social growth, there are now great numbers who are not reached in any effectual manner by the stated means of grace. Not only in the large cities and manufacturing towns into which many of foreign birth have gradually introduced themselves, but also in the country towns and villages where the people are still chiefly of native Puritan descent, it is undeniably true that a very considerable, and, it is to be feared, an increasing portion of the whole population are not reached by the ministry so as to feel the power of the gospel of Christ. Many such are relapsing into religious ignorance and spiritual death in the very sight of Christian sanctuaries.

4. There are to be found, in New England itself, not a few towns and villages in which Congregational churches were once planted and had full possession of the field, but in which such churches have become nearly or quite extinct, and the ground has been occupied by others, sometimes by unevangelical churches or congregations, and sometimes by churches whose ministry has been far inferior in educational culture to our own. While, in the largeness of our liberality, we have supplied to one branch of the Presbyterian Church no inconsiderable portion of its clergy, and even a greater portion, probably, of its laymen; while we have sent forth multitudes of Christian missionaries, and of pioneers, who, in the newer parts of the country, have planted churches, established colleges, and laid the foundations of a Christian civilization, and have given our hearty support to all forms of Christian effort; we have yet, with all our advantages, failed to hold and to strengthen, in the interest of our Lord, positions that once were ours. We have lost them for want of care to sustain the weak, and of fidelity and zeal in relation to the unimposing details of Christian duty.

5. In our statistical tables, a great number of feeble churches are reported, which for the larger part of the time are without pastors, or any regular supplies, and so are becoming more and more feeble. *Less than one-third of our churches have pastors settled over them.* Something more than another third have only stated supplies; leaving something a little less than a third of the whole with no steady supply at all. Most of these are unable to procure any, unless it be for brief and uncertain periods; and often, for years together, suffer a dearth of the Word of Life. They are in the sad condition of sheep without a shepherd.

6. While such a state of things exists even in New England, the case is still worse, much worse, beyond these limits. The newer States, including the vast regions of the West, now extending to the Pacific and opening to receive the flood-tide of population, present almost innumerable points at which churches have been planted and are yet in a feeble state, or must be planted to struggle up from feebleness amidst the embarrassments and hardships of a forming social condition. To these illimitable fields are now added the Border and Southern States, in which, as the result of our great contest, society is to a great extent to be reorganized. Over these extensive regions are to be scattered, for a long time to come, a great number of churches which will not present inviting parishes, nor afford a liberal ministerial support. Yet it is of the utmost importance to the cause of evangelical religion, and to the future well-being of our country, that these positions should be taken and held by faithful Christian ministers, and that the Church should grow up side by side with other institutions from the first.

7. From various parts of the foreign missionary field, there are soon to be heard the most earnest calls for efficient re-enforcements. For the last four years, no enlargement of operations has been attempted. To avoid disastrous curtailment, to weather the financial storm without a wreck, has been the grand anxiety. But four years, in which little more has been done than just to hold our ground, will render imperative a vigorous advance, so soon as circumstances will permit. The day is now at hand. The missionary brethren, who have uncomplainingly borne excessive burdens, and have patiently endured the troubles arising from straitened means, must speedily see others coming to their aid. Where the seed has been sown through tedious years, the harvest that at last has ripened must be reaped; and at many a new post must the banner of our Lord be planted. The educational institutions must be manned, the work of translation and of creating Christian literatures must go forward, and the presses must be kept effectively at work. For men to go forth and enter into all these labors, in every quarter of the world, we shall very soon hear strong appeals. They come, indeed, already.

Such are a few of the material facts that meet us at the threshold, in the consideration of the subject now before us.

BEARING OF THESE FACTS AS REGARDS THE MINISTRY.

It is of the utmost importance that these acknowledged facts should be set distinctly before both ministers and churches, and should be carefully and seriously considered. We, as a denomination, have sought to disencumber Christianity of the machinery of a sensuous ecclesiasticism. We have had faith in its spiritual power, and so have returned to the simple forms and usages of the primitive Church. We have believed that the gospel, in the naked simplicity in which Christ and his apostles originally proclaimed it, is the divinely appointed means for the renewing of individual man, and for the elevating and purifying of the social and religious condition of the world. We have understood that Christ has given his followers solemn charge to apply it faithfully for the accomplishment of these great ends, and that he has pledged himself, so far as this is done, to make it effectual by his coöperative providence and grace. In the full enjoyment, as a people, of civil and religious freedom, we have nothing external to embarrass us in so applying it. Never, on the face of the earth, has there been offered a fairer opportunity than here exists for the direct and thorough preaching of the gospel to the masses of the people, and the infusing of its peculiar influences into all the relations and institutions of social life. It would seem, therefore, that here there should be furnished to the whole world an instructive and stimulating example of what a pure, free gospel, preached by a learned and godly ministry, can do to renovate and exalt a people, and to adorn society with the charm of general intelligence, refinement, and virtue. If evangelical Christianity fail here to fulfil its mission, where is it likely to succeed? What, then, is to be said in view of the facts to which we have referred? What, in particular, are the *wants*, as regards the Christian ministry, which they forcibly suggest?

SECTION II.

PRESENT WANTS AS REGARDS THE MINISTRY.

1. First of all, there is wanted for the general needs of our Congregational churches a ministry in the ranks of which shall be found the *broadest and most thorough scholarship*; a scholarship nowhere to be surpassed. It is indeed not necessary that every individual minister shall attain, or attempt to attain, the highest emi-

nence of learning. But surely it would ill become us, who, from our earliest denominational history, have set so high a value on clerical education, and whose form of Church organization and government supposes intelligence and free thought, to lack in our pastors and educators the best learning, the most finished culture, which the present age in any country can produce. At a time when the most momentous questions in theology, in philosophy and morals, in philology and criticism, in science and in social and civil economy, are engaging constantly the popular as well as the educated mind, we must have men to fill the more important positions in our institutions and our churches, who can bring to the discussion of these questions, not only the highest power of thought, but the most ample wealth of knowledge. We have such men. We have always had them. Not only some of the ablest thinkers, but some of the most accurate philologists and most comprehensive scholars, living, may probably be found among our clergy. The higher periodical literature among us, and other publications connected with sacred learning, the result of the labors of such men, compare well with the best of other countries. But it must be admitted that such men are by far too few. Many more such are called for by the exigencies of the time. We want them to repel the assaults so confidently made on critical, scientific, and speculative grounds, on the very foundations of the Christian faith. We want them for many and rapidly multiplying positions, which none but the best scholarship can creditably fill. We want them at the head of all our collegiate and theological institutions. We want them in our pulpits, and on our platforms, to teach pretentious error to be modest. We want them in the newer portions of our country, where the foundations of learning for many generations must be laid, and the forming thought of society be shaped. We want them abroad where translations of the Scriptures must be made, and many difficult tasks be performed with the nicest scholarly care. Without such men in our ministry, we can neither maintain our ancient prestige, nor meet the necessities of the educated and thoughtful mind with which we have to deal.

2. *A much larger number of men are at the present moment wanted in the ministry; and this want is sure to become every day more pressing.* The carefully arranged statistical tables in the *Congregational Quarterly* for January, 1865, abundantly justify this statement. The total of Congregational churches is there given at twenty-eight hundred and sixty-five; the whole number of nominal ministers, at twenty-eight hundred and sixty-two. Of these ministers, *seven hundred and fifty-six are known not to be in the pastoral work.* Besides these, there are reported one hundred and forty whose status is not ascertained. Probably the greater part of these are not actually engaged in the work of the ministry. Adding say one hundred of these to those *known* not to be so engaged, we have eight hundred and fifty-six who really have no relation whatever to the supply of the churches, to be deducted from the total of twenty-eight hundred and sixty-three given in the tables; leaving but two thousand and six persons who are in the pastoral work for the supply of the twenty-eight hundred and sixty-five churches. If, therefore, every minister, better or worse, who is at this time engaged in preaching, were to-day placed over a Church, there would remain *eight hundred and fifty-nine churches for whom no minister could be supplied.* The fact that many of these churches are feeble, so far from weakening the force of this statement, only gives it *greater force*, by showing that their need of pastors is most urgent. The duty of providing these eight or nine hundred churches with pastors, and aiding them, if need be, to sustain them, is clearly pressing now upon us. To this we must also add, that for the exploring of the vast regions in which churches ought to be formed at once, or

must speedily be formed, and also for the various departments of the foreign missionary service, many, very many, more ministers are urgently demanded.

3. But, further, the want which is becoming every day more pressing extends beyond mere numbers. We want men, who, *by their natural endowments and their special training, are adapted to the work that now is not accomplished.*

We are not now called upon, it is conceived, as churches, to make any special efforts and sacrifices to raise up pastors for the well-paying and prosperous parishes. These will of course need a steady succession of thoroughly educated, able, and earnest ministers. But the supply of the pulpits of such parishes may safely be left to take care of itself. With due care to supply the proper facilities for education, and in view of the number of Christian young men who are coming forward, it is quite certain that those positions in the ministry, which are in themselves pleasant and desirable, will be desired and sought. It may occasionally happen that a particular Church, though every way attractive, will have some temporary difficulty in finding the man it wants; but this may arise from unreasonable expectations, or from the number of candidates proposed, or some such incidental embarrassment. In general, however, it may safely be calculated on, that, as regards the more eligible places, the supply will keep pace with the demand. In saying this, we are casting no reproach on either the churches or the ministry. The prosperous churches are not to be blamed for desiring the best pastors they can obtain. Ministers, when called by the churches to responsible charges, where, though the labors are great, the circumstances are congenial, are not to be blamed for undertaking those charges. The simple fact to be noticed is, that the law which holds in all other departments of social life is likely to hold here; viz, that what is in itself worth seeking, somebody will certainly be found to seek. It is not in this direction that the attention and the efforts, especially the charitable efforts, of the churches should now be turned. It is not about the men required for these positions that there is occasion specially to concern ourselves.

We are specially called on to bring forward into the ministry, as soon as possible, from eight hundred to one thousand young ministers who are fitted to the particular work of *raising up the feeble churches of New England, and the new churches in other parts of our wide country*, that must be feeble for a time. It is plain, that, for this service, men of a certain type are needed. It is not disparaging the ministry, as a class, to say, that, on all ordinary principles of calculation, it must be expected that out of a given number who enter the sacred office there will be a certain per cent who cannot be successful. It is so in all other pursuits in which men are accustomed to engage, and without a perpetual miracle it will always be so in this. Some will lack in part, and some almost wholly, after all the processes of education, the peculiar powers and qualities which give influence over men.

It has probably been one of the practical errors of the past to imagine that *this* class of ministers might meet the wants of the churches that are suffering from chronic weakness, and of those that have been newly planted. On the contrary, inasmuch as the work to be done for these is peculiarly difficult, the men to do it must be men of special force and tact. Only men of physical energy, of grit, nerve, and pluck, men whom hard work, hard fare, and hard usage of all sorts, will not kill, can be expected to meet the exigencies of such a service. There must be also an intellectual adaptation not less positive and marked. We live in stirring times. All the pulses of social life beat quick and strong. The minds of people of remotest places are reached by all sorts of stimulating influences, and thought and feeling are intensified to a high degree. Whoever is to exert a moulding influ-

ence on a people in such a state must be himself alive, flexible, vigorous, sympathetic, human, as well as scholarly, intellectual, and pious. He must have quickness to plan, and enthusiasm to execute; must know how to find access to the hearts even of the prejudiced and hostile; and be sagacious in discerning, and prompt in meeting, the exigencies that every day will bring. It is young men who have the capacity for all this that are demanded. What we here say in regard to the kind of men demanded for the missionary work at home is not less true in respect to those wanted for the missionary work abroad. They must be men whom God, by their natural endowments, has fitted to face and grapple with the arduous and peculiar difficulties which that work of necessity involves.

4. But we must go still farther. The men now wanted in the ministry must be men who, along with force of natural character, possess also *the higher force which eminent faith and the deepest Christian earnestness supply*. With the facts of the case before us, it is plain that ministers are demanded who will be *willing to enter, and willing to stay in*, the most trying and difficult fields, if so the Master in his providence directs. To go into obscure and feeble parishes, or into destitute regions to plant new churches, or into the isolation and trials of a home among Pagans, and to be able and willing patiently to labor there, requires a vigorous hold on things unseen, and a deep baptism into the spirit of self-sacrifice. To do these things, men must be had to whom the pleasures of filling a conspicuous position, of preaching to refined and appreciative hearers, of being surrounded by agreeable society, and even of having a comfortable support, will hardly be taken into the account in accepting a field of labor. They must be men who, not in some highly figurative sense, but literally, count all things but loss in comparison with the privilege of imparting the knowledge of Christ *to those whose need of it is greatest*; who feel that a woe is on them if they preach not the gospel, and are determined to preach it, paid or unpaid, with comforts or without comforts, and have even a holy ambition to work in the darkest and most cheerless places where work is to be done. Is it doubted whether it can be the duty of Christian young men to give themselves to the preaching of the word at such a cost? Paul and the first preachers of Christianity did. There have been those in all ages of the Christian Church who have done it. If Francis Xavier, and Ignatius Loyola, and others like them in the Romish Church, could rise to such a heroic self-devotion as they exhibited, is it too much to hope, that, under the clearer light and higher inspirations of spiritual Christianity, men may be raised up to emulate, in doing the work of Christ, their zeal, their self-denials, their patient endurance of suffering? Without such men to meet the present and prospective need, it is clear that our own country cannot be brought fully under the power of Christ's religion, still less can the world ever be won to God. It will be of little use to increase the number of young ministers, or even to bring the most gifted and energetic of our sons into the sacred office, if, after all, they have not the sublime self-devotion which will make them willing to go anywhere, and to face any discouragements whatever for Christ's sake. Without this in the ministry, the work that now lies undone will still lie undone; moral wastes will multiply; churches will become extinct; and we as a Christian denomination shall appear to have lost the spirit of our godly fathers, whose faith and polity have come down to us as a goodly heritage. Apostolic faith and zeal, and unflinching readiness to do or suffer,—nothing short of a ministry possessing these high spiritual endowments will meet the present want.

5. We want likewise, it must be added, men for the ministry *who understand and heartily approve the system of faith and the ecclesiastical principles of the Fathers*

of New England. It is the just glory of our churches, that they are of all churches the most truly catholic. This arises from the fact, that according to the teaching of Christ and the apostles, and in opposition to corrupt ecclesiastical traditions, we have placed *vital Christianity*, the renewing and saving power of the gospel and the cross, first and highest in our religious system, and have made modes of worship and forms of administration subordinate to life. While others have deemed organic unity, an outward consolidation of churches, a thing to be desired, our fathers saw in it, and we see in it, only a peril to the liberties and to the purity of the Christian brotherhood. It has appeared to us, that, of all men on the earth, Christian men are most likely to be capable of self-government; and it has seemed that the freedom and the responsibilities of self-government must tend to develop individual Christian activity, and to make Church membership a real commitment of each disciple to a practical and working piety. We cannot but think that our principles, as sanctioned alike by reason and the Scriptures, are, for our own sakes and our children's, worth maintaining; and also, that, faithfully maintaining them, we shall exert a most salutary influence on the large bodies of consolidated churches with which we come in friendly contact, in the way of infusing into them a more liberal spirit than naturally belongs to their own systems, and by in some sort constraining them to respect the rights of individual believers and those of the local churches. With these views of our polity, it appears to us a sacred trust committed to the children of the Puritans for the good of the churches of all names, not less than for their own.

The men, therefore, whom the wants of our churches, in their present rapid multiplication, urge us to bring into the ministry, must be men who are the sons of the Pilgrim Fathers, not by birth or in name merely, but as grasping the same great effective principles which made them the successful founders and vindicators of civil and religious freedom, and breathing the same spirit of devotion to the authority of the Scriptures, to earnest and progressive religious thought, and to a piety of deep experience. Men who do not understand and love our principles, and cannot teach our churches to understand and love them; men who have in them no sympathy with the great ideas that cluster historically about old Plymouth Rock; who do not see that it is very much through the force of these ideas that there is so much of Christian liberality, and so much genuine catholic feeling among the evangelical churches of all names throughout our country; are not the men to do the work that presses on our hands. The hearts of great numbers of the people, in all parts of the land, are open all the more to receive our scriptural theology, because they see it allied to a church organization and government so peculiarly in accord with the progressive and practical spirit of the time. In such a state of things, we want ministers who have positive convictions as to the truth and the value of our principles; ministers, in a word, who are *Congregationalists in heart*, to take the oversight of our churches, and to conserve and make yet more perfect the precious religious heritage we have received from ancestors whose names are among the most honored of mankind. It is such men that the churches, and particularly the new churches, are more and more imperatively requiring.

Such seem to the committee to be the chief wants, as regards the supply of a fit ministry, which, in view of the facts referred to in the beginning, are forcing themselves on the attention of the churches. We are brought, then, to the inquiry, *What shall be done*, to the end that these wants may be effectually met?

SECTION III.

WHAT OUGHT NOW TO BE DONE?

1. In accordance with the well-known views of the Fathers of New England, and our own convictions as to the value of thorough theological education, our theological seminaries should, as soon as possible, *be placed in a position to offer all facilities which the highest scholarship in this department may require.*

At present, none of our theological seminaries are properly endowed. The number of professorships is wholly inadequate to the work that should be done; the necessary consequence of which is, that the professors are often over-worked, and, after all, the course of study is too narrow. The libraries are comparatively meagre, and fall far short of meeting the wants both of the professors and the students. The provision for the pursuit of those branches of learning, which, while not strictly included in the study of theology, are collateral and auxiliary to it, amounts to almost nothing. As a large part of the presidents and professors of our colleges and seminaries at home, and of the men who must master foreign languages and literatures, and be the translators and the educators at our foreign missionary stations, must be trained in our theological institutions, the deficiencies which exist are the more to be regretted. It has hitherto been a necessity, that those who have wished to pursue their studies up to the highest range of scholarship should go to Germany, or elsewhere abroad, in order to find the requisite facilities. To this there are very grave objections; and such a course would no longer be needful were our own institutions such as they ought to be. It is far better that those who are to be at the head of our literary institutions, who are to shape the thought, and, to a great extent, to determine the spirit and character of our churches, should be educated in the moral atmosphere of our own country, than where influences prevail which are not in harmony with the principles and habits which we have inherited from our noble ancestors, and which have been a chief source of our national power and glory. *We can have, we ought to have, we must have, theological institutions unsurpassed by any in the world* in the largeness and completeness of the advantages they offer, and, at the same time, thoroughly imbued with the spirit of the Pilgrims. They must lack nothing in the way of men or endowments or books, but must be thoroughly equipped. To this important matter, it is conceived, immediate attention should be given. It has been neglected already quite too long.

2. It is also greatly to be desired, it is, indeed, an imperative necessity, that the advantages of our theological seminaries, made thus ample, *be placed within the reach of all suitable candidates for the Christian ministry.*

It will avail but little to urge Christian parents to consecrate their sons, or young men of piety and talents to devote themselves, to Christ's service in the ministry, if the way is not fairly open for them to get the needed preparation. The whole subject of charitable aid, in some form, to those preparing for the ministry, must be taken up anew in the light of experience, and with due regard to the change of circumstances which the past few years have wrought. The expenses of living at any of our seminaries are at least treble what they were thirty years ago. The trifling assistance which could at that time be rendered to deserving young men, and which then but barely enabled them to struggle through, is wholly insufficient now. While the standard of scholarship has materially risen, and the student can *less* than ever afford to have his studies interrupted by efforts for his own support, he is *more* than ever under the necessity of interrupting them if he

will avoid the burden of hopeless debt. Our own institutions—a strange thing to be true in view of our past history—are more deficient in the means of rendering aid than those of other denominations with whom we affiliate. Because of this, a very considerable number of our young men, within the last few years, have naturally been induced, by the hope of more liberal assistance, to turn away from the seminaries in which our own doctrines and polity are taught; and so, educated in another atmosphere, and forming other associations, they have many of them been lost to us. We rejoice in the thought that they carry somewhat of the free and catholic spirit of New England, and of our communion as a whole, into churches where it is likely to be useful; but to us, as a fellowship of churches, the suffering of this process to go on is suicidal. We want our own young men. We ought to retain them for the service of our own churches and for the manning of our own missions. But the only way to retain them is to enable our own institutions to give them the help they need. In order to this, the wealthy members of our churches must be induced to endow scholarships, the avails of which may be granted, by examinations held, to good attainments and general promise; so that every young man, who is qualified by his talents, diligence, and piety to enter the sacred office, may be enabled, without the interruptions and distresses of poverty, to pursue a thorough course of study. The same provision, or something equivalent to this, should also be made in the colleges, that there, as well as in the theological schools, young men of the right character may be helped forward towards the ministry, instead of sinking under discouragements, or turning to other courses of life. An adequate number of scholarships, supplemented by the American Education Society, and perhaps, also, by some associations for the supply of clothing, and for the care of students who may be sick, would place our institutions in an entirely different position from that which they now occupy in respect to students. They would, in this way, be able to offer their advantages freely to all suitable persons who might be inclined to profit by them. Without such liberal provision we must expect to continue to lose many of our best young men.

3. While such provision is made for the assistance of those who wish to prepare themselves thoroughly for the ministry, pious and promising young men, whose circumstances absolutely forbid a full course of study, *must be brought forward by a shorter process, and must be allowed to resort to the theological seminaries for such limited periods and such partial studies* as may seem expedient in each case.

In past years, it has been felt that there were strong objections to the admission of students to the privileges of the theological schools unless they could proceed in the regular order of study to the end. It was feared that to allow any to enter the ministry with anything *short* of the established course of study might tend to lower the general standard of ministerial education. But necessity is an efficient teacher. In view of the great and urgent wants of our country, as well as of the world, it has become quite certain that it will not be possible to furnish a sufficient number of highly educated ministers to supply the demand. At the same time, there are found young men of good ordinary education, good sense, or even superior natural abilities, who, owing to something peculiar in their circumstances, *cannot* go through the regular course of study, and yet, with a more limited preparation, might be exceedingly useful as preachers of the gospel. It is believed that the time has now fully come when such young men should be permitted to enjoy the advantages of our theological institutions for the purpose of taking *any such partial course* as the exigencies of each case may render proper, and should, with this, be commissioned and sent forth. Men of this class, like the first disciples that

went everywhere preaching the word, may do excellent service as faithful witnesses for Christ. Leaving to their more thoroughly educated brethren the higher offices of the Christian teacher, they may, *perhaps with some advantage from their less scholastic tastes and modes of thinking*, come into close sympathy with common people, and testify to them the truth as it is in Jesus. If it be true, as some have thought, that — not necessarily it may be, but really — the high culture of our ministers has tended to place them sometimes too far above those whom they should reach and save, a moderate number of earnest, judicious men, with less of the spirit of the schools admitted into it, might do a special service in the way of counteracting such a tendency, and maintaining a vital contact, a practical community of feeling, between the clergy as a body and those who need the gospel. Certainly they may help to make up the deficiency in numbers; and a warm-hearted Christian preacher and pastor, though lacking the best scholarship, may be owned of God to the saving of many souls that must otherwise have been left to perish. With due care, there seems to be no need to fear that the admission of some men of special adaptation to the work of preaching Christ, with an abbreviated course of preparatory study, will either lower the standard of general theological education, or deteriorate the general character of the ministry. We may safely, in this case as in others, obey the call of the providence of God.

4. Yet further: a general and earnest effort should at once be made to *awaken in the churches a new enthusiasm for the work of the Christian ministry*.

To the accomplishment of this, the religious press may effectively contribute; but the chief reliance must be on faithful presentations of the subject by the pastors. Many of us can well remember when through the burning words of Porter, Griffin, Cornelius, and others of like ardor, a fire was kindled in the hearts of Christian parents and Christian young men that glowed with a notable intensity. It led godly fathers and mothers with prayers and tears to consecrate their children from their birth to Christ's service in the gospel, in the hope that he would call them to it; and to a conscientious and careful training of them with reference to the sacred office. Possibly, at that time, too little discrimination was used in advising young men to enter on a course of preparation for the ministry; an error to be carefully avoided: but this was certainly no reason why the whole subject should have been dropped, as we fear it has been, to a great extent at least. We must come back again — the pastors must carry the churches back — to the old conviction, or even a deeper conviction, of the value of the Christian ministry, and of the honor and blessedness of the work, in spite of all its crosses, when undertaken and performed in a truly Christian spirit. Young men must be persuaded, by cogent arguments and stirring appeals, that to be a good minister of Jesus is to occupy a position than which there is none more worthy to be aspired to, none higher and nobler in the world. Such an ardor as we have seen move the young men of the country to enlist in the loyal service for the attainment of the grandest of earthly ends must be awakened in the minds of the sons of Christian parents; an eagerness to enlist in the army of the Son of God, as leaders of the host that is going forth at his command to put down the great rebellion against his throne. Every pastor has a personal responsibility to see that this is done within his own particular circle. Each must become an earnest recruiting agent, using of course all due care to enrol those only who have the requisite gifts and capabilities for the service. The presidents and professors in our colleges have equally a duty to perform. It will no longer do to leave this subject to take care of itself. The most attractive objects of ambition, the most inviting prospects of wealth and worldly

greatness and distinction, present themselves to our young men on every side, and must be expected to engage them in secular pursuits, unless they can be made to see that the claims of Christ on them are paramount, and that his rewards surpass infinitely all that earth can offer. Until the churches are thoroughly aroused to this great matter, so that our pious young men, sharing in the common impulse, *shall be inspired with the spirit of a truly Christian chivalry*, with the healthful enthusiasm of a loyalty to Christ that shall make his service the best and highest to their thought, the ranks of the ministry are not likely to be filled with the choicest sons of believing parents.

5. It is not less important likewise that those whose attention is directed towards the ministry should be led from the outset, and by the whole drift and spirit of their education, to regard it *as eminently demanding a self-devoting and world-renouncing spirit*.

Where the Church is organically connected with the State, and so is directly related to political and civil life, the tendency necessarily is to regard the ministry simply as a profession; as offering agreeable employment, a respectable position, opportunity for literary culture, a comfortable livelihood, and, with all these, a prospect of advancement. It is but natural that to those who enter the ministry with such a view of it as this, who choose it as others choose the profession of law or medicine, for the sake of the worldly advantages it offers them, it should seem entirely proper to desire, and habitually to seek to reach, the highest and the best positions. But it will be a sad day for the interests of pure religion in our churches, when our young men generally shall think of the pastoral office as they think of the secular professions, and seek in entering it chiefly the gratification of their own tastes, and their own comfort and respectability in life. Any approach to such a state of things may well excite alarm. Christ does not call men into the ministry as into a mere profession in which to make agreeable provision for themselves. He calls them into it as into a high and holy service, in which with disinterested devotion to his person to toil, to contend, to suffer if need be, for the saving of men's souls and for the honor of his name. To seek one's own pleasure in entering the ministry; to indulge, when in it, an ambitious and worldly spirit; to be dissatisfied with the position in which Christ has placed one because it is obscure, or because the people are not refined, or because one's sphere seems circumscribed; in short, to be intent on personal advantages, and disposed to get away from difficulties instead of facing them with courage; is to mistake sadly and totally the nature of the work which has been given in charge to his ministers by the ascended Lord. All this is in general terms admitted.

But is there not need to make the *necessity of self-sacrifice far more prominent*, when the claims of the ministry are pressed upon the young men of our churches, than it has been hitherto? If it is for the home missionary work, and for the foreign missionary work, that we are now specially concerned to raise up ministers, *care must be taken to educate them into such views and such a spirit* as will fit them for these forms of Christian labor. From the first, our pious sons must be taught to dismiss the romantic notion, that they may look forward to the ministry as affording a position in which to gratify their literary tastes and to enjoy intelligent and refined society. They must be led to regard an entrance into the sacred office as committing them to a service high indeed, and honorable, divinely appointed, and connected with the sublimest satisfactions and rewards; but yet attended, or liable to be attended, with privations and trials, and even with personal sufferings, analogous to those of which the life of Christ himself, and of Paul and his fellow-apostles,

were so full. To this education of pious young men to higher and more spiritual conceptions of the work of the ministry, and to purer and more disinterested aims, Christian parents and the Christian pulpit must contribute. College officers must make conscience of lending all their influence to help it on. Above all, our theological seminaries must give *a very marked prominence to spiritual culture* in its course of training, and must be preëminently pervaded by a warm, vitalizing, and inspiring Christian atmosphere. Even the most ample learning and the most complete dogmatic knowledge will fail utterly to give us the ministry we need, if not steeped in devout affection, and consecrated by the baptism and rich indwelling of the Holy Spirit of God. It is for the churches to look to this, and to insist that *the cultivation of a fervent personal piety* shall not only be distinctly recognized as a prominent part of the work to be accomplished in the theological seminary, but *shall be made subordinate to nothing else*. We believe it wrong to say, as has been sometimes said, that there is less of a devotional spirit among theological students than among the average of Christians elsewhere. We think it specially wrong to blame the few and over-tasked professors, whose attention must necessarily be very largely occupied with their several departments, for not doing all that is needed to produce, with steadiness, an elevated tone of Christian feeling. *Particular provision* ought to be made in every theological institution for the spiritual training of all connected with it: to this should be added habitual and earnest prayer for the young men themselves and their instructors. Then we may expect that the end desired will be attained. So long as but little is done, or thought necessary to be done, to produce among those who are designing to enter the ministry an *apostolic spirit*,—a holy self-consecration like that which made Brainerd, and the great Edwards even, willing to preach the gospel to poor Indians in the wilderness,—so long we shall lack the men whom the present wants of the Christian cause and of our own denomination urgently demand. We cannot reasonably expect to bring forward a ministry of eminent spiritual earnestness, of self-sacrificing and heroic zeal and energy, *unless we seriously propose this, and adapt our methods of training to effect it*.

6. While those who look forward to the ministry are taught to do it in the spirit of self-sacrifice, the churches must be made to feel, far more deeply than they have generally done hitherto, *the necessity of a just and liberal support of those who are in the work*.

As the subject of ministerial support is referred to another committee which will doubtless present it fully, we do not propose to speak of it here at length. Yet standing, as it does, in very important relation to the difficulties connected with the work of bringing young men into the ministry, we cannot properly omit to notice it. When we insist that our young men must be ready to do and to suffer anything for Christ, we do not mean to imply that ministers are under a different law of self-consecration from that which binds the members of the churches generally. If it is their duty cheerfully to meet all the trials, and even hardships, which are *necessary*, it is the sacred duty of the churches to see to it that they suffer none that are *unnecessary*—none that an honest readiness to render unto them a due reward of their labors would prevent. It cannot be doubted that the want of justice in the adequate support of those who serve at Christ's altars in word and doctrine—a want of justice often so palpable that it is seen and known of all—is one of the most powerful among the causes which operate to turn the most gifted young men from the ministry to other pursuits. Is it strange if a young man sees, that after having spent ten years in hard study, and expended three or four thousand dollars for his

education and the beginning of a library, the churches will not, on the average, pay him any more salary than is given to a respectable clerk in a mercantile establishment, he is not able to see it to be his duty to consent to such injustice? Is it strange that he concludes that he has the same liberty as other men to employ his talents and his acquisitions in such a way that he may reap the fruits of his industry and toil? Say that it would be wise in him to commit himself to Christ, and leave the matter of recompense to him. But this does not relieve the wrong, on the part of the churches, of wishing ministers to serve them without reasonable compensation; and, further, when young men are called to decide the question of their future course, they are commonly *young* in Christian experience as well as years. They cannot be expected to take such views of the subject as might be taken by one who had attained to the highest life of Christian faith. It is clear that the members of the churches must be willing to share the burdens and self-sacrifices of the ministry, and must honestly and fairly do what they can to diminish these, if they will have the service of the young men whom God has endowed with the choicest gifts in the pastoral work. They cannot expect, and ought not to expect, if they are not willing to do this, that the gifted sons of Christian parents will be eager to give themselves to the sacred office.

7. Let us add, still further, more systematic and faithful effort should be made to enkindle in the churches, and especially in the children of the churches, a heartier love for the simple worship and the admirable polity from which we have derived such precious spiritual benefits.

That there has been great neglect among us in respect to transmitting the views and spirit of the fathers to the children, there is no need, we suppose, to prove. For the last fifty years or more, pastors and churches, colleges and theological seminaries, appear to have bestowed very little direct attention on the matter. No provision has been made in the theological curriculum for thorough instruction as to the history, the principles, and the practical advantages, of our Church order. While our simple forms admit of being made — *all the more from their simplicity* — pleasing to a healthful taste, attractive to the heart, and solemnly impressive, they have been too often made to appear barren and uninviting by a careless, slovenly, and perfunctory manner in the administrations of God's house. It has seemed to be too much forgotten in the leading of the praise and worship of the public assembly, and in the administration of Christian ordinances, that with these things should always be associated a sacred comeliness and grace, so that it should be felt by all, that, as in the days of old, strength and beauty conjoined were in the sanctuary. The result of these things has obviously been some degree, at least, of decay of interest in our distinguishing peculiarities, of which others have been, and are now, ready to take advantage; and some of Puritan descent have been led to place themselves again under the same systems of ecclesiastical authority from which it cost their liberty-loving ancestors long struggles, and, in many instances, sufferings unto death, to break away.

Plainly, then, it is high time that a new interest in this subject were awakened. Are our ecclesiastical principles, as a denomination, true, scriptural, and of great practical importance? Were they *worth* contending for, when for them so many of our venerated forefathers wore out their best years in filthy prisons, or went to barbarous deaths to vindicate them for the sake of their posterity? Then are we recreant and degenerate, indeed, if we fail to teach them to our children from their early years, and to hold them dear to our own hearts. While writing these pages, it has been stated to us that it has become a common practice in a section of New

England to send to the theological institutions of another denomination for students to supply, during their vacations, destitute churches. We trust there may be some mistake in this singular statement; and yet such an occurrence might not seem an altogether improbable illustration of the indifference which has silently stolen over us. Surely there is need to revive the spirit of John Robinson, of Shepard, Hooker, and Davenport. We must reassert their principles. They should be inculcated at the fireside. They should be taught in the pulpit. They should be embodied in popular tracts, and sown all over the land. Especially should every theological institution have a professorship, or at least a lectureship, which should thoroughly discuss them; and every theological student should be required, as one of the conditions of licensure, to show himself able and willing to defend them. When there shall be such a revival of the spirit, and such a return to the principles, of the men to whom, under God, we owe our best religious blessings, we may expect to have a ministry adapted to our wants as lovers of the largest healthful religious freedom.

8. Finally: the committee will only suggest further, that, in view of the existing and the prospective necessities of the churches, as regards the ministry, it becomes an urgent duty to *labor and pray more earnestly for the conversion of young men.*

"Pray ye the Lord of the harvest that he will send forth laborers," said our blessed Lord. Pastors and teachers were among the gifts which, at his ascension, he received power to bestow on men; and these, like other gifts, are dispensed under the law of prayer. The hindrances to piety in the case of young men are, at this time and in a country such as ours, so very great, that unless direct and special effort is made for their early conversion, and that with strength of faith and persistency of prayer on their behalf, we cannot expect to see them devoting their lives from the outset unto God. It is needful to call attention *very frequently* to this matter. Especially in connection with the observance of the annual day of prayer for colleges should the whole subject of the early conversion of young men, in all its interesting relations, be set faithfully before the churches. Such a solicitude in respect to this should be kept alive in the hearts of all who are engaged in the instruction of young men, as shall lead them to propose it distinctly to themselves, as an essential part of the best education of the precious sons of the Church, to win their hearts to Christ. Everything, in a word, that *can* be done, should be done, diligently and on system, to bring those especially who are pursuing courses of liberal study under the full influence of Christian truth. No college officer should feel that he is doing his whole duty if he is not striving to accomplish this. Revivals of religion in our churches and our colleges, so deep and powerful in their effects that far greater numbers than have hitherto been reached may be gathered unto God, should be desired and sought with an earnestness that will not be denied. If the measure of God's bestowment, both in the light of reason and the Scriptures, is seen to be, *according to your faith be it unto you*, there should be a new kindling-up of holy confidence in Christ, the head of the Church and the dispenser of all grace; a new spirit of intercession for the sending-forth of the Holy Ghost to renew unto repentance and Christian life the choicest of our sons. When fathers and mothers plead, and the united churches plead, and a faithful ministry plead; when the hearts of all Christ's servants are set on the consecration of the brightest jewels of their households unto God; we need not doubt that divine power will indeed work wonders, and that the ranks of the ministry will find a multitude prepared to enter them. It is not enough that we know this and say it; in good

earnest we must ACT AS IF OUR INMOST HEARTS BELIEVED IT. There is no need to enlarge on this.

CONCLUDING REMARKS.

The committee have thus endeavored, so far as they were able, to bring the more important aspects of the great subject referred to them to the notice of the Council. They have not deemed it becoming in them to indicate the particular action to be taken by this body. They have supposed that this should be left to be determined by the Council itself, after full discussion had. They cannot doubt that it will seem to the fathers and brethren here assembled, that such measures should be adopted by those representing the churches here, in regard to a matter so vitally connected with our entire religious system, as will secure the inauguration of a new era in our history, and lead speedily to the attainment of the desired practical results. The urgency of our need and of the time forbids delay, and demands that something effectual be done. Whatever difficulties attend the subject, it calls us to face them without flinching, and promptly, as ministers and churches, to address ourselves to the work which God is imposing on us. By some it has been suggested that a plan be devised to induce each self-sustaining Church to pledge itself to secure the education of a number of young men *at least equal to the number of ministers required for its own supply*; since any Church failing to do so much as this, in fact, enjoys its ministry at the expense, in part or altogether, of other churches. Some have suggested, also, the creation of a *Bureau of Clerical Education*, at the head of which should be placed one of the wisest, ablest, and most practical men to be found among our pastors, who should devote his best and undivided energies to the work of stimulating, enlightening, and guiding the efforts of the churches, and setting forward in all practicable ways, and throughout the whole country, the momentous work that is needful to be done. The Society for the Promotion of Collegiate Education at the West has contributed largely by its wise and efficient action to the supply of an educated ministry in that vast opening region where the present and prospective need is greatest. To that society, vigorously sustained, we must look for yet greater results in the era that now opens. It may be possible for the Council to give some new impulse to the action of this noble society. The committee may perhaps offer a brief supplementary report, with special reference to this. Other methods will doubtless be suggested by the wisdom of this body.

Let, then, the Council determine that the things, which, it has been seen, we are as churches called to do, shall resolutely be done. Let them indicate the course to be pursued, and take the initiative at once. Not a day is to be lost. We are like men standing on the shore when the flood-tide is sweeping in; we must move forward, or be overwhelmed. The well-being of our churches is waiting on us. The cause of true religion in our land is waiting on us. The salvation of our country, which the blessed gospel alone can save, is waiting on us. The providence of God itself is waiting on us. Here, for a century to come, and much longer it may be, must be waged, between the kingdom of Christ and that of the prince of darkness, a mighty moral conflict which will be as the great battle of Armageddon, and will involve results which our thought endeavors in vain to grasp. If in past years our hearts have been stirred at the consideration of the work which we saw before us and our children, much more should we now be aroused to comprehend the greatness, the sublimity, of the coming struggle, and to address ourselves to it with manly earnestness and in the strength of God. Let our faith be firm, that He who hath carried us successfully through the perils, blood, and tears of the stupendous

war just closed, who has placed four millions of freedmen within the reach of Christian influence, who has caused our glorious flag—more glorious now than ever—to float peacefully over the whole land, so that every part is open to the gospel, will crown with his abundant blessing the efforts of his servants to make Christianity here triumphant, to the exaltation and happiness of this great people. If now we show ourselves equal to the crisis, our country, *powerful, regenerate, and free*, shall also stand for coming ages, illustrious among the nations, as THE HOME OF INTELLIGENCE, VIRTUE, AND RELIGION.

RAY PALMER,
FRANKLIN W. FISK, } Committee.
JOHN P. GULLIVER, }

Council adjourned to meet in the meeting house of the First Church in Charlestown at 3 P. M.

SATURDAY, 3, P. M.

Council reassembled in the meeting house of the First Church of Charlestown, the second Assistant Moderator, Rev. J. P. Thompson, D. D., of New York, in the chair.

Rev. Dr. Thompson read select portions of Scripture.

The hymn (1115 Sab. H. B.),

"O God, beneath thy guiding hand," etc.

was sung.

Rev. E. Beecher, D. D., of Illinois, led the assembly in prayer.

Dr. Todd, of Massachusetts, made some appropriate remarks.

Rev. J. B. Miles, pastor of the Church, made a welcoming address.

Rev. M. Badger, D. D., delegate from California, offered prayer.

Rev. W. I. Budington, D. D., Rev. I. W. Andrews, D. D., and Rev. R. Gaylord, made further addresses. The 1111 hymn (S. H. B.),

"God bless our native land," etc.,

was sung, and prayer was offered by Rev. L. Bacon, D. D., of Connecticut.

Hon. G. Washington Warren, President of the Bunker Hill Monument Association, addressed the Council, and accompanied them to the monument, where, after an interesting explanation by him of the battle scene of ninety years ago, the Council, after singing one verse of the hymn,

"My country, 'tis of thee," etc.,

and the doxology, under the shadows of Bunker Hill Monument, adjourned to meet in the Mount Vernon Church on Monday morning, at 9 o'clock.

FIFTH DAY; MONDAY, JUNE 19, 9 A. M.

Council was opened with prayer by the second Assistant Moderator, Rev. J. P. Thompson, D. D.; the first Assistant Moderator, Hon. C. G. Hammond of Illinois, being in the chair.

The minutes of Saturday's sessions were read, corrected, and approved.

Rev. Dr. J. P. Thompson resigned his place on the Committee to consider the Report on Evangelization at the South and West, and his resignation was accepted,

The report on Ministerial Education was accepted, and reference ordered to a special committee.

On motion of Rev. J. Todd, D. D., of Massachusetts, it was *Voted*, That the thanks of this Council be presented to Rev. Dr. Sturtevant for his excellent sermon preached before the Council on Thursday morning last, and that a copy be requested for publication with the procedures of the Council.

Rev. J. E. Roy read the following report on Church Building at the West :

CHURCH BUILDING.

The first instance in this country of aid in building a meeting-house was that when the Pilgrim Church made its first contribution for any object outside of its own wants to assist the Second Congregational Church of America in erecting its house of worship. The example thus set has been followed in many individual cases since. But the enterprise, as a systematic policy, was inaugurated in 1852 by the Albany Convention. When, in that assembly, the brethren of the East perceived the grace that was given unto the churches of the West in the inheritance of the Faith and Order of the Apostles and Puritans, they gave unto them the right hands of fellowship; and, as a token of affection, animated by the magnificent proffer of the mover of the project, they resolved to put into those right hands the sum of fifty thousand dollars to aid those churches in the erection of sanctuaries. Upon the same sabbath day, under an impulse of love, as when of old the people brought more than enough for the service of the sanctuary, this offering of sympathy produced an overplus of eleven thousand eight hundred and ninety-one dollars. That fund aided two hundred and thirty missionary churches in building houses of worship.

So blessed were the results of that ministration of charity, and so great was the pressure for additional aid of this kind, that a second offering was called for on Forefathers' Day in 1856. It was a pious effort to build a monument in memory of the Pilgrims, not in a single pile of elaborate architecture, but in sanctuaries that should perpetuate their spirit and their principles. This effort resulted in a collection of about ten thousand dollars, by which about forty feeble churches were helped to homes. Conviction was now confirmed of the need of some organic method in this business. Whereupon the Congregational Union, according to a provision in its constitution, — to wit, "To promote plans of coöperation in building meeting-houses and parsonages," — assumed superintendence of the work, under the care of its Board of Trustees and of its Secretary, who has prosecuted this enterprise with such wisdom, tact, and zeal, as entitle him to the grateful confidence of the supporters of that institution, and to the affectionate esteem of its hundreds of beneficiary churches. Under these auspices, during the eight years past — and those the years of our financial revulsion and of our all-engrossing war — the "Union," while meeting the difficulties and the prejudices incident to its newness, has raised the sum of sixty-five thousand four hundred and seven dollars, and has aided therewith in building one hundred and fifty-seven churches, an average of twenty per year, while the "Union" is now pledged to thirty-two more, for which the money is in hand. Thus that which was originated in an impulse of fellowship has been transferred into an institution; the waters flowing from the smitten rock are still following our Christian Israel.

In the aggregate four hundred and twenty-seven meeting-houses have been built, an average of thirty-five per year, at an expense of one hundred and forty-nine thousand two hundred and ninety-eight dollars. But these sums total convey no adequate conception of the extent of good accomplished. To arrive at this, even approximately, we must gain

an estimate from each church so aided—its necessities met, its hopes inspired, its influence and usefulness extended. Some of these results may be generalized, as follows:

I. This enterprise has secured the erection of many houses of worship which would not otherwise have been built. It is astonishing how much of stimulus is furnished by that sure amount of cash. It often starts the work. Frequently the hope of aid is the first thing presented to inspire courage to rise up and build. It furnishes the money for the necessary articles of purchase, while much of the material and labor are subscribed in kind. It sustains during the tedious progress of the work; it stimulates to the last grand effort of hope against hope to cover the final gap between present possibility, already twice or thrice exhausted, and the condition of freedom from debt. It often saves a church that would otherwise die out. At Lincoln, the county seat of Logan county, Ill., a town of three thousand five hundred population, and named for our late beloved President, a Congregational Church had lived four years in a small and unpleasant hall. Making no progress, the brethren began to be discouraged, and to talk of disbanding. "No," said the missionary, "*we must build.*" "Impossible," said they. Meeting called; disheartenment complete. The "Union" proffers five hundred dollars. Hope is rallied. The house is built, at a cost of two thousand dollars. Since the dedication, one year ago, the membership and the congregation have been doubled and the Sabbath school trebled. A revival has brought in twenty hopeful converts. And the pastor writes me: "We owe our continued existence and prosperity to-day to the encouragement the Congregational Union gave us in our hour of need." This is but a specimen, and no uncommon case. Of the twelve Congregational meeting-houses built in Northern Illinois during the last fifteen months, all of which but one had aid from the "Union," eight were incited to build by the proffered help;—the remainder could not have built alone without incurring the incubus of debt. The agent of the American Home Missionary Society for Minnesota says: "I can think of thirteen churches, which now have houses of worship, that in the first instance were undoubtedly stimulated to build by the proffer of aid. Without it, building in each case would have been delayed longer than it was, and in several cases it would not have been accomplished at all." The agent for Kansas says: "But for such help, nine of these sixteen churches, built with aid from the Union, would now be incomplete, probably not begun; four would have been put off for months, perhaps for years; and but three at the utmost would have been built without aid." And these sixteen are all the Congregational meeting-houses there are in that martyr State. The agent for North-western Wisconsin says: "I am sure the prosperity, if not the continued existence, of several of our more useful churches is largely due to the fact that houses of worship were secured soon after their organization; while several churches within my field, in villages of considerable importance, are now threatened with extinction because they are not provided with places of worship wholly their own." From many years of observation, and after consultation with other persons well informed upon these matters, I am confident that of the four hundred and twenty-seven churches aided, one half would now be without houses of worship, and one quarter would yet be burdened with debt or with unfinished enterprises, had it not been for such assistance.

II. Church-building has been an efficient *auxiliary of Home Missions*. The Home Missionary Societies and the Congregational Union have to deal with the same churches, the young and the feeble. One is the Commissary department; the other, the Quarter-master's. All that can be said of the influence of the sanctuary anywhere may be said of the missionary church, while to it are thereby secured peculiar advantages. In the East, churches could get along better without houses than at the West. Here the people are assimilated; there they are heterogeneous, and society lacks the attraction of cohesion. This want the church edifice largely helps to meet. In the rude community, it

becomes a visible representative of the gospel. It is a garner of generated religious influences. So important to the children of Israel during their period of training was the sanctuary, that, through divine wisdom, they were furnished with the travelling tabernacle. Many persons going West make it an excuse for absenting themselves from the temporary places of worship because there is no Church edifice. When a house has been secured, such in large numbers have been brought under the influence of the gospel. A meeting-house ordinarily doubles the congregation, the pecuniary resources, and the power of the missionary Church. It lessens the amount of aid needed; it cuts short the period of dependence; and often, at once, lifts it into self-support. Three such churches in Illinois, aided by the "Union" in building, have just dedicated their houses of worship, the slips of which were at once rented for an amount to cover increased salaries and incidental expenses, thus relieving the treasury of Home Missions, while the excess over the former income came mainly from those who had been non-supporters. We find that in Illinois *thirteen* missionary churches, thus helped to sanctuaries, soon after dedication became self-supporting; in Wisconsin, *twelve*; in Michigan, *five*; in Minnesota, *three*; in Northern Iowa, *four*; in Kansas, *three*. The secretary of the "Union" reports that to five churches the sum of one thousand five hundred and fifty dollars was appropriated to pay "last bills" on houses of worship, and that each of these at once became an independent and a giving Church; thus saving to the treasury of Home Missions the annual appropriation of one thousand seven hundred dollars for the support of preaching there. A pastor, now in the East, formerly in the West, writes to the same secretary: "I consider your cause as one of the *most important*, as it increases immensely the efficiency of the Home Missionary enterprise." In the June number of the *Home Missionary*, a minister in Iowa, reporting the dedication of a house of worship after three years of tugging and lifting, and referring to the three hundred dollars secured from the "Union," calls it "the truest helper to the Home Missionary that could possibly be invented." The actuaries of the American Home Missionary Society, whose function it is, on their respective fields, to explore destitutions, to organize and to nurse the young and feeble churches, who are brought into pastoral sympathy with the weakest flocks, and under whose eye all applications for aid in Church-building pass, are unanimous and enthusiastic in their appreciation of this enterprise as the right-hand helper of Home Missions. Their last resort, sometimes, in efforts to save a Church, is to propose to build, while the first incentive they use is the prospect of aid. They understand that by thus securing Church edifices they are doing the most efficient Home Missionary work, knowing that, in many such cases, not to build is to die. The Secretary of the Old-School Presbyterian Board of Church Extension writes: "We find that the completion of a sanctuary, free from debt, almost uniformly adds largely to the congregation, at least; on an average, doubles it; that revivals of religion are very frequent in such churches; that ministerial support is largely increased, and the period of self-sustentation greatly hastened, by securing an unincumbered church."

III. The Church-building enterprise has proven itself one of true *economy in benevolence*. Its economy in saving the funds of Home Missions we have already noticed. Then by its appropriations usually *seven times* as much is developed by the applicant churches. It was found that the sixty-one thousand eight hundred and ninety-one dollars of the first fund stimulated the raising of three hundred and thirty-seven thousand seven hundred and four dollars. At the same average, the aid granted to the four hundred and twenty-seven churches in all must have called forth six hundred and twenty-six thousand eight hundred and sixty-three dollars from the beneficiaries. Then, again, this method has saved much over the old mode of self-appointed agencies for particular churches. It was truly said in the Albany Convention, that such agents ordinarily received but little more than enough to pay their salaries and travelling expenses. The present plan obviates that

waste. It saves the annoyance of such random calls. It saves pastors the trouble of investigating each case. It secures, by the agents and committees on the ground, a more rigid scrutiny into the merits of each application, and so saves unworthy appropriations. And then, as managed by a Central Board of Trust, the almoner of a sacred charity, confidence is inspired. The economy of this work appears also from the fact that the churches thus helped to homes and so to self-support become *givers*. In their state of dependence they are trained to systematic contributions by the American Home Missionary Society and the "Union," a collection every year for these respective causes being the condition on which aid is granted; so that this habit of remembering other feeble churches will be likely to abide, and so too will every good cause be made the gainer by the increase of the number of *giving* churches. One Church in Chicago, that was aided by the Fifty Thousand Dollar Fund, gave, the last year, besides a generous support of the gospel, one thousand eight hundred and ninety-nine dollars to objects of benevolence, and paid five thousand six hundred and fifty-two dollars on a subscription of thirty thousand dollars for its permanent edifice. Of the thirty-two churches that contributed to the Congregational Union in the quarter next to the last, *seven* had been aided from the same treasury. The District Secretary of the Baptist Home Mission Society for New England, after a three months' reconnoissance at the West, said, "It is my profound conviction, that, rather than sustain two missionaries in two towns for five years, it were much better to sustain only one, and build for him a good house of worship." One, who is acquainted with the Western churches, is greatly surprised, in reading over the list of those aided in building, to find how many that are now prosperous and generous were so recently recipients of this Christian charity. Only to read in this place the roll call of the churches thus helped out of weakness into strength, would be at once a testimony and an argument in favor of the economy of this policy.

IV. A precious result of aid in building sanctuaries is its influence in promoting in them *revivals of religion*. The entrance upon such a house has often been a signal for the manifestation of the Spirit; and such seasons of revival following upon the dedication services have not been few. At the consecration of the first church aided in Illinois by the Albany Fund, the incense offered was that of the first love of several new-born souls, and this was followed in a few months by a work of grace that added some ninety persons to the company of believers. Of the six churches aided in Southern Ohio by that same Fund, *all* received a baptism of the Spirit soon after dedication. Of those aided in Illinois up to the present time, *twelve* have enjoyed revivals soon after entering their new houses of worship; in Wisconsin, *seven*; in Minnesota, *five*; in Iowa, *fifteen*. Complete returns would show that very many of these new church edifices have become at once places of spiritual nativity. It is also noticeable that meeting-houses have frequently been built immediately after seasons of spiritual refreshing.

V. As a result of the Church-erection scheme, it has contributed to an *increased prevalence of the principles and polity of the Puritans*. Since the Albany Convention, the number of Congregational churches in the West, including Ohio, has increased from five hundred and seventy-three to one thousand and eighty-four, and their membership from twenty-eight thousand two hundred and ninety-nine to fifty-nine thousand nine hundred and sixty-eight. If we make the increase of the last year, not yet reported, the same as the year before, then these churches will have more than *doubled* in number and in membership since the initiation of this enterprise. Various causes have contributed to this growth. One was the natural force of this free and simple polity; one was the anti-slavery position of these churches; another was the arousing, in some degree, of the people of this faith to the duty of disseminating the wisdom of the New Testament in regard to the Church constitution; and not the least of these causes was the policy of Church-build-

becomes a visible representative of the gospel. It is a garner of generated religious influences. So important to the children of Israel during their period of training was the sanctuary, that, through divine wisdom, they were furnished with the travelling tabernacle. Many persons going West make it an excuse for absenting themselves from the temporary places of worship because there is no Church edifice. When a house has been secured, such in large numbers have been brought under the influence of the gospel. A meeting-house ordinarily doubles the congregation, the pecuniary resources, and the power of the missionary Church. It lessens the amount of aid needed; it cuts short the period of dependence; and often, at once, lifts it into self-support. Three such churches in Illinois, aided by the "Union" in building, have just dedicated their houses of worship, the slips of which were at once rented for an amount to cover increased salaries and incidental expenses, thus relieving the treasury of Home Missions, while the excess over the former income came mainly from those who had been non-supporters. We find that in Illinois *thirteen* missionary churches, thus helped to sanctuaries, soon after dedication became self-supporting; in Wisconsin, *twelve*; in Michigan, *five*; in Minnesota, *three*; in Northern Iowa, *four*; in Kansas, *three*. The secretary of the "Union" reports that to five churches the sum of one thousand five hundred and fifty dollars was appropriated to pay "last bills" on houses of worship, and that each of these at once became an independent and a giving Church; thus saving to the treasury of Home Missions the annual appropriation of one thousand seven hundred dollars for the support of preaching there. A pastor, now in the East, formerly in the West, writes to the same secretary: "I consider your cause as one of the *most important*, as it increases immensely the efficiency of the Home Missionary enterprise." In the June number of the *Home Missionary*, a minister in Iowa, reporting the dedication of a house of worship after three years of tugging and lifting, and referring to the three hundred dollars secured from the "Union," calls it "the truest helper to the Home Missionary that could possibly be invented." The actuaries of the American Home Missionary Society, whose function it is, on their respective fields, to explore destitutions, to organize and to nurse the young and feeble churches, who are brought into pastoral sympathy with the weakest flocks, and under whose eye all applications for aid in Church-building pass, are unanimous and enthusiastic in their appreciation of this enterprise as the right-hand helper of Home Missions. Their last resort, sometimes, in efforts to save a Church, is to propose to build, while the first incentive they use is the prospect of aid. They understand that by thus securing Church edifices they are doing the most efficient Home Missionary work, knowing that, in many such cases, not to build is to die. The Secretary of the Old-School Presbyterian Board of Church Extension writes: "We find that the completion of a sanctuary, free from debt, almost uniformly adds largely to the congregation, at least; on an average, doubles it; that revivals of religion are very frequent in such churches; that ministerial support is largely increased, and the period of self-sustentation greatly hastened, by securing an unincumbered church."

III. The Church-building enterprise has proven itself one of true *economy in benevolence*. Its economy in saving the funds of Home Missions we have already noticed. Then by its appropriations usually *seven times* as much is developed by the applicant churches. It was found that the sixty-one thousand eight hundred and ninety-one dollars of the first fund stimulated the raising of three hundred and thirty-seven thousand seven hundred and four dollars. At the same average, the aid granted to the four hundred and twenty-seven churches in all must have called forth six hundred and twenty-six thousand eight hundred and sixty-three dollars from the beneficiaries. Then, again, this method has saved much over the old mode of self-appointed agencies for particular churches. It was truly said in the Albany Convention, that such agents ordinarily received but little more than enough to pay their salaries and travelling expenses. The present plan obviates that

waste. It saves the annoyance of such random calls. It saves pastors the trouble of investigating each case. It secures, by the agents and committees on the ground, a more rigid scrutiny into the merits of each application, and so saves unworthy appropriations. And then, as managed by a Central Board of Trust, the almoner of a sacred charity, confidence is inspired. The economy of this work appears also from the fact that the churches thus helped to homes and so to self-support become *givers*. In their state of dependence they are trained to systematic contributions by the American Home Missionary Society and the "Union," a collection every year for these respective causes being the condition on which aid is granted; so that this habit of remembering other feeble churches will be likely to abide, and so too will every good cause be made the gainer by the increase of the number of *giving* churches. One Church in Chicago, that was aided by the Fifty Thousand Dollar Fund, gave, the last year, besides a generous support of the gospel, one thousand eight hundred and ninety-nine dollars to objects of benevolence, and paid five thousand six hundred and fifty-two dollars on a subscription of thirty thousand dollars for its permanent edifice. Of the thirty-two churches that contributed to the Congregational Union in the quarter next to the last, *seven* had been aided from the same treasury. The District Secretary of the Baptist Home Mission Society for New England, after a three months' reconnoissance at the West, said, "It is my profound conviction, that, rather than sustain two missionaries in two towns for five years, it were much better to sustain only one, and build for him a good house of worship." One, who is acquainted with the Western churches, is greatly surprised, in reading over the list of those aided in building, to find how many that are now prosperous and generous were so recently recipients of this Christian charity. Only to read in this place the roll call of the churches thus helped out of weakness into strength, would be at once a testimony and an argument in favor of the economy of this policy.

IV. A precious result of aid in building sanctuaries is its influence in promoting in them *revivals of religion*. The entrance upon such a house has often been a signal for the manifestation of the Spirit; and such seasons of revival following upon the dedication services have not been few. At the consecration of the first church aided in Illinois by the Albany Fund, the incense offered was that of the first love of several new-born souls, and this was followed in a few months by a work of grace that added some ninety persons to the company of believers. Of the six churches aided in Southern Ohio by that same Fund, *all* received a baptism of the Spirit soon after dedication. Of those aided in Illinois up to the present time, *twelve* have enjoyed revivals soon after entering their new houses of worship; in Wisconsin, *seven*; in Minnesota, *five*; in Iowa, *fifteen*. Complete returns would show that very many of these new church edifices have become at once places of spiritual nativity. It is also noticeable that meeting-houses have frequently been built immediately after seasons of spiritual refreshing.

V. As a result of the Church-erection scheme, it has contributed to an *increased prevalence of the principles and polity of the Puritans*. Since the Albany Convention, the number of Congregational churches in the West, including Ohio, has increased from five hundred and seventy-three to one thousand and eighty-four, and their membership from twenty-eight thousand two hundred and ninety-nine to fifty-nine thousand nine hundred and sixty-eight. If we make the increase of the last year, not yet reported, the same as the year before, then these churches will have more than *doubled* in number and in membership since the initiation of this enterprise. Various causes have contributed to this growth. One was the natural force of this free and simple polity; one was the anti-slavery position of these churches; another was the arousing, in some degree, of the people of this faith to the duty of disseminating the wisdom of the New Testament in regard to the Church constitution; and not the least of these causes was the policy of Church-build-

ing. It is more than a coincidence that this era of the increase of churches corresponds with the era of systematic aid in erecting meeting-houses. Churches that would naturally take on the form of autonomy have, by this help in securing their houses, been saved from yielding to solicitation to assume an uncongenial polity in order to gain the needed aid in building. Not a few churches have been organized in places where a house seemed to be a prime necessity, and where the Congregational Union by its help has secured the organization of as many churches in important positions. Take an instance. At Kokomo, Ind., a thrifty railroad town, a county seat, with a fine academy, with a population of two thousand, where was only a Methodist church and a Campbellite, each with a feeble administration, another church was seen to be needed, one that should embody the small Calvinistic element of four different denominations. And though there was but one Congregational family in the place, and though some who proposed to come into the organization had never seen a Congregational minister before, yet it was found that this mixed material could be most readily affiliated under the polity of the brotherhood. But a house of worship was seen to be a *sine quâ non*, inasmuch as two other efforts by other denominations had miscarried, through a failure in Church-building. And so the proffer of aid from the American Home Missionary Society was accompanied with an assurance of help for a house. Upon that, a church of seventeen members was organized, a minister secured, and now the sanctuary is drawing toward completion, while the membership has been doubled, and a rare position of influence and usefulness attained. Without such aid, that church, which has just now entertained the General Association of the State, and whose pastor is a member of this Council, would not have been brought into life.

VI. Our Church-building enterprise has imparted a stimulus in the same direction to all the other denominations. Taking the idea from the Albany Convention, the New-School Presbyterians, in 1853, raised a Church-Erection Fund, which now amounts to one hundred and twenty-three thousand eight hundred and forty-six dollars, and has aided two hundred and twenty-eight churches. In 1854 the Baptist Home Mission Society undertook to raise a fund of one hundred thousand dollars, but has as yet secured only thirty-five thousand dollars of it. In 1855 the Old-School Presbyterians, instead of their committee of the Board of Domestic Missions, set up a Church-Extension Board, which calls for annual collections, and has thus far aided five hundred and sixty-six churches, besides the three hundred and eighty-two assisted by the old committee; while their receipts, the last year, have been thirty-eight thousand seven hundred and ninety-six dollars and ninety-eight cents, and the aggregate of collections for this object have been three hundred and twenty thousand nine hundred and ten dollars and ninety-three cents. The Methodists have just set up a Church-Extension Board for the same purpose. Thus the denomination, nine-tenths of whose charities have been given for undenominational purposes, and not a little of that to build up another sect, imparts to all the others a stimulus in the idea and the plan of church-erection. Not a little of the good done by the building of these eleven or twelve hundred Church edifices in other communions is due to the Albany scheme. Such, then, not to speak of the binding of the East and the West together by this enterprise, not to speak of its relation to patriotism illustrated by the passage, "He loveth our nation, and hath built us a synagogue," — such are some of the precious fruits of this undertaking. It has helped hundreds of churches to houses; it has been an auxiliary to Home Missions; it has increased economy in benevolence; it has promoted revivals of religion; it has disseminated Puritan ideas; it has led other branches of the church into a like work. How vast the amount of good accomplished by the outlay of so small an amount as one hundred and forty-nine thousand dollars! Such results become in themselves a sufficient argument for the prosecution of this enterprise, if indeed there be anything more to be done in that direction.

What, then, are the present and prospective necessities in this matter? "The thing which has been is that which shall be." Read over the Secretary's successive Quarterly Reports, and while you will be moved to grateful emotion in view of the good accomplished by this agency, you will also be oppressed with a sense of the vastness of the work left undone simply for want of means. The statement of so many applications, ten, fifteen, twenty, rejected for the lack of funds, becomes a painful recurrence. Nor are these the same ever-waiting supplicants. Baffled in their suit, they retire, some to struggle on with adversity, some to die; while others take their place at the suitors' stand, only to be kindly but peremptorily dismissed. Says one report: "But there are twenty-six churches now urgently pressing their claims for small appropriations, with many of which the question is, 'to build or to disband;'" and another: "Still back of these are scores of others, whose only hope of success is to be found in our treasury;" and one of the very latest says: "For scores that are waiting and longing for aid we must hold back until the givers shall afford us the means of aiding them." It certainly must be a painful experience of the gentlemen who serve as the Trustees and the Secretary of this interest, to see these successive bands of Christ's disciples, in which are the elements of so much blessing, struggling for life upon the waves of adversity, while they are themselves powerless to respond to the cry for help.

Then we find that there are in Michigan, at the present time, *fifty* Congregational churches that have no houses of worship; in Illinois, *forty-four*; in Wisconsin, *thirty-nine*; in Minnesota, *forty*; in Iowa, *fifty-eight*; in Kansas, *sixteen*; and many in other States; so that, in all, as nearly as we can ascertain, there are *four hundred* of these families of the Puritan sisterhood without homes, all of which need to be brought into the holy habitation.

Then there is no reason why we may not expect that in the next twelve years, as in the last, the churches of this pattern will, at the West, double their number, raising it from one thousand and eighty-four to two thousand one hundred and sixty-eight, many of which, in embryo communities, will need aid in securing that first of all requisites in a new country, a place to live in. There will always be, along our ever-receding frontier, a cordon of such feeble churches, the outposts of our Christian civilization, which will appeal to our sympathy. The opening of the Pacific Railway; the operation of the Homestead Law and of Soldiers' Warrants; the tremendous stimulus to new settlement afforded by the rich metals in all of the central mountain country; the flood of foreign emigration; the manufacturing interest, the seat of which is working westward; all these influences will tend to hasten the filling up of our intercontinental empire, which must be brought into allegiance to Christ. The extent of that country yet to be filled with living souls we can but little realize. The half-way place on the parallel of New York is yet two hundred miles beyond the Missouri, seven hundred beyond Chicago, the gateway of the North-west, seventeen hundred west of Boston! The Territories upon the Rocky Mountains are already coming to their majority, and asking of the paternal authority their portion of goods. Unborn Commonwealths are yet to come from that region to knock at the door of our national Capitol for recognition. The extent of territory in those oncoming States staggers comprehension. And yet into that region of vast distances and possibilities the enterprise of Home Missions is rapidly projecting itself, following in the path of the pioneer, the miner, the soldier. The Path-Finder threw out our glorious stars and stripes from the loftiest peak of those Rocky Mountains; and so the Home Missionary has unfurled the banner of Jesus upon the same Alpine range; even into the region and shadow of death has he borne it, setting up the claim of his King upon the adherents of that system of abomination which now occupies the heart of the continent. All over that region, churches of the Pilgrim faith will be born, and they must have

homes. Their Redeemer is already there, "waiting to find room." And "as the mother of Jesus looked up wistfully to the guest-chamber that cold night, drawing her Holy Thing to her bosom," so will these new-born churches of Christ look longingly to our spacious and amply furnished sanctuaries for hospitality and blessing.

Then, in the older portions of the missionary field, away from the original centers of population, away from the railway stations, in the isolated townships of well-to-do farmers, there is yet a vast work to be done. Many new churches are there to be organized; many new houses of worship to be built. If we are to profit by the experience of New England, and by its awakened interest in home evangelization, we must forestall the "waste places." Of this kind of work take an example: A banker in Michigan City, Indiana, goes out seven miles to a neglected neighborhood, cursed with a distillery, and starts a Sabbath school. A revival ensues. The distillery is turned into a flouring mill. A Church is organized, and the superintendent becomes the lay-preacher. The old school-house is enlarged; a new one is built, and this is outgrown by the congregation and the aspiration of the brotherhood. A Church must be built. People poor; prospect poorer. The "Union" proffers aid. A neat and commodious sanctuary is secured; and, through the "Union," a young man in the Central Church of New Haven, Merritt W. Barnes, as a dying gift appropriates his little all to pay the last bills of three hundred dollars,—a legacy of love commemorated by a tablet set into the wall of that house of God. Last summer, during the vacation of the Chicago Seminary, one of the students, under commission of the American Home Missionary Society, relieved the lay pastor, and in the new house was permitted to welcome nine persons into that fellowship as the result of a spiritual refreshing in harvest-time.

Then who can compute the demand for aid in Church-erection at the South? The angel of the Lord is now saying to the Philip of our Evangelism: "Go toward the South . . . which is desert." If we had come across this newly-discovered missionary field in any other part of the globe, it would thrill the heart of Christians to occupy it at once. Though their treason, in seeking the life of our nation, has slain our sons and brothers, and now our beloved President, yet thither we are bidden to go with the gospel, even as the disciples were directed by their Lord to begin at Jerusalem, the very city which had rejected and crucified him, and even as Philip was to carry the Evangel of Jesus to that same Philistia which had been the perpetual enemy of Israel. We are likewise under special obligation to propagate there that system of Church-order, which, divinely appointed, like Christianity itself, is adapted to man as man in all parts of the earth; which by its simple form and catholic spirit is well fitted to unite and assimilate that disorganized material, which, by its affinity for freedom and its cleaner record, is suited to that recoil going on at the South in intelligent and conscientious minds; and which, in its reproduced style of Puritanism, though long rejected there, will be the most hopeful means of rescuing that fair land from its moral desolation.

Now, then, the churches which, among both the whites and the blacks, are there to spring up as by magic, must be *housed*. In that disrupted society, a chaste, comfortable Church-edifice will be a powerful attraction. Said Dr. Lyman Beecher, in the Albany Convention: "If you want martins about your house, you must put up a martin-box." In the South there will be special need of using the economy of Church-erection in order to take up this great work at its flood-tide. Not as heretofore in the gradualness of the opening of the Home Missionary field, now whole States, to the number of one third of our Federal Union, already populated and seething with the antagonistic influence of irreligion, are thrown upon our hands; and God says, Take these, reform them, Christianize them. In order to meet this exigency, we shall need all the attracting, sustaining influence of sanctuaries. When our soldiers went first into the service, in the abandon of

heroism, they cared little for intrenchments; but, wiser by experience, they will now work cheerfully at every halt upon some simple breastwork. The soldiers of Christ going South, in order to save all their gain and to make irresistible their advance, must have their series of fortifications. Neglecting this, though they may gain important strategic points, their safety and success will be in jeopardy. At Hannibal, Mo., the "Union" has fortified one such position, which has stood through the rebellion a rallying center for loyalty, has sent a stream of influence along the line of railway that crosses the State, and now with its membership of one hundred, its home Sabbath school of three hundred and thirty pupils, its Mission school of one hundred and fifty, and its school of four hundred colored people, is accomplishing in that city a vast deal of work for Christ. Already applications are coming in from the South for more of such defences.

Such being the demand for Church-building at the West and at the South, how grave must be the consequences of neglecting it! Imagine this work of the last twelve years undone, a large proportion of these four hundred and twenty-seven missionary churches left without sanctuaries, and some of them dead. What apology could satisfy the Head of the Church for such dereliction? Then imagine the four hundred families in our Christian sisterhood, yet without homes, deprived of all prospect of aid from this source in the future, many of them doomed to a protracted feebleness, which shall deaden hope and finally life itself. Then consider the hundreds of churches yet to spring up, many of which, if not planted in the house of the Lord, will droop, and bear but little fruit. In the failure to provide these garnerers, vast harvests will go into the earth.

Then, as a consequence of neglecting this work, many of these Puritan flocks will be driven into folds not congenial. It would be a shame that the body of churches, which led the way in this scheme of benevolence, should fall behind in the enterprise, and actually turn its own people over to those of other faith and order for hospitality. It would be worse than a shame; it would be a crime: for "if any provide not for his own, and specially for those of his own house, he hath denied the faith, and is worse than an infidel." These which, by lineal descent or by *adoption*, are the children of the Puritan family, have a right, by all principles of equity and of grace, to look to the parent for nurture and for protection. The two denominations which have learned to do this work the most efficiently are those that would make the most of a draft upon the Congregational material. We honor those branches of Christ's people; we wish them all success in bringing their feeble churches into the sanctuary. But we think that we have a more excellent way; that the people of the Puritan faith can do the most good under the forms of their own simple polity, and that the Congregational *swarms* will do the best in Congregational *hives*. We believe that, as a miracle was wrought to convince the apostles that the gospel was to go beyond their own nationality, so now God, by the marvellous revelations of war, is teaching us that the same gospel is to be carried in the same Church-order to all parts of our land, and that the crossing of no parallels of latitude or of longitude can justify an exchange of that system for any man-made establishment. And it will be neither with self-satisfaction nor with approval, human or divine, that we come to the confession, "They made me the keeper of the vineyards, but mine own vineyard have I not kept."

It is a favor of Providence that we have in the Congregational Union an organ of this enterprise, well-manned, skilled by experience, settled in its policies, and so prepared for the crisis. Though its work is germane to that of the Home Missionary Societies, yet a wise division of the labor, which has an appalling magnitude, the certainty of raising more funds by a double appeal, and the mutual helpfulness of the two departments, will make it wise to continue the present arrangement. All the other denominations but one give to this cause a separate organ, and one of these changed to a double-acting machinery

after having tried the single. The established principle of annual collections has, over an invested fund, the advantage of keeping the cause fresh in the thought and sympathy of the churches, and of avoiding the risks of an accumulated capital; while, under the present demands upon benevolence, the raising of any competent endowment would seem to be out of the question. As to the amount that will be needed for Church-building, year by year, it will not do to put the estimate at anything less than fifty thousand dollars.

But how can the treasury be kept in a condition equal to this draft? We believe that all that will be needed will be to afford every congregation in our fellowship the opportunity of making an annual offering to this cause, and that, in order to this, every Church place this object upon its calendar. During the last reported year, only one hundred and fifty-five, or one in eighteen, of the Congregational churches contributed to this object. The secret of the success of the Old-School Presbyterian Church Extension Board seems to have been in getting collections from a large number of churches. During the last year, seven hundred and fifty-one Church-contributions were acknowledged; and these, if we leave out the gifts of two congregations in New York City, averaged only seventeen dollars and sixty-seven cents, while four hundred and nine of the churches gave but ten dollars and under. If but one half of the Congregational churches would simply "go through with the motions" of a collection for this cause, the treasury would not labor. But if, as one of the latest applicants, the Congregational Union can scarcely find room in the calendar, then it may be well for this Council to advise the churches to *make* a place for this feeder of all the other charities. In the plans recommended by the General Associations of Ohio, Michigan, Illinois, and Wisconsin, this cause has its specific month; the system is growing in favor, and this object meets with a peculiar appreciation. Indeed it should be said for the encouragement of Eastern friends, who have given so freely to the West, that the seed thus sown is now coming to the harvest. A generous spirit is growing up in those Western churches, which will join the East in liberal giving for the new West and the South. That stream of New England theology and of Puritan ideas, which has been poured across the West, has given character to its institutions, and has thus magnified its power for good, as now the swelling current shall sweep down to the Gulf. And if the parental household, by the exhausting of itself for the welfare of its emigrating offspring, shall ever come to the need of succor, then with grateful, loving attention will the children, natural and adopted, delight to reciprocate the blessing.

But still, in order to the filling of this treasury, in common with those of all other benevolence, in order to our rising to the sublimity of this providential occasion, we need a national dispensation of the Spirit that shall lead to a consecration of property and of life wholly unto the Lord.

This report was accepted, and reference ordered to a special committee.

A half-hour was spent in devotional exercises.

The committee appointed to report on the subject of Home Evangelization presented their report by Rev. Daniel P. Noyes, of Massachusetts, as follows:

PAROCHIAL EVANGELIZATION.

The Committee appointed to introduce to the National Council of Congregational Churches the subject of Parochial Evangelization report the following

STATEMENT.

The work of our churches divides itself into several departments. Efforts in behalf of other nations we call Foreign Missions; the founding of new churches and the

assistance of such as are feeble, within the limits of our own country, we name Home Missions; while all churches exist for a particular work, styled, in the resolution appointing this Committee, *Parochial Evangelization*—a work which looks towards the reconciliation and sanctification of all the souls embraced within the communities that severally constitute the proper parishes of the churches, and which aims at a general and complete Popular Christianization.

The object of the present paper is to bring clearly to mind this glorious duty and privilege of the churches, with some of the ways of its fulfilment. To this end, it is necessary briefly to recall the true idea and office of the Church, and to consider somewhat more at length the modes in which its established services and its administration may be most efficient.

THE CHURCH UNIVERSAL.

It is the chief end of man to glorify God, and share His joy; and of the world, to be a place of nurture for souls thus fulfilling their end. The Church on earth embraces all who have begun to glorify and enjoy God, and so is the essential realization of the end of creation; but being the "body of Christ," wherein he dwells and whereby he works, it is also the means of its realization. The conquest of the world is its proper function; and it is no more really the natural quality of salt to save from corruption, or of light to annihilate darkness, of leaven to leaven the lump, or of a living seed to assimilate earth, air, water, and light, into its own body, according to its own law, than for the Spirit of Christ, working in and through the Church, to cleanse from moral corruption, disperse moral darkness, fill society with a divine leaven, and incorporate with its own body, and build up in heavenly beauty, the alienated and lost souls that surround it. God ordained the churches for this end; and they must be esteemed equal to its accomplishment. In entering upon the consideration of our subject, we properly start with this assumption.

ORGANIC CHURCHES.

But, obviously, in order that our *organic* churches—which are, at best, but an imperfect realization of their idea—may justify such an expectation, they must be *really churches*, and must be nothing else.

To this end it is necessary, first, that they should be composed of believers—of those who have begun to love with Christ's love; a love in which they are holy and a brotherhood.

Furthermore, every Church must needs embody its essential idea in its organization, and be a brotherhood in form as well as in spirit; avoiding all semblance of such authority and subjection as are common in the world. No "greatest" and no "master" can be recognized here. As believers, we have one Master, and he is above: all we are brethren. The apostle disclaimed dominion, and aspired only to be a helper of joy to his fellow-disciples; and our blessed Lord specifically instructed his followers with regard to the spirit and law of his Church, when he washed their feet.

The structure of a society embodies ideas and fixes relations; and these ideas it is always teaching, and these relations are always shaping character and action. The Church needs to have the true Church-form—of a brotherhood—or its organization will be subtly, or perhaps very openly, counterworking its work. Its very organization should be the birth of a love which annihilates caste and sense of hierarchy.

When churches have thus been organized of the right material, and in the right form, they need to be careful, thirdly, to confine themselves to their true end.

The one end of the Church universal is the glory of God in human redemption; and the local Church finds its one chief end in the same result throughout the community

which makes up its proper parish; in other words, "parochial evangelization." It may not allow itself to be turned to any other object; nor can it safely unite with other churches as a constituent in societies, either secular or semi-secular, whose operations involve large material interests or weighty financial cares. One thing it has to do; and that is so immense and difficult, that it cannot give itself to anything else. In one precious and eternal bond is it united with all other churches of the Lord Jesus Christ; and it may not imperil this most sacred of all conceivable relations, so peculiarly delicate and sensitive, by grosser mixtures, so often fruitful of strife. It is essential that each Church both hold fast to its exclusively spiritual end, and to its own separate identity and responsibility, maintaining, indeed, the closest possible spiritual union with other churches, in love, but refusing all corporate and business ties with them, all authority (in the worldly sense), and all subjection. This we hold to be essential to the prosecution of a thorough and universal parochial evangelization.

When churches have thus been organized of the right material, in the right form, and for the right end, it remains, fourthly, that they adopt the right methods for the accomplishment of this end. The end, as already stated, is the working-out, under God, of human redemption from sin, into love, blessedness, and holy service; and the work of the Church may be viewed in three aspects, as related directly to God, to believers, and to man still in a state of alienation; thus having the three divisions of worship, edification, and conversion; and its efficiency in all these is necessary to its success in parochial evangelization.

THE CHURCH-WORK.

WORSHIP.

The first great duty of the Church is *worship*. This is God's due; and it is essential that every Church render a pure and acceptable worship before the throne of the Divine Majesty. But inasmuch as God is most glorified by that which is so ordered as to be also the greatest blessing to his children, its method may appropriately be considered when we come to treat of what is essential to Christian edification.

EDIFICATION.

The second great object of the Church, prominent in its work of parochial evangelization, is the *edification of its members in the divine love*.

By Worship.

The first means by which it furthers this aim is a *worship* in which it becomes a channel of divine grace to all participants, and offers itself, as such, to all witnesses of its act.

Worship is rendered (1) when the hearts and minds of a devout assembly are reverently yielded to the guidance of *Holy Writ*—records of the divine dealings, breathings of penitence, prayer, and thanksgiving, and the story of redemption—motions of minds moved by the Holy Ghost. This is the river which makes glad the city of God. We need to go back ever to these flowings of the primal springs.

There are two ways in which this benefit of Scripture may be enjoyed by a worshipping congregation: viz., listening to an expressive reading, which re-clothes the sacred words with their original life; and chanting. It is to be regretted that the latter is no more in use among us; as, with singing, it is almost the only way in which an assembly can properly join in the outward expression of worship through the lips. Our congregations will probably never satisfactorily realize and appropriate the meaning and preciousness of the most ancient songs of the Church until they have learned to chant them, and this in more reverent and less hurried style than prevails elsewhere. We should not be

altogether wide from the truth, if we were to say, that it requires the strength of a great multitude to bear into our hearts the weight and sense of these words of God. Our children ought, from the beginning, to be made to feel the grandeur and the gladness, the lowliness and the tenderness, of these inspired Psalms. Each Church needs them in the evangelization of its parish.

The two methods that have been mentioned are the only seemly and proper methods in which congregations can use the Scriptures in the public services of the sanctuary.

(2.) The "service of *song*" in the Lord's house, it is now generally conceded, needs to be chiefly rendered by the whole congregation, led by a choir. But our churches have by no means, as yet, entered upon the exceeding riches of the inheritance of the saints, contained in this elevating, comforting, and transporting service — so full of blessing to devout hearts, in its nearness and sweetness of communion with our Lord, and so universally attractive and impressive. There is a mighty power of edification and of persuasion in rhythmic, melodious psalms and hymns and choral harmonies, which our churches and their schools have only begun to realize. It can unquestionably be made a powerful instrument in the evangelization of communities and of classes that now neglect the sanctuary; and, indeed, has already often been of great service in attracting children to the Sunday School, and their parents to the place of public worship.

(3.) As the mere reading of Scripture — in seemly style — becomes a way of worship, so the *preaching* of the divine word often leads the hearts of a congregation, in a contemplation of God and a beholding of his glory, to thanksgiving, adoration, confession, and yearnings of deepest aspiration and longing. If we dare look toward the Christianization of whole communities — and what minister or Church dares aim at anything less — how must we abjure all merely literary, logical, disputatious, denunciatory, or melodramatic and sentimental preaching, and strive to bring our hearers in view of the eternal mountains of God, the mighty truths whose foundations and whose summits are equally out of sight! In those mountains is peace and joy; they are homes of power; and from them flow the living waters. The deepest truth is most divine; and is not merely pleasant, beautiful, and moving, but awful, glorious, transforming, and transporting. It is our privilege to wield this truth; and, for our work, we need it. The people must worship while they hear. It were vain to think of the Christianization of communities if we were to forget this.

(4.) In public *prayer*, the most perfect union of hearts is probably reached when one man of fervent and devout spirit leads the multitude, in words, which, with his tones, are the birth of the moment — the breathing of the Holy Ghost. But, that this may ordinarily be secured, even in moderate measure, it is necessary that the person who leads should be habitually in communion with God; and, furthermore, should be accustomed to turn his inward communings into words. The usage of our churches now lays this demand upon their ministers. It is a wholesome burden, and ought by no means to be removed. Having adopted the highest possible ideal, we ought to seek to rise to its demand. The effort, in our work of parochial evangelization, is to bring all souls into communion with God; and the Church maintains these public acts of communion, in part, from the hope that the spirit of devotion may spread, like leaven, from soul to soul, till all be leavened. But, that the leaven may spread, it must be real, and real at the time which is its opportunity.

By Instruction.

The second method in which a Church promotes the edification of its members is by supplying *instruction*.

The instruction furnished in the Church aims at the reconciliation and sanctification of

souls by bringing them face to face with God in Christ, that, beholding his glory, they may be changed into the same image. If it exhibit not that glory, it fails of its end. So far as it deals with other than eternal realities, and with thoughts lower than the thoughts of God, or is satisfied with a beauty inferior to that of Christ, it stoops from its state, and abases its sovereignty. It ought to unveil eternity; to unfold the mind of God; to take divine things, and show them unto men; to make plain the ways of a heavenly life here on earth; and to breathe something of the dignity native to souls regenerate and sanctified—the dignity of a love like Christ's. While considering the methods of parochial evangelization, neither the ministry nor the churches may forget this.

In Organization.

But verbal instruction is not all. As has been already remarked, the very structure of the Church, when what it should be, is mighty for the *instruction* of its members and of the community at large. But while, in its constitution and the general spirit of its administration, it needs to express and teach the Christian love, and while in its worship and the ministrations of its pulpit it must not fail to edify, it ought to do this, thirdly, by furnishing *special facilities and opportunities for the development among its members of an active love.*

Arrangements should be made by every Church for bringing its members together, so that they should become acquainted, and acquainted as Christians. For this purpose, the weekly prayer meeting is of priceless value. So, too, are the smaller neighborhood prayer meetings, and all social religious gatherings, and indeed all religious social assemblies—in many places too much neglected. In every practicable way the Church needs continually to strive to bring about among its members the fulfilment of the Saviour's prayer—that "they all may be *one*." Upon this largely depends the possibility of an extended Christian influence and of the development of a system of church-work. The love is indispensable to union in labor; and for the awakening and cherishing of love, there must be acquaintance and intercourse. Our church members have all been welcomed with covenant vows of affection and help, which deserve to be better kept; and, to facilitate this, special arrangements are necessary.

But not alone in the delight and the impulse of love does the Church need to build itself up; it should edify itself also in love's *wisdom*—in that spiritual wisdom which only comes from living out Christ's precepts. These precepts involve the most fundamental and comprehensive principles, which principles must be studied in their application, and not simply heard of from a teacher, in order really to possess the soul. Accordingly, the Church needs to throw upon its members the *responsibility of decision*, in the application of Christian principles, especially of those which are fundamental; and any Church leader who undertakes to decide for his Church, or, worse still, to force his judgment upon them, or, worst of all, to carry a judgment by intrigue or intimidation, misconceives his office. His office is to guide, not to dictate—least of all to manipulate. It is his privilege to lead his brethren in the study of the mind of the Spirit, so that they, all together, shall apprehend it—not to declare it by authority. He is guide, not governor. No man can be a master in the Church. And whoso departs from Christian simplicity, and assumes control, or uses "art," grieves the Spirit, sins against the brethren, and breaks the constitution of the Church. In like manner, also, any Church which submits to a dominion that dulls its life transgresses its fundamental law.

It is only by familiarizing men with the practical application of principles that they can be put in possession of them. This the Church does when organized and administered faithfully after the New-Testament model. When organized and governed after any other plan, its efficiency is necessarily impaired.

Again: not only must the responsibility of decision, especially in important questions, be thrown upon the members of the Church, but for their own spiritual good they all need also a share in the responsibilities and the manifold benefits of *church-work*. So essential is this privilege, that ~~we~~ we may even declare it indispensable. But of this we shall speak more at large under another head.

In Fellowship.

Again: each Church needs to stand in suitable relations with other churches — recognizing and feeling its oneness, not only with those of its immediate neighborhood and its own time, but with all true churches of every age. With its neighbors it should join in counsel and labor; and it has no right to allow any bonds of authority to divide it from such communion. All should be counted its neighbors with whom it can join, or whom it can reach, to bless. Nor should it lack a sense of unity with the churches of other lands, of other days, and other names. Great strength comes from a consciousness of the oneness of the Lord's kingdom; and this needs not at all a corporate unity, so sure to work disaster, and to defer the accomplishment of our Saviour's prayer, but can best exist without it.

In the Sacraments.

Finally: each Church needs for its edification to cherish most solemnly and tenderly *a sense of union with the Lord*.

The unity of all true churches of Jesus Christ with one another, and of all believers with their head, is commemorated, figured, ratified, and perpetuated in the sacraments — those universal signs and seals, which, shared by all, are a manifestation of their oneness from the beginning — of their oneness with Christ. We cannot hold in too dear affection, or celebrate with too loving and careful solemnity, these seasons of grace, in which all ages join and all disciples remember their only Lord. Churches which propose the Christianization of their parishes need to take all possible pains to secure the full blessing of these sacred opportunities.

CONVERSION.

The third great end of the Church remains; viz., the *bringing into a state of reconciliation the souls that are alienated from God*.

We have, it is presupposed, a Church composed of believers — persons who have begun to love with a love like Christ's; organized a brotherhood; worshipping God; instructing and edifying its members in the wisdom, the power, and joy of divine love; entering into sacred bonds of communion in the sacraments.

But the chief labor of Christ's militant Church on earth has ever been the reconciliation of alienated souls, the saving of the lost. Not only do our churches find their principal *work* here, but they cannot even be faithful toward their own members unless they engage them in efforts for the spiritual benefit of those who are still out of personal covenant with God. Very properly, therefore, is the inquiry urged home upon us: How can a Church be faithful and successful in this momentous work?

Trust in God.

First of all, it needs to be keenly sensible of the fact, that the work of saving and sanctifying souls is the work of God and that it is only as in union with him that men are privileged or able to engage in it.

The Doctrine of the Church.

In the *next* place, it is in a high degree important that the Church should understand

its own nature and office. Its members need to be so well instructed in the *Doctrine of the Church*, that the thought of God's kingdom, and of his earthly kingdom and family, should occupy and thrill their minds. There are no truths more full of light and power than those which center here. Without them, churches will but imperfectly grasp the idea of what they have to do, and will lack both the courage and the faith indispensable to sustained activity and a comprehensive and permanent success.

Parishes.

In the *third* place, it is important that every Church should definitely recognize and accept its own particular work — *its parish*. The very form of the statement proposing for this Council's consideration — the subject of "*Parochial Evangelization*" — seems to assume that this has already been done; and yet how seldom, in our day, is it really done!

But, if a Church is to do its work, it must know its work — know it as a Church. Nor can we reflect at all upon this matter, without perceiving that when once this work has taken definite form in the mind of the Church, and has been solemnly acknowledged before God as his commission, one important step has been gained. The divine call now sounds clear, has been understood, and the Church has answered, "Here am I."

Furthermore, so soon as a definite work has thus been recognized, its *parts* begin to be distinguished — some, perhaps, very difficult, but others more immediately hopeful; and so an *order* begins to appear; and now, no sooner has it been determined where to commence, than *methods* suggest themselves — a really intelligent beginning can be made, a beginning of the whole; and the motive drawn from the whole urges and helps the prosecution of each part; furnishing a great advantage to every working member, and especially to the pastor, whose duty it is to superintend and incite.

In most rural districts, and in some villages, the natural "parish" of the Church is so obvious that no question can arise concerning its boundaries; and where two or more churches stand side by side, and draw their congregations from the same communities, the question is still one of no difficulty; for here the churches obviously have a *joint* parish; and, having agreed upon such division of labor as the case demands, may each go forward with its own, recognizing, in reference to the whole, a joint responsibility, while also owning a distinct care and duty. Nor, where churches of other denominations are found, does this bring in any serious complication. For, acknowledging with joy their work for the Lord, we shall find enough for our hearts and hands in caring for our own and for neglected families.

But it may be said that this system of parishes is impossible at the West, where missionaries sometimes have whole counties under their charge. On the contrary, it is quite as easily arranged there as elsewhere, and is, perhaps, of greater importance than in Eastern communities; in every case, there is a community that forms the proper parish of the Church which the missionary makes his principal center. This is that Church's field; the rest is the minister's *outfield*, over which he exercises inspection, and where he temporarily bestows a certain amount of labor, in preparation for other laborers who shall enter in and establish permanent centers and parishes. It is of the utmost importance that the young churches of the West, now in their formative period, be educated to the idea of *Church responsibility for communities*.

The plan suggested may possibly be thought to be impracticable in cities. By no means; for it would supply what city churches so greatly need — a definite object and mode of practical union; and, indeed, is absolutely necessary to the thorough occupation of their field. A certain geographical allotment will, it is believed, be found expedient in all our largest towns.

The Home Prayer Meeting.

But a Church cannot be expected permanently to keep in mind a corporate duty, unless in its corporate capacity it regularly recalls and considers it. Inevitably, interest will flag, and efforts will wane, unless the Church holds regular meetings in behalf of its work. Wherefore it is evident, *fourthly*, that "the *home prayer meeting*"—i. e., a meeting devoted to prayer and counsel specifically in behalf of the Church and its parish—is valuable, and perhaps indispensable, as a means of reminding the Church of its duties, besides affording occasion for that fervent supplication which avails much.

Moreover, since all beginnings are in God, and all human beginnings leading to real success must be with God, it would seem impossible for any Church to make any wiser commencement of new efforts for its parish than this, of regular prayer in its behalf. No Church is so strong that it can afford to neglect prayer, nor is any so weak that it cannot pray. If the difficulties that encompass it are so great that it sees no way whatsoever in which it can make any beginning of new labor, then, surely, it is called to lay the case before God, to study it in his presence, and with undoubting faith to await the guidings of his Spirit and Providence.

Systematic Labor.

Fifthly, It is important that a Church should prosecute its work upon *system*. It has already been remarked, that, so soon as the Church has defined its parish, the several distinct *parts* of its work begin to appear. The community is at once resolved into four principal classes, comprising (1) the members of the Church; (2) members of the congregation, and regular attendants who are not members of the church; (3) those in some sense *connected* with the congregation, but not regular or frequent attendants at the sanctuary; (4) families and individuals having no real connection with any Christian congregation, and who come under no stated religious influence.

Each of these classes, again, has a four-fold division, according to age; into children, youth, the mature, and the old. A watchful pastor undoubtedly carries the analysis further, and classifies the members of these subordinate portions in accordance with diversities of condition, character, and history, which indicate different ways in which Christian influences may be expected to reach them with good effect.

Although we are not here called to enter in detail upon a discussion of methods of church-labor, it may be proper to indicate certain points that invite special attention.

And, first, with regard to the religious education of *children*. We believe it possible, through the Sunday school and otherwise, to secure a larger amount of valuable Christian instruction at the children's homes; and that this is necessary.

This end would be promoted by giving a greater fulness and impressiveness to the mode of administering the baptism of children (which ought always to include a brief but solemn covenant, and an appropriate chant or hymn), by a more frequent and urgent preaching of this duty, and by a system of tender watchfulness, on the part of the Church, over the baptized children of its charge, and over all the children of its congregation and Sunday school.

Furthermore, there is need of a competent revision of Scripture question-books, of Sunday-school library, and especially of Sunday-school music-books, and the relentless exclusion of all that are not of really excellent quality. The amount of poor and bad material in our children's singing-books is appalling.

Secondly, In respect to the religious training of our *youth*. It is a question for those competent to decide, whether more pains may not wisely be taken to exhibit the gospel *in its glory*, so that the young, who are easily kindled with enthusiasm, may be led to feel that nothing else can possibly be so glorious as the truths and realities contained in this

"gospel of the blessed God;" also whether, in addition to general instruction, special teachings for the purpose of guarding against prevalent errors might not be of use; whether *succinct catechisms* might not be formed for this purpose; and whether lectures upon portions of Church history, and the history of opinions, could not be turned to advantage; whether the influences of "society" may not be made more uniformly benignant and wholesome; whether pastors are really faithful in following up with personal labors the effects of their preaching.

Thirdly, Are not persons of *adult* years often incorrectly presumed to be practically out of reach? and would not a more sedulous care — would not more *system* — in pastoral labor and administration be fruitful of precious results?

It is certainly true that our churches do not study, methodize, and watch their work as they should; and important portions of it are often wholly neglected, while others suffer from the inattention necessarily consequent upon lack of system. Business is impossible without method; and a similar attention to system is indispensable to any complicated or long-continued work.

Fourthly, There are many *families* and individuals, and not a few distinct *communities*, unreached by any stated ministrations of the Word.

Within the parish bounds of many churches, in all the States, there are neighborhoods or districts the inhabitants of which belong to no Christian congregation, and are reached by no regular religious influence. These *outlying communities* require the attention of the churches.

Within the bounds of parishes, and often in the immediate neighborhood of the sanctuary itself, there are numbers of families and individuals — some of foreign, others of native birth — who are equally neglecters of the sanctuary. These *outlying classes* also demand the attention of the churches.

The circumstances of these neighborhoods and districts, and the character of these classes, are so various in the different parts of the country, that it would be unduly occupying the time of the Council to enter here upon their classification. The methods by which they are to be reached by effective Christian influence are also various; and the consideration of them, in detail, belongs to the churches themselves, and to local and State conferences, rather than to a National Council. The *leading methods*, however, are familiar to all: Special Visitation; Systematic Visitation, accompanied with a distribution of Bibles, tracts, and religious books and papers; Branch Sunday Schools; Neighborhood Prayer Meetings, in private dwellings or in school-houses; Neighborhood Preaching, with regular services of worship; and to these may probably be added, what has been so well tried beyond the water, the employment of "Bible Readers."

Unquestionably our "outlying communities" and our "outlying classes" can all be reached, if the churches will enter upon systematic, prayerful endeavors in their behalf. It was for such work that they were made. Let us not doubt that they can do it. Experience proves that a Church that is in earnest, and tolerably well guided, will meet with encouraging success, and that a well-adjusted system makes many things possible that are otherwise hopeless.

Lay Evangelists.

We have been wont to speak of the foolishness of preaching as the power of God; and rightly. But we seem to have forgotten that preaching needs not a pulpit or consecrated temple for its efficacy, but may do its deepest work by any fireside or wayside, in any work-shop or field. Wherever a heart, full of the divine love, brings this love, in the truths of the gospel, to bear upon any other heart, there the gospel is preached, the "power of God to salvation." All faithful Christian parents, teachers, and friends are instruments of the Word and Spirit of God.

It is a question, whether our churches may not safely set apart and "license" certain of their members — gifted with a suitable measure of wisdom, knowledge, and power of utterance — as *lay evangelists*, to superintend and carry on the Christian work, in out-stations, under the particular counsel and oversight of the Church, through its committee and pastor. We are inclined to believe that an important portion of our work now waiting to be done can be done in no other way.

Local Conferences.

Fifthly, This whole matter is peculiarly the proper and the principal theme of Church conferences.

It would seem to require no argument to prove that the immediate work of the churches is properly their main concern. Would it not, indeed, be a strange mistake, if, when assembled in conference, they were to omit its consideration, or were to fail to give to it, ordinarily, the greater part of the time which they spend together? Our Sunday-school teachers find enough to interest large conventions in the details of a comparatively limited and simple task. How vastly more various, comprehensive, and difficult are the responsibilities which come upon the churches and their pastors! There can be no question that these demand a profounder and more general study; and, for the purpose of bringing them statedly before the churches in judicious form and manner, and of securing an intelligent comparison and summary of methods and results, it would probably be well for local conferences to maintain standing Committees on Parochial Evangelization. These conferences can also, by means of public discussions and addresses, affect the movements of public opinion, and create currents of sentiment which will greatly assist each local Church in its particular work.

State Conferences.

Sixthly, The larger conferences, embracing entire States, can render a similar service, appointing their Commissions on Popular Christianization — gathering thus the results of the general experience for general benefit, and marking the general progress from year to year, and decade to decade; in this process inevitably bringing into prominence questions of universal and permanent interest.

*The American Congregational Association **

Now have under consideration a plan for securing reports, summaries, and discussions, that shall cover the labor of all the churches of the country — our national work of Popular Christianization. We trust that some arrangement to this end will be carried into effect.

GENERAL MINISTERIAL ASSOCIATIONS.

Another department of our subject merits more attention than can now be given it. Error and sin intrench themselves behind defences of learning, while the truth itself is deep and wide, — a sea that no sounding-lines fathom, a continent which no armies subdue. Hence the importance, not only of a learned ministry, but also of Ministerial Associations, for concentrating the best wisdom of the ablest minds upon the exposition, inculcation, and defence of the truth. Whether the local Associations which are now universal in the older parts of the country are all that we need, and whether larger "colleges," converging the learning and experience of the ministry of entire States, may not have a place in the best Church system, are questions not yet answered. When we consider how much is implied in the thorough Christianization of any community, that the evangelization of

* Until recently, known as the "Congregational Library Association."

our parishes not only involves the defeat of iniquity in its high places and its palaces, but also an increase in the average depth and an elevation of the average tone of popular thinking. We cannot but be deeply impressed with the importance of securing, on the part of our ministry, a patient, united study of great religious themes and issues. We need to join our strength, in the endeavor to think the thoughts of God; to comprehend, expound, and defend the truth. Nor do we despair of this result, but are inclined to believe that Ministerial Associations, *general* as well as local, have an important part to play in the grand work of Popular Christianization.

OUR NEED AND OUR DUTY.

And now, if any are inclined to feel that your Committee has dealt too much with general views, and has had too little to say of details, we reply: The details belong to the churches and their more local conferences; and furthermore, the general doctrine, with the impulse which it breeds, is what the churches now most need. When they have come to estimate aright the sacred dignity, responsibility, and privilege which clothe the Church as God's kingdom and family, and the agency which he has ordained for the conquest of the world, they will assuredly find out for themselves the best methods of Christian labor.

Immense interests are dependent on the proper prosecution of this work of Parochial Evangelization. Unless the churches rise to a higher efficiency, not only must multitudes of souls from their own communities go down in hopeless paths of sin, but the work that calls to us from broad Territories and from newly opened States must fail in its very beginning; and our institutions, flooded with a foreign tide, and penetrated with a hidden decay in their very foundations, must yet come to their fall. The hope of our land is in the success of its churches.

Our fathers expected to find a Christian people. This, their purpose, is our inheritance. Let us solemnly resume it in all its breadth; lift up a standard to the people, and cast up highways for their return, until they shall all have come in, a "holy people, the redeemed of the Lord."

In conclusion, your Committee respectfully suggest the adoption of the following

RECOMMENDATIONS TO CHURCHES AND MINISTERS.

1. That, when possible, every Church, taking counsel if necessary with neighboring churches, define for itself the territory embraced in its parish, and recognize a special responsibility to labor for the spiritual benefit of all Congregational and all neglected families and individuals within those bounds.

When churches are so near together that this is impossible, it is recommended that they accept the common territory as their *joint parish*, and, with a similar formal acknowledgment of responsibility, secure a good mutual understanding for the best prosecution of their work. But even in cities we counsel a geographical division in reference to certain kinds of labor. In the newer portions of the country, when convenient, an *out-field* may be designated in connection with the parish proper.

2. We recommend to all churches to devote one prayer-meeting, every month (or perhaps, in the case of the feeblest country churches, one in each quarter), to the special object of the Church and its work—giving to this meeting the name of *The Home Prayer Meeting*.

3. We would suggest that each Church set before itself, as its work, the complete Christianization of its parish, and enter methodically upon the prosecution of this enterprise—classifying the whole population intrusted of God to its care, and endeavoring to shape its instrumentalities so as to reach the whole; keeping, also, careful records of all

known labor, and all visible progress, and reporting the same, in proper form for record, to their neighbor-churches in conference.

4. That the churches maintain — through local conferences — *Standing Committees on Parochial Evangelization*.

5. That the churches meeting in State conferences create permanent *Commissions on Popular Christianization*.

6. We shall be gratified to learn that the American Congregational Association has perfected plans for the promotion of movements toward Popular Christianization throughout the country.

7. That all ministers of churches (1) take special pains to instruct their people in the true *Doctrine of the Church*; bringing into special prominence (a) the character of its material — believers; (b) the form of its organization — a brotherhood; (c) the dignity of its three-fold end — God's glory in conversion, holiness, and worship; (d) the several methods whereby it accomplishes its end; making especially prominent the duty of each Church to be, within itself, a veritable family of God, and, for those without, a band of loving missionaries; and sedulously inculcating the doctrine of *Church responsibility for communities*. (2.) That the ministers systematize the work of their churches, apportioning it so that none of it shall be overlooked, and none unnecessarily neglected; and aiming to secure the effective employment of as many church-members as possible in some form of Christian effort.

8. It is furthermore suggested that the churches, in their local conferences, take into consideration the expediency of endeavoring to meet the wants of such outlying districts as cannot be steadily supplied with the services of ministers of the gospel, by the employment of *lay evangelists*, regularly appointed by the Church or its Committee.

9. We suggest, whether it may not be wise to test by trial, whether a State Ministerial Association cannot be of service as a professional body for professional ends — a *college* for the promotion of Christian fellowship, and of the knowledge, wisdom, and skill requisite for the inculcation of the truth, the sagacious conduct of necessary controversies, and the successful administration of the pastorate; thus rounding out, in full symmetry, our Congregational organization.

DANIEL P. NOYES, }
HENRY M. DEXTER, } *Committee.*

Boston, June 14, 1865.

On motion of Rev. Dr. Wolcott, of Ohio, it was *Voted*, That this body having learned that His Excellency Governor Andrew, of Massachusetts, is in the city, and would take pleasure in paying his respects to this Council, and cannot do so conveniently after to-day, will be pleased to receive him at 3 P. M.

The Nominating Committee presented the names of the following to be members of the special committee to whom was referred the report "On Education for the Ministry" read by Dr. Palmer, and the communication in regard to Lincoln College, and the same were approved: viz., [Rev. S. Sweetser, D. D., substitute;] Hon. W. W. Thomas, Maine; Rev. Henry E. Parker, New Hampshire; Rev. Silas McKeen, D. D., Vermont; Rev. T. P. Field, D. D., Connecticut; Rev. Thomas Wickes, D. D., Ohio; Rev. A. S. Kedzie, Michigan; Rev. W. DeLoss Love, Wisconsin; Rev. Elisha Jenney, Illinois; [Rev. L. Bodwell, Kansas;] Jacob Bacon, Esq., California.

Also as the Committee to consider the paper presented to the Council in regard to an "American Protestant Assembly," as follows: Rev. Jeremiah Taylor, D. D., Connecticut; Rev. E. B. Webb, Massachusetts; Rev. M. H. Wilder, New York; Rev. A. K. Packard, Minnesota; Rev. P. C. Pettibone, Wisconsin.

Also the following gentlemen, to be the Committee on the Report on "Church Building:" viz., Rev. S. G. Buckingham, Massachusetts; Dea. Henry P. Haven, Connecticut; Rev. F. B. Doe, Wisconsin; Dea. Philo Carpenter, Illinois; Rev. Isaac Jennings, Vermont; Rev. J. M. Chamberlain, Iowa; Rev. Edwin Johnson, Maryland; who were made that committee.

Also the following to be a committee on the subject of Temperance: viz., Rev. C. Blodgett, D. D., Rhode Island; Rev. Z. S. Barstow, D. D., New Hampshire; Charles A. Stackpole, Esq., Maine; Dea. Wm. Thurston, Massachusetts; S. O. Dana, Esq., New York; Dea. D. A. Griswold, Ohio; Rev. Joseph Collie, Wisconsin.

Also the following to be a Committee on "Parochial Evangelization," (the report presented by Mr. Noyes,) who were chosen: Rev. R. T. Robinson, Massachusetts; Rev. A. S. Chesebrough, Connecticut; Rev. J. M. Holmes, New Jersey; Rev. H. M. Goodwin, Illinois; Rev. D. Burt, Minnesota; Rev. R. Cordley, Kansas; Rev. J. T. Ford, Vermont.

Rev. I. W. Andrews, D. D., presented the following Report on "Systematic Benevolence:"

SYSTEMATIZING BENEVOLENT CONTRIBUTIONS.

The committee to whom was referred "The classification of benevolent organizations to be recommended to the patronage of the churches" have found themselves embarrassed by the difficulty of attaching precise ideas to the subject on which they were appointed to report. In the discussion of this topic at the preliminary meeting, three different classes of views were presented.

The first contemplated an examination of the existing benevolent organizations, with reference to re-arrangement and consolidation. The conviction was expressed that two or more societies might profitably be united into one, as having the same end in view; and that some others, in consideration of the urgent wants of the times upon which we are entering, should not be pressed upon the churches.

Another view gave prominence to the practical embarrassments to ministers and churches arising from the multiplicity of objects, and the confusion and conflict that sometimes result. The present workings of our benevolent system were declared to be satisfactory neither to the churches nor societies; and the belief was expressed that measures ought to be devised for their mutual relief.

The third view deprecated any consideration of the topic by the National Council, deeming it an interference with the work of the individual churches, and not likely to be productive of benefit. In accepting the duty assigned them, the committee must, of course, put themselves in opposition to this last view, though the responsibility belongs to the preliminary conference rather than to them; still, the existence of such an opinion adds to their embarrassment. If, in addition to this difference of opinion as developed at the preliminary meeting, and subsequently by consultation and correspondence, the sensitiveness of the churches on the one hand, and that of the benevolent societies on the other, be considered, it will be manifest that a duty has been assigned to the committee alike difficult and delicate. It would be vain, therefore, to expect that the views here presented would meet with universal favor. Whatever value may be attached to them, they are given as embodying the results to which the committee have been led by the best consideration they have been able to give to the subject.

The individual Christian, as well as the individual Church, has a two-fold duty to

perform: he is to grow in grace himself, and to do good to others. And this latter duty is to be performed partly by personal effort, and partly through the agency of others. Here is the sphere of what is commonly called *benevolence*. The Christian expends money to buy bibles for himself and family; we do not term it benevolence. He pays for the support of his own minister; it is not a benevolent contribution. But money given to procure bibles for distribution, to support a minister preaching to a feeble congregation, to send the living teacher to the heathen, is said to be for benevolent purposes. Possibly, Christians sometimes employ others to do what it would be better that they should do themselves. It may be easier in some cases to give money than personal effort. But the discussion of that topic belongs not to this committee. Assume that there are no shortcomings in the personal activity of the members of a Church—that no one of them performs by proxy the duty which he should perform in person; still, there is a boundless field in the cultivation of which others must be employed, and for this benevolent contributions are indispensable. It may be said, indeed, that the more of personal efficiency the Christian manifests, the more will he give for *objects* beyond his immediate reach; that the more perfectly he cultivates his local field, the more vividly will he see and feel the wants of the great field, the world.

The question, then, of benevolent contributions is one of great scope. It comprehends a large part of the work of the Christian—of the churches. The giving of money is not enough; it should be given intelligently. To what causes shall our churches contribute? To what organizations shall they intrust the expenditure of their money? These are questions always important, always pertinent. Is there anything in the peculiar circumstances of our country, or the world, that makes them specially important and appropriate at the present time? Has there been any change in the relative importance of different organizations? Even if nothing be said of the honesty, fidelity, and ability with which these organizations have been conducted, has not the progress of events, or rather the providence of God, rendered the claims of some more imperative, of others less so, than formerly? Has not this Council been convened to consider anew the fields of Christian labor, and to inquire how the work of Christian benevolence can be most successfully carried forward?

The subject of benevolent contributions is thus broad and comprehensive; and a discussion of it, while embracing, should by no means be limited to, the practical difficulties of which our ministers and churches complain.

These difficulties are, an undue multiplication of organizations; too many occasional contributions, which interfere with the regular causes; a want of discrimination, so that more important objects sometimes receive the smaller contributions; and, in general, an excess of friction in the working of the machinery. Perhaps the difficulties may be reduced to these two—a lack of system in the organization of the benevolent societies, considered as a whole, and a lack of system in the arrangements for contributions in the individual churches. Has not too much been left to accident, as well in the formation of the societies as in providing the means for their operations?

Our benevolent machinery should be as simple as possible consistent with the highest efficiency. Two or more organizations ought not to be established or continued to perform a work for which one is sufficient. The churches have no surplus, either of men or money, for organizations which are not strictly necessary. It has been said that appeals have been made to our churches, for contributions in behalf of the Freedmen, by *twelve* different organizations. Besides the useless expenditure

in keeping up so many associations, what confusion must be caused by such a multiplicity of appeals for the same object! Nothing is plainer than that no new society should be established without the most careful examination of the field to be cultivated, and of the agencies already engaged in the work. There should also be a wide consultation of intelligent, Christian men, both clerical and lay, before entering upon so important an undertaking as laying the foundations of a benevolent association, which is to be supported, if supported at all, by appeals to Christian churches.

Akin to this difficulty arising from the multiplicity of organizations is that from the numerous *occasional* calls for contributions. This evil is felt more in the cities and larger towns. Aid is needed for some object not coming within the province of any regular association, and to secure it, application is made to one or more churches where it is thought a hearing can be obtained. The applicant deems his cause to be meritorious in a high degree; and it is one, moreover, whose claims to immediate attention are imperative. It is often difficult thus to withstand the various influences brought to bear upon the pastors or officers of the Church, and the number of calls of this character may become, in the aggregate, very great.

But shall a Church absolutely close its doors to all appeals except from certain societies? By no means. With all our system, we cannot so look into the future as to see all that a year will disclose. There are good objects to which aid must be rendered outside of the regular organizations. But the number will be small.

As it is, the churches complain, and with reason. Even if all these occasional calls were for worthy objects, their number is an evil. In some cases private liberality should be appealed to. In others, the aid should be given through one of the regular societies. Almost every large Church takes collections each year for objects which come legitimately within the sphere of some one of the regular benevolent organizations. In the eyes of some men, *independence* is the cardinal virtue. They wish to do things in their own way. They care not to be hampered. If they raise the money which they think they need, directly from the churches, instead of receiving it from the treasury of a society, they are sure of a wide discretion in its expenditure. This feeling of independence, this impatience of restraint, will account for many of these occasional calls on the churches. Perhaps to the same source may be traced the formation of some of our numerous organizations.

As illustrating the great advantage to the churches of rendering aid through the channel of a regular society, rather than in response to individual appeals, reference may be had to the society established more than twenty years ago for aiding colleges and theological seminaries in the West. The churches were saved from a multitude of conflicting applications. What they had to contribute they could give with perfect confidence that it would be wisely appropriated. Substantial aid was thus furnished to institutions really worthy, while it was withheld from those that were not needed.

Some, at least, of these occasional appeals will be for unworthy objects. Yet it is often exceedingly difficult for the pastor, or the officers of the Church, to distinguish at the time between the good and the bad. Even recommendations from men of the highest respectability are not always proof that the object recommended is deserving. Names are sometimes given without any expectation that they are to be used for such a purpose. Thousands of dollars may be collected at a distance, on the strength of recommendations from men who, living in the vicinity, and having personal knowledge, would neither give a dollar themselves, nor take a collection in their churches. But men should have more firmness, it is said. Of course

they should; but the fact that all have not this desirable trait makes it the more difficult for the churches to know to which of these occasional calls they should respond.

This class of appeals should be subjected to the severest scrutiny. The churches have no funds to expend on unworthy objects; and when men discover that they have given to such, their confidence is shaken, and their general contributions are diminished.

In connection with these occasional calls outside of the regular benevolent organizations, allusion may be made to the taking-up of a *second collection* for a given cause in a single year. The exigency of the case is the reason assigned. But as there are many societies which need all the funds they can obtain, it is a question whether justice to others will allow any one to ask for a double opportunity. The precedent would be sure to be pleaded, and thus the difficulties from which the churches now seek relief would be increased. The *year* is the great unit of time for our benevolent societies, and there is eminent propriety in adhering to it.

These are some of the embarrassments under which the churches labor. The multiplicity of appeals for carrying forward the work of the regular societies, as well as for the objects lying beyond their sphere, tends to confuse the minds of the people, and subjects the pastors to a sore trial. But these are not the only parties from whom complaints are heard. The managers of our great charitable organizations are no better satisfied with the practical workings of the benevolent machinery. The conflict of application prevents a full development of Christian benevolence, and thus these organizations are often subjected to serious embarrassment in their work. Those upon whom devolves the duty of presenting these causes to the churches, find their work, which at best is never pleasant, to be doubly disagreeable.

It should never be forgotten that the churches and the societies are laboring for a common end; that the latter are but the agents of the former. There should ever be the highest Christian courtesy between our ministers and churches on the one hand,* and the officers and agents of our benevolent societies on the other. The many appeals, sometimes conflicting, that are made, coupled with the lack of system which prevails in too many of the churches, furnish ground for complaint, it may be, but not for the tone and manner in which that complaint sometimes finds expression. It is easy to find fault with agents, but the time for wholly dispensing with their services has not yet come. The number of pastors who are both able and willing to do in their own churches all that is usually done by agents is increasing every year; and just as far as the work can thus be done should other agencies be dispensed with. Nothing will tend more to hasten the day when extraneous help will no longer be needed, than a wise classification of benevolent organizations, and the devising and executing in every Church a thorough system of contributions.

The pastors of the churches and the officers and agents of the societies are laboring in the same great field. All desire that the benevolence of the churches should be so developed and directed as to accomplish the highest good. If this requires the consolidation of two or more societies into one, no personal or official considerations should be allowed to stand in the way of doing it. An organization may have been hitherto absolutely necessary, whose work can henceforth be done as efficiently and more economically by another. Great changes are taking place, new and wide fields of Christian enterprise have been thrown open; our benevolent operations must be adapted to the exigencies of the times, and to the demands which God is making upon us.

As already stated, one of the great ends for which this Council has been con-

vened is to inquire what is the special duty of the churches which we represent in relation to the great fields of labor which the providence of God has opened to us. Having given this inquiry their most earnest and prayerful consideration, shall the Council then recommend to the churches the benevolent organizations through which these fields are to be cultivated? Your committee have no hesitation in giving an affirmative answer to this question. Not to make such a recommendation would be to leave their work but half accomplished. Nor is there any reason to fear that the churches will deem it an interference. If the members of an individual Church are guided in great measure, as to the direction which their contributions shall take, by the suggestions of their pastor, who can doubt that the deliberate recommendation of this large body of ministers and delegates will carry with it great weight?

The Council should not be deterred from such a recommendation, either by a groundless fear of encroaching on the rights of the churches, or because of any apprehended insinuations of inconsistency from those who prefer some other polity. The Congregational churches have been so thoroughly pervaded with the spirit of catholicity, and so ready to do good wherever an opportunity offered itself, and to contribute money to sustain enterprises, no matter by whom controlled, that the absence of denominational feeling has come to be regarded as their denominational characteristic. And when action is proposed that has any look toward providing for our own, and especially for those of our own house, it is hinted within, and asserted without, that we are abandoning the old ways, and becoming like the nations around us.

The churches have sent up their delegates to deliberate on great questions. Shall the results of their deliberations be hermetically sealed, lest the churches shall know what has been done, and be influenced thereby? On the same principle, and with equal wisdom, suppress our *Congregational Quarterly*, and give up all our religious newspapers and periodicals.

The *ministry* is the chief instrumentality by which the world is to be converted to God. The gospel is to be preached at home and abroad. This is the great work. Others are important as they aid and supplement this. This is the principal; others are auxiliary. We call it the *Missionary work, Home and Foreign*. But the missionary is a minister, and the importance of his work may be estimated by that of the pastors of our churches. Close the places of worship in these towns of New England, and remove the ministers from their people, and then expect the ways of Zion to rejoice, and the kingdom of Christ to be built up! When those whose field of labor is on our Western frontiers have looked over the wastes, and heard the importunate appeals for ministers, they may be pardoned if sometimes they have asked themselves whether the Christians of a county in Massachusetts or Connecticut would be quite satisfied to have, as their sole spiritual guide and teacher, a colporter. If nothing could be a substitute for the ministry here in New England, neither could it be in the West. Were the members of our churches to make an estimate of the amount invested in Church edifices in the older States, as well as the sums annually expended in payment of salaries, and in defraying the other expenses incidental to sustaining public worship, they would have more sympathy with the feeble churches of the newer portions of our country, and their contributions to aid them would be greatly increased.

The Christian ministry is the great agency to be employed. Those churches which cannot, unaided, support their pastor, must receive assistance. Young men must be brought into the ministry, and the churches must aid in defraying the

expenses of their education. Houses of worship are necessary, and a helping hand must be given in erecting them. If permanent educational institutions have been found indispensable to the highest and truest religious progress in New England, and especially as training-places for the ministry, they should for the same reason be established elsewhere. So far as ministers and Christian laymen can make more available their efforts to do good, by the circulation of the word of God, or by the distribution of tracts and other printed matter, or by furnishing libraries to Sabbath Schools, they should certainly avail themselves of these auxiliary means. But it is of no little importance that these associations which are engaged in thus providing religious reading should be regarded as merely supplementing the work of the ministry. The special wants of our seamen should not be overlooked, though the duty of making provision for their spiritual improvement seems to devolve chiefly upon the members of our churches on the seaboard. So, too, a class in our own country that cannot be reached directly by our domestic missionaries may require special provision for a time; and through the same channel efforts may be made for bringing the gospel to those who dwell in papal countries. The people from whom the shackles of slavery have just fallen have a claim upon us for the gospel and the institutions of religion and civilization, which we have neither the right nor the desire to shake off. As yet but a small portion of that work can be done by our Home Missionary Society; but another organization is already doing most efficient service, whose antecedents give it the highest confidence of that people.

So far, then, as it belongs to this committee to classify organizations to be recommended to the churches, we place first and foremost the two great missionary societies—the American Board of Commissioners for Foreign Missions, and the American Home Missionary Society. If the important work of Church-building could be performed by the latter of these two societies, the churches doubling contributions, it would simplify to that extent our benevolent work. Whatever other causes are overlooked by any Church, these two should always be remembered.

The cause of education for the ministry has a relation to the missionary work more intimate than that sustained by any other, and its importance should give it place in all our churches. In some of the States an educational committee attends to the work of collecting funds, and in others the American Education Society has the matter in charge. Surplus funds might well be used, as in some other denominations, in establishing permanent scholarships.

As also directly connected with the missionary work, the Society for promoting Collegiate and Theological Education at the West should be named. Its work in the past has been most salutary, and through no other channel can liberal men do so much to advance in the West the interests of thorough intellectual culture under the auspices of religion.

The American Missionary Association is understood to devote its chief—perhaps its entire—attention to the Freedmen, and the committee take pleasure in referring to it as the fittest organization for that work.

The American Bible Society is too well known to need any special mention.

In regard to contributions for furnishing libraries to destitute Sabbath Schools, the committee venture a single suggestion. It is, that a portion, at least, of the contributions made by the children of our Sabbath Schools should take this direction, or that our churches, when raising funds for replenishing their own libraries, should at the same time contribute for feeble schools. Suitable books can be procured in many places, though none more suitable nor on better terms than from the Massachusetts Sabbath School Society.

Allusion has already been made to the American and Foreign Christian Union, and to the American Seamen's Friend Society.

Most of the organizations called into existence by the exigencies of the war will cease with the occasion which called them forth. The work for the Freedmen, however, must continue; and, as already suggested, the American Missionary Association seems to be the most desirable channel through which our churches should contribute.

There are many points to which the attention of the Council might be called, for the discussion of which the committee have not time. The importance of conducting the benevolent operations of the individual churches in a systematic and business manner, to which allusion has already been made, cannot be urged too strongly. The nature of our polity makes this indispensable. While our churches abound with business-men, it is to be feared that in cases not a few the contributions are managed with very little reference to business principles. Let it not be forgotten that benevolent contributions are a means of grace. The individual Christian who gives is benefited no less than he who receives. All proper means should then be employed to develop the benevolence of our churches. Among these means none is more important than the adoption of a well-digested plan, such as is already in operation in many churches. Suppose each Church should at the beginning of the year determine to what causes they will contribute, specifying the months. The Church, we say, not the pastor, or the pastor and deacons, for the members must be interested that they may act intelligently. The number of occasional contributions should be limited, for it is those which are responsible for most of the confusion. Let as little as possible be left to discretion, and nothing to accident. At the end of the year let a full report of the contributions be made to the Church, and entered upon the Church records. Let the appropriate committees, or the deacons, present the receipts of the treasurers of the societies as the proper evidence that the contributions have reached their destination. All other associations make their annual reports; why should not our churches? The members need to know what their Church has done. In some churches this knowledge is in possession, but not in all. It is probable that our members know less of the operations of their individual churches, and less of what is done by the churches of their order throughout the land, than those of any sister denomination. To whatever cause this may be attributed, it is to be remedied only by systematic effort in the individual Church.

The committee think that those churches which contribute to a regular cause each month will have no difficulty in completing the cycle each year. But no occasional cause should be allowed to crowd out one of those decided upon by the Church. Where collections are taken less frequently, two plans may be adopted. One is to make contributions singly for the more important objects, and to group the others, that the list shall be completed each year. The other contemplates annual collections for the great causes, and biennial for the others. Each has its advantages. Where two or more causes are presented at once, and the collection divided, the impression must be less distinct and definite. The members should give intelligently, and clear statements should be made by agents or pastors of the nature and object and workings of every association for which funds are solicited. The pastors assume too much knowledge of our societies and their operations on the part of their congregations. They forget that young people are all the while coming forward, and that men are brought into the Church from the world, with whom the American Board even is not a household word. It is our conviction that a clear, business-like statement of the condition and operations of a society, occu-

pying ten or fifteen minutes, would be more potent with the men who give the money than an impassioned appeal of an hour.

In conclusion, the evils of which so much complaint has been made can be remedied by the pastor and the churches, and by them alone. The adoption of rigid system as to contributions is indispensable to the prosperity of every Church. Irresponsible agents must be excluded, and churches must decide for themselves to what they will contribute. Their plans and the manner in which they are executed should be put on record. The history of the benevolence of a Church is worthy of preservation. Because money is given for benevolent purposes, it does not follow that it should be given at random. Perhaps, by virtue of their office, the deacons should look after these matters; but if they do not, the minister must. Let him not fear a little contact with business details. Other things being equal, the more practical talent he possesses, the greater will be his success.

The field of benevolence is large and open. A great work is before us. God has given wealth to our churches, and, to some extent, they acknowledge their stewardship. What is specially needed is *system*, both in the individual churches, and in the benevolent organizations considered as parts of one whole, and as doing a common work: it is needed in the former, that the treasury of the Lord may be kept always full; in the latter, that from every expenditure the best results may flow.

ISRAEL W. ANDREWS, }
RAY PALMER, } Committee.
HENRY E. PARKER, }

Boston, June 14, 1865.

It was accepted, and reference ordered to a special committee.

Adjourned to 3 P. M.

MONDAY, 3, P. M.

Second Assistant Moderator, Rev. J. P. Thompson, D. D., in the chair.

The following Report of the Committee appointed by the Council to consider the Relation of Congregationalism to Foreign Missions was read by Rev. W. I. Budington, D. D., chairman:—

EVANGELIZATION IN FOREIGN LANDS.

The Committee appointed by the Council upon the work of Evangelization in Foreign Lands submit the following report:—

As Congregationalists we are not only committed to the prosecution of Foreign Missions, but our place is that of pioneers in the enterprise. We have taken the lead of all the denominations in our land in the origination of agencies, and the contribution of men and means. The American Board of Commissioners for Foreign Missions is the child of the Congregationalists of New England; and although instituted in the comprehensive spirit of catholic Christianity, and common to us with the Presbyterians, and formerly with the Reformed Dutch Church, it has all along been the favorite of our people; and there is no distinction which we cherish more fondly than this of having originated and been foremost in sustaining American missions to the heathen. New England was at the outset a mission. Our fathers came here on this distinct errand, as professedly and as really to preach the gospel to the Indians, and to extend the Redeemer's kingdom, as to make new homes

for themselves, train up their children for God, and lay the foundations of a Christian State. Congregationalists, therefore, come legitimately by their zeal for foreign missions. We should be unworthy of our ancestry, and recreant to the trust we have received from them, if we should make the commandment of Christ to preach the gospel to every creature secondary to any other duty or interest. Especially incumbent is this declaration upon this *First National Congregational Council*; and not the less because we are assembled at a solemn juncture of our country's history to enter afresh upon the work of Home Missions, and adjust ourselves to the new openings the war has made for the establishment of free churches and a free gospel in the South. We are planning and praying for the enfranchisement and regeneration of our country: but we do not stop with this; we wish to give our country to Christ, that through it the world may be the more speedily redeemed. A patriotism that ends in coldness or antagonism towards the rest of mankind is selfishness and crime. Our country, its reconstruction and evangelization, is just now our first solicitude; but so far from separating the home field from the foreign, we believe them to be one and indissoluble, and it is only as we are loyal to Christ that we can hope that he will be propitious to us.

Your committee therefore recommend that the Council, as representatives of the churches, do testify their deep sense of the importance of Foreign Missions, and their unabated devotion to the prosecution of the enterprise. We need it for ourselves. The work will die at home, if it languish abroad. It is the sign of our fellowship with Christ. It is the condition of his blessing. We need it in every sense, and for every reason. Our piety needs it. It is the purest form of benevolence on earth; and it sustains and intones every other form of benevolence in Church or State. If we withhold from the heathen, God will withhold from us. If we keep back our sons and daughters from the remotest people for whom Christ died, the spirit will be wanting in them for the service of God in this our dearest country. For every true missionary of the cross who has died on the foreign field, God has poured the spirit of consecration into the hearts of our youth at home; and we have been the richer in spirit and material for every such loss. We cannot afford to shut off this source of supply, now that we are entering a wider and more destitute field of missionary effort than was ever open to a Christian people before, and we need resources of men and money which nothing short of the Spirit of Christ in the best ages of missionary zeal can impart. For ourselves, then, and the work of home evangelization, we must cultivate the missionary spirit, and bound our sympathies only where Christ bounded his.

Besides this, God has so greatly blessed us, given us such success in regions so wide and inviting, so many populations are looking to us for the gospel, and by the tacit consent of Protestant Christians are left to us, and made dependent upon us, that we are beholden of God to prosecute the work. No branch of the Church has missions relatively more important to the evangelization of the world than ours. Some of the most interesting peoples, and when converted the most influential, are ours to labor for, and by the blessing of God redeem. No missionaries from any land, in any part of the world, have won a more enviable name than the Congregational and Presbyterian missionaries connected with the American Board; nor has reater success been vouchsafed to any laborers than to them. The Turkish Empire is open to us as to no other nation, and the decayed Oriental churches are receiving almost entirely the gospel at our hands. And it is a pure gospel. The churches gathered among the Armenians are as worthy of confidence as those gathered by the Apostles, and by the blessing of God may be made as efficient in spreading

the truth. In India we have a wide and most important field among the Mah-ratta and Tamil races. In Northern China the openings are more numerous than we can enter. In South Africa we have a limited but interesting field; and Western Africa affords to us one well fitted to call forth the energies and educate the Christian zeal of the Freedmen of our country, and it seems to have been held in reserve for us by God to meet the wants of our colored people, and assist in their development. Africa and America, whose destinies have been so strangely blended in the past, are to react upon each other in blessings that shall efface the memory of the wrongs and cruelties of the age of slavery. As to the islands in the ocean world, it is enough for us to point to the Hawaiian peoples whom our missions have given to the community of nations; and though they are in a transition state from dependence upon missionaries to a condition of self-support, we must defend them from invasion and injury by others till they shall be able to preserve, by their own intelligence, the free institutions we have given them. And there is also the Micronesian mission, a most hopeful enterprise, an off-shoot of Hawaiian zeal, and doubly precious to us, as a seal of the true Christianity of those recently regenerated islands. How intimately, therefore, are we related by past labors and present commitments to the whole world of mankind, and how much is the speed and thoroughness of the world's evangelization dependent upon the continued activities of Congregational Christians! We cannot, if we would, disengage ourselves from the work; we would not, if we could.

WM. IVES BUDINGTON,	} Committee.
ZACHARY EDDY,	
C. C. PARKER,	
BENJAMIN DOUGLAS,	
WILLIAM CARTER,	

This report was accepted and adopted.

It was *ordered*, That the Committee on the Roll be instructed to report the names of those members only who furnish a list of the churches that actually participated in the vote by which they were chosen.

The following resolution was presented; viz.,

Resolved, That the committee to whom the report on Home Evangelization was referred consider the expediency of organizing some system of benevolent effort, by which, in those regions of the country where the education of the whole population is not provided for by law, teachers may be sent forth in company with the missionaries, and schools be established wherever churches are gathered.

It was *voted*, That this be accepted and referred, as desired.

The following resolution was accepted, and referred to the Committee on Home Evangelization; viz.,

Whereas, All true principles of civil and religious freedom have originated from the Bible, and can be established and maintained only by the general circulation of the Word of God through all the channels of popular education, whether in the school or in the sanctuary: therefore

Resolved, That we honor the wisdom as well as piety of our Puritan Fathers in ordering the daily use of the Bible in the schools established for the education of the people.

That we regret any departure from this time-hallowed usage, as destroying the life-giving power of popular education, which has no true basis but in those great principles of human brotherhood and equality taught alone in the Holy Scriptures.

That in our efforts to promote the education of the people, and to train up our intelligent, patriotic, and Christian community, understanding their rights and duties, and

prepared to carry out the great mission of freedom and religion opening before this nation, we pledge ourselves to maintain the right of the Word of God to a place in the schools as in the sanctuary, and to resist steadfastly any and every encroachment on that wise provision of our fathers which carried the Bible into the daily education of the people.

A further resolution was presented, as follows :

Resolved, That, in the gathering of new churches in the West and South, the National Council recommend that only those essential doctrines of the gospel in which evangelical Christians generally are agreed should be made a condition of Church fellowship.

The resolution was accepted, and referred to the Committee on the Declaration of Faith.

The following question was proposed for the action of the Council, viz. :

Is it according to Congregational principles for a minister, who is not a pastor, to represent a Church in an ecclesiastical Council ?

Accepted, and referred to the Committee on "Church Polity."

His Excellency Governor Andrew came in, and was welcomed by Rev. Dr. Thompson in the name of the Council, and made fitting reply.

The following resolution was introduced, viz. :

Resolved, That a committee be appointed to consider, and if they deem expedient to report, upon the relations and duties of our denomination in reference to religious tracts and books.

Accepted, and referred to a special committee of three.

Rev. Dr. Thompson read a letter of address to the Council from the Free Evangelical Churches of Italy. Rev. Dr. Wolcott also read a letter bearing upon the Waldenses, as follows :

The Synod of the Waldensian Church of Italy to the Christians of the United States.

BRETHREN IN CHRIST :—The Synod of the Waldensian Church, lately assembled for its annual session at San Giovanni, Piedmont, has felt it behooved it, as a Christian body, to record in their acts their sympathy for you in the loss you have sustained by the death of your late President, and has directed me to convey to you, in writing, an expression of their feelings.

Need we say, brethren, with what horror we received the news of the atrocious murder which deprived your nation of its chief magistrate ?

We had watched the course of that great and good man ; we had seen him raised, in the providence of God, to be the liberator of an oppressed race, and, after years of war, preparing to be the pacificator of his country, as full of clemency in the hour of the triumph of his cause as he had been steady in carrying on the deadly struggle. We were rejoicing with him and with you that at last peace was dawning again on your country, when suddenly we were called to mourn and weep with you.

May God sustain you in this national bereavement, and make all your afflictions fruitful of good for your people !

As to Abraham Lincoln, he neither lived nor died in vain. A Christian life like his, humbly devoted to the right and to humanity, made great by great and good deeds, and crowned with a martyr's crown, adds to the moral wealth of mankind, is an immortal honor to your nation, and an evidence of the truth and power of our common faith, such as no words could ever give.

By order and in the name of the Waldensian Synod.

LEON PILATTY, *the President.*

May, 1865.

The letter was ordered to be referred to a special committee.

The Nominating Committee presented the following for the Committee on the subject of Ministerial Support, who were appointed :

Hon. E. D. Holton, Wisconsin; Rev. H. Elmer, Michigan; Rev. E. N. Lewis, Illinois; M. S. Scudder, Esq., Massachusetts; Dea. Selden M. Pratt, Connecticut; Rev. W. Salter, D. D., Iowa; D. S. Williams, Esq., New York.

Also for the Committee on systematizing Benevolent Contributions, the following, who were appointed, viz.:

Rev. W. A. Stearns, D. D., Massachusetts; Rev. J. H. Linsley, D. D., Connecticut; Rev. Wooster Parker, Maine; Rev. J. Butler, New York; Rev. C. B. Cady, Vermont; Martin Wright, Esq., Illinois; Rev. H. A. Miner, Wisconsin.

Rev. A. H. Quint reported from the committee to whom was referred the communication from the Massachusetts Convention of Congregational Ministers, as follows:

REPORT.

The committee to whom was referred a communication from the Massachusetts Convention of Congregational Ministers respectfully report as follows:

"The Massachusetts Convention of Congregational Ministers" requests this Council "to use such language in their proceedings as a Trinitarian Congregational Council as shall recognize the fact that there are Unitarian and other Congregational churches in this Commonwealth." In addition to this communication there has appeared in the public prints, though not laid before this body, a protest against the terms of the call for the assembling of this Council, as imperilling interests valuable to the members of a body styled a "Ministerial Conference" in whose behalf it is signed. The eminent standing and character of the persons who sincerely feel that a real grievance would be occasioned by the course against which they protest, as well as the respect due to the denomination which this Council represents, requires a just and deliberate avowal of the grounds upon which we use the title of "Congregational Churches of the United States."

Our denomination is the same with that of the first churches of New England. We trace back our lineage in an uninterrupted line to that period. The same fellowship has been perpetuated, based upon a particular system of doctrines and polity, to which we now hold. The denomination which we represent has thus had a distinct and recognized existence, as clear as history can make any historical fact, by all requisite limitations and declarations.

This denomination has always had the distinctive name of "Congregational churches."

It is needless to quote authorities; for, from the days of John Cotton, the name Congregational was used to designate a particular denomination, of a faith well defined and now unchanged, as well as a peculiar polity. Nor did any others, so far as we can learn, though holding the same polity, assume that name while not belonging to this denomination. This distinctive denominational name is still our heritage from the Fathers.

It is true that some other churches now hold the same polity, in a greater or less degree of strictness, while differing from us in points of doctrine. In addition to some Unitarian churches, Baptists, Free-Will Baptists, and Universalists, hold similar views of Church polity. But it is an historical fact, that in America these denominations came into existence after the denomination which we represent had acquired an undisputed right to the title which we still hold.

Some of the churches formerly in our denomination became separated from it early in the present century, by reasons of convictions which led them to renounce the doctrinal principles which were and are essential to the fellowship of this denomination, as declared by our platforms, and established by uninterrupted practice from the days of our fathers. But the separation of a section in Massachusetts, where its chief strength lay, which, in 1820, numbered, so far as can be learned, but a small portion of the churches of the denomination, does not, it seems to us, deprive the old and recognized denomination of its ancestral name. That some separating churches may retain the same kind of government does not affect the fact that they do not belong to the denomination which has always kept its distinctive title. Nor does such a secession or separation render it at all necessary that the denomination should either give up its old name, or add any distinctive prefix. When the Wesleyans left the Church of England, the latter was not called upon to modify its title. When the Protestant Methodists separated from the Methodist Episcopal Church, that Church took no new name. When several bodies of Presbyterians were separated from the Presbyterian Church in the United States, that body retained unquestioned its old designation, although the seceding bodies held the same views of Church polity. The separating portion might well adopt a new title; but the denomination remaining needed no change, nor could any be rightfully demanded.

We find it also to be a fact, that the churches in whose behalf complaint is made, have, as a denomination, deliberately adopted a new title. In their official capacity, a recent convention, representing the Unitarian churches, wholly ignored the term Congregational, and, as "Christian churches of the Unitarian faith," organized a permanent body styled "The National Conference of Unitarian Churches." It is thus evident that there can be no conflict whatever to cause confusion or misunderstanding between the two denominations.

We are also unable to find that the Unitarian churches require adherence to the Congregational platform as a condition of denominational fellowship. Many of their churches are said to be Independent, not Congregational. In some places Church organizations have been utterly abandoned, as seems to have been recognized in the call for their recent convention, which asked delegates from societies as well as churches.

While stating that as a denomination we hold a denominational name to which no other lays claim, and which the parties complaining do not assert belongs to any other denomination, we are far from denying that there are other particular churches which maintain the same polity with ourselves. This fact was distinctly recognized in the call under which this Council is assembled. That call was addressed to "those Congregational churches in the United States which are in recognized fellowship and coöperation through the general associations, conferences, or conventions, in the several States." How far this may extend, it is clear that it recognizes that there are Congregational churches other than these. We do not see how any doubt could exist as to what churches were intended. It would be idle to say that any churches are in recognized fellowship through the Massachusetts Convention of Congregational Ministers. Indeed, the fact that only those of our denomination have appeared shows that the call was sufficiently understood.

We see no reason, therefore, why we should prefix the term Trinitarian to the name of our denomination. We are no more Trinitarian than we are Unitarian. If we prefix Trinitarian to distinguish us from Unitarians and Universalists, we must take some other prefixes to distinguish us from Baptists and Free-Will Baptists. We see no need of either.

But inasmuch as it appears that some of those who protest, have particularly in view a fear lest, if they adhere to the name of their denomination as recently officially promulgated, and we adhere to the name of ours, some rights of theirs to property, held under the name of Congregational, may be jeopardized, we do now declare that we should deem it dishonorable and unchristian to interfere with any of their present rights to funds or other property under whatever name their holders act, or to attempt to pervert funds given for one kind of religious doctrine to the use of another, whether in colleges, churches, or other bodies. And we distinctly put on record, that, from our retaining our old name of "Congregational," without any modification or prefix — as we intend to do — there should be no inference whatever drawn to the legal prejudice of any bodies not in our fellowship, as to any funds or other property to which those bodies lay claim.

We are happy to know that so many of these churches still retain the old polity. And whenever their convictions of duty will allow them to stand on the old platform of faith, of their and our fathers, gladly will we feel that we are one again.

Respectfully submitted.

ALONZO H. QUINT,
W. T. EUSTIS, JR., } *Committee.*
ASA FREEMAN,

The report was accepted and adopted as the deliverance of this Council, and a copy ordered to be sent to the Massachusetts Convention.

The following resolution was presented by the committee to whom the subject had been referred, and laid on the table until to-morrow, viz. :

Resolved, That the Trustees of the American Congregational Union be advised and requested to take into consideration the importance of a well-sustained Congregational Church in the city of Washington, D. C., and having ascertained what facilities there are for the establishment of such a Church, and what aid will be necessary, to institute arrangements, according to their best judgment and discretion, for building or purchasing a suitable edifice in the national capital, in which a Congregational Church may maintain the preaching of the gospel and the public worship of God.

Rev. Dr. Post, of Missouri, from the Committee on the State of the Country, made report as follows :

Whereas, In the beginnings of our national history, the God of our fathers brought them the confessors of civil and religious liberty to these shores, and gave to them to plant the germs of a free Christian civilization for a new world ; and

Whereas, After the lapse of more than two centuries, during which that civilization has extended over vast regions, and to the shores of the Western ocean ; and at the close of a terrible civil war, we, the representatives of churches adhering to the religious faith and order of those fathers, and witnesses in our times of the marvellous judgments and deliverances of God, have been gathered by his hand, from the breadth of a continent, to this cradle of our national life, as a national ecclesiastical council, in a crisis of solemn moment for the future of our country and the kingdom of God : we, therefore, feel it befitting the capacity in which we are assembled, and the hour in which we stand, to make the following utterances in regard to our country in this juncture of its history.

First of all, humbling ourselves under the mighty hand of God in contrite acknowledgment of the righteousness of his awful judgments afflicting our entire people, we yet record our grateful trust in his infinite mercy and everlasting truth, and we do in his presence this day, thus

Resolve, First, That for the deliverance of our nation from the insurgent and anarchical power that treacherously and remorselessly sought its life ; for the preservation of our Union and of the integrity of our territory ; for the triumph of right over wrong, of liberty over slavery, and of lawful government over usurpation ; for the redemption — though at a terri-

ble cost—of our country from the curse of slavery, and for the emancipation of four millions of our fellow-men from bondage; for the faith and hope, the intrepidity, and endurance, given to our people in the days of calamity; for their patient and unstinted sacrifice of blood and treasure for the right, and their unwearied labor and liberality in works of Christian sympathy and beneficence; and for the final, crowning victory granted to our arms; we do this day ascribe praise and glory to the Lord of hosts. We also render thanks to the Lord that he has given to the members of our government—administrative and legislative—a faith that has never despaired of the Republic, and a patience, courage, and sagacity that have not only triumphantly upborne us in the agonism of this rebellion, but guided us safely through complications threatening us with foreign war.

We offer thanks to our brave defenders, by land and sea, whose heroism has, through the hand of the Lord, achieved for us the victory; and we invoke for them, living or lying with the glorious dead, the love and honor, and, for the widows and orphans of those who have fallen, the generous protection and fostering care, of a grateful people, and we regard the rendering of this in the light of a most sacred debt of the Republic.

Resolved, That we profoundly sympathize with the grief and horror of the nation at the assassination of its late beloved Chief Magistrate, ABRAHAM LINCOLN, and with that sentiment of our countrymen and of the civilized world which now places him among the foremost in the ranks of the martyrs for liberty and humanity in the history of mankind.

Resolved, That we extend to his honored successor, President ANDREW JOHNSON, assurances of our earnest sympathy and hearty co-operation in the momentous and arduous work devolved on him, by God, of the restoration of order and tranquillity to the country after the shock and ruin of this war, and of reconstruction, where needed, on the eternal principles of truth, liberty, and justice; and of so closing up the bloody gulf of this rebellion that neither treason nor ambition shall ever re-open it.

Resolved, That we regard rebellion against a government so just, benign, and beneficent as ours; so incorporate with the essential rights of man and the hopes of human liberty; so created and administered by the people for themselves, and sheltering a prosperity so vast and so brilliant; as a crime transcending the enormity of treason recorded in the history of other countries, or of conspiracy against other forms of political order; as a crime against freedom, civilization, and human nature itself; and we feel that it is due from our government in its final adjudication upon this highest of crimes, that, while blending mercy with justice, it shall so deal with treason that the sense of its guiltiness be not impaired, and that of the majesty of law and the divine sanction of legitimate government be sustained in the mind of the nation.

Resolved, That wrongs committed against our people and our soldiers, beyond the measure of the laws of war,—such as assassination, arson, introduction of pestilence, the massacre of captives, and the deliberate and systematic slow murder of tens of thousands of prisoners by exposure and starvation,—are crimes that can find no shelter under the pretext of being “political offences,” and are beyond the pale of amnesties, challenging upon their authors, whenever and wherever lawfully convicted, justice from our own government as well as the wrath of Heaven.

Resolved, That we regard the late civil war as the judgment of Heaven upon slavery, and upon a nation in complicity with its mighty wrongs; a judgment punishing our practical infraction of our social and political life-principles, and demonstrating that such infraction is national suicide.

Resolved, That justice, honor, the maintenance of loyal control in the lately revolted States, and the safety of the nation, and gratitude for their eminent military and other services rendered during the war, imperatively require that we deal in a spirit of Christian sympathy and charity, and of a generous humanity, with a race held by this people two hundred years in bondage, and now thrust upon the perils and trials of new liberty; and that we see to it that they be protected and fortified in their new status by intellectual and religious culture, and the rights of the elective franchise, and all the privilege of freemen.

Resolved, That while we hail with gratitude the fact, that, by the removal of slavery, and the social and ecclesiastical conditions allied with it, vast regions are newly opened to a pure and free gospel, we, moreover, believe that by this war the mind of the nation at large, having been stirred to its depths by passion and suffering and the manifest tokens of a present God and a divine government in human affairs, has been thereby prepared for profounder convictions of Christian truth, and larger effusions of the Holy Spirit, and for a vaster religious movement than in the past.

Resolved, That we also at the same time recognize with solicitude the hazard, that from the disturbance or dissolution of old order, and from the shock given to former habits and ideas, and from new practices and modes of thought and feeling generated by the war, conditions of the popular mind may arise, that, unless illumined and restrained and guided by education and Christian truth, may project us upon courses wild, revolutionary, and ruinous.

Resolved, therefore, That, in view of the above facts, we regard the present as a crisis in this nation's life, demanding the immediate appliance of the most effective means of education and evangelization in our power, and that amid the agencies, creative and organic of social and political reconstruction on the eternal foundations of Right, and Liberty, and Truth, we regard as most effective and beneficent the religious Faith and Order of our Forefathers—agencies primordial to our national life, and approved in history as the most powerful of vitalizing and conservative forces; and we therefore deem it as due to the perpetuity of our national well-being, that the churches which inherit that Faith and Order should endeavor to diffuse them throughout the extent of our country, and especially to those sections now in social and political ruin.

Resolved, That we who are now placed on this height of history, and who have been permitted to behold great and terrible things which our fathers have not seen, having emerged from the stormy deeps of a civil war, and standing on the verge of a vast and mysterious continent of the future, do this day lift the psalm of thanksgiving where our fathers lifted it, mingling, as did theirs, with the roar of the Atlantic surge, to Him that sitteth King and Lord forevermore; and we commit our beloved country to him in humble prayer, that as he has been the God of our fathers, so he will be our God and the God of our children and our children's children—even till above our national starry emblem shall be seen in heaven the sign of the second coming of the Son of man.

Signed,

T. M. POST,
SETH MAY,
JAMES D. BELL,
A. C. BARSTOW,
DUDLEY R. WHEELER,
HENRY MORRIS,
O. E. DAGGETT,
J. M. HOLMES,
EDWARD HAWES,
ABNER H. BRYANT,
EDWIN JOHNSON,
J. A. THOME,
J. C. WEBSTER,
A. FISH,
E. D. HOLTON,
A. B. ROBBINS,
CHARLES SECCOMB,
S. C. POMEROY,
E. M. LEWIS,
L. P. FISHER,
GEO. H. ATKINSON,
W. W. CRAWFORD,
MILAN HARRIS,

Committee.

This report was accepted, and enthusiastically adopted by a rising vote, and was followed by prayer by the Rev. Dr. Kirk, of Massachusetts.

The Business Committee reported a recommendation that speakers be not allowed to exceed twelve minutes each, which was adopted.

An invitation was received from Doctor Timothy Gordon, a trustee of the Pilgrim Society, which was accepted by the Council, to visit Pilgrim Hall, on the contemplated excursion to Plymouth.

The Committee on Nomination reported the following to be the Committee on

"Books and Tracts," etc.: viz., Rev. Henry Ward Beecher, New York; Rev. L. Bacon, D. D., Connecticut; Lowell Mason, Jr., Esq., New York; Rev. Jacob Ide, D. D., Massachusetts; Timothy Dwight, Esq., Wisconsin; and they were made that committee.

Also for the committee to consider and report on the Letter from Italy: Rev. E. N. Kirk, D. D., Massachusetts; Rev. J. M. Sturtevant, D. D., Illinois; Rev. E. Beecher, D. D., Illinois.

The following resolution, presented by Rev. R. Anderson, D. D., was accepted, and ordered to be referred to a special committee; viz.,

Resolved, That the National Congregational Council are pleased to learn that the American Congregational Association has undertaken to erect a *Congregational House* in the city of Boston, as a suitable memorial of the present meeting, which shall serve as a secure repository for our Congregational literature in a national library; as a place of resort for our brethren coming to this ancient home of the denomination, and of the fathers; and also as a convenient center for operations designed to promote a knowledge of our principles and polity, and the unity and fellowship of our churches throughout the land. And while this object, involving an outlay of one hundred thousand dollars, is commended first of all to the enterprising citizens of Boston and vicinity, with whom it originated, it is also cordially commended to the liberal co-operation of our churches throughout the land.

The resolution in regard to a Congregational Church in Washington was taken from the table, and referred to the Committee on Church-building.

After singing the doxology, the Council adjourned to to-morrow morning at 9 o'clock.

SIXTH DAY; TUESDAY, JUNE 20, 9 A. M.

Council was opened with prayer by the Moderator, Governor W. A. Buckingham. The minutes of yesterday's sessions were read, amended, and approved.

Rev. Dr. Post, of Missouri, from the Committee on the State of the Country, presented some additional resolutions; which were recommitted to the same committee, with the addition of Rev. Mr. Bliss, of Tennessee, to the committee.

Deacon Charles Stoddard, of Massachusetts, from the Committee on Finance, made a partial report, which was referred to a special committee, constituted as follows:

Hon. C. G. Hammond, of Illinois; Hon. A. C. Barstow, of Rhode Island; Asahel Finch, Esq., of Wisconsin; D. S. Williams, Esq., of New York; Rev. Henry Ward Beecher, of New York; H. P. Haven, Esq., of Connecticut; Samuel Williston, Esq., of Massachusetts; Samuel Holmes, Esq., of New York; Douglas Putnam, Esq., of Ohio; Dea. S. S. Barnard, of Michigan; Simon Page, Esq., of Maine; Rev. S. G. Buckingham, of Massachusetts; Philo Carpenter, Esq., of Illinois.

The Committee of Nomination nominated the following to be the special committee appointed to consider the resolution on the proposed "Congregational House" in the city of Boston, who were so appointed, viz.:

Rev. C. Cushing, of Massachusetts; Rev. E. Davis, D. D., of Massachusetts; Rev. Ezekiel Russell, D. D., of Massachusetts; Dea. John Smith, of Massachusetts; Rev. S. D. Cochran, of Iowa; Rev. S. G. Wright, of Illinois; Hon. Walter Booth, of Connecticut.

The following resolution was introduced, viz.:

Resolved, That a committee of three be appointed to present to President Johnson the resolutions yesterday adopted on the state of the country, especially those portions relating to the punishment of traitors and to universal suffrage.

The resolution was amended by striking out all after the word "country," and adopted.

The following resolution was presented also by the committee, viz.:

Resolved, That the committee appointed by this Council to consider the evil of intemperance be also requested to consider its twin vice, the *improper use of tobacco*, particularly by ministers and Church members. And in case the said committee shall decide to bring in a report, or testimony against the former evil, they are hereby instructed to bring in, at the same time, some suitable report or testimony against the latter.

Referred to the Committee on Temperance without instructions.

The Committee on the State of the Country reported, by Rev. Mr. Bliss, some additional resolutions, as follows:

Resolved, That we extend to the inhabitants of the late revolted States who have been snared into this rebellion through ignorance, surprise, or overbearing violence of public sentiment, or forced by the power of a merciless conscription, our sympathy and commiseration, and our readiness, after a suitable time has elapsed, to welcome them, on satisfactory evidence of loyalty, to civil fellowship and fraternity under the old flag.

Resolved, That those who have maintained steadfast loyalty amid general treason and revolt, undaunted by popular rage or despotic violence, braving, in the cause of the country, the terrors of imprisonment, torture, robbery, and starvation, and of death itself, rank amid the noblest heroes and martyrs of this war, and deserve lasting honor and gratitude.

Resolved, That the heroic devotion and self-sacrifice of the loyal women of the country during this war will ever be gratefully remembered as having been of vital efficacy to the triumph of our cause, and will excite the admiration of history as examples of moral beauty and sublimity unsurpassed in human story.

These resolutions were adopted.

The committee to whom was referred the paper on the "Evangelization of the West and South," reported, by Rev. Dr. Dutton, of Connecticut, as follows:—

REPORT.

The committee, to whom was referred the Report on Evangelization in the West and South would state to the Council that we have carefully examined that Report, and have heard many communications from persons specially acquainted with the West and South which confirm and illustrate its positions and reasonings. We commend that Report as comprehensive, thorough, and able, and move that it be adopted by the Council.

We see no necessity of using the precious time of the Council by adding to the facts and arguments presented in the Report. We would only bring them to a practical issue, by deriving from them, and presenting for adoption by the Council, certain definite recommendations to the churches. We propose, therefore, the following result, viz.:

This National Council, in view of the vast and promising fields of evangelical labor opened by the rapid growth of our country toward the West, the North-west, and on the borders of the Pacific Ocean, especially in the mining regions, and opened also by the sublime and awful yet blessed providence of God in subduing rebellion, and giving their rightful liberty to four millions of bondmen, fields of labor, requiring for their proper culture, and requiring immediately, a large increase of laborers, and of funds for their support, do recommend to the churches of Christ whom they represent—

First of all, that they seek a large measure of the Holy Spirit, to inspire them with the zeal and faith, the courage and self-denial, necessary to make them willing and happy to give all the men and all the money needed to meet this extraordinary and critical exigency of the kingdom of Christ in our land.

Then to the organizations which, in the grace and providence of God, these churches have ready and well fitted to this work, the Council recommend that they devise liberal things: To the American Home Missionary Society, which, according to its constitution, aids feeble churches in sustaining the administration of the gospel, and sends the gospel to the destitute in whatever circumstances found, and by itinerant as well as local preachers, and which, from its beginning many years since, has performed its work with wisdom and fidelity that deserve universal gratitude and honor, \$300,000 during the year. To the American Missionary Association, which, by its past fidelity to the rights and spiritual interests of the negro race, and by its evangelical character and comprehensive plans and labors for the education and religious instruction of the Freedmen, is providentially prepared for the great work of their evangelization so suddenly thrown upon the Christian people of the land, \$250,000 the present year.

And since there are some places in the country, and especially in that part of the country which has just been delivered from the curse of bondage, and has been impoverished by the war unsuccessfully waged in behalf of bondage, where churches of central influence and of the Congregational polity, that has no guilty implication with slavery to be remembered against it, might at once be prosperously established if suitable houses of religious worship and instruction were built, we recommend that a special fund of \$200,000 be raised by the American Congregational Union, and expended under its direction for the building of sanctuaries in such places.

And because of the great importance of occupying such places at once with preachers and pastors of experience as well as ability, we recommend that churches at the North should exercise in some instances the self-denial of relinquishing for that purpose their chosen and beloved ministers, if not permanently, at least for a time.

In view, also, of the ignorance of the great body of the poor whites of the South, who at present form the chief constituency there, according to the precedents of Virginia, North Carolina, and Mississippi, we recommend the plans of the American Union Commission for a universal common-school education, and for a wholesome emigration from the Northern States, with a view to the industrial improvement of society, as worthy the encouragement and support of all Christians and patriots.

But the pecuniary want of the present exigency is not the greatest, nor the most difficult to be supplied. To meet the extraordinary call which is now made from these various fields for preachers of the gospel, and which must be met at once or much will be lost for the country and for Christ, are required (it is not extravagant to say) ten times as many as are now offered by the ordinary process of education for the Christian ministry. The Council therefore recommend that while the churches and ministry do not abate anything from their zeal and effort for thorough and accomplished theological education, but rather add thereto, and while they use all proper urgency with Christian young men to prepare for the ministry by a thorough or partial course in our theological seminaries, they also commend to the proper ecclesiastical bodies the consideration of the expediency of approving, and if necessary of ordaining with such conditions as they may deem best, laymen residing within their limits whom God has endowed with gifts and grace. And the Council do earnestly invite such Christian laymen to hear the voice of the Lord, and enter into this work.

And because of the woful need of intelligent preachers among the Freedmen, the Council recommend to the Churches the speedy establishment at the South of an institution for the training, by a short course, of colored ministers.

Moreover, this Council, while they exhort to the culture and practice of that enlarged catholicity which is according to the nature and habit of Congregationalism, they do also exhort to watchful and thorough fidelity to the Church-polity and faith of the New-England Fathers, preëminent, as they believe them to be, in their accordance with Scriptural principles and primitive practice, and in their harmony with republicanism in the State; and they would urge that that polity and faith be promoted and extended by all honorable and Christian means, and by no other means.

These recommendations, this Council, under a solemn sense of their responsibility to God, send to the Churches whom they represent, beseeching them, and not only them, but all patriotic, philanthropic people in the congregations connected with them, by the love they bear to their country; by their gratitude to God for its recent great and signal deliverance, by their sense of justice to four millions of their fellow-men divinely restored to their natural rights, and by their love to Christ, who has called them into his kingdom, that they might coöperate with him in seeking and saving the lost, that they enlarge their minds and hearts and labors to a thorough and glorious obedience to the commands of his word and his providence.

In behalf of the Committee,

S. W. S. DUTTON,
SAMUEL WILLISTON,
BENJ. P. STONE,
L. H. PARKER,
D. CLARY,
F. O. PARRISH,
J. GUERNSEY,
W. CRAWFORD,
J. BACON,
GEO. H. ATKINSON.

The report was accepted.

The report of the committee to whom was referred the paper on Church Building was read by Rev. S. G. Buckingham, chairman, as follows:—

REPORT.

The plan adopted by the Albany Convention in 1852 to aid feeble churches in building houses of worship, was regarded, at the time, as not the least important result of their deliberations. But the wisdom of this plan could never have been comprehended as it now is, after it has been in operation for thirteen years, and the results are gathered up as they are in the report before us. It has furnished so many churches with sanctuaries; it has been such an efficient aid to home missions; it has proved itself such an economical form of benevolence; it has done so much to promote revivals of religion; it has so spread the faith and Church polity of the Puritans; and so stimulated other denominations to take up and prosecute the same Christian work; that we shall never cease to bless God for the wisdom that conceived of such a plan, and the faith and enterprise and liberality that undertook to put it into operation.

But the demand which existed for such Christian work then has greatly increased since that time. Emigration is flowing westward as fast as ever, while that wall of exclusion which shut it out from the South and the South-west is broken down,

and it is likely to overflow and fertilize all that region also. And every consideration that ever urged us to engage in this work does so now, and with increased force. For if it was ever worth while to build sanctuaries for feeble churches, it still is; and there never were half so many that needed to be built as are likely to be called for hereafter. We must therefore assume the duty that is laid upon us, and prosecute the work with becoming vigor. The altered condition of the country may require some modification of our plan and mode of working, but the work is essentially the same, and the duty more imperative than ever.

Your Committee on "*Evangelization in the South and West*" call attention to such cities and larger towns as Baltimore, and Washington, and Richmond, and Norfolk, and Wilmington, and Cairo, and Memphis, and New Orleans, and regard them as coming within the scope of this enterprise. As centers of population and influence, they very properly represent them as "strategic points" in our moral warfare, and recommend that no time be lost in taking possession of them in the name of our Great Captain. The wisdom of this recommendation must commend itself to all. But it is equally apparent, that appropriations to churches at such points, to be of any value, must be very much larger than any that have hitherto been made. Instead of three or five or seven hundred dollars, it will require some thousands to secure the erection of a house of worship in such a position, and this will be doing no more for them than the former sum has accomplished for a Church in some little village. In the mining region, also, where the expense of building is so great, appropriations must be made on an increased scale of liberality to accomplish the desired object. This, however, will require vastly more of funds than we have hitherto raised for any such purpose, and also require some modification of our mode of raising funds, as well as of our scale of expenditure.

We do not regard any new agency as needed to take charge of this work. The Congregational Union, to which it has hitherto been assigned, has labored most assiduously, with the scanty force at its command, to collect funds, and distributed them with wisdom and impartiality. And with our confidence in those who have the charge of this society, and with their experience already acquired in the management of such a charity, we may safely intrust this enterprise with them.

The Committee recommend that all applications for aid in church-building be made to the Congregational Union; and that, having received their indorsement, special agents shall be assigned to particular fields for their collections, after the manner so successfully followed by the "*Society for the Promotion of Collegiate and Theological Education at the West.*"

We also recommend that we embrace within our plan of church-building the affording of aid in the erection of church edifices to feeble churches in the cities and large towns of the South and West, and that our appropriations to them be upon a scale commensurate with the importance of their position and the increased cost of affording such aid.

We further recommend, that in order to meet the increased expenditure which such an enlargement of our plan implies, and also in view of the present demand for church-edifices at the West, and the prospective demand for them in the South, the sum of *two hundred thousand dollars* be raised at once, and that a much larger sum than has hitherto been raised for the purpose be secured annually to carry out the above plan.

In conclusion we would merely add, that if we cannot rear any fit monument to the piety of our fathers, and to God's good providence over them, on Plymouth Rock, let us scatter these sacred and enduring memorials of such faith and grace over the

length and breadth of the land, and thus show our appreciation of such faith, and gratitude for such grace.

(Signed)

S. G. BUCKINGHAM,	} Committee.
F. B. DOE,	
PHILO CARPENTER,	
ISAAC JENNINGS,	
J. M. CHAMBERLAIN,	
EDWIN JOHNSON,	
H. P. HAVEN,	

This report was accepted.

It was then *voted*, That the two reports just read be considered together—their subjects being closely related.

The following telegram from the President of the United States, in answer to the message of the Council, was received and read, viz.:

Washington, June 19.

Gov. WM. A. BUCKINGHAM, *Moderator National Council Congregational Churches, Boston.*

I receive with profound thanks the despatch of your Council. In the arduous and embarrassing duties devolved upon me, I feel the need of the coöperation and sympathy of the people, and of the assistance of the Great Ruler of the universe. These duties I shall endeavor to discharge honestly, and to the best of my judgment, with the conviction that the best interests of civil and religious liberty throughout the world will be preserved and promoted by the success and permanency of our country. Let us all labor to that end, and the mission upon which the people have been sent among the nations of the world will be accomplished.

ANDREW JOHNSON.

The half-hour from 11 to 11 1-2, A. M., was spent in devotional exercises.

The reports were discussed.

The committee appointed to report further instructions to the Finance Committee reported as follows, viz.:

Resolved, That the Finance Committee be requested to pay to ministers who have presented statements of the amount of their expenses in attending upon this Council *fifty per cent.* of the amounts so presented; provided that no person shall receive a sum exceeding \$200, and provided also that no one shall be paid any amount toward his expenses who receives an annual salary of \$1500.

Resolved, That as soon as the Finance Committee can report to this Council its total expenses, this Committee have permission to make further Report as to the manner of securing the needed sum.

These resolutions were accepted and adopted.

Council adjourned to meet at 3, P. M.

TUESDAY, 3, P. M.

The discussion of the reports on Evangelization at the West and South, and on Church-building, was resumed, and continued by various members of the Council.

It was moved to adjourn until to-morrow morning at 9 o'clock. The motion was lost.

Rev. Dr. Wolcott moved that the order of business be so far modified as to allow the discussion on the question before the Council to be resumed to-morrow, and to omit an evening session, which was carried.

Adjourned.

SEVENTH DAY; WEDNESDAY, JUNE 21, 9 A. M.

Council was opened with prayer by the first Assistant Moderator, Hon. C. G. Hammond.

The minutes of yesterday's session were read and approved.

On motion of Hon. Linus Child, of Massachusetts, the resolution yesterday adopted appointing a committee of three to proceed to Washington with the resolutions of this Council was reconsidered for the purpose of amendment, and was modified to read as follows:

"That those resolutions be attested by the signatures of the officers of this Council, and transmitted to the President of the United States by his Excellency the Moderator."

The Business Committee recommended that speakers, as yesterday, be limited to twelve minutes.

The recommendation was accepted; amended by fixing eight minutes as the limit of speakers; and adopted.

The discussion of the reports on "Evangelization at the West and South" and on "Church-building" was resumed.

The report of the Committee on Church-building was adopted.

The report of the Committee on "Evangelization in the West and South" was divided, read in three sections, and adopted.

Rev. Mr. Seccombe, of Minnesota, moved a reconsideration of the vote requiring final action on the report without further debate. His motion was lost, and the whole report, as amended, was adopted.

A recess of five minutes was voted before devotional exercises.

The half-hour from 11 1-2 to 12 M. was given to devotional exercises.

The report of the committee appointed to respond to Foreign Delegates was read by Rev. Dr. Bacon, as follows:

REPORT.

This Council has been honored with the presence of brethren who have brought us friendly and fraternal greetings from various Christian bodies in foreign countries. Our neighbors beyond the St. Lawrence have sent to us the Rev. Edward Ebbs, the Rev. Henry Wilkes, D. D., the Rev. John Wood, the Rev. E. J. Sherrill, the Rev. A. Duff, the Rev. D. C. French, and Theodore Lyman, Esq., who appear as delegates from the Congregational Union of Canada. Brother W. H. Daniels comes to us from the Congregational Union of Nova Scotia and New Brunswick. From the Evangelical Churches of France, a youthful brother, bearing a beloved and honored name, the Rev. Theodore Monod, delegated by the Union of those Churches, has stood among us, and from his eloquent lips we have received a new assurance that the Evangelical Protestantism which in that great country was so long persecuted and oppressed, is yet to be a power in the conquest of the world for Christ. The Congregational Churches of Wales, worshipping God in their own ancient language, and inheriting that primitive British Christianity which is older than the name of England, have been represented by the Rev. John Thomas, C. R. Jones, Esq., and J. Griffith, Esq., deputed for that service by the Glanmorganshire Association. From the Fatherland of our own Pilgrim Fathers, two distinguished ministers, the Rev. Robert Vaughn, D. D., and the Rev. Alexander Raleigh, D. D., commissioned by the Congregational Union of England and Wales, the Rev. S. R. Asbury, commissioned by the North Staffordshire Con-

gregational Union, and another, who needs no letters of recommendation to us, the Rev. Dr. James W. Massey, have come to renew and confirm the alliance which ought ever to be firm and intimate between the Congregationalism of England and the Congregationalism of America. The presence of these brethren in our National Council gives us the opportunity of testifying the Christian fellowship of our Churches with all, in every land who are sincerely seeking to advance the kingdom of Christ.

To our brethren in the neighboring British Provinces, we need only respond with grateful recognition of their interest in us and in the work committed to us. Their peculiar work is in some degree coördinate with ours. It is for them, though under many discouragements, to maintain and propagate in their country those religious ideas and organizing forces which our fathers brought with them to New England, and which, whenever they have had free course upon this continent, have made the wilderness rejoice and blossom as the rose. May God give them enlargement and prosperity!

The salutations of the French Evangelical churches remind us of the many points of contact between the history of their country and the history of our own. Here in Boston there was once a congregation of French Protestants, exiled for their religion. The Huguenot migration to New England and to all the English colonies was one of the streams that made up by their confluence the American race and nationality. Names that were once French, and that were brought hither by fugitives from persecution almost two hundred years ago, are borne by thousands of our people, and some of them are illustrious in our history. The relation of France to the achievement of our national independence can never be forgotten by the American people while the memory of Lafayette is blended with the memory of Washington. From the earliest stages of the French Revolution to the present hour, our people, more intently perhaps than any other, have watched the vicissitudes of liberty, and especially of religious liberty, in that country. Our churches have watched with prayer and with praise to God the rekindled life in the dying remnants of French Protestantism, and gladly have they contributed something of substantial aid to a movement so full of hope for Europe and for the world. Yet when the terrible storm of adversity burst upon our country four years ago, and we looked to all parts of the world for sympathy from the wise and the good, we little expected that from a Protestant Frenchman there would come, to invigorate our confidence in God, and to re-assure the consciousness of our relation to his work who is making all things new, such a tribute to the grandeur of our cause, and such an appeal to the Christian world in our behalf, as came from the illustrious Count Ajenor de Gasparin. We were in a position which made us know with lively sensibility what was said of us, and what was thought of us, in every country of the civilized world. It gave us no discouragement to find, that everywhere the enemies of liberty, and the upholders of military and priestly despotisms, were in full sympathy with our enemies. But to know, as we knew from the beginning, that the friends of liberty and progress throughout Europe, and especially in France, were our friends, and that they recognized the identity of our cause with theirs, gave added courage to all our loyal people. To know, as we know, that the religious aspects of the conflict, and its relations to the work and kingdom of Christ, were understood and appreciated by the revived Protestant churches of France, and that their prayers were unceasingly offered for us, was to all our churches a fresh inspiration of faith and hope. From our experience of what their words of cheer have been to us in our darkest hours, we would learn,

for our own guidance in all future time, how much good the Christian people of one nation may do to the Christian people of another nation, in times of peril and of trial, by speaking to them, and speaking for them, words of Christian sympathy and confidence, in the free, clear tone of Christian manliness.

Our brethren in the principality of Wales assure us by their delegates, that, in the great struggle of our nation for civil and religious liberty, their sympathy with us has been constant and outspoken, and that their prayers have been offered to God for us in the time of our calamity. It would hardly have been strange, if, in the seclusion of their ancestral mountains, they had felt that a conflict on the other side of the globe, though all the world beside should be shaken with the Titanic struggle, was no concern of theirs, and that the military neutrality proclaimed by the government of their country required them to suppress their moral and religious sympathies. But the mountains are ever the home of free and brave hearts; and the ocean over which adventurous Madoc is reputed to have sailed seven hundred years ago, has been crossed in more modern times by thousands of Welshmen who have retained in their homes among us their own language and their communications with their kindred in the land of their fathers. The Welsh settlements in our country are settlements of Congregational Calvinists, and everywhere they have been thoroughly loyal to their country and to liberty. To them in part we owe it that the Congregational churches in Wales have so well understood the merits of our cause, and have so frankly given to us, in our conflict with oppression and with treason, the Cambrian steadfastness of their sympathy, and the Cambrian fervor of their prayers.

In England, too, our country and our churches have had, from the beginning of the great agony, firm and enlightened friends. Perhaps it was a fondness on our part, but, in that love for old England which so many ages of separation had not extinguished, we have cherished the belief that the sovereign lady, whose womanly and queenly virtues have so adorned the throne of her ancestors, has not forgotten with what enthusiasm of hospitality her royal son was received by the American people, and has freely given the homage of her personal sympathy and regard to the grandeur of the sacrifices which God has required of us for our country and for the welfare of mankind. In the highest rank of the British aristocracy, one at least was found (alas that we cannot join the name of Shaftesbury with the name of Argyle!) who at the first perceived and openly declared the necessity that was upon us, as patriots and as men, to defend in arms and at all hazards, not our national inheritance only, but our national life. Among the foremost statesmen in the British House of Commons, such men as Bright and the lamented Cobden, whose names, like that of Hampden in his day, are greater than titles of nobility, among philosophic thinkers in the sphere of political science, such men as Stuart Mill and Goldwin Smith, have been the champions of our cause before their countrymen. But notwithstanding all this, the prevalent opinion of England and of Scotland has been notoriously adverse to our cause. Though we had able defenders among those who control the journalism of Great Britain, the most powerful of the organs that sway and express the public opinion of Great Britain, the most ponderous reviews, the most popular magazines, the most widely circulating and authoritative newspapers, whig and tory, conservative and radical, high Church and infidel, if they could agree in nothing else, were well agreed in their hostility to us and in their sympathy with the rebellion.

This was not what we expected. It struck the hearts of thousands of our countrymen with a pang like that which any man might feel when some friend whom

he loved and trusted has suddenly become his enemy. Yet this is what we might have expected if we had adequately considered the infirmities of human nature and the forces by which national antipathies are generated and determined. Our kindred in Great Britain had seen with mingled pride and apprehension the portentous growth of the United States, and had been sometimes disgusted with that boastful and vain-glorious habit which has hitherto entered so largely into our national character. We cannot wonder that the British nation had some feeling of relief and satisfaction at the apparent downfall of a power that had seemed likely to rival "the mistress of the seas," and that might have attempted some day to wrest the trident from her grasp. The political institution of the United States, though in some sense an outgrowth of ancient English law and liberty, had no place for the theoretical monarchy and the actual and powerful aristocracy of the British constitution. We cannot wonder that thousands of loyal subjects in Great Britain accepted with a cheerful feeling the apparent ruin of our federal democracy, and made haste to infer with joy the impossibility of any political welfare without a powerful aristocracy. In the United States there was no established Church; but all forms of worship were alike protected by the law, and alike dependent on the voluntary offerings of the people. We cannot wonder that in England, where the Established Church is ubiquitous in its presence and its power, and where all Church parties, High Church, Low Church, and Broad Church, and all theologies in the Church from ultra Calvinism to ultra Rationalism, agree in venerating the sanctity of tithes and in abhorring the impiety of a nation without a Church by law established, there was a religious feeling, widely diffused, which devotedly interpreted our national calamity as a revelation from heaven of God's wrath against our national impiety. Nor was this all. The island of Great Britain is one great hive of manufacturing industry; and British commerce has been for many ages the cynosure of British state-manship. But on the other hand the people of the United States had shown a determination to enrich their own country by a large development of manufacturing industry; and the commerce of the United States had seemed likely to rival the world-wide sweep, and to surpass the daring enterprise, of British commerce. We cannot wonder that the nation which the first Napoleon, in the insolence of a robber, thought to stigmatize by calling it "a nation of shopkeepers," and in which the great manufacturers and traders already share with the ancient aristocracy of land-owners the actual sovereignty of the empire, was moved with something like a national joy at what seemed to be the final paralysis and ruin of a great commercial rival. Yet there was one class in the population of Great Britain which surprised the world by standing firmly and bravely for us.

The operatives in the manufacturing districts were the first to suffer from the effects of the American conflict. But, as if by some instinct divinely given, they felt and knew that the conflict was a conflict for the rights of labor and the liberty of all mankind; and from first to last they steadfastly resisted all attempts to bring them through their sufferings into any sort of fellowship with the impious power that was struggling to found an empire on the principle that the proprietors of land and capital ought also to be the proprietors of their less fortunate fellow-men. Let us give due honor to the humble operatives in the mills and forges and countless workshops of Great Britain, whom God enabled to stand firm in the day of their calamity and of ours.

From our brethren of the Congregational churches in England, we expected at the first an unequivocal and constant declaration of sympathy with the American people. Were they not our brethren, inheritors with us of the faith and order for

which the martyrs of Congregationalism suffered under Queen Elizabeth? Did they not glory in our Pilgrim Fathers? Was not our history their boast? Was not our religious prosperity, our civil liberty, our marvellous progress among the nations, the most powerful of arguments for their principles? Were they ashamed of Milton and of Cromwell, or of the position which Britain held among the nations when a Congregationalist Lord Protector reigned in the place of the perjured and persecuting Stuarts? Was not our cause "the good old cause" of the Puritan against the Cavalier, acknowledged and proclaimed as such by our enemies? Had they not, in the freedom and fidelity of Congregational fellowship, rebuked us at sundry times and in divers manners, publicly and privately, through the press and by official communications, for the luke-warmness of our zeal and the imperfection of our testimony against the wickedness of slavery? And when at last the American people, roused in part by such remonstrances as theirs, and moved by the pressure of a purely religious feeling throughout the free States—a feeling to which the Congregationalism of New England and the North contributed more than its full share of glow and impulse—rendered, in the unequivocal form of a national election, its purpose to arrest the extension of slavery and to resist the insolent demands of the slave-holding and slave-trading interest, though at the hazard of war and national dissolution—was it not to be expected that the Congregational churches and ministers of England, with one voice of no uncertain sound, would testify for the righteousness of our cause, not only as the cause of order against anarchy, and of constitutional government by votes against government by violence and arbitrary power—not only as the cause of religious liberty and the universal diffusion of knowledge against a system which made the Bible an incendiary book and the teaching of the alphabet a crime—but also as the cause of personal freedom, and of every man's right to his own limbs and faculties, against the hideous atrocity of subjecting a race to perpetual servitude, hopeless and unrewarded, and the impiety of perverting the Christian religion into a divine warrant for that atrocity.

Our brethren who bring to us in this assembly the congratulations of the English Congregational Union must not be permitted to return under any impression that we have not felt deeply and sorrowfully, through these four years of national agony, the actual position of English Congregationalists. We know that among them there have been some, whom it might be invidious to name, because we could not name them all, who have been, from first to last, our most constant, devoted, and faithful defenders. We frankly and gratefully acknowledge, on the testimony of the honored delegates here present, that the majority of the Congregational ministers and Churches in England have sympathized with us, and have prayed for our deliverance from our enemies, and our victory over the Antichrist that rose up to destroy us. But faithfulness to them and to Christ forbids us to forget that the dominant influences in the Congregational Union, and the ostensible organs of Congregational opinion in England, were against us, or that honored brethren who went from us to them, for the purpose of explaining our position, and asking for their sympathy and their prayers, were refused a hearing. Yet while we remember this, we remember it not as retaining any unkind remembrance of an injury to us. We accept the presence of the beloved and honored delegates who have stood in our assembly as a proof that they now understand us, and that the ancient fraternity and unity between them and us shall be perpetual, and as a hopeful omen that between these kindred nations there shall be peace, ever growing more intimate

and indissoluble by coöperation in all works of beneficence to mankind and of glory to God.

LEONARD BACON, HENRY WARD BEECHER, J. M. STURTEVANT, RUFUS ANDERSON, J. B. WALKER.	}	Committee.
--	---	------------

The report was accepted, and its adoption moved by Rev. Mr. Quint of Mass., and seconded by Rev. Dr. Thompson of New York.

The Report was adopted, and the Council adjourned to 3, P. M.

WEDNESDAY, 3, P. M.

Council reassembled.

Dr. Budington moved that the Business Committee be instructed to arrange the remaining business of the Council so that the body may adjourn on Friday night. The motion was lost.

The Committee on the Letter from Italy reported by Dr. Kirk, as follows:—

Whereas, The Spirit of the Lord has breathed on the people of Italy, and rekindled the fires of godliness extinguished by the Roman hierarchy; and

Whereas, The providence of God is leading this people out from the bondage of superstition and of a tyrannic priesthood; therefore,

Resolved, 1. That this Council recognize with fraternal sympathy, and with thankfulness to God, their attainment to the blessings of civil and religious liberty.

2. That this Council entertains a lively sympathy with every soul, however obscure, there and elsewhere, earnestly searching God's word to learn the character and will of God.

3. That this Council congratulates the various little bands of believers who are striving to organize themselves into churches of Christ under any of the several forms adopted in our Protestant countries.

4. That this Council regards with peculiar interest those who are founding free Italian Churches, independent of foreign control or dictation; seeking to rebuild on the foundation of Apostles and Prophets, Jesus Christ himself being the chief corner-stone.

5. That the Council request the Rev. Messrs. Clark of Milan, and Hall of Florence, to convey to our Italian brethren the expression of our sympathy, and the assurance that, by prayers and pecuniary contributions, we will do what in us lies to promote the advancement of Christ's kingdom in their beautiful and classic land.

The report was accepted and adopted.

The Committee on "Books and Tracts" reported through Dr. Bacon, as follows:

REPORT.

The committee to whom was referred the subject of *the relation of our denomination to Books and Tracts* respectfully report:

They have considered the subject in the following order: I. What are the existing relations of the denomination to literature? II. What are the disadvantages of the present order of things? III. What measures, if any, may wisely be introduced to obviate these disadvantages?

I. The Congregational churches, in all systematic operations by means of books, stand practically related to literature mainly through six different book-manufacturing and book-selling corporations: viz., 1. The American Bible Society. 2. The American Tract Society, Boston. 3. The American Tract Society, New York. 4. The American Sunday School Union. 5. The Massachusetts Sabbath School Society. 6. The Congregational Board of Publication.

Our method of operation through these corporations is simply this: to pay our

charitable contributions (amounting to a very large sum annually) to the treasuries of these corporations, by which they are expended, partly in the preparation of stereotype plates, and the manufacture of books; partly in the donation of books to missionary societies, churches, Sunday Schools, and other distributing agencies independent of the publishing societies; and partly in the maintenance of a system of book-selling or distribution, through depositories or colporters, by the publishing societies themselves. But our contributions for doing good by the circulation of good literature all pass through the hands, and are subjected to the discretion, of those concerned in the manufacture and sale of books, and are necessarily more or less complicated with their business arrangements.

II. *Disadvantages of our present method.* 1. Literary and Moral. 2. Economical.

1. The literary and moral disadvantages of our present method of benevolent operation by means of books may be stated briefly thus: that it shuts us up almost wholly to the use of the books issued by the societies which are the recipients of our contributions. The narrow classes of literature to which we have been thus disastrously restricted, may be thus defined: (1.) A negative religious literature, from which all characteristics of any individual or party have been scrupulously eliminated. (2.) A merely sectarian literature. And even within these very narrow limits we have had no access in our benevolent operations to the general field of such literature, but have been debarred from the publications of all private firms, and of societies other than those through which we have operated.

Some of the sorts of literature from the public use of which we have thus suffered ourselves to be excluded are these: (1.) Christian *secular* literature. For certain religions and philanthropic uses,—as for instance, for ship, and garrison, and hospital libraries,—an exclusively religious literature is inadequate. (2.) Generally the works of men characterized by the highest force and originality, whose writings cannot, without an excess of mutilation, be brought within the prescribed limits of the publishing societies, whether on the denominational, or on the “Catholic,” basis. (3.) The works of good men of other denominations which are marked by any of their denominational peculiarities. (4.) The books from the publication of which our own societies have been forestalled by the activity of other societies or private firms, or from which they are debarred by copyright; and this class includes a large proportion of the best productions of our own time and country.

It cannot be doubted that one of the most serious disabilities with which Puritan principles have been crippled in their progress has been the bondage under which they have been placed to publishing societies, and especially to those which have been constructed on that fallacious “catholic basis,” which presumes that the condition of Christian union is the repression of individual convictions.

At the same time, it must be considered that in some cases, being debarred from the use of the *best* literature, we have been paying lavishly for the circulation of much that is second-rate or third-rate. It is especially true in the case of the old publication societies, the New York American Tract Society, and the American Sunday School Union, that their catalogues are cumbered with many works, proved to be unsalable, or grown obsolete and superseded by better works on the same subject, which, nevertheless, (the stereotype plates being on hand,) continue to be manufactured, because, although they cannot be sold, they can be given away at the expense of the churches.

It is by no means the least of our present moral disadvantages in this matter, that the essential and recognized inadequacy of the system of publishing societies to supply the books needed, especially for Sunday School libraries, has brought in upon us a mixed multitude of books that have passed no responsible revision, and

from which the majority of purchasers have no means of making wise selection. The mixture of pernicious books in Sunday School libraries is a grievous evil, to which our present arrangements afford no remedy.

2. *Economical Disadvantages of our present system.*

It is *theoretically* bad economy to intrust large sums of money for the purchase and distribution of goods to the discretion of the same concern which manufactures the goods, and keeps them for sale. *Theoretically*, it would be better that if various concerns, public or private, have undertaken to produce good books and tracts, and offer them for sale, the charitable gifts of the public should go into the hands, not of these interested parties, but of other and disinterested parties, who shall expend them wherever they can get the best books for the least money.

We should not press this theoretical point against a system which, on the whole, was found to work well. But, in fact, the very evils which might have been predicted from this system are widely believed to exist. *This* evil, certainly, has occurred,—that in consequence of their double position, as being at once the buyers and the sellers, the publishing societies have been subjected to constantly renewed *suspensions*, which, however undeserved, have been to them an annoyance and a hindrance. If they had been either buyers only, to give away, or manufacturers only, to sell, they would have escaped these imputations. If our donations had been intrusted to disinterested parties, with liberty to go into open market for the goods, they would have brought to bear on these various manufacturing corporations the healthful influence of competition, both with each other and with private enterprise. Private booksellers have constantly declared, that, if they were allowed equitably to compete with charitable corporations, they could undersell them. There could be no imaginable loss or disadvantage to the Christian public in giving them the chance.

3. *What measures, if any, may be wisely introduced to obviate existing disadvantages?*

We will not undertake, in this place, to lay out the details of a better system than the present. What we want is some arrangement by which the Church may reach forth her hand into every department of literature, and take the best books for her use, and place them wherever they are needed, distributing mainly by the hands of her servants, as an incident in the main work of spreading the gospel. Such an arrangement we do not believe to be beyond the wit of man. It would require a board of Christian scholars and critics, who should thoroughly winnow the vast mass of books and tracts that are offered to the public by societies of every sort and sect, and by private firms, and present the public with a new catalogue, made up only from the best among them all; and a board of capable business-men, who should see that the alms of the churches were not spent to disadvantage, and who should undertake to apply donations according to the intent of the donors. It would be a simple and inexpensive institution.

The committee take pleasure in announcing the fact, that these considerations have been for some time before the minds of some of the best and wisest men in the country, and that preliminary steps have already been taken, under the auspices of President Woolsey of Yale College, President Hopkins of Williams College, and others, which, it is hoped, will result in supplying this desideratum.

(Signed)

H. W. BEECHER,
LEONARD BACON,
LOWELL MASON, JR.

The report was adopted.

The committee to whom was referred the report of the preliminary Committee on the Declaration of Faith made report as follows:

The committee, in presenting the following report to the Council, regret that time and circumstances would not allow them to prepare a condensed statement of the doctrines held by our denomination. We desire it to be distinctly understood that the brief confession of the faith which we held in concert with the great body of believers is in no sense designed to be regarded as a creed for our churches.

REPORT ON A DECLARATION OF FAITH.

When the churches of New England assembled in a general synod at Cambridge, in 1648, they declared their assent, "for the substance thereof," to the Westminster Confession of Faith. When, again, these churches convened in a general synod at Boston, in 1690, they declared their approval (with slight verbal alterations) of the doctrinal symbol adopted by a synod of the Congregational Churches in England, at London, in 1658, and known as the "Savoy Confession," which in doctrine is almost identical with that of the Westminster Assembly. And yet again: when the churches in Connecticut met in Council at Saybrook, in 1708, they "owned and consented to" the Savoy Confession as adopted at Boston, and offered this as a public symbol of their faith.

Thus, from the beginning of their history, the Congregational churches in the United States have been allied in doctrine with the Reformed churches of Europe, and especially of Great Britain. The eighth article of the "Heads of Agreement," established by the Congregational and Presbyterian ministers in England in 1692, and adopted at Saybrook in 1708, defines this position in these words: "As to what appertains to soundness of judgment in matters of faith, we esteem it sufficient that a Church acknowledge the Scriptures to be the word of God, the perfect and only rule of faith and practice, and own either the doctrinal part of those commonly called the Articles of the Church of England, or the Confessions or Catechisms, shorter or larger, compiled by the Assembly at Westminster, or the Confession agreed on at the Savoy, to be agreeable to the said rule."

In conformity, therefore, with the usage of previous Councils, we, the elders and messengers of the Congregational churches in the United States, do now profess our adherence to the above-named Westminster and Savoy Confessions for "substance of doctrine." We thus declare our acceptance of the system of truths [which is commonly known among us as Calvinism, and] which is distinguished from other systems by so exalting the sovereignty of God as to "establish" rather than take away the "liberty" or free-agency of man, and by so exhibiting the entire character of God as to show most clearly "the exceeding sinfulness of sin."

At the same time we re-affirm the fundamental principle of Congregationalism, that the Bible is "the only sufficient and invariable rule of religion;"¹ that, in order to attain a faith which is "right and divine, the word of God must be the foundation of it, and the authority of the word the reason of it."¹ We "ought to account nothing ancient that will not stand by this rule, and nothing new that will."¹ "It was the glory of our fathers, that they heartily professed the only rule of their religion, from the very first, to be the Holy Scripture."¹

Besides thus expressing the faith which we hold as a denomination, we deem the present a fit occasion to express the earnestness of our sympathy with all those

¹ Preface to the Savoy Confession, as adopted at Saybrook in 1708.

Christian churches who are agreed with us in the essential truths of the gospel; especially as our common faith is now assailed by popular and destructive forms of unbelief, which deny the living and personal God, which reject the possibility of a supernatural revelation by Jesus Christ, which exclude the fact of sin and the hope of redemption.

Against these dangerous errors, we, in common with all Christian believers, confess our faith in God, the Father, the Son, and the Holy Ghost, the only living and true God; in Jesus Christ, the incarnate Word, who is exalted to be our Redeemer and King; and in the Holy Comforter, who is present in the Church to regenerate and sanctify the soul.

With the whole Church, we confess the common sinfulness and ruin of our race, and acknowledge that it is only through the work accomplished by the life and expiatory death of Christ that we are justified before God, and receive the remission of sins; and that it is through the presence and grace of the Holy Comforter alone that we hope to be delivered from the power of sin and to be perfected in holiness.

We believe also in the organized and visible Church, in the ministry of the word, in the sacraments of baptism and the Lord's Supper, in the resurrection of the body, and in the final judgment, the issues of which are eternal life and everlasting punishment.

We receive these truths on the testimony of God, given originally through prophets and apostles, and in the life, the miracles, the death, the resurrection, of his Son, our divine Redeemer. This testimony is preserved for the Church in the Scriptures of the Old and New Testament, which were composed by holy men as they were moved by the Holy Ghost.

We affirm our belief that those who thus hold "one faith, one Lord, one baptism," together constitute the one catholic Church, the several households of which, though called by different names, are the one body of Christ; and that these members of his body are sacredly bound to keep "the unity of the spirit in the bond of peace," and to dwell together in the same community in harmony and mutual fellowship.

We hold it to be a distinctive excellence of our Congregational system that it exalts that which is more above that which is less important, and by the simplicity of its organization facilitates, in communities where the population is limited, the union of all true believers in one Christian Church; and that the division of such communities into several weak and jealous societies, holding the same common faith, is a sin against the unity of the body of Christ, and at once the shame and scandal of Christendom.

We bless the God of our fathers for the inheritance of these doctrines which have been transmitted to us their children. We invoke the help of the divine Redeemer, that, through the presence of the promised Comforter, he will enable us to transmit them in purity to our children. We rejoice, that, through the influence of our free system of apostolic order, we can hold fellowship with all who acknowledge Christ, and act efficiently in the work of restoring unity to the divided Church, and of bringing back harmony and peace among all "who love our Lord Jesus Christ in sincerity."

We believe that these truths and this free spirit have blessed our country in the past, that they have made New England what she is in the present, and have carried her principles, by other denominations as well as our own, throughout the Union, while in our recent struggle they have largely contributed to redeem and save the nation.

In the critical times that are before us as a nation, times at once of duty and of danger, we rest all our hopes in the gospel of the Son of God. It was the grand peculiarity of our Puritan Fathers, that they held this gospel, not merely as the ground of their personal salvation, but as declaring the worth of man by the incarnation and sacrifice of the Son of God; and therefore applied its principles to elevate society, to regulate education, to civilize humanity, to purify law, to reform the Church and the State, to assert, to defend, and to die for liberty; in short, to mould and redeem by its all-transforming energy everything that belongs to man in his individual and social relations.

It was the faith of our fathers that gave us this free land in which we dwell. It is by this faith only that we can transmit it to our children, a free and happy, because a Christian, commonwealth.

We acknowledge the duty that is laid upon us by the Redeemer to carry this gospel into every part of this land and to all nations, and to teach all men the things which he has commanded us to observe and to do. May He to whom "all power is given in heaven and earth" fulfil the promise which is all our hope: "Lo, I am with you always, even to the end of the world." To him be praise in the Church forever, Amen.

For the committee.

JOHN O. FISK, *Chairman.*

It was moved to accept and adopt the report.

Rev. Mr. Balkam, of Maine, moved to amend by substituting the original paper presented by the committee of which Dr. Thompson was chairman; but the motion, on request, was withdrawn.

Rev. Dr. Leavitt, of New York, moved to amend by striking out the words, "which is commonly known among us as Calvinism, and."

It was moved that the orders of the day be suspended (the hour designated for adjournment having arrived), so as to allow Rev. Mr. Allen to speak for two minutes; and the motion was carried.

Dr. Leavitt's amendment was lost.

The motion to lay the Report of the Committee on the table was lost.

Rev. Mr. Quint, of the Business Committee, moved that the Council suspend the rule to adjourn at 5 o'clock, so as to remain in session after that hour; which was carried.

Dr. Leavitt, of New York, moved further to amend, by inserting in the third paragraph, between the words "above-named" and "Westminster," the words "doctrinal articles of the Church of England, and."

Pending the discussion of this amendment, on motion of Mr. Dexter, of Mass., the Council voted, that, when it adjourn, it adjourn (should to-morrow not be a rainy day), to meet at 11, A. M., on Burial Hill, in Plymouth, Mass.

The discussion of Dr. Leavitt's proposition was further arrested, to allow of the reception and appropriate reference of several resolutions from the Business Committee, as follows, viz.:

(1.) *Whereas*, The use of tobacco is a great and growing evil in our land, and large treasures are wasted in forming and cherishing a habit that is not only expensive but highly injurious; therefore

Resolved, That this Council do, in the most solemn and decided manner, raise a voice of warning against this evil, and exhort our churches and our people everywhere to set their faces like a flint against it, that our precious youth may be saved from its baneful influence, and the fairest portion of our earthly heritage from foul desecration.

Accepted, and referred to the Committee on Temperance.

(2.) *Resolved*, That we recommend to all the churches represented in this Council the observance of the — day of — as a day of special fasting and prayer for the outpouring of the Holy Spirit upon the land, to crown with success the results reached by this body; and that we send a brief address to those churches, to be laid before them on that occasion.

Accepted, and reference ordered to a special committee to be appointed.

(3.) *Whereas*, Our Pilgrim Fathers regarded the strict observance of the Sabbath as a duty they owed to God, and also as indispensable to the formation of a free Christian republic; and

Whereas, The desecration of the Sabbath is proverbial, both by the nation and by individuals, and thereby one of the fundamental principles on which our fathers reared this mighty nation is greatly imperilled, and the God who ordained the Sabbath dishonored: therefore

Resolved, That we as a Council greatly deplore the general desecration of the Sabbath in our beloved land, and feel called upon to use all proper efforts, in the pulpit and by the pew, by prayer, and if necessary by our petitions to Congress, to restore to the nation the sanctity of the Christian Sabbath, once so dear to our fathers.

Reference ordered to the Committee on "the Declaration of Faith."

(4.) *Whereas*, This Council is informed that a band of Christians in Baltimore, many of whom are known to have been first among the foremost in the great movements in Maryland during the last four years for liberty and the Union, have recently been organized into a Congregational Church; and

Whereas, These representatives of the New England polity and spirit have with great self-sacrifice devoted themselves and their property to the enterprise of building, upon a commanding height in that city, a Church edifice worthy of their cause, and of gathering from the large number of intelligent and liberty-loving citizens of that emancipated metropolis a vigorous and influential Church; and

Whereas, We have reason to believe that the success of one such enterprise would lead to the early establishment of other strong churches in Baltimore, and that such a Church, standing in the gateway of the South, would insure the organization of many more in the regions beyond, and would itself become a light and a power over the whole land: therefore

Resolved, That this National Council commend this initial enterprise at the South to the sympathy and most liberal aid of our whole denomination, as one of the highest importance to our country, to the interests of liberty, and to the cause of Christ.

Accepted, and referred to the Committee on the Evangelization of West and South.

(5.) *Resolved*, That it is inexpedient and improper that pastors of Congregational churches should assume, or retain, membership in another denomination.

Accepted, and referred to the Committee on Church Polity.

(6.) *Resolved*, That a committee be raised to devise ways and means to raise the \$750,000 voted as expedient for national evangelization.

Reference ordered to a special committee to be appointed.

(7.) *Resolved*, That this Council will adjourn *sine die* on Saturday next, at 12, M.

Reference ordered to Business Committee.

(8.) *Whereas*, The expeditious securing of the \$750,000 which our churches have been recommended to raise is of the first importance to our land and the Church; and

Whereas, An equitable apportionment of this sum among the churches of our several States, according to their several ability, would greatly facilitate the obtaining of the entire sum:

Resolved, That a committee be appointed to make as equitable an apportionment as possible, of the sum to be raised, among the different States, and in due time to inform the churches of each State what portion of the fund it properly belongs to them to furnish.

Accepted, and reference ordered to the special Committee on Ways and Means of gaining the \$750,000 fund, previously ordered.

The special committee to whom was referred the report of the Finance Committee reported by resolutions, as follows :

Whereas, The failure of a large number of churches to respond to the call made by the preliminary meeting, for contributions to meet the expenses of this Council, has resulted in a lack of means to meet the just demands upon our treasury : therefore

Resolved, That the Finance Committee be authorized to call upon the more wealthy of those churches which failed to make contribution, for a sum not less than one thousand dollars to meet such deficit ; and that said committee be directed to pay over any balance which shall remain in their hands, after discharging all just claims against this Council, into the hands of the Congregational Union.

Resolved, That the delegates to this Council, representing the churches to which this call shall be addressed, be requested to aid the committee in their collections.

Accepted and adopted.

Adjourned with the Doxology, to meet at the Mt. Vernon Church to-morrow morning, at 9 o'clock, should the day be rainy ; otherwise to meet on Burial Hill in Plymouth, at 11, A. M.

EIGHTH DAY; THURSDAY, JUNE 22, 1865.

Council assembled between eleven and twelve o'clock, A. M., on Burial Hill, in Plymouth, Mass., and were called to order by Hon. C. G. Hammond, first Assistant Moderator. Prayer was offered by the Rev. David Bremner, pastor of the Third Church of the Pilgrimage in Plymouth.

The reading of the records was postponed until to-morrow.

Rev. Mr. Quint, from the Business Committee, presented a paper as a substitute for that yesterday reported by the committee to whom was referred the report of the preliminary Committee on a Declaration of Faith, as follows :—

REPORT.

Standing by the rock where the Pilgrims set foot upon these shores, upon the spot where they worshiped God, and among the graves of the early generations, we, Elders and Messengers of the Congregational Churches of the United States in National Council assembled, like them acknowledging no rule of faith but the word of God, do now [reiterate] our adherence to the faith and order of the Apostolic and Primitive Churches [as] held by our Fathers, and [as substantially embodied] in the Confessions and Platforms which our Synods of 1648 and 1680 set forth or reaffirmed. We declare that the experience of the nearly two and a half centuries which have elapsed since the memorable day when our sires founded here a Christian Commonwealth, with all the development of new forms of error since their times, have only deepened our confidence in the faith and polity of these Fathers. We bless [the] God [of our Fathers] for the inheritance of these doctrines, [which have been transmitted to us their children.] We invoke the help of the Divine Redeemer, that, through the presence of the promised Comforter, he will enable us to transmit them, in purity, to our children.

In the times that are before us as a nation, times at once of duty and of danger, we rest all our hope in the gospel of the Son of God. It was the grand peculiarity of our Puritan Fathers that they held this gospel, not merely as the ground of their personal salvation, but as declaring the worth of man by the incarnation and sacrifice of the Son of God ; and therefore applied its principles to elevate society, to regulate education, to civilize humanity, to purify law, to reform the Church and

the State, and to assert and to defend liberty; in short, to mould and redeem, by its all-transforming energy, everything that belongs to man, in his individual and social relations.

It was the faith of our fathers that gave us this free land in which we dwell. It is by this faith only that we can transmit it to our children, a free and happy, because a Christian, commonwealth.

We hold it to be a distinctive excellence of our Congregational system that it exalts that which is more above that which is less, important, and, by the simplicity of its organization, facilitates, in communities where the population is limited, the union of all true believers in one Christian Church; and that the division of such communities into several weak and jealous societies, holding the same common faith, is a sin against the unity of the body of Christ, and at once the shame and scandal of Christendom.

We rejoice that, through the influence of our free system of apostolic order, we can hold fellowship with all who acknowledge Christ, and act efficiently in the work of restoring unity to the divided Church, and of bringing back harmony and peace among all "who love our Lord Jesus Christ in sincerity."

[But] recognizing the unity of the Church of Christ in all the world, and knowing that we are but one branch of Christ's people — while adhering to our own peculiar faith and order — we extend to all believers the hand of Christian fellowship upon the basis of those fundamental truths in which all Christians [may] agree. With them we confess our faith in God the Father, the Son, and the Holy Ghost, the only living and true God; in Jesus Christ the incarnate Word, who is exalted to be our Redeemer and King; and in the Holy Comforter, who is present in the Church to regenerate and sanctify the soul.

With the whole Church, we confess the common sinfulness and ruin of our race, and acknowledge that it is only through the work accomplished by the life and expiatory death of Christ that [we] are justified before God, [and] receive the remission of sins; and [that it is] through the presence and grace of the Holy Comforter [alone that we] [hope to be] delivered from the power of sin, and [to be] perfected in holiness.

We believe also in [an] organized and visible Church, in the ministry of the word, in the sacraments of Baptism and the Lord's Supper, in the resurrection of the body, and in the final judgment, the issues of which are eternal life and everlasting punishment.

We receive these truths on the testimony of God, given [originally] through prophets and apostles, and in the life, the miracles, the death, the resurrection, of his Son, our divine Redeemer — a testimony preserved for the Church in the Scriptures of the Old and New Testaments, which were composed by holy men as they were moved by the Holy Ghost.

Affirming now our belief that those who thus hold "one faith, one Lord, one baptism," together constitute the one catholic Church, the several households of which, though called by different names, are the one body of Christ, and that these members of his body are sacredly bound to keep "the unity of the spirit in the bond of peace," we declare that we will coöperate with all who hold these truths. With them we will carry the gospel into every part of this land; and with them we will go "into all the world, and preach the gospel to every creature."

May He to whom "all power is given in heaven and earth" fulfil the promise which is all our hope: "Lo, I am with you alway, even to the end of the world." Amen.

Dea. Charles Stoddard, of Massachusetts, moved that this substitute be accepted and adopted; and also that it be placed in the hands of a committee whose duty it shall be to suggest any verbal alterations that may seem to be desirable not affecting the sense, to report before the dissolution of the Council. The motion was carried.

It was further moved that this committee be composed of one member from each State and Territory represented in the Council, and that they be chosen by ballot immediately after the preliminary exercises of the session of to-morrow morning. This motion was carried.

After prayer by Rev. Dr. Daggett, of New York, closing with the Lord's prayer, in which the Council joined, the Council adjourned with the singing of the Doxology, to meet in the Mount Vernon Church, in Boston, to-morrow at 9, A. M.

NINTH DAY; FRIDAY MORNING, JUNE 23, 9 A. M.

The Council was called to order by the First Assistant Moderator, Hon. C. G. Hammond, who offered prayer.

The minutes of the Council for Wednesday and Thursday were read, amended, and approved. Gov. Buckingham appeared and took the chair.

It was moved to reconsider the vote of yesterday, by which the appointment of a special committee of one from each State and Territory, to be chosen by ballot, to make needed verbal changes in the Declaration of Faith, was ordered; and the motion prevailed.

It was further moved to amend the motion thus brought back to the consideration of the Council by fixing the number of the committee at three, and changing the mode of their appointment to nomination by the Moderator and his two assistants.

Rev. Mr. Allen, of Mass., asked leave to present the following protest, and that it be ordered to be entered on the minutes. And leave was granted, and the record ordered:

MR. MODERATOR,

Standing over the ashes of the PILGRIM FATHERS, and on the summit of this Hill consecrated to their memory, I solemnly PROTEST against the adoption of the paper here and now presented, as being *too sectarian for their catholic spirit, and too narrow to comprehend the breadth of their principles of RELIGIOUS FREEDOM.*

GEO. ALLEN.

Plymouth, Mass., June 22, 1865.

The following — nominated by the Moderator and his two assistants — were appointed to be the committee to make such verbal alterations as may be needful in the declaration of faith yesterday adopted upon Burial Hill: viz., Rev. Dr. Stearns, of Massachusetts; Rev. Dr. Budington, of New York; Rev. Dr. Patten, of Illinois.

The committee to whom was referred the paper on Ministerial Support presented the following

REPORT.

The Committee to whom was referred the paper submitted to this Council by the preliminary committee, through its chairman, Rev. George Shepard, D. D., on the subject of "*Ministerial Support*," have had the same under careful consideration, and

beg leave to report it back to this body with their cordial approval, and with the recommendation that it be adopted by the Council, and that at least ten thousand copies be printed, (if within the province of the Council so to do,) and circulated among our churches, as an approved compendium of the relative duties existing between pastor and people.

EDWARD D. HOLTON, WILLIAM SALTER, HIRAM ELMER, EDWIN N. LEWIS, SELDEN F. PRATT, MARSHALL S. SCUDDER, DAVID S. WILLIAMS,	}	Committee.
---	---	------------

June 21, 1865.

This report was accepted and adopted.

The following telegram was received and read; viz.:

Cleveland, Ohio, June 22, 1865.

To the Moderator of the Congregational Council:

The Convention of Non-Episcopal Methodists, in session at Cleveland, send their fraternal greetings to the Congregational Council at Boston.

(Signed)

W. H. BREWSTER,
J. KOST,
JOHN SCOTT.

It was ordered that the Moderator and his assistants reply to this message.

The following reply was sent by them; viz.:

To the Moderator of the Convention of Non-Episcopal Methodists, at Cleveland, Ohio, care of Rev. A. B. Knight:

The National Council of Congregational Churches, standing upon the basis of Christian union and of catholicity in faith, cordially responds to the fraternal greeting of the Convention at Cleveland.

W. A. BUCKINGHAM,
CHARLES G. HAMMOND,
JOSEPH P. THOMPSON.

Boston, June 23, 1865.

The committee to whom was referred the resolution on a Congregational House reported as follows:

REPORT.

First. The Desirableness of a Congregational House. Without attempting to present an exhaustive statement on this point, we would call attention to a few considerations.

(1.) A Congregational House is rendered desirable by the necessities of the Congregational Library.

(a.) The American Congregational Association have already in their possession a library of over five thousand bound volumes, and over fifty thousand unbound pamphlets, many of them exceedingly rare, and most of them of great value as illustrative of Puritan history, and of the faith and polity of the Puritan churches.

This library, as it is now situated, is liable any day to be consumed by fire; and it is an imperative necessity, which as Congregationalists we cannot fail to appreciate, that this treasury of knowledge should have a safe place of deposit.

(b.) There are many individuals who have carefully selected private libraries, or at least a few books, of special historic interest, and pamphlets rescued from the paper-mill, that great foe to antiquarian researches, who would gladly contribute them to the American Congregational Association, if a fire-proof building were furnished to guarantee their permanent preservation.

Thus, in a few years, this library could be made richer in ecclesiastical literature than any other in our land.

We owe it to our own denomination to provide a place where those who love our order, and possess relics of the Fathers, may come and present their gifts.

(2.) Again, a Congregational House is rendered desirable as the means of increasing our devotion to our own denomination.

We all *know*, our Western brethren *feel*, that we have not properly discriminated between sectarian zeal and denominational interest. In avoiding the former, we have neglected the latter. The American Congregational Association desires, by procuring essays and lectures on questions of a denominational character, to promote our appreciation, as a branch of the Church of Christ, of our distinctive peculiarities; and they would be greatly aided in this work by a house in which such essays may be read and lectures delivered.

(3.) We need a place where those societies which are doing the work of our denomination may have their centers of operations, whether they make provision for the feeble Churches, publish theological works for ministers, Sabbath-school books for children, or tracts for the congregations.

(4.) We need a *home* to which, as Congregationalists, we may resort.

This city, as the home of our Fathers, is endeared to us by the most sacred associations—the most hallowed memories. It is on this account that we are convened here on the present momentous occasion.

We need a home here to which we can repair, not as a Council once in a century, but as individual members of the Congregational family, as often as God in his providence shall favor us with the opportunity.

Such a home will give “a local habitation” to what might otherwise have only “a name.” It will serve to promote union among the churches of our order in this city, and thus strengthen the denomination where it was first established. It will give fresh interest to our anniversaries. It will also promote union between the East and West. It will enable the sons of the Pilgrims, the adopted sons, and the sons-in-law, when they come from their distant homes, to rekindle the torch at the old fireside, and bear forth its light to any Egyptian provinces where they may be called to sojourn.

It may, in this connection, be well to call to mind that the erection of such an edifice by us will be nothing peculiar.

The Presbyterians, of both assemblies, have such houses in Philadelphia; the Methodists in New York; and our Congregational brethren in England have just raised by subscription two hundred thousand pounds sterling, as their bi-centennial fund, out of which, among other objects important to their denomination, they propose to erect such a building, for purposes similar to those which we contemplate, with the title of the “Memorial Hall.” It will be a happy circumstance if we can have such a memorial, not only of the Fathers, but of the meeting of our present National Council.

Second. The Agency for the erection of a Congregational House.

We have no occasion for any new organization. The American Congregational Association, incorporated in 1854, under the title of the Congregational Library

Association, which name was changed in 1864, is authorized to hold property to the amount of \$300,000, and "to do such acts as may promote the interest of Congregational churches, by publishing works, by furnishing libraries and pecuniary aid to parishes, churches, and Sabbath Schools, by promoting friendly intercourse and coöperation among Congregational ministers and churches, and with other denominations, and by collecting and disbursing funds for the above objects."

This society, already in the field, is everything, so far as respects an agency to secure a Congregational House, which could be desired.

Third. What has already been accomplished.

The American Congregational Association have at the present time property in real estate to the amount of about \$10,000, and have recently secured a subscription of \$20,000 in Boston and vicinity towards the erection of a Congregational House; and such is the facility with which this has been accomplished, that the conviction is expressed that it will not be difficult to secure an increase of this subscription, in this city and vicinity, to \$50,000.

Fourth. The plan proposed.

It is thought that \$100,000 will be needed for this object, and it is suggested that, should the subscription in this city and vicinity be increased to \$50,000, the remaining \$50,000, should be secured chiefly from Massachusetts, or at least New England.

Fifth. Objections.

(1) It may be objected to this proposition that the raising of this amount of money for this object will interfere with the raising of the \$750,000 already proposed for other objects. To which we reply, that the raising of the \$750,000 proposed is not so formidable as at first appears, for we have been accustomed to raise large sums for the American Home Missionary Society and the American Missionary Association, and something also for the American Congregational Union. And the past year we have raised a very large amount for the Freedmen; and as the \$750,000 includes the objects of all these societies, the portion of the \$750,000 which is over and above what we have been accustomed to raise is not appalling.

Again, as the Congregational House is designed to conserve and foster an interest in our own denomination, its erection will be the means of rendering it easier in the end to raise money for other denominational purposes.

(2) It may be objected that the existence of such a house will involve continued annual expenses; but this it is estimated will be met in part, or entirely, by the rents which such a building will yield. With the understanding that the money shall be raised chiefly from persons in New England, and particularly in Massachusetts, who are able to contribute large sums, and that the Congregational House shall not be a "House of Bishops," nor the source of a centralized power for the control of Congregational bishops or churches, but a home for all the brethren, to form or renew acquaintance, promote Christian fellowship, and the interests of the great denominational family to which we belong, we commend the American Congregational Association and its enterprises to the confidence and coöperation of our churches.

(Signed)

CHRISTOPHER CUSHING,
EMERSON DAVIS,
E. RUSSELL,
S. D. COCHRAN,
S. G. WRIGHT,
WALTER BOOTH,

} Committee.

Boston, June 21, 1865.

It was ordered that the report of the committee, to whom was this morning referred the paper yesterday adopted for such verbal alterations as may be needful, be made the order of the day at 12 M.

A half-hour was spent in devotional exercises.

The report of the committee on the proposed Congregational House was accepted and adopted.

Rev. Dr. Thompson read a communication received by mail from "the Pastors and Delegates of the Independent churches of the county of Lincoln, assembled at their half-yearly meeting in the town of Boston," to this Council, signed by Joseph Shaw and others.

It was accepted, and referred to the Moderator and Assistant Moderators for appropriate response.

The committee to whom was referred the Declaration of Faith reported the following amendments, viz. :—

In Paragraph 1, substitute "declare" for "reiterate"; "substantially as embodied," instead of "as substantially embodied"; strike out "as" after "primitive churches." In the sentence commencing "We bless the God of our Fathers," make it read "We bless God for," etc., and strike out all after "doctrines."

In Paragraph 2, after "the state," insert "and."

In Paragraph 6, change "But" to "thus" for the first word; and read "should agree," instead of "may agree."

In Paragraph 7, before "are justified," substitute "believers in him" for "we;" after "before God," strike out "and;" after "remission of sins, and," strike out "that it is;" after "Comforter," strike out "alone that we," then substitute "are" for "hope to be;" and strike out "to be" before "perfected."

In Paragraph 8, substitute "the" for "an," before "organized."

In Paragraph 9, strike out "originally."

These amendments were adopted, and the declaration thus made to stand as follows:

Standing by the rock where the Pilgrims set foot upon these shores, upon the spot where they worshipped God, and among the graves of the early generations, we, Elders and Messengers of the Congregational churches of the United States in National Council assembled—like them acknowledging no rule of faith but the word of God—do now declare our adherence to the faith and order of the apostolic and primitive churches held by our fathers, and substantially as embodied in the confessions and platforms which our Synods of 1648 and 1680 set forth or reaffirmed. We declare that the experience of the nearly two and a half centuries which have elapsed since the memorable day when our sires founded here a Christian Commonwealth, with all the development of new forms of error since their times, has only deepened our confidence in the faith and polity of those fathers. We bless God for the inheritance of these doctrines. We invoke the help of the Divine Redeemer, that, through the presence of the promised Comforter, he will enable us to transmit them in purity to our children.

In the times that are before us as a nation, times at once of duty and of danger, we rest all our hope in the gospel of the Son of God. It was the grand peculiarity of our Puritan Fathers, that they held this gospel, not merely as the ground of their personal salvation, but as declaring the worth of man by the incarnation and sacrifice of the Son of God; and therefore applied its principles to elevate society, to regulate education, to civilize humanity, to purify law, to reform the Church and the State, and to assert and defend liberty; in short, to mould and redeem, by its all-

transforming energy, everything that belongs to man in his individual and social relations.

It was the faith of our fathers that gave us this free land in which we dwell. It is by this faith only that we can transmit to our children a free and happy, because a Christian, commonwealth.

We hold it to be a distinctive excellence of our Congregational system, that it exalts that which is more above that which is less, important, and, by the simplicity of its organization, facilitates, in communities where the population is limited, the union of all true believers in one Christian church; and that the division of such communities into several weak and jealous societies, holding the same common faith, is a sin against the unity of the body of Christ, and at once the shame and scandal of Christendom.

We rejoice that, through the influence of our free system of apostolic order, we can hold fellowship with all who acknowledge Christ, and act efficiently in the work of restoring unity to the divided Church, and of bringing back harmony and peace among all "who love our Lord Jesus Christ in sincerity."

Thus recognizing the unity of the Church of Christ in all the world, and knowing that we are but one branch of Christ's people, while adhering to our peculiar faith and order, we extend to all believers the hand of Christian fellowship upon the basis of those great fundamental truths in which all Christians should agree. With them we confess our faith in God, the Father, the Son, and the Holy Ghost; in Jesus Christ, the incarnate Word, who is exalted to be our Redeemer and King; and in the Holy Comforter, who is present in the Church to regenerate and sanctify the soul.

With the whole Church, we confess the common sinfulness and ruin of our race, and acknowledge that it is only through the work accomplished by the life and expiatory death of Christ that believers in him are justified before God, receive the remission of sins, and through the presence and grace of the Holy Comforter are delivered from the power of sin, and perfected in holiness.

We believe also in the organized and visible Church, in the ministry of the Word, in the sacraments of Baptism and the Lord's Supper, in the resurrection of the body, and in the final judgment, the issues of which are eternal life and everlasting punishment.

We receive these truths on the testimony of God, given through prophets and apostles, and in the life, the miracles, the death, the resurrection, of his Son, our Divine Redeemer—a testimony preserved for the Church in the Scriptures of the Old and New Testaments, which were composed by holy men as they were moved by the Holy Ghost.

Affirming now our belief that those who thus hold "one faith, one Lord, one baptism," together constitute the one catholic Church, the several households of which, though called by different names, are the one body of Christ, and that these members of his body are sacredly bound to keep "the unity of the spirit in the bond of peace," we declare that we will cooperate with all who hold these truths. With them we will carry the gospel into every part of this land, and with them we will go into all the world, and "preach the gospel to every creature." May He to whom "all power is given in heaven and earth" fulfil the promise which is all our hope: "Lo, I am with you alway, even to the end of the world." Amen.

It was then solemnly reaffirmed and finally adopted by a rising vote, in connection with prayer, by Rev. Dr. Palmer, of New York, and the singing of "My faith looks up to thee," and the Doxology.

Adjourned to 3 P. M.

FRIDAY AFTERNOON, 3 P. M.

The Business Committee reported sundry resolutions, which were referred back to their wisdom for ultimate disposition.

Also the following, viz.:

Resolved, That this Council recommend that from the amount raised for church building, in central localities at the South, a sum not exceeding \$50,000 be appropriated by the American Congregational Union for the establishment of a Congregational Church in the city of Washington, D. C., provided that an amount equal to the sum appropriated by the American Congregational Union aforesaid be raised from other sources.

Accepted, and referred to the Committee on Church Building.

Also the following order:

Ordered, That a committee be appointed to report to this Council a brief paper on the subject of worship,—not the order of worship, but worship, both public and private.

Accepted, and referred to a special committee.

Dr. Bacon moved that the Business Committee be instructed to report no further new business. Dr. Dutton moved to amend by instructing the Business Committee to report only such business as in their judgment is proper to be presented.

Amendment carried, and the motion as amended was adopted.

The Business Committee reported the following resolutions:

Whereas, We the members of the National Council recognize in our deliberations a degree of unanimity and cordiality which was hardly to be expected in view of the wide separation of our churches in space and the diversity of experiences and influences under which they have been trained in the providence of God: therefore

Resolved, (1) That we do hereby cordially and unanimously assert our assent to the general course of action in this Council.

(2) That we devoutly thank God for the evidence developed in this assembly that we are harmonious in our views of the teachings of God's word, and the leadings of his providence in our time.

(3) That before the close of this Council we will unite in the observance of the Lord's Supper (at such time and in such manner as the Committee on Devotional Exercises may deem best), whereby we trust all our minds may be turned to Him who is the source of all our hopes and convictions as Christians, and all our hearts united in the love of our Saviour, the great Head of the Church.

Accepted, and referred to the Committee on Devotional Exercises.

The following resolution was introduced by Hon. A. C. Barstow:

Whereas, This Council has recommended that the sum of one hundred thousand dollars be raised for the purpose of erecting in the city of Boston a suitable building for an American Congregational House: therefore

Resolved, That said Association be requested to seek such change in its charter, or make such change in the third article of its constitution, as shall better secure the property held thereby to those who represent our faith in coming generations.

It was adopted.

The following Resolution was introduced, viz.:

Resolved, That this Council desires to record its sense of the importance of the worship of God's house, independently of the sermon, usually and justly holding with us so conspicuous a place, while there is reason to apprehend sufficient attention is not always given to reading, prayer, and praise, with a view to make them in the highest practical measure attractive, awakening, and edifying.

That our mode of public worship, especially of prayer, so plain and simple, but happily affording scope for the utmost variety of thought and beautiful adaptation to the ever-changing experience of life, should be conducted, not with reference to instruction, or assertion of

doctrine, or notification to men of passing events, which is a degradation, but with a view pre-eminently to the producing of devout emotion, and a commingling of all hearts in one spirit of confession, supplication, and praise.

That we recommend to ministers to give much more attention to this part of divine service, and to teachers in our theological seminaries to endeavor to impart a better preparation for this part of a minister's service.

Accepted, and reference ordered to a special Committee.

It was *Voted*, That the session of this afternoon be continued until 6 1-2, P. M.

The following were appointed as the special committee on raising the sum of \$750,000 recommended to be raised by the Council, viz.:—

New York—Dea. Samuel Holmes, Rev. J. C. Holbrook, D. D., Rev. L. Smith Hobart. *Maine*—C. A. Lord, Rev. U. Balkam, Rev. S. H. Keeler, D. D. *New Hampshire*—Rev. Alvan Tobey, Rev. Henry E. Parker, Thomas Melvin. *Vermont*—Rev. S. O. Brastow, Rev. Geo. P. Tyler, Hon. Ira Goodhue. *Massachusetts*—Rev. A. E. P. Perkins, Allen W. Dodge, Marshall S. Scudder. *Connecticut*—Rev. Davis S. Brainard, Rev. H. P. Armes, D. D., G. W. Shelton. *Rhode Island*—Hon. A. C. Barstow. *New Jersey*—Lowell Mason, jr. *Pennsylvania*—Rev. Edward Hawes. *Delaware*—Dea. A. H. Bryant. *Maryland*—Nathaniel Noyes. *Ohio*—Rev. Thomas Wickes, D. D., Rev. J. C. Hart. *Tennessee*—Rev. Thomas E. Bliss. *Indiana*—Dea. A. G. Willard. *Illinois*—Rev. R. C. Dunn, Rev. W. Carter. *Michigan*—Rev. H. A. Read, Rev. Hiram Elmer. *Wisconsin*—Rev. E. G. Miner, Rev. I. N. Cundall. *Iowa*—Rev. J. Guernsey, Seth Kinnard. *Minnesota*—Rev. Charles Seecombe. *Missouri*—Rev. J. M. Sturtevant, Jr. *Kansas*—W. H. Watson, Esq. *Nebraska Territory*—Rev. R. Gaylord. *Colorado*—Rev. W. Crawford. *California*—Jacob Bacon. *Oregon*—Rev. Geo. H. Atkinson.

The following were appointed the special committee on a day of fasting and prayer: viz., Rev. L. Swain, D. D., Rhode Island; Rev. George A. Oviatt, Connecticut; Rev. E. Maltby, Massachusetts.

The following were appointed the Committee on Worship: Rev. A. P. Marvin, Massachusetts; Rev. J. L. Jenkins, Connecticut; Rev. O. T. Lanphear, Connecticut; Rev. J. Leavitt, D. D., New York; Rev. N. H. Eggleston, Massachusetts.

The committee to whom was referred the preliminary paper on Systematizing Benevolent Contributions reported by their chairman, Rev. Dr. Stearns, of Mass.

The report was recommitted.

The Council took a recess for five minutes.

The committee to consider the preliminary papers on Church Polity made report as follows:

REPORT ON CHURCH POLITY.

The committee to whom was referred "the statement of Congregational polity" respectfully report.

Your committee found it necessary, in the opening of their consideration of the subject referred to them, to fix definitely in their own minds the precise limits of the functions of a Council like this in issuing a statement of Church polity. These limits are defined,—

1. By what we have a *right* to do.
2. By what we have *time* to do.
3. By what it is *expedient* for us to do.

In respect to the first question the answer is plain. We have a right to issue as complete and comprehensive a statement as we are able to secure, for the considera-

tion of the churches whose representatives we are, so long as, in the language of Richard Mather, we claim no more authority for such statement "than there is force in the reason of it."

In respect to the question how far it is possible for a body of five hundred men, in the time at our command, to issue a perfected statement concerning a subject so extensive, and embracing such variety and number of details, your committee were soon convinced that only an *approximation* could possibly be made to that precision and comprehensiveness and conciseness which must characterize such a document, if it shall be worthy of this National Council or generally acceptable to the churches we represent. Your committee, therefore, became convinced early in their deliberations, that all that this Council could possibly do, under the most favorable circumstances, would be to give a general approval to the documents reported to it, and that it would be compelled at last to commit them with such emendations and additions as it might direct, and such as might be brought out by open discussions, or private suggestions by members of the Council to a committee, for final revision and publication.

And in these *necessities* of our position we found an answer to our *third* question, as to how much it would be *expedient* for us to do even if we should have at command all the time we could desire. On this point your committee have been led to the conclusion, that inasmuch as the action of this Council is to go forth over large portions of our country, in which the idea of Church authority and ecclesiastical legislation prevails, to the nearly entire exclusion of the conception of our free Congregational forms, it would prevent much misconception and misrepresentation, if such a document, after receiving the *general* approval of this Council, should go forth to the world with the *full* indorsement only of the gentlemen whose names shall be appended thereto.

In this manner we might hope to find a middle course between the two extremes of too great authority on the one hand, and of the entire absence of authority on the other. We might hope, in other words, to avoid all appearance of legislation for the churches, while at the same time we might commend to the churches a statement of polity which should carry with it *primâ facie* evidence of its correctness; first, from having received the general approval of this National Council; and secondly, from the minute and absolute approval of a large committee carefully selected by this body, who shall have received the benefit of an extended discussion of the papers now under examination, for months to come, by the whole body of our ministers and churches.

Having settled in their own minds these general principles, your committee applied themselves to the close and careful study of the two papers presented to them.

They found the longer of these papers to be an able, comprehensive, and, in their view, a generally correct statement of the principles of the Congregational polity, and well worthy to be the basis of the platform which we now desire to take the place of the ancient Cambridge Platform. They found a close similarity to that long-revered work of our fathers, large portions being little else than a substantial reproduction of that document.

They found the shorter of these papers to be concise and yet comprehensive, and in these respects well calculated for ordinary use in our churches, and for insertion in our Church manuals.

A careful examination on the part of the committee of the detailed statements contained in these documents, and of written suggestions sent in to us by mem-

bers of this body, reveals the fact that special attention were necessary in the final revision to the following points:

1. The insertion of *proof texts* after the manner of the Cambridge Platform, and also of references to standard works on Congregationalism.

2. The definition of *Church Polity*.

3. The definition of the *Church Visible*, and the whole arrangement of the definitions of the Church, local and universal, visible and invisible, militant and triumphant, with reference to the question of genus and species, and of logical consistency.

4. The statement concerning *councils*, as to their action in certain cases as *boards of referees*, as to the propriety of admitting persons as *corresponding* members not invited in the letters-missive, as to the propriety of sending *stated supplies* to act as pastors on councils, as to the propriety of using the word "*Synod*."

5. The relation of *ecclesiastical societies* to the churches, and the degree and kind of control which such societies should have over Church property, and also as to the recognition and commendation of the practice of dispensing with the "ecclesiastical society" altogether, when the civil law allows.

6. The relation of baptized children to the Church.

7. The designation of the Church members who may properly vote in Church meetings.

8. The more distinct recognition of the aggressive and missionary functions of the Church, and the question of a more direct control by the Church of the various benevolent enterprises which they maintain.

9. Sundry question concerning *ministers* and the *pastoral office*, such as these:—Should a minister be a member of the Church of which he is pastor? Are the statements of the platform under consideration, concerning the eldership and the presbyters, on page nine, correct? What should be the office of the pastor in inaugurating and administering discipline in the Church? Is a pastor, *ex officio*, the moderator of all the meetings of the Church? Are the *rights* and *powers* of a pastor correctly stated? Should the pastor have entire control of the service of *teaching* or *preaching* in his own pulpit? Should a *Church* ordain and depose from the ministry, or only a *Council*?

10. The grouping of all the churches of a city or town into one Church, page six.

11. The scriptural requirement that the Psalms be used in public worship.

12. A more precise specification of the only mode of separation from the Church.

13. The importance of introducing more fully the doctrines of the Cambridge Platform, concerning the withdrawal of fellowship from a disorderly Church by its sister churches.

14. The importance of sharply defining, in a separate chapter, the distinction between the Church polity of Congregationalists and the polity of other denominations.

15. The principle and law of fellowship through Councils and the proper functions of ex-parte Councils.

16. The statement made on page thirteen, article six, concerning the treatment of excommunicated Church members.

17. The statement made on page eighteen, section twelve, respecting the confederation of churches, and the question whether there should be a recognition of standing Councils.

18. The expediency of securing the preparation of a catechism upon the cardinal principles of our faith and polity, for the use of the churches.

Such your committee have found to be the general character of the two docu-

ments submitted to them, and such are the points which seem to require special attention and revision.

In conclusion, your committee recommend the following action on the part of the Council:

Resolved, That this Council, having received and duly examined the two statements of Church polity presented to them, hereby express their approval of the general principles and scope of the same.

Resolved, That these documents be referred to the committee reporting them, consisting of Rev. Leonard Bacon, D. D., Rev. Alonzo H. Quint, and Rev. Henry M. Storrs, D. D., which committee shall be enlarged by the addition of twenty-four members, of whom six shall be professors selected, one from each of our six theological seminaries; viz., Bangor, Andover, New Haven, East Windsor, Oberlin, and Chicago, to be nominated by the Committee on Nominations and appointed by this body, who shall revise and publish the same under the following instructions:

1. All members and ministers of Congregational churches, either in an individual or associated capacity, and especially the committee who framed these documents, shall be invited by the committee of twenty-five to indicate such additions, emendations, and omissions as they may judge proper.

2. The committee shall take into special and careful consideration the points to which attention is now called in this report, and in general shall be empowered to make such changes and additions to the documents in their charge as they may deem advisable, and as may not be inconsistent with the general principles now approved.

3. In cases where, without a violation of the cardinal principles of Congregationalism, the usages of Congregationalists differ, the mode preferred by the committee shall be inserted in the text, and the varying usages shall be indicated in a foot-note.

4. The origin and history of the document shall be set forth in a preface, to which shall be appended the signatures of the committee.

5. An appendix shall be added, containing such ecclesiastical formulas as the committee may deem expedient.

JOHN P. GULLIVER,
EDWARDS A. PARK,
SAMUEL HARRIS,
S. C. BARTLETT,
N. BISHOP,
CHAS. C. SALTER,
J. GUERNSEY,
J. S. HOYT,
J. G. DAVIS,
J. D. LIGGETT,
E. S. BURR.

Rev. Dr. Leavitt, of New York, made the following minority report:

MINORITY REPORT ON THE STATEMENT OF CHURCH POLITY.

The undersigned, a minority of the committee of the National Congregational Council, to whom was referred the statement of Congregational Polity presented by the Committee of the Provisional Conference at New York, respectfully report:

That the Council, and the churches they represent, are under obligations of grat-

itude to the Provisional Committee for the pains and study they have bestowed in the preparation of this document, which is both instructive and valuable as a presentation of the ancient principles of Congregationalism in their application to modern circumstances. Should it be published, as it ought to be, either among the doings of this Council or in a separate work, or in both these ways, it will add one more to the many attempts of wise and good men to reduce these principles and their applications to the form of a consistent and harmonious code. As the latest in ordinary time, it will be found among the most complete and useful of similar compilations in our language. But valuable as all must admit it to be in its general character, and worthy of high respect as a comprehensive statement, it is quite beyond both the province and the capacity of this Council to determine, with the needful deliberation, the innumerable points of detail of so extensive a work. And it would be neither right nor wise for the Council to seem to attach the authority of its sanction to statements which it has neither formed nor considered in their minute expression and multifarious application. What is rather appropriate for this Council, would be such a statement of the general principles of Congregationalism, and such an exposition of the bearing of those principles upon the civil and religious liberties of the country, upon our free institutions, and upon the growth and character of our American civilization, as would be fitted to commend their principles to the respectful consideration of those that are not Congregationalists, and illustrate the benefits which would accrue to churches and Christians of every name from the general adoption of the simple methods of Church government exhibited in the New Testament. If Congregational principles are destined to meet with general prevalence throughout our country, I apprehend that it will not be done by the present slow process of training up Congregational ministers in Congregational seminaries, who shall gather Congregational churches, forcing their way in antagonism to all the other churches, so much as by ministers and churches of other denominations coming by conviction of fact and conscience to the belief of the soundness of our principles and the safety and good effects of maintaining Church life and efficiency with such simple machinery.

The Congregationalists of England and Wales, some of whose churches are older than our own, and whose numbers and efficiency, the learning and orthodoxy of their clergy, and the general intelligence and piety of their members, entitle their views and practices to great weight, and with whom we hold equal fellowship, have published a general statement of their principles of faith and order, which may afford a useful hint in regard to the business before us. It was prepared at the annual meeting of the Congregational Union of England and Wales in 1832, submitted to the ministers and churches of the respective county and district associations, and, having met with general approbation, was unanimously adopted in 1833, "with the distinct understanding that it was not intended as a text, or creed, for subscription." Of this document, the "Principles of Church Order and Discipline" occupy about one page, and this has been found, for more than thirty years, to be a sufficient exposition of the nature of their Church order and discipline. The most important parts of this declaration may be given with still greater brevity, omitting such portions as relate to their particular circumstances, and with such changes of phraseology as may give to the principles a greater distinctness of expression.

1. They hold it to be the will of Christ that believers should assemble together to observe religious ordinances, to promote constant edification, to perpetuate and propagate the Christian religion, and to advance the worship and glory of God;

and that such society of believers, having these objects in view, is properly a Christian Church.

2. That the New Testament contains, either in the form of express statute or in the example and practice of apostles and apostolical churches, all the principles of order and discipline requisite for constituting and governing the churches; so that human traditions, fathers, and councils, canons and creeds, possess no authority over the faith and practice of Christians, and all questions are to be settled by appeal to the Scriptures.

3. That the New Testament authorizes every Christian Church to elect its own officers, to manage all its own affairs, and to stand independent of all authority, saying that only of the Lord Jesus Christ.

4. That the only officers placed by the apostles over individual churches are the bishops or pastors, and the deacons, in numbers according to the necessities of the Church; and to these, as the officers of the Church, is committed respectively the administration of its spiritual and temporal concerns, subject to the approbation of the Church.

5. The power of admission into any Christian Church, and rejection from it, is vested in the Church itself, and to be exercised only through its officers.

6. That no persons should be received as members of Christian churches but such as make a creditable profession of Christianity, are living according to its precepts, and attest a willingness to be subject to its discipline; and that none should be excluded from the fellowship of the Church but such as deny the faith of Christ, violate his laws, or refuse to submit themselves to the discipline which the word of God imposes. * * *

10. That it is the duty of Christian churches to hold communion with each other, to entertain an enlarged affection for each other as members of the same body, and to coöperate for the promotion of the Christian cause; but that no Church nor union of churches has any right or power to interfere with the faith or discipline of any other Church, further than to separate from such as in faith or practice depart from the gospel of Christ.

11. That it is the privilege and duty of every Church to call forth such of its members as may appear to be qualified by the Holy Spirit, to sustain the office of the ministry; and that Christian churches unitedly ought to consider the maintenance of the Christian ministry in an adequate degree of learning, as one of its (*sic*) especial cares; that the cause of the gospel may be both honorably sustained and constantly promoted.

12. That Church officers, whether bishops or deacons, should be chosen by the free voice of the Church; but that their dedication to the duties of their office should take place with special prayers, and by solemn designation; to which most of the churches add the imposition of hands by those already in office.

13. That the fellowship of every Christian Church should be so liberal as to admit to communion in the Lord's Supper all whose faith and godliness are on the whole undoubted, though conscientiously differing in points of minor importance; and that this outward sign of fraternity in Christ should be co-extensive with the fraternity itself, though without involving any compliances which conscience would deem sinful.¹

In comparing these passages with the document referred to this committee, or any other accredited publication on American Congregationalism, several consider-

¹ See Hanbury, *Historical Memorials*, vol. 3, pp. 599, 600.

able differences are apparent, both in the presentation and the application of the practical rules drawn from the one fundamental principle which is common to both countries, the perfect autonomy of the local Church. For instance, they do not require the strictness of an actual covenant to the being of a Church, but take practical union in worship as a sufficient basis of Church power and Church responsibility, which is all that can be clearly proved from Scripture. They give the binding force of law to no custom or inference not clearly found in Scripture. They give more distinct and express prominence to the tenet that the Church is "independent of all authority" but Christ's. On the other hand, their requirement, that all Church action should be "through its officers," would not be well received among us, where all our people are accustomed and trained to take an active part in the management of affairs.

A still more important difference appears in their broader presentation of the rights and relations of churches, so as to include, not Congregational, but all "Christian churches," alike in their claims and responsibilities. Has not the time come, and is not this Council the appropriate agent, for American Congregationalists to take this elevated ground, and, looking away beyond the narrow bounds of a denomination, proclaim to all Christian churches our recognition of their right to all the liberties which we enjoy, and our readiness to embrace in our fellowship of the churches all who give evidence that Christ acknowledges them for his?

This view of the possible duty of the Council suggests another reason why the document referred to us is not quite appropriate to the occasion. It is based upon the Cambridge Platform of 1648, and is a transcript of much of that famous and valuable record, including as well its antiquated phraseology as its uncouth and lumbering logic. But that Platform was an outgrowth of the circumstances in which our Pilgrim Fathers then found themselves, only twenty-six years after the first settlement at Plymouth; and it is submitted that the circumstances in which this Council convenes call for an utterance as different in tone and aim as our present situation, duties, and responsibilities differ from theirs. If we throw ourselves back into their case, we shall find a Synod summoned and authorized by the civil power, embracing the representatives of thirty or forty feeble churches, the only Christian lights of about as many thousand civilized inhabitants, sprinkled through the dense wilderness from Salem to Hartford. They were pressed down with two great anxieties which they looked to the Synod to allay. First, their hearts longed, even to bursting, in their solitude and weakness, to feel the fellowship and confidence of the churches of the Old World, so large and so strong. And secondly, they felt that the future welfare and even life of their whole enterprise depended upon the preservation of entire unity among themselves. And their utterances naturally took the forms calculated to meet these their greatest necessities. As the churches of the Old World with whom they were in correspondence were all Calvinistic, and mostly Presbyterian, the Synod gave full and emphatic assurance of their own adherence to the Calvinistic formularies, but only "for substance of doctrine;" and were also careful to put such a face on their own Congregational usages as was best fitted to make them appear as good as Presbytery. And on the other point, they made the cords of their unity fully as stringent as could possibly appear consistent with the cardinal principle of the autonomy of the individual Church. And from that time to the present, Congregationalism has suffered itself to be shut up in a corner of the country, and has presented itself as on the defensive, in the presence of the more organized and governed bodies of Christian churches in this country; and all its utterances have been more or less apologetic

in their tone. And in like manner, it has been the great care of our leading minds to cherish the spirit of unity among ourselves; to consolidate our ranks as a sect among sects; to tone down diversities, and repress every thing out of the ordinary course; often sacrificing efficiency to uniformity; trying to elevate usage into the rank of law; and to lower the sacred sympathies of fellowship into the character of a code with despotic power. This first meeting in a National Council, of the Congregational Churches, naturally calls us to take an account of the results of this whole restrictive policy. And what do we find? Why, just this, that we have kept our churches in unity and order, but have lost our hold upon the people. Whereas, at the beginning of our national existence, Congregationalism embraced the whole population of New England, except in Rhode Island, and a few congregations of Episcopalians and of Baptists elsewhere, at the present time we are in a minority in every county and almost every township of New England. Why should we take measures to spread ourselves over the whole country by pursuing a policy which has not enabled us to keep what we once had firmly in hand?

The very circumstances under which this Council assembles, by their strong contrast with those of the Cambridge Synod, might well suggest to us that the time has come for a trial of a different polity. The population of the country has increased well-nigh a thousand-fold, and even our Congregational Churches have multiplied about a hundred-fold. The glorious issue of a glorious war for the defence of our national existence has placed our nation in the fore-front among nations, and laid upon us a proportionate responsibility in reference to the establishment of Christ's kingdom. The same social convulsion which has thrown down the hitherto impenetrable barrier to the extension of our principles in one half of the country has deprived the great organized and governed bodies of churches of no small share of their strength, both of members and of vivifying energy. Let us now realize our opportunity, raise ourselves up to the height of our privilege, look beyond the narrow field of denominational aggrandizement, and see what we can do in giving to the great fundamental principles of Congregationalism the influence they deserve, and which the interests both of religion and of the country so perilously need. We do not now need to seek the guidance of Europe, or court its favor; for Europe now comes to us with its explanations and professions, and asks of us the good-will which we are ready to give, and the example which they will be glad to follow. We no longer stand on the defensive in the presence of presbytery, or prelacy, or itinerancy; but are able and bound to stand upon our pure scriptural basis, and let *them* apologize as well as they can for their unscriptural usurpations, and their imposition of unlawful burdens which the churches are unable and ought not to bear. With our order and unity as fully recognized and firmly established as the Union and government of the nation itself; with our doctrinal soundness and harmony in the great principles of Christianity, and freedom and divinity in the modes of presentation; with our learned ministry and numerous colleges and seminaries; with our educated population and the vast wealth diffused among the people; with our benevolent Boards and Societies which have been and are the exemplars of all others; and with the kind favor of God in giving so great prosperity, as well as in pouring out his Spirit upon our congregations,—surely it is not becoming for us to offer any other explanation or apology for our faith or order than to point at their points, “known and read of all men.” In what remote country or in what benighted corner is it necessary to raise an argument or adduce vouchers to prove that the Congregationalists of the United States of America are a body of evangelical Christians, enlightened and benevolent, and worthy of as much respect and

confidence as any other body, of any other name, or in any other country? With abundant reason to be humble before God that our good fruits are so far below our obligations, we stand up before all Christian men, and offer and demand a perfect and equal reciprocity of respect and confidence and coöperation. Neither is it becoming for us, after the scenes we have passed through, and the experience we have had in two hundred and fifty years, to cherish or allow the continuance of distrust and harassing and captious anxiety, lest our freedom of thought, controlled only by the government of opinion, should be unable to preserve the soundness of the faith, or lest our allowed diversity of gifts and methods of action should at length prove incompatible with the Christian unity which is practically necessary for our highest usefulness and honor. It is our duty now to assume the sufficiency of our ecclesiastical system by boldly commending it to others as tried and trust-worthy; to commend our way to the confidence of others by writing as if we believed it ourselves; to spend as little time or strength as possible in the indulgence of cavils and fears; and, in the assurance that we are right, to go forward in the most unreserved manner to give the widest influence to our principles, and aim to secure at the earliest period the universal adoption of our ecclesiastical order by all churches of every name and diversity that have a right to be called Christians.

With this view, and without asking of the Council their publication of this report, or any sanction of its statements and reasonings, the undersigned recommend that, besides ordering the publishing of the provisional report, the following resolutions be adopted as embracing the declarations and indicating the policy which this body might appropriately put forth in place of the lengthy document referred to the committee:

Resolved, 1. That the laws of Christ, which give to the individual or local societies of believers alone the right of self-government, including the election and continuance of their own officers, and the admission of members and retention, and the power of discipline for delinquency, necessarily make such churches alone responsible for the exercise of these important functions, and the discharge of these solemn trusts; and from this responsibility they can neither discharge themselves, nor is any human authority competent to absolve them, with or without their own consent.

2. That the existence of these rights and responsibilities, and all the consequent duties and privileges, does not depend upon any compact, usage, or human recognition, but solely upon the fact that any society is in reality a Church of Christ.

3. That the duty of individuals or other churches to recognize such society as a Church of Christ arises from the reasonable evidence they have that Christ himself so regards and treats it.

4. That the relations of fellowship between churches are established by the laws of Christ, and do not depend at all upon any agreement among themselves, any modes or forms in their organization, any name they may assume, as Congregational or any other, or the presence or absence of any peculiarities whatever, but arise and attach inseparably to every Church of Christ in respect to every other Church of Christ, and can neither be remitted by a Church, nor dissolved by any human authority, usurped or otherwise.

5. That this Council, representing the body of churches in the United States commonly called Congregational, bound together by strong sympathies and hallowed memories, desire to lay aside all claims, all usages, and all sentiments, inconsistent with these principles, solely because they are not in accordance with Christ's laws; and we hope to shape our future course of action as nearly by this standard as human infirmity will allow.

6. That we cordially invite all other Christian churches in this country, by their love to Christ and regard for the interests of religion among us, to adopt a similar course; to renounce all human authority over them, whether presbyterial, prelatical, or itinerant, which would hinder them from the exercise of these rights, or the maintenance of these principles;

so that we may all stand together and act together as one united brotherhood and host of the Lord.

7. That it is the natural right of the churches, as it is of individuals, to form special intimacies, either transitory or permanent, with those to whom they are drawn by similarity of views, objects, and habits; but this should never be allowed to interfere with the duties of justice, kindness, and friendly aid, which they owe alike to all who are entitled to the name of Christian churches, irrespective of any peculiarities or differences whatever. As surely as they belong to Christ, the things in which they agree are immeasurably greater than the things in which they differ. And we are all one in Christ Jesus, churches as well as persons, and our unity of relation and consequent duties of fellowship with all depend not upon human volition.

JOSHUA LEAVITT.

Both reports were accepted.

It was moved that the majority report be adopted.

Mr. Quint moved to amend by omitting the word "twenty," making the Committee to be five instead of "twenty-five."

The time of adjournment arrived, and the discussion was arrested, Dr. Bacon having the floor.

Adjourned with singing the Doxology.

TENTH DAY; SATURDAY, JUNE 24, 1865; 9, A. M.

The Council was opened with prayer by His Excellency Gov. Buckingham.

The minutes of yesterday's session were read, amended, and approved.

The Business Committee recommended that five minutes be the limit of speaking, unless specially ordered; which was ordered.

On motion of Rev. Dr. Thompson, the order appointing the Moderator and the two Assistant Moderators to respond to the communication from Boston, England, read yesterday, was reconsidered, and the duty was referred to three pastors of Boston, Mass.; viz., Rev. Dr. G. W. Blagden, Rev. Dr. E. N. Kirk, Rev. H. M. Dexter.

The committee to whom was referred the subject of Education at the West made report, and their report was referred to a special committee.

That committee was ordered to be composed as follows: viz., Rev. Dr. E. A. Park, of Mass.; Rev. J. P. Gulliver, of Conn.; Rev. Wm. De Loss Love, of Wis.

It was moved to amend by taking from the table the subject of a Catechism, presented to the Council at an earlier session, and referring it to the same committee. The amendment was accepted.

Dr. Bacon was allowed to speak on the question before the Council without limit of time.

Dr. Edward Beecher was allowed fifteen minutes additional to his time.

Dr. Eldredge was also allowed an extension of time.

The order of the day requiring the service of devotion at 11, A. M., was suspended, to allow Rev. Mr. Gulliver to make a personal explanation. By permission of Mr. Gulliver, Rev. Dr. Park, of Mass., introduced the following resolutions, which he moved as an amendment to the Report of the Committee before the Council:

Resolved, That this Council recognizes as distinctive of the Congregational polity, —

First, The principle that the local or Congregational Church derives its power and authority directly from Christ, and is not subjected to any ecclesiastical government exterior or superior to itself.

Secondly, That every local or Congregational Church is bound to observe the duties of mutual respect and charity, which are included in the communion of churches one with another; and that every Church which refuses to give an account of its proceedings, when kindly and orderly desired by neighbor churches, violates the law of Christ.

Thirdly, That the ministry of the gospel, by members of the churches who have been duly called and set apart to that work, implies in itself no power of government; and that ministers of the gospel, not elected to office in any Church, are not a hierarchy, nor are they invested with any official power in or over the churches.

The committee to whom was referred the subject of raising \$750,000 for the purposes of the denomination, reported, by Dea. Samuel Holmes, its chairman, as follows, viz.:—

REPORT.

The committee to whom was referred the question, "By what means to raise \$750,000 for the Evangelization of the West and South?" beg leave to suggest, that the sum named, as it cannot be reckoned *large*, when compared with the grandeur of the *object* and of the *opportunity* which God in his providence has set before us, so, *neither* is it to be regarded as *extravagant* in comparison with the *ability* which divine munificence has bestowed upon us, the Congregational churches of America.

Were we standing higher upon the mount of vision and of consecration, we should be startled not at the *greatness* but at the *littleness* of our plans for answering the appeal made to us at this signal moment in the history of our nation.

The one thing necessary in order that the amount named, and even more, may be realized the ensuing year, and from year to year hereafter, is that the benevolent spirit of our Master be more fully present in the churches, and be prompted to exercise the broader and clearer views of the work to be done.

It will be understood that the present is not a proposition to raise for the three societies a special fund of \$750,000 over and above their ordinary receipts. It does propose to *double* the annual revenue of the American Home Missionary Society and that of the American Missionary Association, while for church building it aims to do, through the American Congregational Union, a special service, plainly demanded *now*, and to a greater or less degree likely to be demanded for years to come.

We esteem it a fortunate circumstance, that the new campaign for Christ's cause finds our denomination provided with the three distinct and harmonious agencies that correspond to the three departments of labor into which the direct work of evangelization divides itself—an agency to assist in planting and sustaining churches; an agency to secure the building of houses of worship; and an agency to care for the comfort, education, and religious well-being of the lately enslaved blacks.

We desire to take no labor *off* from these societies, but rather to lay *more* upon them, and encourage them to put *more* upon the churches in Christ's name.

In accordance with these views, the committee recommend to the Council the passage of the following resolutions:

1. *Resolved*, That each of the several benevolent societies named in the report of the Committee on the Evangelization of the West and South be desired and *enjoined* to adopt the most efficient means in its power to secure the sum proposed, as *its* quota of the \$750,000.

2. *Resolved*, That, regarding this as the most significant of all the practical measures that have occupied the attention of the Council, we do hereby *pledge* ourselves to our Father, our

Saviour, and to each other, to coöperate with the Secretaries and Agents of the Societies referred to, in any effort they may wisely and zealously adopt.

3. *Resolved*, That the Council recommend to the American Congregational Union, without arresting or delaying the special efforts now in progress or ready to be put forth in behalf of the churches needing aid for the erection of houses of worship, to call for a simultaneous collection on the Sabbath preceding Forefathers' Day, December 17th, when every Congregational Church, large or small, from the Atlantic to the Pacific, shall contribute what it can towards the \$200,000 for church-building. Let the good work be finished in a day, and give the proper punctuation to this memorable meeting.

4. *Resolved*, That an appeal be issued from the Council, and placed, so far as may be, in the hands of every member of every Congregational Church in the country—urging the duty and privilege of self-denying benevolence, with immediate reference to the object contemplated in this paper.

5. *Resolved*, That we undertake this work, not in our own strength nor for our own glory, but with humble dependence upon Him whose are the silver and the gold and the hearts of men; and in humble imitation of Him who said, "It is more blessed to give than to receive,"—to Him be glory by the Church throughout all ages.

In behalf of the committee,

SAMUEL HOLMES, *Chairman*.

The report was adopted by a rising vote.

A half-hour was spent in devotional exercises.

Rev. Mr. Marvin of Mass., from the special committee to whom was referred the Resolution on Worship, reported as follows:—

REPORT.

The committee to whom the order on the subject of *Worship* was referred, having given it what attention was possible in the short time since the matter was referred to them, respectfully submit the following report:—

To avoid all misapprehension, the committee would begin by saying, that the subject before us is *worship*; not *forms* of worship, nor the *order of service* in the house of the Lord; but simply worship. It is quite true that the dividing line between our denomination and some other bodies of Christians is not liturgical. Congregationalists might have a prescribed order of service, with written forms of prayer, without infringing any of their peculiar principles: but that subject is not now before us; and we desire to say, so distinctly as not to be misunderstood, that we do not wish to raise any agitation in regard to it within this body. Our mode of worship is well established, and is satisfactory to the great mass of our fellow-worshippers.

The point to which we call especial attention, and would impress with emphatic earnestness, is this, that in our devotions, both public and private, we should bring in much more of the element of worship. Prayer, as generally defined, consists of several parts, as invocation, confession of sin, petition, thanksgiving, and praise. Any one, however, who gives attention to the custom in our public and private devotions, will be struck with the fact that the larger part of our prayers are taken up with petitions; confession of sin comes next in frequency and prominence; thanksgiving is not entirely forgotten; but praise, worship, adoration, are often entirely omitted. In saying this, we do not mean to intimate that we, as a denomination, in comparison with other Christians, are deficient in reverence; but that there is a general want of the element of worship, which we share in common with others. A sceptical philosopher and statesman in a former generation ridi-

culed the practice of worship as if it consisted in flattering the Almighty; and we have heard Christian ministers inquire, in the same strain, why we should tell God in our prayers how old he is, and how great. This error comes from apparent unfamiliarity with the practice of ancient saints, as seen in the Psalms; and also from profound ignorance of the design and effect of worship. The Psalms of David, of Asaph, and the other sacred poets, are replete with the spirit of worship.

In commencing their service they were accustomed to say, "O come, let us worship; let us bow down before the Lord our Maker!" . . . "Let us enter his courts with thanksgiving, and his gates with praise!" They thought of the eternity, the self-existence, the power, the truthfulness, the justice, the mercy, and the holiness of God, until their hearts were full, and then their feelings burst forth in the highest strains of adoration and praise.

Let it always be borne in mind that no one can worship God unless his soul is in love with infinite excellence; and that in proportion as his love for perfection increases will be his desire to render unto God the worship which is due. And in the act of worship the soul is enlarged and purified. No exercise of the human heart is so elevating and improving as that of meditating, with adoring feelings, upon the character of the ever-blessed God. As we study him in his works, and especially in his words, as revealed in his perfect laws, we are "changed into the same image from glory to glory."

We do therefore earnestly call upon all with whom the proceedings of this Council will have weight to make the element of worship more prominent in their devotions, both in public and private religious services. To the objection that the endeavor to effect this end would prolong devotional exercises to an undue length, we would reply, that prayers are sometimes tedious because they have not the variety which meets all the wants of the devout soul, and are made up of "vain repetitions" in the form of petition for blessings. It is believed that our devotional services, both in the house of God, in social meetings, and in the family, would be made far more interesting by being more complete, while by the same means they would be rendered more acceptable to the hearer of prayer. Those who render earnest and sincere thanks for divine favors, will thus enforce their prayers for new mercies in the presence of the prayer-answering God; and those who, by high and holy expressions of adoration, draw near to the great white throne, will be thereby fitted to make a good use of all the blessings which God may be pleased to bestow upon them. Our petitions will be more pleasing to our heavenly Father when we worship him in the "beauty of holiness."

A. P. MARVIN,
N. H. EGGLESTON,
J. L. JENKINS.

The amendment to the Report on Church Polity was adopted, and the amended report was then adopted.

It was voted, that the vote be reconsidered so far as respects the mode of appointing the committee; and it was ordered that the Moderator and First Assistant Moderator nominate that committee to this Council for its appointment.

The Committee on Ministerial Education reported by Dr. Sweetser, their chairman, as follows, viz.:—

REPORT.

The Committee on Collegiate and Ministerial Education respectfully recommend

that the resolutions submitted to them in reference to Collegiate and Theological Institutions at the West be referred to a special committee.

They also recommend the adoption of the comprehensive, clear, and well-arranged report on the education of young men for the ministry presented to this Council by the committee appointed at the preliminary meeting to consider that subject.

And in order that some of its more weighty suggestions may be distinctly impressed, and be carried out in the practical application they deserve, the committee beg leave to submit to the Council for their adoption the following statements:

1. As it is an admitted fact that in the providence of God the high religious character, the Christian energy, the sound and intelligent patriotism, and the wide and salutary influence, of New England in the past have depended to a large extent upon the existence and continuous work of an educated and devoted ministry, so it must be admitted, that in the future within New England the perpetuation and enlargement of such character and influence, and beyond New England the training of communities to a similar character and influence, depends, and will ever depend, upon the existence and continuous work of a ministry in like manner devotedly pious, and generously educated.

2. Inasmuch as the present emergency is pressing, and the condition of the West and South imperatively demands immediate attention, it is eminently desirable that our theological seminaries should provide for the education of earnest-minded and vigorous young men, whose hearts are in the Lord's work, by arranging a course of instruction not requiring a previous collegiate training, in order that, with as little delay as practicable, they may engage in preaching the gospel to the many thousands who wait for it in our land.

3. As the duty of consecration to the spreading of Christ's kingdom is not laid exclusively upon those who minister the word, and as it is not salutary nor right that those who go into the warfare equip themselves at their own charges, the obligation should be recognized by all members of the Church of our Redeemer, to help young men in their education for the ministry, by assistance rendered directly to individuals, by supplying ample funds to education-societies, and by generously endowing scholarships in colleges and theological seminaries.

4. Notwithstanding the often presented discouraging aspect of the Christian ministry, arising from an alleged insecurity and insufficiency of pecuniary support, young men of the requisite ability and good disposition should be encouraged to devote themselves to preaching the gospel, in the cheerful exercise of a simple faith in the promise of our Lord Jesus Christ to his messengers, "Lo, I am with you always!" trusting with all good assurance and hope in the word of the Lord, that all necessary things shall be added unto them.

By vote of the committee,

S. SWEETSER, *Chairman.*

June 23, 1865.

The report was accepted and adopted, with the following addition to the original paper, as follows:

"And a deeper and more general consecration on the part of Christian parents to their children to the work of the gospel ministry."

Accepted and adopted; to be entered after "choicest of our sons," page 325, line forty-five.

Dr. E. Beecher offered the following resolutions, viz.:

Resolved, That an appeal be issued from this Council, and placed, so far as may be, in the hands of every member of every Congregational Church in the country, urging the duty of self-denying benevolence, with immediate reference to the object contemplated in this paper.

Resolved, That a special committee of five be appointed to prepare and issue this appeal.

It was voted that this Council sit until two and a half P. M., and then adjourn *sine die*.

Dr. Stearns's report on Systematizing Benevolent Contributions, amended, was accepted and adopted as follows:

REPORT OF COMMITTEE ON BENEVOLENT SOCIETIES.

The committee to whom was submitted, the paper entitled "Systematizing Benevolent Contributions" ask leave to report, that they have given as much time to the consideration of the subject of the paper as your intervals of business and their other engagements would allow, and see no reason to dissent from the general views and statements presented by the original committee.

They were evidently embarrassed, as all who give attention to the facts and circumstances of the case must be, by the number of existing organizations engaged in important enterprises, and by the difficulty of connecting those organizations by any wise and practicable plans of concentration. If the authors of the paper had any such end in view when they commenced the work assigned them, they were evidently brought to despair of its accomplishment. Your committee fully agree with them in the opinion that much less can be safely attempted towards reducing the number of benevolent associations, and giving greater breadth and power to a few which might be specially recommended to public confidence, than the inexperienced in such an effort would naturally imagine. Most of these societies have long since attained to the dignity and stability of years and experience, and have acquired confidence by valuable services. Most of them have their own charters, their own endowments, their own special patrons and friends, and their own specific works. They have also a history and a life, and would be destroyed only by undesirable pressure and violence. The work of evangelizing the heathen and nominally Christian nations abroad, and of converting the population of our own country wholly to Christ, is the one work, generally speaking, which as Christians and Congregationalists we are all interested, in connection with the upbuilding of our own churches, to achieve; and we suppose it to be an established fact that this work must be carried on among us through the agency of the great missionary and other benevolent associations which have been established for the purpose.

It must not, however, be forgotten that new and great opportunities for Christian effort have been opened to us by the results of the war. The colored Freedmen, the long neglected whites of the South, the in-rushing, enterprising, diversified populations of the West, even more than before, all demand Christian sympathy and assistance, which can be best afforded, sometimes by the old organizations, and sometimes perhaps by temporary associations formed expressly for their benefit. We cannot restrict the liberty of the churches in selecting the fields of their labor, the objects of their benevolence, and the channels through which they would bestow their contributions.

Your committee, therefore, in view of the whole subject, would limit themselves

to a few simple suggestions, and those chiefly in accordance with the sentiments of the paper before them.

1. They suggest that it is exceedingly desirable that the disposition to Christian giving should be greatly intensified and extended — that all the members of our larger churches should be educated and accustomed to it, and that pains should be taken to interest not only the smaller, but the smallest churches in it. Of this last character, it is believed that there are large numbers which are rarely visited by the agents of benevolent societies, for the reason that such agents do not find this work pecuniarily remunerative to the associations which employ them. In consequence of this neglect, while the pecuniary loss to the kingdom of Christ is great, the loss of Christian growth, through the disuse of giving, is far greater and deplorable. We would call the attention of ministerial associations, Church conferences, agents of benevolent societies, pastors and churches, to this subject, that they may inquire, in their respective localities and relations, what can be done to develop the benevolence of all churches and Christians within the reach of their influence.

2. Your committee suggest, that it may be safe and wise to presume that intelligent Christians, in selecting societies, will naturally give their preference to those whose work, as defined, comes nearest to that "foolishness of preaching" by which Christ saves those who believe.

3. Your committee suggest, that without infringing upon the liberty of the churches and of private Christians, in their selection of societies, and because we are afraid that many churches, especially at the West, would esteem it a great favor to be informed of the facts, it may be well for this body to say that the American Board of Commissioners for Foreign Missions, the American Home Missionary Society, and the American Missionary Association, have the confidence of our churches, and have been accustomed to receive and disburse most of the charities intended to subserve the ends for which these societies were formed; that the American Education Society, for the increase of the number of Christian ministers; the Society for Promoting Collegiate and Theological Education at the West, having the same general object in view; the American Bible Society for the translation and distribution of the Word of God; the American and Foreign Christian Union for diffusing the simple gospel among nations and communities whose Christianity has been sadly corrupted, and in some instances almost destroyed by human additions and superstitions; the old and well-established societies for the benefit of seamen, and for the gathering and improving of Sabbath Schools, and the Christian education of children in them; the Congregational Board of Publication, [and the American Congregational Association,] — have all been sanctioned by the customs of the churches, and by the recommendations, often repeated, of numerous religious bodies among us; also that the objects for which the American Tract Societies were instituted, viz. the distribution of religious books and tracts, especially in settlements supposed to be destitute of them, are considered by this Council as of great importance, and are cordially recommended to the churches; also that, whereas there are many associations for the benefit of the Freedmen, — the paper under consideration says at least twelve, others say as many as eighteen within their knowledge, — it would seem desirable to concentrate such agencies, so far as our contributions are concerned, into some one responsible body sufficiently known and approved to be worthy of our confidence; and as the American Missionary Association has undertaken this work, we are happy to say that in our judgment there is no existing organization which has better adaptations to the successful performance of it;

also, and as a matter of special importance, that an effort to encourage and aid Church-building, especially at the West and South, should be earnestly carried forward by the Congregational Union, which has undertaken this enterprise.

4. Your committee suggest, that while it is not expedient for this Council to attempt constrained conjunctions of societies, nor in their power to furnish perfect systems of Christian giving which may be applicable to all cases, it is a legitimate business of each Church, and would conduce greatly to its order and comfort, to decide, after full consideration for themselves, what objects they will patronize, what societies they will employ as their organs, and at what times they will make their contributions. They can adopt either of the plans suggested in the paper before us, or construct a plan each for itself, always regarding the ability of the Church, and adopting such courses as may be most conducive to good order and most fruitful in good works. Your committee cannot think that impulsive giving to irresponsible solicitors, when the circumstances cannot be well understood, is either required by our Lord, or is conducive to the edification of his Church. New objects of high importance will often demand consideration; but if entertained at all, they should be regarded only as occasional and extraordinary.

Finally, your committee suggest that it would be a great saving of expense and confusion, and for the edification of the churches, if the pastors would undertake, as far as may be practicable, to act themselves as agents in behalf of the several objects for which the churches under their care may choose to contribute; and that while agents may be invited when necessary to communicate information, the systematic efforts of pastors and churches should be our main reliance for securing those stated contributions which our churches may choose to bestow.

W. A. STEARNS,
J. H. LINSLEY,
WOOSTER PARKER,
CALVIN B. CADY,
H. A. MINER,
JEREMIAH BUTLER.

And it was ordered that the name of the American Congregational Association be added to the list of societies therein contained.

Rev. Mr. Thome, of Ohio, presented the following resolution, which was accepted and adopted, as follows:

Resolved, That for the supply and proper training of a ministry adequate to the wants of the West and the South, and for the spiritual preparation of the Lord's people East and North to respond suitably, in money, measures, and men, to the calls of Providence to enter and occupy the land which late events have opened to our faith and polity and evangelical enterprise, and to plant churches among, and furnish all requisite means of grace to, the Freed people, and the inhabitants generally of the South,—

Revivals of Religion in our colleges and theological seminaries, and throughout our churches, Sabbath schools, and families, leading to the renewed consecration of the wealth and the youth of our Zion to the Redeemer, are now the preëminent necessity; and that this Council does conjure the pastors and churches of our order from the Atlantic to the Pacific to employ, promptly and in faith, the measures they may deem most efficient, under God, in promoting a general and genuine refreshing from on high.

And would God this work of grace might begin at this Jerusalem!

Rev. Dr. Taylor, of Conn., made the following report, which was accepted, and the resolutions adopted:—

REPORT OF THE COMMITTEE "ON A PROTESTANT ASSEMBLY."

The papers brought before this body in reference to a Protestant Assembly, and referred to a special committee, emanated from two sources having the same general object in view. The Young Men's Christian Association which recently held a convention in the city of Philadelphia, regarding the signs of the times as indicating greater harmony among brethren, recommended that measures be taken for securing a concert of action on the part of all Protestant denominations, to resist the encroachments of infidelity, in its varied phases of bold opposition to the gospel; as well as to promote harmony and love among those who belong to the household of faith. The General Assembly of the Presbyterian Church, which held its late session in the city of Pittsburg, Pa., had a more definite form given to this subject in the following resolutions, which were presented for its consideration:—

Resolved, That the wide-spread influence of infidelity, in its varied phases of bold atheism and rationalistic philosophy, which is now putting forth redoubled energies for its dissemination throughout every section of our land, calls for the prompt and united action of evangelical Christians, in a clear, honest, and uncompromising enunciation of the great cardinal doctrines of grace, and a bold defence of the truth as it is in Jesus.

Resolved, That in the providence of God we believe that a solemn responsibility is now laid upon the Church of the Lord Jesus Christ in this country to manifest her loyalty to the Great King, by maintaining inviolate and steadfast, both by the enunciations of the pulpit and the issues of the press, the great principles of the gospel, which are designed to elevate the cross, establish the kingdom of Christ, and ultimately overthrow the whole system of error.

Resolved, That the fearful growth of the Papacy, both as an ecclesiastical and civil power in this land, is well calculated to awaken the fears and arouse the mightiest energies of the entire Protestant community, and call imperiously for the adoption of measures at once timely and adequate to the emergency, so that we may, under the divine blessing, be enabled to counteract the secret and malign influence of the man of sin, and present an unbroken front of the army of truth against this system of corruption which is hourly girding itself for the approaching conflict.

Resolved, That it is our candid judgment that the present is an auspicious moment to inaugurate such a measure, and that, while we would not presume to dictate, we would most respectfully request the General Assembly of the Presbyterian Church, now in session in this city (Pittsburg), to put forth a suitable deliverance upon these important subjects, and to take steps to have such action concurred in by other branches of our American Protestant Church, so as to bring about the formation of a great national Protestant league, which, by its constitution, shall be fully up to the urgent demands and necessities of the times.

Resolved, That a committee of four ministers and three laymen be appointed by this meeting to present this subject to the General Assembly, and to be associated with a similar committee to be appointed by that body in devising plans by which a general and concerted movement of all the Protestant force in the land may be brought about, and bold, continuous, and vigorous protest, by word and act, shall be enunciated against both infidelity and Roman Catholicism, the arch enemies of truth in the midst of the professing Church of God, and arch traitors to civil and religious freedom throughout the world.

These resolutions elicited the warm approval of the Assembly to that degree that they appointed a large committee to carry out the spirit of them in coöperation with committees which may be, or have been, appointed by other denominations for a like purpose. The subject has been urged also on the attention of this body, by private letters entitling it to serious consideration. Your committee therefore recommend the passage of the following resolution by this Congregational Council:—

Resolved, That a committee, consisting of five clergymen and an equal number of laymen, be appointed, to act in concert with other committees similarly appointed by other

evangelical denominations, for the purpose of giving expression to our desire for more outward fellowship, and more vigorous co-operation for the defence of Protestant Christianity against the encroachments of Roman Catholicism and infidelity in our land.

For the Committee,

JEREMIAH TAYLOR, *Chairman.*

Rev. Dr. Blodgett, of Rhode Island, made a report on Temperance, as follows:—

REPORT OF COMMITTEE ON TEMPERANCE.

The special committee to whom was referred the subject of temperance, under the form of an inquiry, "whether any, and, if so, what deliverance should be made on the subject by the National Council," make the following report.

It is eminently fitting that the Council send forth to the nation a distinct and solemn testimony in favor of the principles of temperance. The subject is too tenderly associated with the labors and "blessed memory" of many of the fathers in our ministry who gave to it their labors and their prayers; and it too deeply concerns the interests of morality and religion for the present and for the future of our blood-bought country to allow us to refrain from giving it an open and hearty indorsement.

Intemperance is a sin against God, a curse to society, a foe to the purity of the Church and its ministry, a corruption of the young, a hinderance to the profitable hearing of the word of God, and so to the conversion and salvation of men. Under various pretences of health and hospitality, and by various influences of appetite and gain, and those growing out of the late war with rebellion, drinking usages are widely prevailing, to the *danger* of all and to the *destruction* of many of our young men, the late defenders, and the future hope, of our country.

That the alarming progress of the evil may be arrested, and our country saved from a more disastrous doom than that in which the late rebellion threatened to involve it, in the name of humanity, patriotism, morality, and religion, yea, in the name of God, we earnestly invite the coöperation of ministers and Christians, of teachers in our schools, of officers in our colleges, of our legislators and our ministers of justice, of our physicians and lawyers, and of our rulers in all departments of government, that our nation may be saved from the dangers which impend from the increasing prevalence of intemperance.

We would give the trumpet that certain sound which such men as Lyman Beecher and Justin Edwards gave, when the nation was aroused from its guilty slumber, and marshalled under God for that great moral battle, in which the friends of temperance so signally triumphed.

The committee submit for the adoption of the Council the following resolutions; viz.:

1. *Resolved*, That this Council hails with satisfaction and gratitude to God the renewal of temperance efforts, in a Christian spirit, and on the scriptural principle of self-denial for personal safety and the good of others, efforts long successfully urged by wise and good men in our own ministry and churches, and in the ministry and churches of other denominations; and that we regard the family, the Sunday School, the Church and the congregation, and above all the ministry, as the fitting channels of influence, on this as on all other great moral questions.

2. *Resolved*, That while we accept with thankfulness the aid of legislation, in the conflict with intemperance, we must still rely mainly upon moral and spiritual appliances for progress and final triumph; and that we hold the temperance enterprise thus prosecuted to be just *one method* of that home evangelization in which this Council is so deeply and so

properly engaged, and that, too, a method indispensable to the complete success of that divine work of evangelization.

3. *Resolved*, That, while we acknowledge with great satisfaction the eminent services of many of the medical profession in the cause of temperance, we hold it to be matter of regret that such numbers prescribe intoxicating beverages for convalescent and other patients; and we would earnestly inquire if the superior science and wisdom of the profession cannot find substitutes for such inebriating tonics, the use of which will be attended with less peril to those who are under the power of an incipient or confirmed appetite for intoxicating drinks.

4. *Resolved*, That we receive with satisfaction the invitation to send a delegation to the National Temperance Convention to be holden at Saratoga, New York, August 1st, 1865; and that we respond to that invitation by appointing six delegates, headed by our respected Moderator, to that convention, with the desire to add the testimony of this national body to that of the many State and local bodies to be represented in that convention.

(Signed)

C. BLODGETT,
Z. S. BARSTOW,
WILLIAM THURSTON,
LORENZO D. DANA,
C. A. STACKPOLE,
J. COLLIE.

The report was accepted, and it was moved to strike out the word "prohibition" in the 2d resolution. The amendment was adopted.

Mr. Williams, of New York, moved to amend by appending his resolutions condemning the improper use of tobacco. The motion was lost.

It was moved to reconsider the motion striking out the word "prohibition." The motion was lost.

The report as amended, was adopted.

Rev. Prof. Park made a report from the committee to whom was referred the report on education at the West, as follows:

The special Committee on Education at the West respectfully report the following resolutions:

Resolved, That in order to the raising-up of an educated ministry for the supply of the churches of the new States now becoming filled by the advancing tide of population, and to meet the large demands of those States which recent events have opened to Christian influence, it is a fundamental necessity that well-indorsed and well-manned collegiate and theological institutions should be established, and that, too, in the best positions.

Resolved, That the Society for the Promotion of Collegiate and Theological Education at the West, in rendering effectual aid to fourteen collegiate and theological institutions, scattered from Eastern Ohio to the Pacific coast, so placing them on sure foundations, and in so managing this whole subject as at once to have saved the churches from annoyance and to have given a wise direction to their charities, has accomplished a work of great and enduring benefit, which this Council recognize with gratitude to God, by whose help it has been wrought.

Resolved, That in view of the great work yet remaining to be done both at the West and in the South, and of the admirable adaptation of this society to the accomplishment of it with the least possible friction and expense, this Council heartily commend it to the increased confidence and larger liberality of the churches represented here.

LINCOLN COLLEGE.

Report of Special Committee on Theological and Collegiate Education.

Whereas, Our brethren in Kansas are laying the foundation of a Congregational College, which shall, on the field of its early victory, be a monument of the triumph of freedom over slavery; a memorial of that Christian emancipator whose name it bears; a center of Con-

gregational and Christian influence, and a source of ministerial supply for the Missouri Valley and the regions beyond:

Resolved, That we commend the enterprise to the confidence, sympathy, and liberal support of all friends of New England principles and polity, civil and religious liberty, and home evangelization; and yet that their appeal to the public be only through and in accordance with the rules of the Society for the Promotion of Collegiate and Theological Education at the West.

The Report was accepted and adopted.

The Nominating Committee reported the following nominations for Committees, who were appointed: viz.,

Committee to make special appeal for the \$750,000 — Rev. E. B. Webb, Mass.; Rev. T. P. Field, D. D., Conn.; Rev. J. C. Holbrook, D. D., N. Y.; Rev. G. S. F. Savage, Ill.; Rev. R. Hall, Min.

Committee on American Protestant Assembly — Rev. Jeremiah Taylor, D. D., Conn.; D. S. Williams, N. Y.; W. Carter, Ill.; Hon. E. D. Holton, Wis.; Rev. John Patchin, Mich.; Rev. J. Patterson, Iowa; Rev. Charles Shedd, Min.; Rev. E. H. Byington, Vt.; Dea. S. W. Buffum, N. H.; Dea. Jacob Blanchard, Me.

Delegates to the National Temperance Convention — His Excellency Gov. W. A. Buckingham, Conn.; Hon. C. G. Hammond, Ill.; Rev. J. P. Thompson, D. D., N. Y.; Hon. E. D. Holton, Wis.; Charles Stackpole, Esq., Me.; Rev. H. M. Dexter, Mass.

The Moderator and Assistant Moderator reported the following to be the Committee on Church Polity:—

Rev. Dr. Bacon, Conn.; Rev. A. H. Quint, Mass.; Rev. Henry M. Storrs, Ohio; Rev. Edward A. Parks, D. D., Mass.; Rev. Samuel Harris, D. D., Me.; Rev. Sam'l C. Bartlett, D. D., Ill.; Rev. Prof. G. P. Fisher, Conn.; Rev. Prof. Fairchild, Ohio; Rev. Ed. A. Lawrence, D. D., Conn.; Rev. J. P. Gulliver, Conn.; Rev. Benj. Labaree, D. D., Vt.; Rev. Mark Hopkins, D. D., Mass.; Rev. Wm. Barrows, Mass.; Rev. J. M. Sturtevant, D. D., Ill.; Rev. T. M. Post, D. D., Mo.; Rev. Ed. Beecher, D. D., Ill.; Rev. Wm. Salter, Iowa; Rev. M. Hoyt, Mich.; Rev. D. Burt, Minn.; Rev. J. P. Thompson, D. D., N. Y.; Hon. Woodbury Davis, Me.; Hon. Henry Stockbridge, Md.; Hon. John H. Broekway, Conn.; Rev. N. A. Hyde, Ind.; Rev. Leonard Swain, D. D., R. I.; Rev. Richard Cordley, Kan.; A. Finch, Esq., Wis.; Warren Currier, Esq., Mo.; Rev. R. Anderson, D. D., Mass.

The Committee on Parochial Evangelization reported as follows:—

REPORT OF THE COMMITTEE ON PAROCHIAL EVANGELIZATION.

The Committee, to whom was submitted the paper on Parochial Evangelization, presented to the Council, would respectfully report.

The relation which the work of Parochial Evangelization bears to other objects of Christian enterprise will be obvious at a glance. It is preliminary, and, as a condition of ultimate success, indispensable. How, for instance, can the great work of evangelization at the West and South, which has occupied so large a portion of the time of this Council, be carried on, unless our home communities are pervaded and permeated by the spirit of the gospel? How are foreign missions to be sustained if heathenism is intrenched on our own shores? Whence are to come the future ministers and missionaries of the cross if there are no Christian

homes, and young men consecrated from their infancy to Christ and the Church? How are collegiate and theological institutions to be established and endowed, unless the wealth of the land is in the hands of men, who, having first given themselves to God, acknowledge his claim upon all that they possess? How are the treasures of our various benevolent societies to be supplied if there are no springs of piety and Christian sympathy to feed the channels of benevolence, and send the streams that make glad the city of our God? We do not say that no foreign work is to be done until the whole home field is cultivated, and made as the garden of the Lord; that, until our own parishes are thoroughly evangelized, the heathen must be left to perish; but what hope can we have of final success in convincing the world for Christ, unless his kingdom be established in the hearts and homes of our own people? The stream will not rise above our fountain. The fruits of the Spirit must somewhere be grown before they can be transplanted and propagated. What advantage will it be to build new churches at the West and South if the churches of New England are to die out and disappear? Why plant a Christian empire in the heart of Asia or Africa with a heathen population at our very doors? Let not the Church, "who is the mother of us all," ever be obliged to say, "They made me the keeper of the vineyards, but mine own vineyards have I not kept."

Your Committee are more and more impressed with the importance of this work. They have carefully examined and considered the Report submitted to their inspection. They indorse substantially the recommendations appended at the close, and commend the Report as a whole to the earnest and prayerful consideration of the churches represented in Council.

The Committee do not feel called upon to discuss anew the general subject; this is not necessary. But there are certain fundamental truths or principles brought to view in the Report, to which they would call special attention, that it may be understood what is the precise work, and what substantially are the measures, to which the Council virtually pledge themselves, should the Report in question be adopted.

1. *The Church in its Design.*

The Church is a brotherhood of believers, united in the bonds of Christian fellowship, for the upbuilding of Christ's kingdom among themselves and throughout the world. In its very nature and design, the Church is aggressive; nor will it have done its work until every man, woman, and child is brought under the power of the gospel.

2. *The Parochial Relations of the Church.*

Every local Church is the centre of a parish, more or less extended as the case may be. Geographical limits cannot always be assigned, nor is it necessary that they should be. Two or more families may come within the same bounds, and cover much the same ground. The parish of each Church consists of all the families not belonging to other congregations within its reach. For the evangelization of these, it is held of God responsible. There may be outlying districts, neglected neighborhoods, on the borders of towns and villages. These also are to be cared for. In some way, by systematic visitation, by neighborhood prayer-meetings and occasional preaching services, by mission Sabbath Schools and the distribution of religious books and tracts, by the circulation of the Scriptures and the employment perhaps of Bible-readers, the people of such outlying neighborhoods are to be made acquainted with the truths of the gospel. And these movements are to be under the supervision of the Church; not spasmodic, but steady; not philanthropic simply, but Christian; the forth-putting, on the part of the Church, of its activity and

power. All effort in the work of parochial evangelization that is not connected with the local Church, and does not bring the evangelized masses within the fold, and under the watch and care of the Church, will prove futile.

3. *Church Accommodations and Worship.*

Ample accommodations are to be provided for all who are disposed, or who can be persuaded, to attend upon the services of the sanctuary. The style of Church architecture should be such as to bring the pew sittings within the reach of the poorer classes. The sanctuary should be made attractive also, not by costly embellishment, but by the clear and winning exhibition of the truth as it is in Jesus. There is no such power to sway the hearts of men as is found in the simple preaching of the doctrines of the cross. In "the service of song in the house of the Lord," it is the duty and privilege of all, so far as they may, to participate. There is devotion in such singing more than in the performances of a few hired singers. The Scriptures, whether read or chanted, should have prominent place in any Church service; while the offering of prayer should be, not a prescribed form, but the free out-breathing of a soul in habitual communion with God. With such a service, however humble the structure in which it was held, the people would be drawn to the house of God, and they who came to scoff, perhaps, remain to pray.

4. *The Duty of Ministers.*

It is the duty of every minister of Christ to explain to his people the nature and methods of this work of parochial evangelization, and to direct them in it. He is himself, so far as health and other circumstances may allow, to take the initiative. Like his divine Lord, he is to *seek*, as well as to attempt to save, the lost. In going forth to the remoter sections of his parish, and preaching the gospel from house to house, in the patient endurance of toil and hardships and self-denial in this blessed work, he is to be an ensample to the flock. More especially is he to lay upon the hearts and consciences of the people to whom he ministers the responsibility that properly devolves upon them. He is to make them see and feel that they have something to do; that they were called into the kingdom of Christ to labor, and not to rest. He is to guide them, teach them, show them how they may be useful. He is to inspire them, to animate them, with his own spirit of self-denial, and devotion to the Master's cause. If he is not equal to this, he is not fit for his place. "Behold," says the prophet, "I have given him for a witness to the people, a leader and commander to the people." And such should every minister of Christ be among the people of his charge, a leader as well as a witness.

5. *Lay Preaching.*

The duty of laymen, in their own way and sphere, to preach the gospel of Christ, is now acknowledged. All are to spread the glad tidings. A personal responsibility rests upon any member of the Church to engage in this work. Ministers are no more called of God to win souls to Christ than laymen. "Let him that heareth say, Come." One may preach in the pulpit, and the other just as really, and perhaps more persuasively, in his warehouse or workshop. "Lord, what wilt thou have me to do?" is the question which every professed disciple of Christ should raise from day to day. "Where can I be most useful?" "How can I most honor Him who loved me, and gave himself for me?" The Church is supposed to be a body of workers, not of drones. "We, then, as workers together with him," says Paul. If any man will not work, neither shall he eat of that spiritual bread which came down from heaven. The question has been raised, whether the time has not come for setting apart an order of lay evangelists. And this matter is certainly worthy of consideration. Let no man run before he is sent; but when he is sent of God, then let him not stay.

6. *Special Efforts for the Young.*

Efforts are to be made for the conversion of all, but more especially for the children and youth. They are the hope of the flock. Let them be gathered, one and all, into the Sabbath School, under competent teachers,—"wise to win souls to Christ." Let the old system of catechetical instruction be revived, or some substitute be found, which shall be equally crowned and blessed of God. Let more prominence be given to the rule and doctrine of infant baptism. Let children's parents be instructed as to their duty and privilege in this particular, until they shall come to see the preciousness of covenant blessings, proffered to them and their seed after them. Let the hearts of the fathers be turned unto the children, and the hearts of the children unto the fathers, that the curse may be arrested, and the pains and penalties of the Old Testament be converted into the promises of the New. Children should be brought to the house of God also. The Sabbath School is no proper substitute for the regular Church service any more than for household religious instruction. Sermons to children should be preached occasionally, and other means devised to instruct them in the truth and the gospel. He who said, "Feed my sheep," said also, "Feed my lambs."

7. *The Home Prayer Meeting.*

The Committee attach great importance to this. The object of the meeting should be to devise ways and means for reaching the neglected classes of the community; those who live under the very eaves of the sanctuary, and yet have never been persuaded to enter. Prayers should be offered with special reference to this object. Reports should be received from visiting committees, tract distributors, Bible readers, when such have been appointed. The pastor should give some account of his labors; of the encouragements he has met, and the discouragements and obstacles with which he has to contend. The main point should be, not so much to make the meeting interesting as useful. Then it will prove both interesting and useful; a meeting which the churches will not be willing to forego.

8. *The Social Element in the Church.*

How can we make the most of the social influence and power of the Church? is a most important inquiry. There is felt to be a want in all our churches; a want of sympathy and mutual love. We are not one as Christ prayed that we might become. There are lines of division in the Church which ought to be obliterated. How to obviate this difficulty is the problem to be solved. It is related of one whose name and memory are indissolubly associated with this Church edifice,¹ whose hands helped to build these walls, and whose spirit still lingers about the sanctuary in which he so long worshiped (the late Deacon Safford), that he "regarded the family of Christ as his own family." It was his custom to keep a list of the members of the Church, and to cultivate a personal acquaintance with each; loving those united with him in these sacred bonds "with a pure heart fervently." This sheds light upon the subject so far as the officers of the Church are concerned. Something can be done also in the way of social gatherings, meetings of sewing societies, etc. What is wanted is simply to bring those who are members of the mystical body of Christ heart to heart. Then also will they see "eye to eye." There ought to be no tie so tender and strong as that which binds one Church member to another; no feeling of love like that which wells up in the heart of every believer from that common fountain whence we draw our spiritual life. Over the portals of our churches, that all who enter there may read, should be inscribed, "Love the Brotherhood."

¹ Mt. Vernon Church.

9. *Higher Standard of Piety in the Church.*

We might almost say that the whole work of parochial evangelization resolves itself into this. Let the standard of piety be elevated; let every Church member feel that he is called of God and consecrated to the work of serving Christ in saving lost men; that this is his mission, and not to get money or achieve a high social position; the work which it was given him as a Christian man to do, and by which he is to prove that he is a Christian man,—and there would be no further need of discussion as to the methods of parochial evangelization. A way would be opened, a broad highway, in which every consecrated believer in Jesus would delight to walk. What we want on the part of the membership of the Church is more humility, more brokenness of spirit, a deeper and truer penitence for sin. We want a stronger love for Christ, a more abiding sense of obligation to him. We want, as ministers and laymen, more of the spirit of self-denial and self-sacrifice, the spirit of the cross; a willingness to be anything or do anything if only God may be glorified, and we glorified in him. The evangelization, not of our parishes simply, but of the world, is an easy problem when contemplated from this point of view.

10. *The Abiding Presence and Power of the Holy Ghost.*

We live under the dispensation of the Spirit. This fact is never to be lost sight of in all our plans and efforts. The doctrine of the Holy Ghost, of his personality and power, should be made very prominent in the preaching of ministers at the present day. There have been three grand epochs in the history of the world. In the first, God the Father was the principal actor. In the second, God the Son. Now appears God the Holy Ghost, to whom it is given to complete the glorious work. He is the source of all spiritual life and strength. Without him we are nothing. And just here is our danger in this work of home evangelization. We mature our plans, we organize our forces, we enter upon the work. The machinery is perfect, and we expect great results. But the spirit of power does not rest upon us, and we can accomplish nothing. How different is it when the spirit is poured out from on high!

But we need the continued presence of the Spirit in our churches; and this was the promise of Christ: "And I will pray the Father, and He shall give you another Comforter, that He may abide with you forever." We have our seasons of refreshing followed by seasons of spiritual declension. How can we constrain the blessed Spirit to *abide* with us? The question still remains to be answered. One thing, however, is certain: when that time shall come, the problem which now seems so difficult will have been solved. "Then will the Lord create upon every dwelling-place of Mount Zion, and upon her assemblies, a cloud of smoke by day, and the shining of a flaming fire by night; for upon all the glory shall be a defence." Then every house will have altars, and from every hearthstone will ascend incense and a pure offering. "Then judgment shall dwell in the wilderness, and righteousness remain in the fruitful field. And the work of righteousness shall be peace; and the effect of righteousness, quietness and assurance forever." May the Lord hasten it in his time!

R. T. ROBINSON,
A. S. CHESEBROUGH,
J. M. HOLMES,
H. M. GOODWIN,
D. BURT,
R. CORDLEY,
J. T. FORD.

The report was accepted, and so amended as to strike out the word "control," and insert the word "supervision." Adopted. Also, instead of "artistic singing," so as to read, "there is devotion in such singing more than in," etc.

Amendments accepted, and the report adopted.

Committee on Church in Washington reported the following resolution, which was adopted:

Resolved, That the Trustees of the American Congregational Union be advised and requested to take into consideration the importance of a well-sustained Congregational Church in the city of Washington; and having ascertained what facilities there are for the establishment of such a Church, and what aid will be necessary, to institute arrangements, according to their best judgment and discretion, for building or purchasing a suitable edifice in the National Capital, in which a Congregational Church may maintain the preaching of the gospel, and the public worship of God.

The committee to whom was referred the question of the appointment of a special day of fasting and prayer with reference to the results of this Council, reported by its chairman, Rev. L. Swain, D. D., of Rhode Island, as follows:

The committee would recommend that Friday, the 15th day of September next, be observed by the churches represented in this Council as a day of fasting and prayer to Almighty God for the outpouring upon them of his Holy Spirit, so that this great convocation may be speedily followed by those good effects which were aimed at in the beginning, by a close union and a warmer mutual sympathy both among ourselves and with all who love our common Lord; by a deeper and more intelligent grasp of the principles of our own faith and polity; by a more earnest personal consecration to Christ and his kingdom; by a new spirit of missionary zeal both in behalf of the work to be done abroad and the new and important fields to be occupied at home; so that, having, like the primitive disciples, tarried at Jerusalem for "the promise of the Father," the ministry and the churches may go forth beneath a new and mighty baptism of the Holy Ghost to preach that gospel which brought our fathers to these shores, which is set for the healing of the nations, and which is destined to lead the whole world unto Christ. The committee would also recommend that a committee of three be appointed to prepare, and issue to the churches as soon as possible, a circular to this effect, embracing also an appeal to the churches in behalf of the \$750,000 dollar fund.

The report was accepted and adopted, and referred to the committee already appointed to make a special appeal in reference to the \$750,000.

The adjournment was postponed to allow of the completion of the business of the Council.

The Committee on the Roll reported by Dr. E. Beecher, chairman, a complete roll of the Council, which was accepted.

A resolution was presented by Rev. Mr. Gulliver, and was adopted, as follows:

Voted, That the following minute be entered on the record of this Council. This Council declares that no action which has been taken by this body is to be construed as expressing an opinion adverse to prohibitory legislation on the subject of temperance.

The following resolutions were also adopted; viz.:

Resolved, That the official proceedings of this Council be published in the *Congregational Quarterly*, and that the sum of \$200 be appropriated towards the expense of printing. And that the publishers be requested to issue as many copies of the proceedings, in a separate publication, as shall be called for, at cost price.

Resolved, That the original records and papers of this Council, with the phonographer's report, be, after final adjournment, placed on perpetual deposit with the Directors of the

American Congregational Association, never to be removed from its library room; and that the Directors be authorized to publish a volume of proceedings and debates.

Resolved, That, when the work of the Committee on the Platform of Polity is concluded, it be published, under the care of the committee, by the Directors of the American Congregational Association, who should retain the copyright.

Rev. Prof. Lawrence moved the following:

Resolved, That the report on a Declaration of Faith adopted by this Council, and the Confession of Faith adopted by our Synod, be printed with the report which the Committee on Polity may make, that our doctrines and our polity may go forth together, and be easily obtained by all in our own churches and among other denominations.

Which was referred to the committee to whom was referred the Platform of Church Polity.

On motion of Rev. Mr. Davis, of New Hampshire, it was ordered, That the Committee on Church Polity be authorized, if they think best, to issue an epitome or digest of their large work for use and circulation among the churches, the copyright to be held in trust by the Directors of the American Congregational Association.

It was ordered, That Henry Hill, Esq., be added as Treasurer of the Council to the Committee on Finance, and authorized to dispose of all funds that may come in under the rule of the Council.

On motion of Rev. H. M. Dexter, it was voted, that Messrs. H. M. Dexter, and J. W. Wellman, of Massachusetts, be authorized to place a slab of marble, with a suitable inscription, in the wall of the house in Leyden where John Robinson taught his Church, marking the spot.

On motion of Rev. Mr. Eustis, of Connecticut, the following resolutions were accepted and adopted; viz.:

Resolved, That this National Council of the Congregational Churches of the United States do hereby return thanks to the citizens of Boston and its vicinity for the generous hospitality which has so munificently provided for the members during this session, and to the churches, whose sanctuaries have been freely opened for their accommodation; and pray the Lord, who does not forget even the cup of cold water given a disciple, to reward with the richest spiritual blessings this community for their abounding Christian kindnesses and entertainments.

Resolved, That this Council declare their high appreciation of the time and labor which has been expended by the committees appointed at the preliminary meeting in New York to prepare for this Council, and especially to the Committee of Arrangements at Boston, to whom they are indebted for innumerable attentions, and for the excursion to the spot where our Forefathers first brought that catholic Church whose order we maintain. They would also gratefully notice the invitations from individuals and corporations, most of which they regret to have been obliged to decline.

Rev. S. Wolcott, D. D., of Ohio, offered the following, which were adopted; viz.:

Resolved, That the Council tenders its thanks to His Excellency Governor Buckingham, our honored Moderator, for the dignity, urbanity, and courtesy with which he has presided over its deliberations—to which, in part, we ascribe the pleasant cordiality of feeling, unmarred by bitterness or harshness, which has prevailed throughout its earnest discussions; and as a National Council we express the satisfaction with which we are reminded, by this assembly, of the early days of our Puritan history, when the chief magistrates of the Colonies were the servants of the churches, and the honors of the State were humbly laid at the feet of Christ.

Resolved, That the ability with which the occasional and special services which have devolved upon our respected Assistant Moderators have been performed, and the promptness and thoroughness with which our Scribes have discharged their onerous duties, are entitled

to special recognition; and to these officers, also, the Council tenders its thanks for their faithfulness and efficiency.

These resolutions were responded to in an appropriate and touching manner by the Moderator and first Assistant Moderator.

The minutes of to-day's session were then read and approved.

It was ordered that a committee of three be appointed to prepare and sign a Circular Letter to the churches, calling their attention to the principal points of action of the Council.

Rev. Dr. Kirk, of Mass., Rev. Dr. Daggett, of New York, Rev. J. Guernsey, of Iowa, were appointed that committee.

The first and last two verses of the 33d hymn, Sabbath Hymn Book, were then read and sung, as follows:

Awake, and sing the song
Of Moses' and the Lamb!
Wake every heart and every tongue
To praise the Saviour's name.

Soon shall we hear him say,
Ye blessed children, come;
Soon will he call us hence away
To our eternal home.

Soon shall our raptured tongue
His endless praise proclaim,
And sweeter voices tune the song
Of Moses and the Lamb.

Rev. R. Anderson, D. D., led in prayer by request of the Moderator; when the Doxology was sung, as follows:

"To God the Father, God the Son,
And God the Spirit, Three in One,
Be honor, praise, and glory given
By all on earth and all in heaven."

After which the Moderator declared the Council adjourned without day.

(Signed)

WILLIAM A. BUCKINGHAM, [Moderator.]

C. G. HAMMOND, [Assistant Moderator.]

HENRY M. DEXTER, [Scribe.]

PHILO R. HURD, "

M. K. WHITTLESEY, "

E. P. MARVIN. "

Congregational Necrology.

Miss FIDELIA FISK died at Shelburne, Mass., July 26, 1864, at the age of forty-eight years. She was born in that town, May 1st, 1816. Her great-grand-parents were among the few families that begun the settlement of that mountainous town about 1760. Rev. Pliny Fisk, the late missionary to Palestine, was her uncle, and the late Rev. Dr. Ezra Fisk, of Goshen, N. Y., was a relative of hers, both of whom, with the late Rev. Dr. Amariah Chandler, of Greenfield, spent their early years in the same rugged district of that town and attended the same primary school. Her ancestors were a godly people of the Puritan stock, and she was religiously and faithfully trained by pious parents. She diligently improved her advantages in school, and her thoughtful mind felt a great respect for religion. She began to teach in her native town when about sixteen years of age, and pursued this employment more or less every year for twenty-six years, with the exception of the time while she was passing through the course of study at the Mount Holyoke Seminary. Having been from early years a Sabbath School scholar, she commenced teaching a class when only about fourteen years old, and it is believed that she has taught every year since in a Sabbath School in one form or another, in this country or in Persia, up to the time of her death—thirty-four years. She was "apt to teach." By her kind and gentle spirit, and by the deep interest she felt in her pupils, she easily won their confidence and love to an uncommon degree. Rarely do we witness so tender and strong an attachment between teacher and pupils as existed between Miss Fisk and the numerous classes that she instructed from the Bible. Remarkable was her faculty of awakening a joyful interest in youthful minds in religious truth, and of leading them by pleasant steps into the meaning of God's word. A distinguished doctor of divinity once said publicly, that he would gladly sit at her feet and learn how to teach the Bible successfully as she taught it to her pupils.

At about thirteen years of age she began to indulge a hope that she had become a Christian. For some length of time her senior pastor's eldest daughter was her Sabbath School teacher. When that teacher lay on her dying bed, in 1841, somewhat despondent

from fear that she had never been the means of bringing one sinner to Christ for salvation, Miss Fisk came to her bed-side and told her for the first time, that her kind and faithful instructions to herself in the Sabbath School was the means of leading her to yield her heart to the Saviour of sinners. She united with the Congregational Church in Shelburne, July 10, 1831, that year in which some eighty persons united with that Church.

She graduated at the Mount Holyoke Female Seminary in 1842, and was an assistant teacher in it the following autumn and winter. Miss Mary Lyon, then the principal, highly esteemed her, and coveted her services and her influence in the seminary, but thought she might be more useful among the benighted Nestorian females in Persia. She sailed for Oroomiah, in company with Rev. Dr. Perkins, and others of that mission, from Boston, March 1, 1843, and arrived there June 13 of the same year. After fifteen years of laborious, exhausting, successful service as a missionary teacher, her health became so much impaired as to demand a cessation of labors and a return to her native land. She left Oroomiah, July 15, 1858, and arrived at Boston the 17th of December following. Some indication of her usefulness among the Nestorians is given in the following extract of a sermon preached by Dr. Perkins when the tidings of her death reached the mission, viz.: "It is not necessary to follow Miss Fisk and her school minutely through the fifteen years of her most unremitting and indefatigable missionary toils, nor dwell on their wonderful results. She entered upon her arduous work with a calm, yet whole-hearted consecration, so characteristic of her subsequent life; and during that period it was her blessed privilege to witness and enjoy eleven distinct revivals in her school, and just before leaving the field to sit down with about seventy of her pupils together at the communion table, most of whom survive her, and as filial daughters deeply mourn her death, bearing much of her impress in their own characters, and many of them as faithful wives of pastors and as Bible women—centers in their respective important spheres—scattering far and wide the blessed truths which they learned from her lips."

During the six years since her return to this country, her health having been in some measure restored, her labors have been abundant among her relatives and friends, in missionary circles of females in various parts of the country, and at the Female Seminary in South Hadley, Mass. To a great extent, during this time, she has had charge of the religious department of the seminary to the delightful satisfaction of pupils and teachers, and to the spiritual benefit of many within those walls. One of the teachers there writes thus of her: "She was connected with the Seminary much of the time after her return from Persia, as a religious teacher, wise counsellor, genial companion, and sympathizing friend of teachers and pupils alike. Her pleasant smile and cheerful words were the first welcome which many a new scholar received; they were cups of cold water through the perplexities of examinations, and the days of home-sickness; and they made rough places smooth for the teachers, while her own hands brought order out of confusion. To her were confided numberless joys and sorrows by those who could tell no one else, but were sure of her sympathy and sound advice. Miss Fisk's rare penetration of character, and ability to enter into the feelings of others, with her earnest piety and real interest in her pupils, fitted her peculiarly to be the minister of God to their souls; and as such they have loved her best and will remember her longest. She repeated many of Miss Lyon's teachings that no printed sheet has recorded; and her four short sermons each week at morning devotions were full of practical lessons." "Last winter, ever to be remembered as a season of rich spiritual blessing to the Seminary, she was 'in labors more abundant.' In physical weakness and pain, greater than those about her knew, she wrought and prayed until vacation came, and she went from them to return no more." She had assisted in preparing a number of works for the press—one a "Memorial of Mount Holyoke Seminary," and another, "Woman and her Saviour in Persia;" and at the time of her death she was preparing a volume of "Reminiscences of Miss Mary Lyon," from numerous manuscripts hitherto undiscovered.

Her last sickness of two months, in the family of her mother and sister, the only surviving members of her father's family, (and her mother went six months afterwards to meet her, we trust, in heaven,) was painful and distressing. "For the last fortnight she was unable to lie down, or even recline, and

most of the time could sleep only as she was supported in her chair by two persons, one on either side. At first her great desire was for patience, but that gave place to intense longing that the will of God might be carried out concerning her to the utmost, and that he might be glorified in all."

Her funeral was attended by a large concourse of people from the town and vicinity. The exercises, conducted by the pastor and Drs. Anderson and Kirk, of Boston, were highly instructive and impressive. Dr. Anderson, of the American Board, says of her, that "no missionary, whether in the ministry or out of it, could be missed more than she will be;" and Rev. Mr. Stocking, of the Nestorian Mission, has said that "she was more useful in Persia than any other two missionaries there."

Rev. Dr. Jonas King, when in this country in 1827, visited at her father's and told them of his missionary travels and labors with their beloved relative, Rev. Pliny Fisk, and putting his hand on Fidelia's head, who was then eleven years old, told her she must go out as her uncle Pliny had done, and be a missionary, and teach people the gospel. The next time he saw her she was on her way to Persia to take charge of the Female Missionary Seminary among the Nestorians.

She was characterized by a most amiable and affectionate disposition, a sweet and gentle spirit, a sincere and devout conscientiousness, and a deep and tender feeling of Christian benevolence. Her ruling passion was to do good to others. Genuine kindness shone brightly through her countenance, her motions, her tones of voice, her words, her actions, and her life. She had great confidence, enjoyment, and success in prayer. As she was enabled to maintain a living connection between her heart and the throne of grace, so God maintained a glorious connection between her prayers and labors, and the operations of the Holy Spirit. There is reason to believe, that not a few in our own country have received spiritual benefit through her counsels, efforts, and prayers; and doubtless many Nestorian females of Persia will hereafter rise up amid the revelations of eternity's scenes and call her blessed. Persia's proud emperors and valiant warriors, when coming ages shall roll onward and bury in oblivion all worldly glory, will be forgotten and their crowns and garlands fade away—but the humble disciple of her Lord, who was the instrument of turning some of the daughters of that dark land to righteousness, shall shine as the stars forever and ever.

T. P.

Rev. THOMAS L. AMBROSE, Chaplain of the 12th N. H. Reg., died in the Chesapeake General Hospital, Fortress Monroe, Va., Aug. 19, 1864.

He was wounded while passing from the intrenchments to the hospital in the rear. His wound at first appeared to be not very severe, and he had the attention of a skillful surgeon and kind friends, but they could not save him. After suffering for nearly three weeks he was released from pain.

He was a noble Christian man, of fine talents, indomitable courage, and strong powers of endurance. He graduated at Bowdoin College in 1856, spent one year in the Theological School in New York, and in 1857 entered the seminary at Andover. His health being somewhat impaired, he left the class in 1858. In July of the same year he was ordained to the work of the ministry. On the second of the following month he sailed for missionary ground, and spent nearly three years among the mountain Nestorians in Persia. The privations and hardships which he endured seriously affected his health, and he returned to this country in November, 1861. His purpose was to return to heathen land, if such should be the will of God, and that he might be more useful he entered upon the study of medicine. But feeling that his country made demands, and receiving unasked the appointment of chaplain, he entered the service, where he fell a sacrifice to our righteous cause. He was once taken prisoner, remaining with the rebels about two weeks.*

He was a man who always would go where duty called him. His great object was to serve his Lord, and thus do good to other men. His own life he accounted of little worth if he might win souls to Christ. He was affectionate, sympathizing, and brave; hence he received the greatest respect from both the officers and men of his regiment. He was generous, high-minded, and firm in what he believed to be the right.

He was an earnest minister of Christ, kind to the poor, forbearing with the ignorant, and plain with the wilfully vicious. The life of such a man was worth much to the world, but it has been taken to help establish freedom.

T. V. H.

Rev. SIMON PUTNAM, Chaplain of the 3d reg't Minn. volunteers, died in Afton, Minn., Sept. 11, 1864, aged 42 years.

* At Chancellerville, where he remained with his wounded, and proved a ministering angel to a multitude of sufferers.

A. H. Q.

This bereavement falls heavily on the family and friends of the deceased. Early in the war he left his quiet pastoral labors, and, enlisting with his only son in the Minnesota 3d, remained in the service about a year. Discharged for disability, he resumed his pastoral duties; but in September, 1863, received the appointment of Chaplain of the 3d Minnesota regiment, and continued with them (in Arkansas) till Aug. 8, 1864, when with the regiment he came home on a veteran furlough. He came feeble and emaciated, and lingered but seventeen days, which were marked by quiet resignation, when he was laid beside his beloved son, who had died during his last absence.

Rev. AMARIAH CHANDLER, D. D., was born in Deerfield, Mass., October 27, 1782, and at about five years of age removed with his father's family to a secluded and rugged corner of Shelburne in the same county. He passed his early years in the same school district where were born and schooled Rev. Pliny Fisk, the deceased missionary to Palestine, and Rev. Ezra Fisk, D. D., late of Goshen, New York. In that memorable revival in Shelburne, in 1801, in which the pastor of the Church was so successfully assisted by the preaching and labors of Rev. Dr. Archibald Alexander, an early theological professor at Princeton, New Jersey, both Dr. Fisk and Dr. Chandler, and the missionary Fisks' mother, began their Christian life. Here also in this rocky, mountainous, romantic district of the town, was born and converted to Christ and to usefulness the Nestorian missionary teacher, Fidelia Fisk. The piety and prayers of those eminently godly families, who early settled in that neighborhood and were living there half a century ago, have borne golden fruit. That rough isolated section of a hilly New England town, called the *Patin*, and now greatly deserted of its inhabitants, may be found hereafter to have been the birth-place of influences largely tributary to the river of salvation which is to flow over all the earth.

Dr. Chandler, while in his school-boy days, was marked in the mind of his pastor, the late Rev. Dr. Packard, as a bright, shrewd, promising youth, and was offered the opportunity of living in the minister's family and pursuing study. The parents being in moderate circumstances gladly accepted the offer, and he was prepared in a short time for an advanced standing in Burlington College, whither he went on foot with his scanty wardrobe packed on his back, received his

board in the family of a married sister in the town, and graduated in 1807. He studied theology with his pastor; was licensed to preach by the Franklin Association in 1808; was ordained pastor of the Church in Waitsfield, Vermont, February 7, 1810; was dismissed February 3, 1830; preached some two years in Hardwick, Vermont; was installed in the first Church in Greenfield, October 24, 1832, and died there in the pastoral office, October 20, 1864, lacking but a week of completing eighty-three years; and his funeral was attended on the thirty-third anniversary of his settlement in Greenfield. Probably no minister of any denomination now survives in Western Massachusetts of a greater age, with the exception of Rev. Joseph Field, of Charlemont, whose age is about ninety-three years.

Dr. Chandler received his doctorate from his Alma Mater in 1846; was a delegate to the Massachusetts Convention for the revision of the State Constitution in 1853; published several sermons and treatises, and among them all perhaps none more valuable for the honor of evangelical religion, and more corrective of error and misrepresentation, than his "Review" of Rev. Dr. Willard's Historical Semi-Centennial Discourse preached in Deerfield in 1857.

Of his predecessors in the pastorate of the first Church in Greenfield, Rev. Edward Billings was pastor from 1754 to 1760; Rev. Roger Newton, from 1761 to 1816; Rev. Gamaliel S. Olds, from 1813 to 1816; and Rev. Sylvester Woodbridge, from 1817 to 1823; and none of them are living.

Dr. Chandler was thrice married, and his last wife survived him only a few weeks. Of his children and grand-children who are widely scattered abroad, several have done service in our army in the present war. The doctor, in addressing one of our departing regiments at one time, expressed his regret that he could not be in a situation to call them fellow-soldiers, and exhorted them not to allow themselves to be shot in the back. He once had an ardent ambition for a soldier's life, and practised hardships—sleeping in the open air and plunging into iced water in the winter—to fit himself for military service. In becoming a soldier of the cross, he brought to the Master a strong constitution, vigorous health, and great power of endurance.

He was endowed with strong powers of mind. In his views, and principles, and sentiments, he was somewhat independent, and was more inclined to investigate subjects for

himself than to fall in with the prevailing current of thought around him. He read but few books; yet he thought, reflected, and studied the more.

His habits were simple and plain. In his dress, and diet, and equipage, and manners, he was one of Nature's noblemen, not bound by the fetters of despotic fashion. He expended but little for his support, and at one time cheerfully relinquished a part of his small ministerial salary.

While on some doctrinal points he differed somewhat from some of his brethren in the ministry, and in a kind conciliatory spirit discussed those differences, yet he was for the most part a sound believer in the great fundamental doctrines of the cross, and plainly, faithfully, and affectionately preached "Christ and him crucified." His sermons ever afforded solid, substantial, wholesome food for thoughtful minds. To display the beauties of style and the graces of rhetoric was not his aim. In his preaching he was clear, methodical, impressive.

His valuable counsel and aid were often sought among his ministerial brethren and the churches, both in Vermont and Massachusetts. His venerable form and patriarchal appearance, his social powers and kindly spirit, gained respect and esteem for him as a man; and his deep, cheerful, unostentatious piety secured largely the love of ministers and Christians. His removal breaks one more link of the chain that binds the present generation with the past century.

T. P.

Rev. WILLIAM FOWLER VAILL died, after an illness of five days, at Weathersfield, Ill., Feb. 24, 1865.

He was born in Hadlyme, Conn., June 7, 1783. His father was Rev. Joseph Vaill, who for more than fifty years was pastor of the Church in that place. This son, from his early youth, was distinguished for his sober, moral deportment; and receiving the faithful religious training of eminently Christian parents, he early became a subject of converting grace. He united himself with the Church of which his father was the pastor, at the age of eighteen, and through his long life was an eminent example of the religion he professed.

He had from his youth an ardent desire to obtain an education, with his eye on the Christian ministry; but being of narrow means, it seemed to him at times a very hopeless undertaking. But his courage at length so far rose above all difficulties as to

induce him to make the attempt. He accordingly set himself to the work of fitting for college, under the tuition of his father, who was extensively employed in instructing young men in their preparatory studies. Having been well fitted he entered Yale College in the year 1802, where he passed through a four years' course, and graduated, with a good reputation for scholarship, in 1806.

Mr. Vaill was not a brilliant scholar, but held a rank for soundness and accuracy, much above mediocrity, in a class of seventy members. He was greatly respected while in college, not only for his diligence and proficiency in study, but as an amiable, honest, Christian man, whose influence for good was everywhere most happily felt.

Mr. Vaill, being the son of a poor clergyman, was obliged mainly to provide for himself, in procuring his education,—which he did with most commendable zeal,—in teaching, and in the use of such other available means as would not essentially interfere with his pursuit of study.

Mr. Vaill took charge for a season, after his graduation, of his father's pupils in their preparatory studies for college, in the meantime pursuing *theological* studies, which he subsequently prosecuted under the direction of Rev. Ashel Hooker, of Goshen, Conn., who for many years kept a school of the prophets. He was licensed to preach the gospel in 1808, and soon after received an invitation to the pastorate in North Guilford, Conn., where for twelve years he was the faithful and efficient pastor. Mr. Vaill enjoyed to a high degree the confidence and affection of his flock, and is still most gratefully remembered, by those who were then very young, as among his pupils in useful learning as well as in religious training.

Mr. Vaill for some years had looked with much interest on the great missionary enterprise which was then beginning to engage the attention of the Christian community. On the formation of the United Foreign Missionary Society in the city of New York, he offered himself to the service of that Board. The offer was accepted, and he was appointed superintendent of a mission among the Osage Indians, then occupying the Arkansas country, so called. With diffidence, and yet with cheerfulness, he assumed the responsibilities of this onerous undertaking; and having resigned his pastorate at North Guilford, he, with his family and a number of faithful coadjutors, went forth to the field of this mission in the year 1820.

This enterprise was one of great trial and hardship, and promised at times but doubtful success.

For fourteen years, amid alternate hopes and fears, he patiently held on his way, until the mission, through various unforeseen causes, became greatly embarrassed, and was subsequently abandoned in consequence of the breaking-up of the settlement of the Indians and their removal to a point farther West.

The health of Mrs. Vaill having become much impaired, he returned with her to Connecticut, the children having been sent on previously to receive their education. His excellent wife was soon laid in her grave, amid the sepulchres of her fathers. Two sons and four daughters were the fruit of this marriage, one of whom was left in her lone grave in the Osage country. Another is the Rev. Thomas Scott Vaill, a graduate of Amherst College, now the pastor of a Church in Newton, Jasper County, Iowa. One of the daughters became the wife of a minister, who, with her husband, have long since departed this life, leaving behind four orphan children, all of whom it is believed, are yet living.

After Mr. Vaill's return to New England, his family being broken up, he was invited to preach in various places, for longer or shorter periods, and always with acceptance. He at length concluded to make his home for the residue of his days in the West; and having received an appointment as a home missionary in Illinois, he went forth under the patronage of the Connecticut Home Missionary Society, and commenced his labors in Wethersfield, Illinois, where he was married a second time and renewed the relationships of the family. By his second wife Mr. Vaill had three children, all of whom live to mourn the loss of a faithful husband and an affectionate father.

For seven years Mr. Vaill's labors were mostly confined to Wethersfield, where, as the stated pastor of the Church in that place, he was made instrumental of laying broad and deep its foundations; and long will the good people of that community remember him as "good old father Vaill," who, when he came to die, received from their hands an honorable Christian burial.

His funeral was attended in the Church at Wethersfield on the Sabbath, February 26, the Rev. Mr. Pomeroy (the present pastor of the Church) and the Rev. Mr. Van Wagner officiating in the services; the former of whom preached the sermon, from Psalm xvii.

15, "I shall be satisfied when I awake with thy likeness."

Mr. Vaill, at the time of his death, had entered upon his twenty-seventh year of missionary service in Illinois, and had pursued his work with great diligence, and, considering his advanced age, with comparatively few interruptions. After closing his labors at Wethersfield, which he still made his home, he was employed in a number of places near or more remote. To reach his preaching stations, he was often under the necessity of riding ten or fifteen miles on Saturday, in the midst of storms and in an open vehicle; from which labors he never shrunk, not even at the age of four-score years. He was enabled to keep the field to the last, continuing his missionary labors during all the last winter, up to within a few days of his release. He died according to his cherished desire with the harness on. To his only surviving sister, not many days before his death, he wrote: "Let me tell you, sister, I am walking by faith in God. He sends me and my family means and mercies. Why, only think, this year my commission for the twenty-seventh year of my missionary service in Illinois came without application. The first I knew, it was here." He adds: "It is now fifty-seven years since the good fathers in the ministry met in Hadlyme, and gave me license, saying, 'Go, preach the gospel.' Yes, I have entered on my fifty-eighth year of ministerial life. I told Brother Hooker that I put on the harness fifty-seven years ago, and it sits good yet."

Few ministers or missionaries have gone down to the grave leaving behind a brighter record. He loved his work most intensely, and spared no pains to acquit himself both to God and man as a faithful steward, "a workman that needeth not to be ashamed." His heart was wholly in his vocation. His ardent piety carried him forward in it, amid labors most exhausting, till within a very few days of his death; and many have been started, under his faithful labors, in their course heavenward.

J. V.

MADAM MARY SABIN, widow of the late Rev. John Sabin, died in Fitzwilliam, N. H., March 19, 1865, in the eighty-seventh year of her age. She was the daughter of Rev. George Damon, pastor, first, of the Congregational Church on Martha's Vineyard, where the subject of this notice was born, August 10, 1778; and afterward of the Church in Woodstock, Vt.

In April, 1805, she was married to Rev. John Sabin, then, and for more than forty years afterward, the well-known and beloved pastor of the Church in Fitzwilliam, and came with her young husband to that place, I am told, on horseback, was met and escorted to the town by a portion of the people, riding also in the same healthful as well as respectable mode of movement.

Thus in early womanhood she entered into a relation, second in duties, trials, and responsibilities to no position in the Christian community which a woman is called to occupy.

As the wife of a pastor, she was preëminently a help-meet for her husband. The heart of that good man trusted in her without misgiving; and "she did him good, and not evil, all the days of his life," so demeaning herself at home, and everywhere, as to justly secure in an eminent degree the love, and in her advanced life the heartfelt reverence, of all who knew her and were able to appreciate the excellences that adorned her character.

Of a sound and well-balanced mind, in social intercourse grave yet cheerful, always edifying in conversation, she had a strong taste for reading, and to the last maintained the *habit* of reading, not the Bible only, but other useful books within her reach; and rarely would there be a person of her age better informed on all the public doings and current events, or whose prayers were more fervent than this wicked rebellion might be speedily overthrown, and our "goodly heritage" be preserved.

In her domestic relations, Madam Sabin was a model in all the most important qualifications requisite in a woman standing at the head of the family circle. Though not a mother herself, for many years she occupied the place of mother to very many who passed some portion of their early life under her care, and who, doubtless, will cherish to their dying day a grateful remembrance of her pious care and wholesome counsels; and while she conducted the daily concerns of the family with remarkable capability, she, with her excellent and large-hearted husband, kept her house open and her table generously spread for the numerous callers and visitors who shared her hospitalities, not one of whom, doubtless, ever left the door dissatisfied with his entertainment.

In revivals of religion, in the cause of piety and benevolence, she felt a deep interest, and earnestly engaged in every good work. She cherished a special concern for the Church with which she had walked and worshipped for half a century, and for the spiritual wel-

fare of the people, not only while they were under the pastoral care of her husband, but as long as life lasted.

Having clear and discriminating views of Christian doctrine, sound and steadfast in the faith, she cordially accepted and believed the distinctive doctrines of the evangelical system, and showed the genuine influence of her faith in an eminently consistent Christian life.

Her piety was of the Puritan type, serious, solid, deep-seated, and comprising all the fruits of the Spirit, rendering her a "burning and shining light" in the family, in the Church, and in every circle in which she moved. Cautious in communicating her own religious experiences, and possessing a "meek and quiet spirit," "she walked with God" in all the ways of his appointment, and, receiving through appropriate channels "the sincere milk of the word, she grew thereby," and, under the influence of the indwelling Spirit, reached a ripeness of Christian character very delightful to witness, and which prepared her for the blessed mansions to which her Saviour has now received her departed spirit.

This venerable and revered Christian woman passed the twenty years of her widowhood in great quietness, having with her, portions of the time, some of her relatives, and all the time the faithful colored woman who from her childhood had, with most praiseworthy fidelity and carefulness, ministered to her "aid and comfort." She gave much of her time to reading, meditation, and prayer; and in these, her last years, she enjoyed a settled, solid peace; and resting her hope of acceptance with God solely on the atonement of her Lord and Saviour, she was for several years, to use her own language, "waiting, waiting," for his coming.

In his own good time the Master came, and received this aged disciple to himself, to enjoy with him the blessedness of an everlasting home in his "Father's house."

A. W. B.

Dea. JAMES ORR died in West Portage County, Ohio, March 19, 1865. He was born in Hancock County, N. H., May 19, 1792; and was therefore nearly 73 years old at his death.

His parents removed to Rockingham, Vt., when he was two years old; and from thence to Groton, Tompkins Co., N. Y., when he was fifteen. He received a good English education, and labored on a farm in his earlier

years, and also became a Christian in early life.

In 1820 he became connected with the mission to the Cherokee Indians, beyond the Mississippi, as superintendent of secular affairs. He continued his connection with that mission till 1836, with two brief intervals, during one of which he resided on a farm in Arkansas five years; and the other two years, during which he was employed as agent of the Bible Society for the State of Arkansas. The whole time of his connection with the mission was twenty-nine years. He was married, in the early part of his missionary life, to Minerva Washburn, an assistant in the same mission, by whom he had four sons, all of whom died in infancy, except the eldest, who died at the age of twenty-four. Mrs. Orr died in 1852, while on a journey to the North. He was married the second time, July 18, 1865, to Miss Julia F. Stowe, an assistant missionary teacher, at Lee's Creek Station. By her he had two children, one of whom died in infancy, and the other is his only surviving child, a daughter, eight years old.

Dea. Orr seemed truly to receive the kingdom of God as a little child. He submitted his intellect and his will to the divine Word. Whatever he found therein he received with the most entire confidence, held with firmest grasp, and sought to profit by it, and to let its power be manifest in his life. He united qualities not often found associated. He was ardent, somewhat impulsive and sanguine, yet at the same time prudent, steadfast, and reliable. A man who knew him had no need to ask where he would be found or what he would do in given circumstances. He was ever ready to the work of the Lord, attentive to the duties of his office, and constantly sustained the fellowship of the churches by his presence in councils and conferences when delegated. We depended on him for aid in spiritual things more than any other. He had a humble view of his own gifts and attainments, and his whole heart went out after God. He told the writer that he would like to have that hymn sung every Sabbath, "Nearer, my God, to thee! nearer to thee!" It was sung at his death-bed and burial; and now the wish of his heart is gratified.

Rev. WM. T. CLAPP, pastor of the Congregational Church, died at Edinburgh, Portage Co., Ohio, March 22d, 1865.

Mr. Clapp was born at Hudson, April 12,

1838. He early showed a fondness for study, and being encouraged by his pastor, Rev. J. C. Hart, he prepared for college, and entered Western Reserve College in 1853. In the winter of 1853-4 he was converted; joined the College Church July 2, 1854, and thenceforth turned his thoughts toward the ministry. He pursued his course of study amid many mental conflicts and discouragements. Not long since he said that it was the thought of his mother's prayers alone which led him to press on amid his trials. After he graduated in 1857, he taught several months in this neighborhood; and in 1858 went to Tennessee, where he taught for a year near Nashville. Returning, he entered the Union Theological Seminary in New York City in the autumn of 1859, where he graduated in May, 1862.

For a year after graduating he remained at home in Hudson pursuing a more extended course of study and preaching in the Presbyterian Church in Streetsboro', where he also labored zealously in a Bible class and prayer meeting. This year was one of great profit to him. In the summer of 1863 he was married to Miss C. S. Webb, of New York. In September of that year he began to preach in Edinburgh, where he labored till his death. The writer of this can testify that he was a most faithful and laborious student. From his childhood he was a great reader. He had excellent taste and a great delight in art and literature. He did not waste his time upon worthless books, but eagerly read and re-read the standard authors and quoted them readily, though he never made a display of learning.

His labors at Edinburgh have been very earnest and well received. He grew more and more anxious that a blessing might follow them, and lately said that it seemed as though he could not preach much longer unless God should give his Spirit and sinners should be converted. His whole soul was filled with longing that he might be the instrument of the salvation of many of his people. He was ordained December 16, 1864. He was a clear, earnest, and elegant writer. His sermons show a man of thorough culture; but he cared for nothing except to do good in the name of Christ. He had a Bible class in the Sunday School, of young people, who were deeply interested in his instructions, whom he prayed and hoped for.

At the beginning of his brief illness he was impressed with the belief that he should not recover, and one of the elders of his Church said, after conversing with him, that "he would not recover. He was too ripe for

heaven." "He felt that his Master had called him, and that his work was done. He improved every opportunity, when his mind was sane, to warn and exhort all that came around his sick and dying bed, whom he knew were not Christians, to become reconciled to God. He made such demonstrations of hope, joy, and peace, as his attendants and friends never before witnessed, such as it was glorious to behold." So writes one who was with him much. It was indeed a triumphant death. "Let me die the death of the righteous, and let my last end be like his."

The funeral was attended on March 23 by President Hitchcock, of Western Reserve College. Mr. Clapp leaves behind a wife and a little son fifteen months old, whom he confidently trusted to God.

SAMPSON VRYLING STODDARD WILDER died at Elizabeth, N. J., April 2, 1865, aged 85.

Reared amidst New England Congregationalism, and destined for its ministry by his godly Dutch grandmother, whose name he bore, he was early led into commercial life, and had means and opportunities, which he diligently employed both in this country and in Europe, for advancing the Redeemer's kingdom.

His house and his hands were ever open, during his long residence in Paris, to all good men and good objects; the Bible and Tract Societies of France, and other Christian movements, having either been commenced under his roof, or promoted by his liberality, and protected by his powerful influence ever with the government, as the representative of important commercial interests.

Returning to his native country, he purchased a large estate in Bolton, Mass., adjoining his ancestral home in Lancaster. Here as in New York and Ware Village, where he successively resided for a time, he was active in the Master's service. He was the first President of the American Tract Society, New York, and an efficient trustee of Amherst College in its early days.

He furnished the material for several useful tracts, one of which, compiled by Dr. Justin Edwards, described his own "Well-conducted Farm," in whose management he became a pioneer in temperance agriculture.

His last and most important work was the founding, with fond care and large outlay, what was afterwards known as the Hillside Church.

On a beautiful eminence near his mansion, a tasteful structure, anticipating many modern conveniences, attracted large numbers of attendants from that and neighboring towns, where there had been for a long time no evangelical organizations.

Many of these became spiritual worshippers; and though the beautiful sanctuary, like the body of its projector, is "mouldering back to dust, yet still the gospel lives" in that region.

That hive has swarmed. Those converts reared altars in their several neighborhoods; and near a dozen churches, of various names, now honor the Redeemer in the four towns where, as he used to say, only the number of the twelve apostles could be found to aid in establishing a Church on the foundation of the apostles and prophets, Jesus Christ himself being the chief corner-stone.

His last days, though clouded by temporal reverses, were brightened by cheerful hope and the light of that Saviour's love whom he had honored and served.

He died in the bosom of his devoted and beloved family. Many devout men followed him to his burial; the services being shared by several of his ministerial friends, including his first Bolton pastor, and the youthful successor to Dr. Magie's long ministry then just closing.

Rev. LAVIUS HYDE died at Vernon, Ct., April 3, 1865, aged seventy-six. "He walked with God, and was not, for God took him."

He was born in Franklin, Ct., January 29, 1789, and was a descendant in the sixth generation of William Hyde, who came from England in 1633, with Rev. Thomas Hooker, the first minister of Hartford, Ct., was one of the first settlers there in 1636, and one of the founders of Norwich, Ct., in 1660.

When he was six years of age he became for a season a member of the family of his half-brother, Rev. Alvan Hyde, D. D., of Lee, Mass., by whom, after the death of their father, Joseph Hyde, in 1802, he was fitted for Williams College, where he was graduated in 1813. He studied theology at Andover, and in 1818 was ordained pastor of the Church in Salisbury, Ct. In 1823 he was settled as pastor in Bolton, Ct., and was afterwards pastor at Ellington, Ct., Wayland, and Becket, Mass., and was again settled at Bolton. When he was three-score and ten years old, in accordance with his long fixed and repeatedly expressed purpose, and having a

slight paralysis, he retired from the pastoral office, and went to reside in Vernon, Ct., where he passed the evening of his days, preaching occasionally, and always striving to do good as he had opportunity.

On the Sabbath, April 2, he was in his accustomed place in the sanctuary and the Sabbath School. He retired to rest as usual Sabbath evening, and, while he slept, was peacefully carried over the river to awake in a better land. It is just such a death as a faithful servant who has filled up the measure of his years may well desire,—to be transferred from the service of the sanctuary to the higher service above.

Mr. Hyde was a man of rare attainments. He loved books, he lived among books, and had gathered a large and valuable library, such as few country pastors attain. His reading was extensive and thorough. He loved nature, and was familiar with the natural sciences. He loved poetry. While he was pastor in that beautiful valley at Salisbury, at the foot of Taconic mountain, his house was the home of Carlos Wilcox, afterwards pastor of the North Church in Hartford, the author of "The Age of Benevolence," "The Religion of Fate," and other poems of much merit, which were composed at his house. Mr. Hyde was his literary executor, and published a biography with selections from his works.

His house was also the house of Dr. Nettleton while he was preparing his "Village Hymns," so extensively used in social and revival worship forty years ago; and he was requested by Dr. Nettleton to edit a new edition after his death, for which he left a legacy in his will. This was done in 1850. Some of the most beautiful hymns were composed by members of his family.

He also edited a biography of his half brother, Dr. Hyde, of Lee, in 1834, which has few equals as illustrating family religion. The "Domestic Portraiture" of the family of Legh Richmond scarcely exceeds it.

As a preacher Mr. Hyde was instructive rather than popular. Without the graces of an orator, he was sometimes eloquent. Modest, unobtrusive, distrustful of himself, he never failed to leave the impression that he had a pure Christian catholic spirit. He excelled as a pastor rather than as a preacher, though his warm heart would often flow out with great eloquence and power. At the house of affliction he was particularly at home. He gave such consolation as only a sympathizing soul can give. He excelled in winning the affections of children, and clung

to the Sabbath School to the day of his death. His genial temper, his apt illustrations, his sincere love for his work, and his pure life, made him a desirable companion and friend, and a useful pastor.

He was married in September, 1818, to Miss Abby Bradley, of Stockbridge, Mass., who with one son and three daughters survive. His remains rest near those of his children, who were buried in Ellington while he was pastor there. W. H.

Rev. GEORGE W. RANSLOW died in Georgia, Vt., April 7, 1865, in the 65th year of his age. He was born in Hinesburg (or Charlotte?) in September, 1800, and labored on a farm till he was twenty-one years of age. He commenced study at Middlebury, but finished his preparatory studies, both classical and theological, at Bangor, where he was graduated in 1828. He was ordained pastor of the Congregational Church in Cambridge, February 5, 1829. Rev. Worthington Smith, D.D., of St. Albans, preached the sermon. During his pastorate of four years, a revival of great power occurred, and many were added to the Church. He was dismissed in January, 1833, and installed in Georgia, June 19, 1833. Rev. John K. Converse, of Burlington, preached the sermon. He had a successful ministry of twenty-two years in Georgia, and the Church was largely built up. He was dismissed Jan 31, 1855, but continued to reside in Georgia, and was acting pastor of the adjacent parish at Milton Falls during the remainder of his life. He was the representative of Georgia in the Legislature of 1856.

Mr. Ranslow was a man of strength, both physically and intellectually. Sound in judgment, decided in his opinions, clear in his views of truth, methodical and argumentative as a preacher, he made his mark upon every parish in which he labored. He was one of the few Vermont ministers who have had patriotism enough to give their life-long services to their native State, notwithstanding the temptations of broader fields and larger salaries elsewhere.

He married, Feb. 8, 1829, Anne Parmalee, daughter of Rev. Simeon Parmalee, and by her had five children. George P. Ranslow, one of his sons, has been a soldier in the 1st Iowa regiment. P. H. W.

Mrs. LUCY DUNCAN was born at Berlin, Conn., October 22, 1790, and was the daughter

of Levi North, late of Berlin, a descendant of John North, one of the early settlers of Farmington, Conn.; also of Elder John White, celebrated among the early inhabitants of Hartford.

She was married to Rev. Thomas W. Duncan, at Fly Creek, Otsego Co., N. Y., June 5, 1822.

By her prudence and economy she helped him to preach the gospel in comparatively waste places nearly forty years. He safely trusted her in temporal things, for she eat neither the bread of idleness nor waste. Nor did she refuse aid to the afflicted. She sought, as far as able, to smooth the path of life to all. For her consistent piety and kindness to the afflicted and needy, she was almost universally loved by her husband's congregations, and respected by them all. She loved the Sabbath assemblies, prayer meetings, and Sabbath school: in which she was habitually found, either as a teacher or scholar. She was ready, according to her means, to contribute to benevolent institutions, and put her hand to good works. When able, she visited the sick, not waiting to be called, and comforted them, if possible, by any service she could render. They were always glad of her presence. She lodged strangers, and cheerfully imparted to them a share of such as she had. Though her home was not always the most elegant, she made it the welcome abode of ministers. Some still live who will remember her kindnesses, and sympathize with her husband, while he mourns her absence from his earthly home. She brought up children not her own, who received from her a mother's care, who will deeply mourn her departure. One of them wrote from Wisconsin, on being informed of her illness, "I do hope for the privilege, my dearly loved foster-mother, of once more beholding your face in the flesh." She counselled and admonished children and youth, and in some cases was permitted to see the fruit of her care and labor in the Lord's vineyard.

She was not indeed perfect, but had her faults, and felt them. She did not expect salvation by works, but trusted in Jesus Christ to save her, and for many years has had no fear of death. Not many hours before her death, being asked if she had any fear, she answered, No. She was also asked if she could exercise faith in the Saviour (or in words to this effect), and she gave an affirmative answer. After serving forty-two years and ten months as a true and faithful helpmeet, at the age of seventy-four years, five

months, and fifteen days, on Friday morning, April 7, 1865, the messenger of death came, and she departed in peace. All her labors of love are now accomplished. She has doubtless entered into that rest which remains to the people of God. May the close of all our lives be as calm and peaceful as was her departure from earth to heaven.

Rev. GEORGE W. FINNEY died in Oakland, Cal., April 16, 1865.

He was born of Connecticut parentage, in the township of Paris, Oneida County, N. Y., June 23, 1795. At the time of his conversion to God in the town of Henderson, Jefferson County, at the age of twenty, neither of his parents were professing Christians. Of three brothers and three sisters, the sisters only had any Christian experience. His brother, the widely-known and greatly useful President of Oberlin College, was then, and for four years after, without God, and without hope, and without faith, in the world. From the moment of his conversion he became an active, whole-hearted disciple. He labored with his hands at a toilsome trade at Henderson, and afterwards at Mexico in the adjoining county. He was a member, and also an elder in the Presbyterian Church in the latter place. He became greatly interested in active Christian work. Before entering the ministry, he spent some time in organizing and visiting Sunday Schools in different places. During a period of great religious interest in Mexico, his mind was turned to the ministry. He felt called to preach Christ; although he was nearly forty years of age, and his advantages of education had been small, yet he considered that he might do, if not the highest, yet some service for the Master whom he loved. His license by the Black River Association bears the date of February 3, 1835; and he was ordained at the next annual meeting of the same association, in North Adams, Jefferson County.

His first work in the ministry was done at Litchfield, close by his native place. September 3, 1838, he had just finished about two and a half years' service as stated supply of the Presbyterian Church in Holland Patent. The Church committee felt impelled to bear witness that he had "labored most indefatigably to discharge his responsibility, and that his labors had not been in vain in the Lord."

At this time, being ardently and decidedly opposed to slavery, he was prompted to act

as an anti-slavery agent, residing at Newark and Bloomfield, in the State of New Jersey, and prosecuting his agency chiefly in that vicinity. All persons who remember how unpopular abolitionism was twenty-five years ago, and that New Jersey even now seems not very fully to have overcome her prejudices, will be inclined to suspect that his task must have been a very hard and thankless one. Meanwhile he continued to preach the gospel of Christ, and to assist his brethren in revivals of religion. In these revival labors he was often richly blest. He preached for some time after this in Lebanon, New York. Subsequently he resided in Hamilton, and lectured in behalf of temperance in various parts of the State.

In the year 1842 he was called to take the charge of the Winter Street Church in Haverhill, Mass. His ministry was attended with revivals, and he was highly esteemed in that region as a faithful, bold and earnest preacher. He was pastor of this Church for some three years.

The claims of the temperance cause drew him again into that work. In 1845 he canvassed Essex County in Massachusetts, in some towns speaking with great effect in every school district. The following year saw him carrying on the same labors in New Hampshire. The Vermont Temperance Society secured him as their agent in 1847. Next year he prosecuted the same war in Middlesex County, Mass. In 1851 the New York State Temperance Society employed him to canvass a portion of that great field. Abundant testimonials remain of his great success and power as a lecturer on temperance. They speak of the lectures as full of instruction and of interest.

At the close of these temperance labors, he was appointed City Missionary in Charlestown, Mass. His work was short. After seven months he was laid by with a sickness which lasted four years. Recovering slowly, he preached occasionally in Calais and its vicinity, in the State of Maine. Able to resume his full work, he resumed it in the region which had become peculiarly his home. For a year and a half, and with great success in winning souls, he served the Church at Salisbury Point, Mass.

Thence he turned his eyes toward California. He took up his residence in Oakland, with a daughter, in December, 1859. He took great interest in preaching among the vacant and feeble churches of his new home. He was tenderly interested in the organization of the Congregational Church in Oak-

land. He took long and wearisome journeys in behalf of temperance.

For several weeks he preached at Oroville. He was the agent in organizing the Congregational Church in El Dorado. For a year or more he worked with great zeal but some discouragement at Redwood City. He would preach often three times a Sabbath, riding in the dusty and hot summer to Searsville. His last public service was as a member of a committee of consultation with reference to the promotion of temperance by a State Convention; when he was taken sick, that subject was at first very much on his mind.

This record of his life is sufficient to show us that his was a toilsome and often thankless labor. It was labor for the most part expended in places and in ways for which there was no adequate remuneration; in the by-ways and hedges, rather than in the high-ways of the Lord's kingdom. His own sense of his insufficiency even for these things was also great, and especially in these latter days depressing. This feeling seemed to predominate at times through the tedious and painful sickness which ended his life. He longed for the greater evidence that he was God's child. "I want," said he to me, "I want to be illumined with the Holy Ghost." And yet, whenever his mind could be led by any means to fix itself on Christ, he knew whom he had believed. "Glorious Jesus!" was among his last exclamations. When I said to him in reply to his remark that it would be *just* in God not to save him, "Yes, but you know that, in the gospel plan, He is *just* to forgive us our sins," his countenance lighted up, and he said, "Glorious plan!" He repeated one day parts of two hymns, with one of which especially, "Rest for the Weary," he expressed his great pleasure. And on the last Sabbath afternoon, not an hour before his last breath, it was affecting to hear him join in singing the beautiful chorus, and once in repeating by himself a part of one of the stanzas. "These hymns," he had said on a previous day, "these hymns are luxurious."

We cannot doubt that after a laborious life and a painful sickness, and with these lowly and depressing thoughts of himself, it must have been peculiarly sweet for him on that Sabbath afternoon to have his King bid him welcome to the kingdom prepared for him from the foundation of the world. Some persons would seem almost to expect that welcome as a matter of right, and as no great thing after all. Our brother was one who took it, we doubt not, with wonder and praise, with thankful, tender appreciation. G. M.

Rev. GEORGE HENRY CLARKE, pastor of the Congregational Church at St. Johnsbury Centre, Vt., died in Georgia, Vt., April 25, 1865, aged twenty-nine years, eleven months, and two days.

He was born in Georgia, 23 May, 1835, the son of David and Mary (Baker) Clarke. He fitted for college at Georgia and Bakersfield Academies, and was graduated at the University of Vermont in 1856, and at Andover Theological Seminary in 1861. He was ordained at St. Johnsbury Centre January 15, 1862. Rev. J. E. Rankin of St. Albans preached the sermon. His excellent Christian spirit, and his ability as a preacher, combined, with vivacity of temperament and energy of character, to win speedily and entirely the affections of his people. In 1863 an attack of bleeding at the lungs completely prostrated him, and he returned to his father's house to die. He soon requested a dismissal from his pastorate, but his people clung to the hope of his restoration, and declined to have any other minister, or think of having any other, as long as he should live. He lingered a year and a half, and died; and his remains were conveyed back to his parish, to be buried among his faithful flock. P. H. W.

Rev. STETSON RAYMOND died in Bridgewater, Mass., May 21, 1865.

His father was Ebenezer Raymond, and his maternal grandfather, Rev. Mr. Fuller, the first minister of Middleboro', where the subject of this sketch was born, March 16, 1787. He graduated at Brown University in 1814; studied theology with Rev. Otis Thompson and Dr. Emmons. He was ordained pastor of the Congregational Church in Chatham, April 9, 1817, where he enjoyed a prosperous and successful ministry. He was dismissed in 1829, and installed as the first pastor of the Congregational Church in Assonet (Freetown) the same year. Thence, in 1836, he was called to Scotland (Bridgewater). Here he labored in the ministry till 1851, when feeble health compelled him to retire from the pastoral work.

About the time of his ordination he married Miss Deborah Loud of Weymouth, whom he survived nearly six years.

Mr. Raymond possessed those mental and spiritual qualities which win the confidence and affection of all. His genial humor, his never-failing fund of anecdotes and reminiscences of the olden time, as well as his benevolent countenance and gentle voice, made him a favorite with the young. His fondness for children was remarkable. We

have an affecting illustration of this during the last moments of his life. While walking in the street he was suddenly taken ill; he was, however, able to reach a neighbor's house; and having been aided to a chair in a sinking state, he stretched out both hands to a little child, and beckoned and invited her to his arms. In a few minutes he became unconscious, and presently ceased to breathe.

He possessed a clear intellect and a sound judgment. He loved the truth, but had no taste for controversy, and was a lover and promoter of peace. He had no sympathy with that impetuous zeal which would produce outward reform and agreement of faith, at the expense of Christian courtesy and affection. He sought to win souls to Christ,

as well by his own earnest love for them as by the bold and discriminating preaching of the word. For many years past he had abstained from public labor nearly altogether. But he was a most excellent parishioner, and it was his manifest and uniform endeavor to preserve harmony and mutual affection among the people of his former charge. His place in the house of God is now vacant, but the place prepared for him by his divine Master is at length filled.

Appropriate resolutions adopted by Plymouth Association testify to his godliness, his charity, his warmth of heart, his benevolence and usefulness as a citizen, a Christian, and a preacher of the gospel. s.

Books of Interest to Congregationalists.

— We long since read with interest and profit, a book called *Punchard's History of Congregationalism*.¹ The author was a pioneer in this important field of observation. He had very few helps, and these not easily secured. Patiently he surveyed it alone, and satisfied his readers with the result of his toil much better than himself. For twenty-four years, with better facilities, he has been retracing his steps, not to refute, but to reaffirm, his former conclusions. We have the fruits of these long-continued, careful researches, in two well-filled volumes, and these will be followed by a third, which will be cordially welcomed. Mr. Punchard's former works, "Views of Congregationalism," and the first edition of this history, 1841, have made him an "authority" in respect to our antecedents, principles, and usages.

It has been a very general impression that the special features of our polity had their birth late in the sixteenth or early in the seventeenth century, and their first effective development in New England. Mr. Punchard has clearly traced their origin, progress,

and connection, from the beginnings of the prevailing defections in the primitive churches to this day. If Popery and Episcopacy could go so straight and obviously back in an unbroken chain to Peter or Paul, in proof of an "apostolic succession," every candidate for the ministry might be consistently desirous of passing under a bishop's hands. Through the Novatians, A. D. 251, the Donatists, A. D. 311—21; the Luciferians and Arians, A. D. 363; the Paulicians, A. D. 660; the Waldenses and Albigenses, A. D. 1100; John Wickliffe and his "poor priests," A. D. 1324, and the Lollards, he brings us to the Reformation. He traces, all the way down, without perversion or especial pleading, the evident signs of true Christian men who resisted formalism, who defended the rights of conscience and freedom to worship God. Against the *fiercest* and deadliest persecutions, and the thickest moral darkness, "a seed" has been preserved; "the true light" has never been extinguished. We have nowhere seen so full or so satisfactory an account of the Lollards as is to be found in these full volumes. The existence of churches, especially Congregational, during all the reign of bloody Mary, is made very apparent. In no other work has this fact been brought so clearly to light.

The second volume ends with the last efforts of the Puritans to obtain relief at the hands of their imperious Queen and the Parliament, 1579. We look anxiously for the remaining volume, which will bring our history down to the great "National Council." We cannot

¹ History of Congregationalism from about A. D. 250 to the Present Time, in continuation of the account of the Origin and Earliest History of this System of Church Polity contained in a "View of Congregationalism." By George Punchard. Second edition, re-written and greatly enlarged. Vols. I. and II. New York: published by Hurd & Houghton. Boston: E. P. Dutton & Co. 1865. Crown 8vo. pp. 562, 519. Price \$5.00.

too cordially thank the author for his great life-work, nor too earnestly commend it to all who care to know or ought to know the history of the polity and the doctrines which have made good men glad, and bad men mad, since the crucifixion; which have made New England the moral garden of the world; which are destined to bless our race, and usher in the latter-day glory. Ministers will receive favorable consideration in the purchase of this work by applying to M. H. Sargent, 13 Cornhill, Boston, Massachusetts.

—In the absence of our associate we take occasion to say that Congregationalism¹ has found an able and an admiring friend in the author of a finely printed, well bound, and attractive octavo volume of three hundred and thirty-six pages, on our table. He has evidently given himself to close study, to careful analysis, to very patient and not inexpensive research to obtain and arrange the materials for this admirable work. The principles and practices of the Fathers of New England have never been more completely collated or cleared stated. The method of our author is excellent. His definitions are perspicuous, and always clear; and he substantiates his positions and statements by the highest authorities and the best usage. The antiquarian will be satisfied with his abundant and well-chosen notes and references; and he will not less appreciate the *twenty-two* pages of analysis and index to which the author first introduces him.

The Scriptural argument in this work for the Congregational church polity is unanswerable; and its distinction from and superiority to others with which it is "nearest of kin" are catholic and fair.

We must dissent from his views touching the status of a dismissed minister; and are sorry that a mere dogma, so long since discarded by the universal practice of our churches, should be exhumed at this late day. It is observable that our author does not, can not, bring a single "Yea, saith the Lord," for returning to the ranks of the laity those who may chance, for the nonce, to demit the pastoral relation. This accidental relation, though a blessed one, does not make, nor can the absence of it alone unmake, a minister of the Lord Jesus Christ. We regret this blemish in this otherwise excellent

compend of our principles and church polity.

Abating this, we commend it to pastors and church members who would always be able to give a reason for the system of church government they have adopted, as decidedly the best within their reach.

—To state what the Congregational¹ system is, and how it is regarded by judicial tribunals which have the care of the civil rights and materials interests of those connected with it, is to do a great and much-needed work for the Congregational ministry and the Congregational churches. This we are happy to say Judge Davis has well done in an article in the July number of the *Boston Review*, now republished in an octavo pamphlet of fifty-nine pages. It is a most timely and worthy contribution to our ecclesiastical literature. It can be had at the office of the *Boston Recorder*, or of M. H. Sargent, 13 Cornhill, Boston, at twenty-five cents a copy.

—It is pleasant to look over the pages of a book that so ripe a scholar as Dr. Wardlaw confessedly is would write on any subject to which he would apply his acute and well-balanced mind. But it is especially refreshing to read his matured thoughts upon our own Church polity, as revealed in the Scriptures, and "in contradistinction to Episcopacy and Presbyterianism."² Let no American reader be frightened by the term "Independency" on the title-page, as it does not mean Brownism. This author claims and urges the fellowship of the churches as strongly as our own best authors. The work is able, candid, discriminating.

—We are glad that New England has one publisher who is willing to give the scholars of the Bible the best English Commentaries³ in beautiful American type. Mr Dra-

¹ Congregational Polity, Usages and Law. By Hon. Woodbury Davis. From the *Boston Review* for July, 1865. Boston: Proprietors of *Boston Review*, 11 Cornhill. Octavo. pp. 59. Price 25.

² Congregational Independency in contradistinction to Episcopacy and Presbyterianism: the Church Polity of the New Testament. By Ralph Wardlaw, D. D. Glasgow: James Maclehose, 83 Buchanan Street. Toronto, Canada West: Andrew Hamilton. 12mo. pp. 354.

³ A Critical and Grammatical Commentary on St. Paul's Epistles to the Philippians, Colossians, and to Philemon, with a Revised Translation. By Rev. Charles J. Ellicott, D. D., Lord Bishop of Gloucester and Bristol. Andover: Warren F. Draper. Boston: Gould & Lincoln. New York: Hurd & Houghton. Philadelphia: Smith, English, & Company. Cincinnati: G. S. Blanchard. Octavo. pp. 278.

¹ Congregationalism: what it is: whence it is: how it works: why it is better than any other form of Church government: and its consequent demands. By Henry M. Dexter, pastor, etc. Boston: Nichols & Noyes. 1865. Price \$3.00.

per deserves a patronage he is little likely to receive for such books as his busy press is producing. This Commentary of Dr. Ellicot on Philippians, Colossians, and Philemon, is his last, and *he* obviously regards it as his best. Mr. Draper has republished all that has been published in England. As strictly a critical, grammatical commentator Dr. Ellicot has no peer. For a fuller notice of his works, see April No. of Quarterly, under Book Notices.

— Joseph M. Wilson, of Philadelphia, has a large unliquidated claim upon the Presbyterians of North America. His *Almanac* for 1864,¹ like its predecessors, comes freighted with invaluable statistics; with very extensive and quite complete biographies, embellished with a large number of fine steel engravings of the living and the dead, together with other matter of deep interest, and of permanent value to the Presbyterian brotherhood. We scarcely know which most to admire, the skill or the patience, the generosity or the perseverance, of the editor and proprietor of this indispensable annual. pp. 400. Price \$2.50.

— A noble and beautiful character, beautifully drawn out, and given to the public by Chaplain Trumbull,² is before us. Of the many Christian heroes which the late war has developed, we will not say has made, none is better deserving such a commemoration than that of Major Henry Ward Camp. This admirable volume deserves, as it will secure, a wide circulation. The publishers have done well in giving it so attractive a form.

— Our readers will find both interest and profit in carefully perusing the "Verdict of Reason"³ on the momentous subject of future punishment. It is an enlarged and greatly improved edition of the same work some time since issued. The teachings of inspiration and their entire reasonableness

are made so apparent, that this long-received yet much-questioned doctrine has in this little volume a strong re-affirmation which is both timely and convincing. Objections are fairly considered and ably answered. What are regarded by many as "the hard points" are manfully met. We do not know of a book so valuable to put into the hands of those who have any doubts as to the certainty and duration of future punishment as this.

— It is a fitting time to call the attention of our "little" as well as our "big folk" to the character and work of the Pilgrims¹ during their first year in New England. Our readers will value, when they have read, Dr. Gale's able contribution to the memory of those noble men. Every Sabbath School library ought to have this book; so every family.

— The following are from the American Tract Society, Boston:

While "Memorial"² volumes are numerous they will not overstock the market so long as they come freighted with such testimonials as that which Adjutant Bacon contains.

Let young ladies travel if they will bring to our little folks such pleasant stories as Miss Anderson has told them in the "Scenes in the Sandwich Islands and California."³ Parents, as well as children, will be profited by reading these interesting memoranda.

Religion is the "Sunshine"⁴ of the soul." This is admirably illustrated by Mrs. Prosser.

Ministers and Christians alike are interested and edified by every book that takes them to the cross. We can scarcely more than name the issues which our own Tract Society has recently given to the public, which are preëminently christological. "The Waiting Saviour"⁵ is in the author's best style. So

¹ The Presbyterian Historical Almanac, and Annual Remembrancer of the Church, for 1864. By Joseph M. Wilson. Volume 6. Philadelphia: Joseph M. Wilson, No. 111 South Tenth Street, below Chestnut Street. 1864.

² A Record of College, Field, and Prison. The Knightly Soldier. A Biography of Major Henry Ward Camp, Tenth Connecticut Vols. By Chaplain H. Clay Trumbull. Boston: Nichols & Noyes. New York: Oliver S. Felt. 1865. pp. 331.

³ The Verdict of Reason, upon the question of the Future of those who die Impenitent. By Henry M. Dexter. Boston: Nichols & Noyes. 1865. 18mo. pp. 157.

¹ The Pilgrims' First Year in New England. By Rev. Nahum Gale. Written for the Massachusetts Sabbath School Society, and approved by the Committee of Publication. Boston: Massachusetts Sabbath School Society, No. 13 Cornhill. 16mo. pp. 336.

² Memorial of William Kirkland Bacon, late Adjutant of the 26th Regiment of New York State Volunteers. By his Father. Boston: The American Tract Society, 26 Cornhill; 13 Bible House, New York. 32mo. pp. 139.

³ Scenes in the Sandwich Islands and California. By Mary E. Anderson. 24mo. pp. 238.

⁴ Sunshine, or the Cures for all Ills. By Mrs. Prosser. 16mo. pp. 300.

⁵ The Waiting Saviour. By E. N. Kirk, D. D. 32mo. pp. 61.

"Behold the Lamb of God."¹ The pleasant conversations on the Miracles of Christ² will interest, instruct, and profit the children who read them. Dr. Schaff has done a good service to a good and great cause by giving his ripe and scholarly thoughts to the "Person of Christ as the Miracle of History."³ Dr. Palmer is a favorite author. This precious volume, "Remember Me,"⁴ will embalm him in many a Christian heart. Its poetry and prose are alike redolent of a sweet savor.

—Froude's History⁵ of a most interesting, if not the most interesting, period of English History, is, so far, a work of rare excellence. In evidence of most patient investigation, in thought, and in style, it occupies a place not heretofore filled. The period is that in which the Reformation assumed shape, and in which it stopped in England to be carried on in America. How the author will treat Puritanism is not yet evident; but we are inclined to look for candor at least. If he holds loose views of religion himself, there is no clear trace of that in this work. An independent investigation is often "loose" from necessity; and the independence of the author is manifest in his defence of Henry VIII. We admit that his array of fact and argument, has led us to doubt our traditional opinion of that monarch's character, as well as to almost convince us that the fate of Anne Boleyn, with which the second volume closes, was deserved. In outward beauty of the books, Messrs Scribner & Co. leave nothing to be desired.

—Lord Derby's translation of the

Iliad¹ appears to be an almost literal rendering, in blank verse, of the Greek text. Its accuracy and its force we leave to purely literary journals. We have done, however, what even some critics will not,—read it entire, which was decidedly contrary to our expectation when we began; and it goes into its place on our shelves as a work to be studied.

—Ticknor and Co. are issuing some beautiful little "Companion Poets for the People."² If we had issued this number at its ordinary time, we should have recommended to all our readers to take them in their pocket for reading in vacation. We have seen copies in persons' hands, in railway cars, with a feeling that the taste of the traveling public must be improving. Longfellow, Tennyson, and Whittier—though, personally, we never quite appreciated Tennyson, we are afraid—will do the people good. Such a stirring piece as that of Whittier's Barbara Freitchie is enough to warm the heart of even a rebel.

—Few men have more honored the ministry or helped the world in a short life than Rev. George B. Little.³ His fine, we may say delicate, yet manly scholarship, his exact, perhaps severe taste, his varied acquirements, and his warm, genial heart, fitted him for the pulpit as few are fitted. We are right glad to see this fragrant "Memorial" of a character so lovely. The speaking vignette is eminently life-like. The contents of this inviting volume are: "Introductory Sketch of Mr. Little; his treatment of Scriptural Errors; his interest in National affairs; close of his Ministry in Bangor; last year at West Newton; Voyage to France and return; his love of Music; last weeks of his Life; Funeral Services."

¹ Behold the Lamb of God. By E. N. Kirk, D. D. 32mo. pp. 47.

² Wonderful Works, or the Miracles of Christ. By a Clergyman's Daughter. 24mo. pp. 281.

³ The Person of Christ, the Miracle of History. With a reply to Strauss and Renan, and a collection of Testimonials of Unbelievers. By Philip Schaff, D. D. 24mo. pp. 375.

⁴ Remember Me, or the Holy Communion. By Ray Palmer. 12mo. pp. 103.

⁵ History of England, from the Fall of Wolsey to the Death of Elizabeth. By James Anthony Froude, M. A., late Fellow of Exeter College, Oxford. New York: Charles Scribner & Co., 124 Grand Street. 1865. Vols. 1 and 2. 12mo. pp. 447, 501.

¹ The Iliad of Homer, rendered into English blank verse. By Edward, Earl of Derby. Two volumes. New York: Charles Scribner and Company. 12mo. pp. 430, 457.

² Companion Poets for the People. Boston: Ticknor & Fields. Household Poems. By H. W. Longfellow. pp. 96. National Lyrics. By John G. Whittier. pp. 104. Songs for the Seasons. By Alfred Tennyson. pp. 84. Paper.

³ A Memorial of the Closing Scenes in the Life of Rev. George B. Little. Boston: Published by the Massachusetts Sabbath School Society. 1863. 16mo. pp. 262.

Editors' Table.

THE "*Quarterly*" was not undertaken, nor has it been continued these seven years, as a speculation. Its publishers knew too well the usual fortunes of such periodicals to indulge the thought of a "good investment" in anything of this sort. They, however, did feel that Congregationalists needed, if they did not want enough to pay for, just such a work as it has been their purpose to make this *Quarterly*. Until the very high prices of paper and printing began to rule, the *Quarterly* paid its own bills. Last year, with great reluctance, the price was raised from one dollar a year to one dollar and fifty cents a year. Alas the effect! One fourth of our old subscribers have left the numbers for this year on our shelves, and us minus their price. And yet we had not a subscriber to spare before. Some of them, doubtless, would have forwarded the money had the numbers been sent in advance of the pay. This we did not do, because we have been so many times so thoroughly scolded for doing it; because, to us, it seems not the best way; because we were raising the price, and did not feel that we had a right to presume on the continuance of all our former patrons; because we could not afford to lose so many January numbers by sending them to those who would neither return the money nor the numbers.

It is for Congregationalists to say whether this work shall be continued. We pledge our best to make it worthy their liberal patronage, and will freely give our now overcrowded hours to its editing and publication. As last year, *no number will be sent until the one dollar and fifty cents are received*. We shall, with hope, undertake the eighth volume; and once more ask those whom we know will receive it to commend it to any and all who care to be informed as to the status and progress of that polity and those doctrines that are now going forth, as never before, to bless our whole country and the world.

We are happy in being able to call the attention of readers to so many and such valuable books on Congregationalism. Mr. Punchard's "*History*," Rev. Dr. Dexter's and Dr. Wardlaw's "*Scriptural Argument*" for our polity, and Judge Davis's "*Polity, Usages, and Law*;" then we understand

that Edward Buck, Esq., of the Boston bar, has an essay of three hundred pages, quite complete and comprehensive—to be published this autumn—giving an account of the ecclesiastical law of Massachusetts; and these to be followed by the able papers and debates of the National Council, together, will give those who desire, perhaps, all the light needed to reveal the position, value, and work of our own denomination. We cannot too earnestly commend these able treatises, containing the results of years of patient toil, to all who would be able to give a reason for their faith and practice.

IN accordance with the vote of the National Council, we have not only published the official proceedings of that body in this number of the *Quarterly*, but we have also published three hundred copies of the same in a separate pamphlet of nearly two hundred pages, and it is for sale at our rooms, 23 Chauncy Street, Boston, Massachusetts, at SEVENTY-FIVE CENTS A COPY. Postage six cents.

The phonographer's report is now in the printer's hands, and will, we hope, be ready for the market early in October. This will contain all the proceedings, papers, speeches, remarks, etc., etc., of the Council, carefully edited—making an octavo volume, probably, of four hundred and fifty to five hundred pages. Every minister, every family in every Congregational Church, ought to have this volume.

We are sure our readers will not complain either of the delay of this number, nor of the fact that we do not issue an October number. This comes so fully freighted with what all want to know, and to have permanently by their side, that it will more than make amends for the necessary delay. This number is more than a double number, and this volume the largest and most expensive volume of the seven we have published, and the most valuable withal. The proceedings of the "Preliminary Convention," and of the great "National Council," are all in this volume. We are sure it will give full satisfaction.

We invite *immediate* and *especial* attention to the roll of messengers to the National

Council, that it may be corrected if in any particular it is incomplete. We suspect we may have some names we ought not to have, and may have omitted some that ought to have a place. We have done what we could to make it perfect. Any one will do us a great favor by sending us any information that would help us in this direction. It will soon be stereotyped for the volume, so that what is done in this particular must be done quickly.

WE have still a few entire sets of the *Quarterly* at the old prices. The first and sixth volumes NOT FOR SALE, except in sets.

We will gladly pay fifty cents each for number one, or January number, for 1859 and 1864.

To make our terms perfectly obvious, we repeat:

Subscription for 1866, ALWAYS IN ADVANCE,	\$1 50
January, or statistical number,	75
Other numbers,	35
Seven volumes unbound,	7 00
" " bound,	10 50
July number, 1865,	75
Pamphlet containing official proceedings of Council,	75

NO NUMBER OF 1866 WILL BE SENT UNTIL THE ONE DOLLAR AND FIFTY CENTS ARE RECEIVED.

WE gladly give below the beautiful poem of Rev. Ray Palmer, D. D., of Albany, N. Y., which, plainly and sweetly enough, tells its own story. It has already been published in *The Congregationalist*; but there is a fitness in grouping it, as closely as possible, with the history of the scenes that gave it birth.

[The most interesting moment in the session of the late National Council of the Congregational Churches was that when, standing on Burial Hill at Plymouth over the graves of the Pilgrim Fathers, its members solemnly reaffirmed, with prayer and singing, their fidelity to the system of Christian Faith from which those noble men drew their highest inspiration.]

On Plymouth's Burial Hill we trod,
And high each heart was beating;
It seemed indeed "the field of God,"
Each stone his praise repeating.

'T was not 'mid chill December's blast
O'er sea and land wild sweeping;
June's longest day—too soon 't was past—
Its carnival was keeping.

Soft skies were o'er us as we stood,
With summer zephyrs breathing;
We saw God's smile on field and wood,
And flowers the earth enwreathing.

Beneath our feet the Pilgrims slept,
The brave, the true, all lowly;
Their humble graves by angels kept;
The ground to us was holy.

Ah! then all tenderly we thought,
We thought with pride and wonder,
How—Freedom's price divinely taught—
They stood unflinching yonder;

Though wintry chillness reigned around,
And wintry winds were howling,
And only savage man was found,
And savage beasts were prowling.

Anew we felt their hopes and fears,
When want and sickness wasted;
As through the lingering, weary years,
Of sorrow's cup they tasted.

Grand souls! that with heroic will
The waves of trouble breasted;
Not e'en did woman falter, till
Beneath that turf they rested!

For God, for truth, for man, they bore
Loss, exile, grief, and danger,
As Christ, the Lord they loved, of yore
Accepted earth's low manger.

And there above their sacred dust
Whose names shall never perish,
We vowed THEIR FAITH, a holy trust,
For all mankind to cherish.

O God, who heard'st our prayer and song
'Neath heaven's high dome ascending,
Bid us in thine own might be strong,
For that pure Faith contending.

From regions wide where Plenty fills
Her lap to overflowing;
From rugged realms where rocks and hills
With gold and gems are glowing;

From northern lakes that cool and bright
Their sparkling waves are spreading,
To where fresh orange groves delight,
Perpetual fragrance shedding;

From all the wide, wide land, the cry
For God's good Word is speeding;
And Freedom lifts her hands on high,
No more enchained and bleeding!

O wake, ye sons of Pilgrim sires!
Go, live in power and beauty
The life sublime their Faith inspires;
Its watchword—GOD AND DUTY!

Editorials proper end above. To accommodate our printer, who is obliged to make up his last form backwards, and in the absence of the other members of "the firm," the dubious "we" is here dropped, and the first person singular is assumed for the purpose of saying in this place—what could not as well be said elsewhere—a few things about the

"AMERICAN CONGREGATIONAL UNION."

This organization is now before our churches as never before. The good work generally conceded to it, already accomplished, entitles it to confidence. It has filled an important place, and saved and helped many a feeble Church. The late National Council "recommended a *simultaneous collection in all our churches on the Sabbath previous to Forefathers' Day, December 17, 1865.* Will it be taken? is the question that haunts me like an ugly dream; and sometimes it is propounded in, not a taunting way, surely, but with doubtful tones, by those alone who can make these collections certain and successful. It looks formidable, the \$200,000, and, perhaps, many may be dissuaded from an effort to secure it because it is so large. But less than seventy dollars to every Church will give us the full amount. Besides, it is certain that many an individual will give his THOUSAND, perhaps more. I do most affectionately and urgently entreat every pastor to give this cause a place on that memorable Sabbath, or some Sabbath previous. A wide and effectual door is opened to us South as well as West. Hear what one of our many applicants says in reference to the wants of the little Church he represents, at Canterbury, Del.:

"We are now holding our meetings in the woods, our houses not being large enough to hold us: besides this, the colored people are coming in rapidly, ready and anxious to *hear and learn.* What shall we do with them? One of our members has a colored school in his house each Sunday of from forty to fifty, and they are learning rapidly. We have begun our labors, and have prosecuted them thus far, with the hope that, before cold weather came, we would have a house to worship in; we have lived through *one winter*, but we cannot through *another* unless we have help. For two years we have been trying to plant Congregationalism in this soil so long under the blighting curse of slavery; but we have received little or no *encouragement or sympathy* from the North: why is it?

We have made *appeals* enough; and surely they must know by this time that we are *genuine Congregationalists*, or we would not have held out thus long under such discouraging circumstances.

If we fail in our enterprise here, what encouragement is there for our brethren in other parts of the State to make an attempt. None at all, they will be obliged to take up with such fare as — or — gives. If we were in a town or city where we could hire a hall or a room large enough to hold our meetings, we would gladly do so, but here we are in a country place without any such accommodations, and we must either build a place or have none."

There are many others equally needy and equally in earnest for help. The calls from Missouri are already pressing us. Eight of the ten Congregational churches in that State are without suitable places of worship. Thus far this year, our receipts are not one tenth as large as are needed to meet present calls. The providence of God bids us "GO FORWARD." Believing that the money will come, we obey the heavenly mandate.

We cannot remain as we are, much less go backward, without great detriment. We propose no crusade upon other churches, or intrusion upon grounds already well occupied. Only where plain duty calls, and our brethren of other sects urge us to go, do we contemplate even a beginning. Less than this we cannot do with impunity. Many who have been prospered during these years of war will want a monument in the South, in the form of a sanctuary for one of these coming Congregational churches. Send us the money, and we will see to its timely erection.

"CONGREGATIONAL HOUSE."

We must have it. The necessity is too imperious, and the indications too propitious now to fail. The Rev. A. P. Marvin, of Winchendon, has obtained leave of his people for one year to canvass the State in behalf of this most pressing object. While it is his purpose to see those who, it is hoped, will feel disposed to contribute to the one hundred thousand dollar fund proposed now to be raised, yet it is not necessary for any one to wait for his call. Any contribution directed to J. P. Melledge, Esq., of Boston, Mass., or to the undersigned, would be gratefully acknowledged.

This little notice will fall under the eyes of Congregationalists living out of Massa-

chusetts and of New England. To such let me say, This Congregational House is not for Boston, though it is to be erected in Boston; nor for Massachusetts. It is for the denomination it represents; nay, for the world. Jerusalem belongs to CHRISTENDOM. So this garner of all that is precious, both old and new, pertaining to Congregational principles, character, and work, just so far as the most patient and diligent research can secure them, *shall be for the entire brotherhood of our churches everywhere.* Every State and section should, therefore, be represented in it. And let none keep back what the heart prompts as an offering, though it must needs be small. From the far West, and the far East, from the cold North, and, it may be, the colder South, we invite and welcome any gifts, be they great or small. Already more than twenty thousand dollars are pledged. This is a good beginning, but avails nothing, until much more is added to bind it. Pastors

everywhere are cordially invited to cooperate in any way their own good sense may suggest.

Books and pamphlets, packed away in those closets, and in barrels or boxes, in the attic, or useless in the drawers of the secretary or book-case, will find a very fitting and useful place very quickly after they reach our table. I find them not merely useless, but often an incumbrance in this and that place, where I happen to be; and have added much to our library, and relieved the kind ladies of further care by taking them here. Will those who have such, BOX, OR BUNDLE, OR BARREL THEM, and send them as below, by express, at my charge? Do not fear sending what will be useless here. It is scarcely possible. Let them come to

ISAAC P. LANGWORTHY,

23 Chauncy St., Room No. 10,

Boston, Mass.

August 10, 1865.

Congregational Quarterly Record.

Churches Formed.

Jan. 12, 1865. In NORMAL, Ill. 26 members.

Feb. 24, In SAN FRANCISCO, Cal., the Green St. Ch., 26 members.

— In BYRON TOWNSHIP, Iowa, 20 members.

— In WAVERLY, Iowa, 18 members.

April 5, In BRISTOL STATION, Ill., 10 members.

" 9, In LESLIE, Mich., 12 members.

" 9, In COLOMA, Cal., 8 members.

May 2, At SARATOGA SPRINGS, N. Y., 45 members.

" 17, In BALTIMORE, Md., 30 members.

" 18, In BROOKFIELD, Mo., 5 members.

" 27, In CHAIN LAKES CENTER, Minn., 16 members.

June 4, In KINGSTON, Mo., 7 members.

" 5, In LACLEDE, Mo., 15 members.

" 6, In PROVIDENCE, R. I., the Charles Street Ch.

" 19, In NO. WATERFORD, Me. 32 members.

" 25, In CHESTER, Iowa. 16 members.

" 25, In ANTIOCH, Cal. 7 members.

July 11, In WAVERLY, Ms. 16 members.

" 11, In CHILLICOTHE, Mo. 12 members.

— In FOREST, Ill. 6 members.

Mar. 2. Rev. GEORGE BUSHNELL, over the 1st Cong. Ch. of Beloit, Wis. Sermon by Rev. Harvey D. Kitchel, of Chicago, Ill. Installing Prayer by Rev. Horatio N. Brinsmade, of Beloit.

" 15. Rev. GEORGE H. COFFEY, over the Ch. in Jackson, Mich. Sermon by Rev. Joseph Haven, D. D., of Chicago Seminary.

" 15. Mr. B. A. SMITH, over the Ch. in Southampton, Ms. Sermon by Rev. Gordon Hall, D. D., of Northampton. Ordaining Prayer by Rev. Emerson Davis, D. D., of Westfield.

" 15. Rev. EDWARD STRONG, over the South Ch. in Pittsfield, Ms. Sermon by Rev. Wm. I. Budington, D. D., of Brooklyn, N. Y.

" 28. Mr. CYRUS M. PERRY, to the Gospel Ministry in Holden, Ms. Sermon by Rev. Seth Sweetser, D. D., of Worcester. Ordaining Prayer by Rev. Clarendon Waite, of Rutland.

" 29. Mr. GEORGE R. LEAVITT, over the Ch. in Lancaster, Ms. Sermon by Rev. Orpheus T. Lanphar, New Haven, Ct. Installing Prayer by Rev. Alfred Emerson, of Fitchburg.

" 30. Rev. LEONARD W. BACON, over the New England Ch. in Williamsburg, N. Y. Sermon by Rev. Leonard Bacon, D. D., of New Haven, Ct.

Apr. 5. Rev. JAMES M. HUBBARD, over the Ch. in Middleton, Ms. Sermon by Rev. Edward S. Atwood, of Salem. Installing Prayer by Rev. Wm. S. Coggin, of Boxford.

" 5. Rev. ELIJAH C. BALDWIN, over the Ch. in Branford, Ct. Sermon by Rev. Wm. T. Eustis, Jr., of New Haven. Installing Prayer by Rev. Timothy P. Gillett, of Branford.

Ministers Ordained, or Installed.

Jan. 20, 1865: Mr. G. W. PHINNEY, over the Ch. in Kelloggville, O.

- April 5. Mr. JAY CLIZBEE, over the 2d Cong. Ch. in Amherst, Ms. Sermon by Rev. J. H. Seelye, D. D., of Amherst College. Ordaining Prayer by Rev. Rowland Ayres, of Hadley.
- " 19. Rev. LYMAN WHITING, over the Ch. in Dubuque, Iowa. Sermon by Rev. Samuel C. Bartlett, of Chicago Seminary. Installing Prayer by Rev. Jesse Guernsey, of Dubuque.
- " 20. Mr. LEMUEL JONES, over the Ch. in Bellevue, Iowa. Sermon and Ordaining Prayer by Rev. Lyman Whiting, of Dubuque.
- " 20. Rev. JOHN COLBY, over the Pilgrim Ch. in Southboro', Ms. Sermon by Rev. Leonard Swain, D. D., of Providence, R. I. Installing Prayer by Rev. Luther H. Sheldon, of Westboro'.
- " 23. Rev. GLOVER C. REED, to the Gospel Ministry in Civil Bend, Iowa. Sermon by Rev. Reuben Gaylord, of Omaha, Neb. Ordaining Prayer by Rev. L. S. Williams.
- " 27. Rev. S. BOURNE, Jr., over the Ch. in Harlem, N. Y. Sermon by Rev. Wm. I. Budington, D. D., of Brooklyn. Installing Prayer by Rev. David B. Coe, D. D., of New York.
- May 2. Rev. TIMOTHY A. HAZEN, over the Ch. in Egremont, Ms. Sermon by Rev. John Bascom, of Williams College. Installing Prayer by Rev. E. B. Stratton, of Great Barrington.
- " 2. Rev. E. N. SAWTELL, D. D., over the Ch. at Saratoga Springs, N. Y. Sermon by Rev. Ray Palmer, D. D., of Albany. Installing Prayer by Rev. Absalom Peters, D. D., of New York.
- " 3. Mr. ALDEN SOUTHWORTH, to the Gospel Ministry in Holland, Ms. Sermon by Rev. Charles Chamberlain, of Eastford, Ct. Ordaining Prayer by Rev. Samuel I. Curtiss, of Union, Ct.
- " 3. Mr. H. A. P. TORREY, over the Ch. in Vergennes, Vt. Sermon by Rev. John H. Worcester, of Burlington. Ordaining Prayer by Rev. James Buckham, of Burlington.
- " 9. Rev. DWIGHT K. BARTLETT, over the Plymouth Ch. in Rochester, N. Y. Sermon by Rev. Henry G. Ludlow, of Oswego. Installing Prayer by Rev. L. Smith Hobart, of Syracuse.
- " 10. Rev. ALEXANDER D. STOWELL, over the Ch. in Wilbraham, Ms. Sermon by Rev. Samuel G. Buckingham, of Springfield. Installing Prayer by Rev. Joseph Vail, D. D., of Palmer.
- " 10. Mr. JAMES M. WHITON, over the 1st Ch. in Lynn, Ms. Sermon by Rev. Wolcott Calkins, of Philadelphia, Pa. Ordaining Prayer by Rev. Benjamin E. Allen, of Marblehead.
- " 17. Rev. ALBERT H. CURRIER, over the Central Ch. in Lynn, Ms. Sermon by Rev. William M. Barber, of South Danvers. Installing Prayer by Rev. Albert H. Plumb, of Chelsea.
- " 18. Rev. HORACE PARKER, over the Ch. in Ashby, Ms. Sermon by Rev. Alfred Emerson, of Fitchburg. Installing Prayer by Rev. James M. Bell, of Watertown.
- " 24. Rev. JAMES B. CLEAVELAND, over the North Cong. Ch. in New Hartford, Ct. Sermon by Rev. Samuel W. S. Dutton, D. D., of New Haven. Installing Prayer by Rev. Ira Pettibone, of Winchester Center.
- May 25. Rev. FRANKLIN TUXBURY, over the Ch. in Brandon, Vt. Sermon by Rev. Wm. G. T. Shedd, D. D., of New York. Installing Prayer by Rev. Silas Aiken, D. D., of Rutland.
- " 30. Mr. NATHAN B. KNAPP, and Mr. H. M. HIGLEY, to the Gospel Ministry at Sandy Creek, N. Y. Sermon by Rev. Samuel R. Dimmock, of Syracuse. Ordaining Prayer by Rev. L. Smith Hobart, of Syracuse.
- June 1. Mr. S. M. CONVILLE, to the Gospel Ministry at Loda, Ill.
- " 6. Mr. NATHAN T. MERWIN, over the Ch. in Trumbull, Ct. Sermon by Rev. Louis E. Charplot, of Stratford. Ordaining Prayer by Rev. Matson M. Smith, D. D., of Bridgeport.
- " 7. Rev. WILLIAM W. PARKER, over the Union Cong. Ch. in Groton, Ms. Sermon by Rev. Stephen R. Dennen, of Providence, R. I. Installing Prayer by Rev. John Dodge, of Harvard.
- " 7. Rev. FRANKLIN NOBLE, over the Ch. at Torrington, Ct. Sermon by Rev. Joseph Eldridge, D. D., of Norfolk.
- " 8. Mr. J. C. HALLIDAY, over the Ch. in Wilmington, Vt. Sermon by Rev. George P. Tyler, D. D., of Brattleboro'. Ordaining Prayer by Rev. Joseph Chandler, of West Brattleboro'.
- " 19. Mr. D. N. GOODRICH, to the Gospel Ministry at Oberlin, O.
- " 22. Rev. GEORGE H. GRIFFIN, over the Plymouth Ch. in Milford, Ct. Sermon by Rev. George L. Prentiss, D. D., of New York. Ordaining Prayer by Rev. Chauncey Goodrich, of New Haven.
- " 28. Rev. ALVAH SPAULDING, over the Ch. in Weathersfield, Vt.
- " 28. Mr. B. F. HAMILTON, over the Ch. in North Andover, Ms. Sermon by Rev. Edwards A. Park, D. D., of Andover. Ordaining Prayer by Rev. Elijah F. Barrows, of Andover.
- " 29. Mr. JOHN W. BAKER, over the Ch. in Brighton, Vt. Sermon by Rev. Pliny H. White, of Coventry. Ordaining Prayer by Rev. Samuel R. Hall, of Brownington.
- " 29. Rev. C. C. CARPENTER, over the Harvard Ch., Brookline, Ms. Sermon by Rev. Wm. M. Barber, of South Danvers. Installing Prayer by Rev. Andrew L. Stone, D. D., of Boston.
- " 29. Mr. A. H. WILCOX, over the Ch. in Preston, Ct. Sermon by Rev. William B. Clarke, of Yale College. Ordaining Prayer by Rev. Hiram P. Arms, D. D., of Norwich.
- July 5. Rev. CHARLES J. HILL, over the Ch. in Gloversville, N. Y. Sermon by Rev. John Todd, D. D., of Pittsfield, Ms. Installing Prayer by Rev. Dr. Barnard.
- " 19. Rev. JOHN C. HOLBROOK, D. D., over the Ch. in Homer, N. Y. Sermon by Rev. Wm. I. Budington, D. D., of Brooklyn.
- " 21. Rev. GEORGE E. SANBORNE, over the Ev. Cong. Ch. in Northboro', Ms. Sermon by Rev. Edward N. Kirk, D. D., of Boston. Installing Prayer by Rev. Luther H. Sheldon, of Westboro'.
- " 26. Rev. MELANCTHON G. WHEELER, over the Ch. in North Woburn, Ma. Sermon by Rev. Joseph C. Bodwell, of Woburn. Installing Prayer by Rev. Wm. Barrows, of Reading.

July 26. Mr. G. H. DE BEVOISE, over the Ch. in Walpole, N. H. Sermon by Rev. Edwards A. Park, D. D., of Andover Seminary. Ordaining Prayer by Rev. Theodore M. Dwight, of Putney, Vt.

" 27. Messrs. LEAVITT BARTLETT, I. JACOBUS, JUSTIN P. MOORE, JOHN F. MORGAN, CHARLES H. POPE, and HENRY M. STEVENS, to the Gospel Ministry in Bangor, Me. Sermon by Rev. Geo. W. Field, of Bangor. Ordaining Prayer by Rev. Enoch Pond, D. D., of Bangor Seminary.

" 28. Mr. H. ALLEN SHOREY, over the Ch. in East Orrington, Me. Sermon by Rev. Stephen H. Hayes, of South Weymouth, Ms. Ordaining Prayer by Rev. Enoch Pond, D. D., of Bangor Seminary.

June 7. Rev. ROBERT D. GARDNER, from the Ch. in Ellsworth, Ct.

" " Rev. D. D. T. McLAUGHLIN, from the Ch. in Sharon, Ct.

" " Rev. JOHN M. WOLCOTT, from the Ch. in South Britain, Ct.

" 12. Rev. BENJAMIN PARSONS, from the Ch. in Windsor, Ct.

" 12. Rev. JOHN E. M. WRIGHT, from the Ch. in Rockport, Me.

July 28. Rev. WELLINGTON NEWELL, from the Ch. in East Orrington, Me.

Aug. 1. Rev. OLIVER A. TAYLOR, from the Ch. in Simsbury, Ct.

Ministers Married.

Sept. 3, 1864. In Hong Kong, China, Rev. JOHN T. GULICK, of the Sandwich Islands, missionary to Northern China, to Miss EMILY DE LA COUR, of Manchester, England.

April 5, 1865. In Hamilton, C. W., Rev. HENRY WILKES, to BARBARA, daughter of the late Anthony McKean, Esq., of Glasgow, Scotland.

" 25. In Ludlow, Vt., Rev. R. B. SNOWDEN, to LILLIE A. PIERCE, both of L.

" 25. In Middlefield, Ms., Rev. NATHANIEL BONNEY, of Peru, to Miss SARAH INGHAM, of M.

" 28. In West Medway, Ms., Rev. J. C. HAL- LIDAY, of Wilmington, Vt., to Miss SA- RAH C. CLARK, of W. M.

May 1. In Ashburnham, Ms., Rev. FRANCIS J. FAIRBANKS, of Westminster, Vt., to Miss ABBIE S. RUSSELL, of A.

" 1. In Worcester, Ms., Rev. FRANKLIN D. AUSTIN, of South Royalston, to Miss JULIA M., daughter of Mr. Farley God- dard.

" 17. In Leominster, Ms., Rev. HORACE PARKER, of Ashby, to Miss NELLIE S. LOOK, of L.

" 31. In Memphis, Tenn., Rev. THOMAS E. BLISS, to Miss FRANCES ROWLEY, of M., late of Stamford, Ct.

July 11. Rev. EBENEZER G. PARSONS, of Derry, N. H., to Miss SARAH D., daughter of Hon. A. McMillan.

Ministers Deceased.

Mar. 5. In Grandville, Mich., Rev. MICHAEL M. PORTER.

" 20. In Wilmington, N. C., Rev. JACOB EATON.

" 22. In Ahmednuggur, India, Rev. WM. W. CHAPIN, aged 28 years.

" 31. In Shullsburg, Wis., Rev. JOHN REY- NARD.

April 3. In Vernon, Ct., Rev. LAVIUS HYDE, aged 78 years.

" 7. In Milton, Vt., Rev. GEORGE W. RANSLOW.

" In Oakland, Cal., Rev. GEORGE W. FIN- NEY, aged 69 years.

Pastors Dismissed.

April 2, 1865. Rev. JOHN W. CHICKERING, D. D., from the High St. Ch. in Portland, Me.

" 4. Rev. H. G. McARTHUR, from the Ch. in Oshkosh, Wis.

" 4. Rev. HENRY L. HUBBELL, from the First Ch. in Amherst, Ms.

" 5. Rev. AMOS H. JOHNSON, from the Ch. in Middleton, Ms.

" 14. Rev. CHARLES L. MILLS, from the Ch. in Wrentham, Ms.

" 15. Rev. JOHN A. ALBRO, D. D., from the First Ch. in Cambridge, Ms.

" 17. Rev. CHARLES D. HERBERT, from the First Ch. in West Newbury, Ms.

" 17. Rev. ABRAHAM BURNHAM, from the Ch. in East Haverhill, Ms.

" 18. Rev. REUBEN S. KENDALL, from the Ch. in Lenox, Ms.

" 20. Rev. BENJAMIN F. CLARK, from the Ch. in Winchendon, Ms.

" 24. Rev. ALBERT H. CURRIER, from the Ch. in Ashland, Ms.

" 24. Rev. HENRY B. BLAKE, from the Ch. in Belchertown, Ms.

May 1. Rev. FRANKLIN A. SPENCER, from the Ch. in Terryville, Ct.

" 1. Rev. GUSTAVUS D. PIKE, from the Olive St. Ch. in Nashua, N. H.

" 10. Rev. JOSIAH T. HAWES, from the Ch. in Bridgton, Me.

" 10. Rev. JOHN P. SKEELE, from the Ch. in Wilbraham, Ms.

" 16. Rev. JOHN E. ELLIOTT, from the Ch. in Ridgebury, Ct.

" 31. Rev. C. D. HELMER, from the Ply- mouth Ch. in Milwaukee, Wis.

" 31. Rev. S. CLARK SEELYE, from the North Ch. in Springfield, Ms.

June 2. Rev. ELIAS NASON, from the 1st Ch. in Exeter, N. H.

" 5. Rev. LUCIUS R. EASTMAN, Jr., from the Ch. in South Braintree, Ms.

April 21. In Sanbornston Square, N. H., Rev. JAMES BOUTWELL, aged 50 years.

" 25. In Georgia, Vt., Rev. GEORGE H. CLARK, aged 30 years.

" 28. In Cohocksink, Pa., Rev. DANIEL GASTON, aged 65 years.

May 2. In Northfield, Ms., Rev. ISAAC S. PERRY, aged 42 years.

" 7. In Litchfield, Maine, Rev. DAVID THURSTON, aged 86 years.

" 8. In Norton, Ms., Rev. SAM'L BEANE, aged 53 years.

June 27. In Litchfield, Ct., Rev. DAVID L. PARMELEE, aged 69 years.

July 9. In Shutesbury, Ms., Rev. T. A. LEWIS.

— In Chester, N. H., Rev. JOEL R. ARNOLD, aged 71 years.

" 13. In Brooklyn, N. Y., Rev. NEWTON HESTON, aged 41 years.

Ministers' Wives Deceased.

Mar. 7, 1865. In Pomfret, Ct., Mrs. HANNAH JERAULD, wife of Rev. Lemuel Grosvenor.

April 2. In Deerfield, Ms., Mrs. ANNA M., wife of Rev. George L. Hovey, aged 42 years.

" 7. In Nelson, N. H., Mrs. LUCY DUNCAN, wife of Rev. Thomas W. Duncan, aged 74 years.

" 26. In Blandford, Ms., Mrs. CATHERINE B., wife of Rev. Charles J. Hinsdale, aged 72 years.

May 5. In Brunswick, Me., Mrs. HARRIET PORTER, wife of Prof. Wm Smyth, of Bowdoin College.

" 13. In Rocky Hill, Ct., Mrs. FLORA A., wife of Rev. Philo Judson.

July 23. In Morehead City, N. C., Mrs. REBECCA J., wife of Rev. Frederick A. Flake, late of Newton, Ms.

American Congregational Union.

THE Annual Sermon before the American Congregational Union was delivered in the Plymouth Church, Brooklyn, Sunday, May 7, 1865, at half-past seven o'clock, P. M., by Rev. Henry Ward Beecher, on Eph. iv. 11-13.

The Annual Report of the Board of Trustees was read by the Corresponding Secretary. [See page 445.]

BUSINESS MEETING.

The Twelfth Annual Business Meeting of the American Congregational Union was held at the Brooklyn Academy of Music, on Thursday, May 11, 1865, at four o'clock, P. M. The meeting was called to order by Rev. Isaac P. Langworthy, and on motion, Rev. Milton Badger, D. D., was chosen chairman, and opened the exercises with prayer.

The Treasurer read his Annual Report for the year ending May 1, 1865. [See page 442.]

On motion, it was

Voted, That the Annual Reports of the Board of Trustees and of the Treasurer be accepted and referred to the Trustees for publication.

On motion of Rev. I. P. Langworthy, it was

Voted, That the thanks of the American Congregational Union be tendered to the Rev. Henry Ward Beecher for his able and interesting sermon delivered Sabbath evening, May 7, in behalf of the Union; and that a copy of the same be requested for publication. Also,

Voted, That Henry C. Bowen, Esq., be appointed a committee to present a copy of this vote to Rev. H. W. Beecher, and to make

arrangements for the publication of the sermon.¹

ELECTION OF OFFICERS.

The following officers for the ensuing year were chosen:

President.

Rev. LEONARD BACON, D. D., New Haven, Ct.

Vice-Presidents.

WILLIAM G. LAMBERT, Esq., New York.
A. S. BARNES, Esq., Brooklyn, N. Y.
Hon. BRADFORD R. WOOD, Albany, N. Y.
Rev. GEORGE SHEPARD, D. D., Bangor, Me.
Rev. MARK HOPKINS, D. D., Williamstown, Ms.
Hon. EMORY WASHBURN, Cambridge, Ms.
Rev. CHARLES WALKER, D. D., Pittsford, Vt.
Hon. ARISTARCHUS CHAMPION, Rochester, N. Y.
Rev. H. D. KITCHEN, D. D., Chicago, Ill.
Rev. T. M. POST, D. D., St. Louis, Mo.
Rev. EDWARDS A. PARK, D. D., Andover, Ms.
Rev. O. E. DAGGETT, D. D., Canandaigua, N. Y.
Rev. WILLIAM PATTON, D. D., New Haven, Ct.
Rev. LEONARD SWAIN, D. D., Providence, R. I.
Rev. J. M. STURTEVANT, D. D., Jacksonville, Ill.
Rev. J. H. LINSLEY, D. D., Greenwich, Ct.
Rev. H. M. STORRS, Cincinnati, O.
Rev. B. P. STONE, D. D., Concord, N. H.
S. B. GOOKINS, Esq., Terre Haute, Ind.
Rev. T. WICKES, Marietta, O.
Rev. JULIUS A. REED, Davenport, Iowa.
ABNER KINGMAN, Esq., Boston, Ms.
Hon. W. A. BUCKINGHAM, Norwich, Ct.

Trustees.

Rev. Joseph P. Thompson, D. D., Rev. Wm. Ives Budington, D. D., Rev. Milton Badger,

¹ Published in *The Independent* of June 8, 1865.

D. D., Rev. Isaac P. Langworthy, Rev. John Milton Holmes, Rev. Edward Taylor, Rev. George B. Bacon, Rev. Lyman Abbott, Rev. J. Clement French, Henry C. Bowen, Alfred S. Barnes, N. A. Calkins, William Allen, James W. Elwell, S. Nelson Davis, Robert D. Benedict, Wm. G. Lambert, Walter T. Hatch, Charles Gould, Samuel Holmes, A. S. Hatch.

*Corresponding Secretary.**

REV. ISAAC P. LANGWORTHY, Chelsea, Ms.

Recording Secretary and Treasurer.

N. A. CALKINS, New York.

American Congregational Union Rooms, 49 Bible House, Astor Place, New York.

The subject of increasing the receipts of the society, during the ensuing year, to meet the numerous demands for aid in building houses of worship from newly organized churches at the West and South, was discussed by Henry C. Bowen, Esq., Rev. Edward Taylor, Rev. Mr. Jocelyn, Rev. J. P. Gulliver, and Rev. I. P. Langworthy; after which it was

Voted, That a committee, consisting of

Henry O. Bowen, Esq., Rev. Edward Taylor, and Rev. John Milton Holmes, be appointed to represent the American Congregational Union at the Council of Congregational Churches to be held in Boston, June 14, 1865.

Adjourned.

N. A. CALKINS, *Recording Secretary.*

The Twelfth Annual Social Re-union was held in the Brooklyn Academy of Music, Thursday evening, May 11, 1865. Rev. John P. Gulliver, of Norwich, Ct., President.

Prayer was offered by Rev. Edward N. Kirk, D. D., of Boston.

Addresses were made by Theodore Tilton, Esq., of *The Independent*, Rev. John Todd, D. D., of Pittsfield, Mass., Rev. A. A. Willets, of the Reformed Dutch Church, Brooklyn, Prof. Cyrus Northrop, of Yale College, and Rev. H. M. Gallagher, of the Nassau Street Baptist Church, Brooklyn.

This meeting was one of the most successful of these interesting social gatherings.

TREASURER'S REPORT.

American Congregational Union in account with N. A. Calkins, Treasurer.

CR.

By balance in Treasury, May 1, 1864, \$11,981 77

" contributions from *Maine*:

Oak Hill, Cong. Ch. and Soc., \$4 00

Monson, Rev. H. S. Loring, 1 00

5 00

By contributions from *New Hampshire*:

Lancaster, Cong. Ch. and Soc., \$30 00

East Concord, Rev. Abel Man-

ning, 6 00

Plymouth, Cong. Ch. and Soc., 16 00

Milford, " " 9 50

Wolfboro', " " 6 00

Dover, 1st " " 44 62

" Belknap " " 5 50

Darham, Rev. Alvan Tobey, 2 00

119 02

By contributions from *Vermont*:

Pittsford, Cong. Ch. and Soc., 17 25

Poultney, " " 12 35

Coventry, " " 10 00

St. Albans, 1st " " 7 00

St. Johnsbury, North Cong. Ch.

and Soc., 88 50

" " South Cong. Ch.

and Soc., 34 00

Acutneyville, Cong. Ch. & Soc., 1 50

Montpelier, Chas. Bowen, Esq., 5 50

Glover, a few friends, 5 00

Pawlet, Cong. Ch. and Soc., 12 15

Lunenburg, " " 4 00

Waterbury, Mrs. Mary Partridge, 5 00

202 25

By contributions from *Massachusetts*:

S. Abington, Cong. Ch. & Soc., 27 53

Somerville, 1st Orthodox Cong.

Ch. and Soc., 37 00

Winchendon, No. Cong. Ch. &

Soc., 68 00

Wilbraham, Cong. Ch. and Soc., 12 15

S. Natick, John Elliot Ch. and

Soc., 10 00

Shrewsbury, Cong. Ch. & Soc., 24 00

S. Boston, Phillips " " 58 33

E. " Maverick " " 149 00

E. " S. N. Stockwell,

Esq., 50 00

Boston, Mt. Vernon Ch. & Soc., 532 00

" Berkeley st. " " 74 25

" H. W. French, Esq., 125 00

" Rev. H. B. Hooker,

D. D., 15 00

" Mrs. Daniel Safford, 50 00

Uxbridge, a lady, 2 00

Andover, Rev. Jos. Emerson, 25 00

" Mrs. Lydia Edwards, 1 00

Worcester, E. A. Goodenow,

Esq., 10 00

Essex, Rev. J. M. Bacon, 10 00

N. Brookfield, 1st Cong. Ch. & Soc.,	45 00
" " Union Cong. Ch. and Soc.,	10 25
Housatonic, Cong. Ch. and Soc.,	7 45
Springfield, No. " " "	270 25
" So. " " "	182 43
W. Springfield, 1st Cong. Ch. & Soc.,	20 45
Walpole, Cong. Ch. and Soc.,	14 47
Warren, " " "	17 60
Westfield, C. A. Jessup, 1st Cong. Ch. & Soc.,	10 00
Newton, Elliot Ch. and Soc.,	176 45
Leominster, Cong. Ch. & Soc.,	48 75
Marlboro', " "	12 00
Northampton, 1st Cong. Ch. & Soc.,	149 30
" Edwards Cong. Ch. and Soc.,	34 33
S. Hadley, 1st Cong. Ch. & Soc.,	36 31
Ware, " " "	17 01
East Ware, " " "	71 25
Lowell, Appleton st. Ch. & Soc.,	10 86
E. Hampton, Hon. Sam'l Williston,	500 00
Lincoln, Cong. Ch. and Soc.,	15 86
Newburyport, No. Cong. Ch. and Soc.,	90 12
" Bellville Cong. Ch. & Soc.,	67 27
S. Deerfield, Monument Ch. & Soc.,	11 00
Chelsea, Winnismet Ch. & Soc.,	117 35
" Rufus S. Frost, Esq.,	200 00
" Rev. Isaac P. Langworthy,	200 00
Worcester, David Whitcomb, Esq.,	400 00
" Anonymous,	432 00
New Bedford, North Cong. Ch. and Soc.,	127 56
Stockbridge, Cong. Ch. & Soc.,	25 00
Groton, Union " "	100 00
Monson, " " "	13 62
Lawrence, Central Cong. Ch. and Soc.,	43 00
Newton Center, Wm. H. Ward, Exec.,	1,000 00

5,767 20

By contributions from Connecticut:

Greenwich, 2d Cong. Ch. & Soc.,	48 00
Granby, " " "	7 50
W. Hartford, " " "	32 13
W. Meriden, 1st " " "	70 21
" " A thank-offering,	5 00
Norwich, 1st Cong. Ch. & Soc.,	124 43
" 2d " " "	70 40
Gulford, 1st " " "	12 00
New London, 1st Cong. Ch. & Soc.,	183 24
" " 2d Cong. Ch. & Soc.,	80 45
" " Robert Colt, Esq.,	50 00

VOL. VII. 29

Waterbury, 1st Cong. Ch. and Soc.,	100 50
S. Mansfield, Cong. Ch. & Soc.,	28 00
E. Lyme, Rev. Joseph Ayer,	10 00
Rockville, 2d Cong. Ch. & Soc.,	229 01
Chaplin, " " "	8 00
Milton, Rev. George Harrison,	3 00
New Haven, a friend,	10 00
" " 1st Cong. Ch. & Soc.,	170 00
" " No. Cong. Ch. & Soc.,	149 00
" " College st. Cong. Ch. & Soc.,	117 65
" " Chapel st. Cong. Ch. & Soc.,	400 00
E. Windsor, Cong. Ch. & Soc.,	14 80
Deep River, " " "	7 10
New Britain, Center st. Cong. Ch. & Soc.,	21 00
" " So. Cong. Ch. & Soc.,	55 00
Griswold,	26 00
Lebanon,	50 00
Suffield, Cong. Ch. and Soc.,	321 50
Hartford, No. " " "	229 06
" B. P. S.,	10 00
" Hon. Calvin Day,	50 00
Windsor Locks, Cong. Ch. & Soc.,	51 95
North Stonington,	30 00
Somers, Est. of Emory Pease,	126 33
Bridgport, 1st Cong. Ch. and Soc.,	72 88
" 2d Cong. Ch. and Soc.,	70 00
Bristol, Cong. Ch. and Soc.,	62 65
Plainville, " " "	64 00
Greenville, " " "	20 34
New Hartford, So. Cong. Ch. & Soc.,	30 56
Stratford, Cong. Ch. and Soc.,	25 00

3,246 60

By contributions from New York:

Brooklyn, Clinton Av. Ch. & Soc.,	424 22
" Ch. of the Pilgrims,	507 41
" Plymouth Church,	66 42
" So. Cong. Ch. and Soc.,	255 00
Henrietta, Cong. Ch. & Soc.,	15 00
Mannsville, " " "	7 75
Canandaigua, " " "	59 43
" Hon. H. W. Taylor,	25 00
Flushing, Rev. H. H. McFarland,	1 00
Albany, 1st Cong. Ch. & Soc.,	90 00
New York, Broadway Tabernacle Ch. & Soc.,	689 65
Barryville, Cong. Ch. & Soc.,	2 15
Howells, " " "	4 50
Baiting Hollow, " " "	4 00
Pulaski, " " "	16 50
Warsaw,	71 76

Fairport,	42 00		Port Byron,	4 00	
	2,281 70		Marseilles,	5 00	532 50
By contributions from <i>New Jersey</i> :			By contributions from <i>Michigan</i> :		
Newark, 1st Cong. Ch. & Soc.,	146 05		Allegan, Cong. Ch. and Soc.,	8 50	
By contributions from <i>Pennsylvania</i> :			Cooper, Friend,	1 00	
Pittsburg, Welsh Cong. Ch. & Soc.,	19 08		Canandaigua, Cong. Ch. & Soc.,	4 00	
Philadelphia, T. B.,	50 00		Adrian, " "	11 62	
	60 08		Saugatuck,	5 50	
By contribution from <i>Maryland</i> :			Vermontville,	3 00	33 62
Baltimore, J. M. Grant,	50		By contributions from <i>Iowa</i> :		
By contributions from <i>Ohio</i> :			Newton, Cong. Ch. and Soc.,	5 00	
Wakeman, Cong. Ch. and Soc.,	10 00		Eddyville,	16 00	
Columbus, " "	56 15		Elk River,	7 00	
Olive Green, " "	6 00	72 15	Bradford,	5 00	
By contributions from <i>Indiana</i> :			Clay,	6 25	39 25
Terre Haute, Cong. Ch. & Soc.,	28 00		By contributions from <i>Wisconsin</i> :		
Elkhart, Julia S. Smith,	3 00	31 00	Evansville, Cong. Ch. and Soc.,	5 35	
By contributions from <i>Illinois</i> :			Westfield, " "	6 00	
Payson, Cong. Ch. and Soc.,	23 30		Warren, " "	3 00	14 35
Newark, " "	21 00		By contributions from <i>Kansas</i> :		
Barry, " "	12 35		Lawrence, Plymouth Ch. & Soc.,	18 85	
" Reuben Shipman, Esq.,	50 00		Atchison, C. S. Blake,	3 00	
Rockford, 1st Cong. Ch. & Soc.,	25 35		Topeka, Cong. Ch. and Soc.,	23 00	
Galva, " "	5 25		Teandale, sale of Ch. building,	58 25	
St. Charles, " "	10 00		Wyandotte, 1st Cong. Ch. & Soc.,	7 00	
Galesburg, 1st " "	12 70		Leavenworth,	35 50	
Farmington, " "	15 00		Waubunsee,	16 25	
Seward, " "	10 00		Ossawatimie,	2 00	163 85
Waukegan, " "	5 00		By contribution from <i>Minnesota</i> :		
Montebello, " "	8 95		Winona, Cong. Ch. and Soc.,	21 50	
Hamilton, " "	3 55		By contribution from <i>Missouri</i> :		
Woodburn, " "	27 00		St. Louis, 1st Trinity Cong. Ch. & Soc.,	491 75	
Ottawa, Plymouth " "	15 55		By contributions from <i>California</i> :		
Chicago, 1st " "	150 00		Downsville, Cong. Ch. & Soc.,	14 20	
Albion, Trinity " "	7 10		Dutch Flat, Rev. J. A. Johnson,	3 50	17 70
Clifton, " "	7 50		By contribution from <i>Nebraska</i> :		
Naperville, " "	3 00		Nebraska City, Rev. E. M. Lewis,	50	
Wayne, Rev. S. H. Kellogg,	1 00		By sales of Year Books,	9 00	
Lawn Ridge, Cong. Ch. & Soc.,	18 25		By interest on balance in Treasury,	721 00	
Quincy, " "	30 00		Total resources for the year ending		
Lincoln,	10 75		May 1, 1865,	25,959 12	
Chandlerville,	7 00				
Chesterfield,	7 50				
New Rutland,	10 00				
Lamolle,	5 00				
Genesee,	22 40				

American Congregational Union in account with N. A. Calkins, Treasurer. DR.

May 1, 1865. To appropriations paid since May 1, 1864, to aid in completing houses of worship, as follows, viz.:

To Cong. Ch. at Gorham, Me.	
[in full],	\$150 00
" " Penataquit, N. Y.	500 00
" " Wauseon, Ohio,	300 00
" " Hancock, Mich.	500 00
" " Vernon, "	300 00
" " St. John's, "	500 00
" " Allegan, "	500 00
	1,800 00

To Cong. Ch. at Arena, Wis.	500 00
" " Manchester,	
" " Iowa,	300 00
" " Bradford, Iowa,	300 00
" " Osage, "	350 00
" " Fairfax, "	125 00
	1,075 00
" " Sterling, Ill.	250 00
" " Poplar Grove, Ill.	400 00
" " New Rutland, Ill.	400 00

To Cong. Ch. at Huntley, Ill.	450 00	To signs and incidentals,	15 50
" " Atkinson, "	450 00	" printing annual reports,	
" " Dunleith, "	400 00	circulars, & binding Year	
" " Sandwich, "	400 00	Books,	66 60
" " Union, "	400 00	" subscriptions to Cong. Quar.	90 00
" " Morrison, "	500 00	" filling up Life Members' Cer-	
		tificates,	11 75
" " Hannibal, Mo.	400 00	" expenses of meeting in Bos-	
" " Nevada City, Cal.	500 00	ton,	4 50
" " Redwood City,			3,553 31
Cal.	500 00	Total disbursements for the year,	12,928 31
	1,000 00	Amount of appropriations pledged	
Total paid to twenty-four churches,	9,375 00	to twenty-seven churches,	10,850 00
To salary Cor. Secretary,	2,500 00	Balance in Treasury not appropri-	
" travelling expenses,	334 54	ated,	2,180 81
" stationery, postage & revenue stamps,	27 42		\$25,959 12
" rent of rooms and salary of clerk,	503 00		

NEW YORK, May 8, 1865. We have examined the above account, and find it correct.

WM. G. LAMBERT, }
WILLIAM ALLEN, } Auditors.

TWELFTH ANNUAL REPORT OF THE TRUSTEES.

THE Trustees of the American Congregational Union herewith present their Twelfth Annual Report. The first five months of the past year were so overburdened by extra calls upon OUR CHURCHES for additional contributions to old and cherished objects, or for new and seemingly important ones, that our Corresponding Secretary often found it impossible to secure a hearing or a dollar's aid, where he had every reason to expect a cordial welcome and a generous contribution. Hence, the receipts to our treasury during those months were threateningly small. The last seven months have been much more fruitful in their returns, though they have failed to bring our receipts quite up to the amount received last year, and not nearly up to the amount which *should* be received every year. This Congregational Union, to meet the rightful expectations of our dependent and struggling churches, now existing and coming into existence with unexampled rapidity, *ought* to receive, *must* receive, on an average, fifty thousand dollars a year; and this with a *certainty*, that would warrant the Trustees in arranging their plans of work upon that annual amount. Now they dare not, beforehand, assure our churches existing, our communities where there is the material for a Church in each, that they are in funds to aid every needy, hopeful, well-recommended Church in building a sanctuary. And let the giving know that this "Union" can never approximate its highest usefulness until it can have and give, public-

ly and widely, that assurance. And this amount can be disbursed very properly if it is made reasonably certain, without one dollar additional expense to the treasury; and, if contributed, would make this organization one of the most economical in its workings and immediately useful in its results of any in the sisterhood of our benevolent societies.

And the new and important fields that are opening for our occupancy not only invite but they demand more general and liberal contributions. It has been more than intimated in previous reports that our conquering, and now, thanks be to Almighty God, completely victorious army, was opening new fields for Congregational churches. On the way towards the great South three Congregational churches were recognized in Philadelphia in June last. A Congregational Church was formed in November last, at Canterbury, in Delaware, which is now seeking aid to build a house of worship. A Congregational Church, under very hopeful auspices, is about to be organized in Baltimore; so that where the first blood in the defence of the great principle of Christian and civil liberty was shed, there the martyr-seed is springing up and bearing its own legitimate fruit. Washington, Nashville, New Orleans, and many other places wait only the ministers and the pecuniary *means* to sustain the preaching and build the *places* to preach in, to gather and make effective for good, to the cause of Christ and to our government, churches that *always* carry with them the

school-house, the academy, the college, and the guarantee of equal rights; the churches that have in themselves the elements of a higher and better civilization.

Not less than fifty thousand dollars a year ought to be made certain to our treasury to meet our present and prospective wants. The pastor of the Congregational Church at Memphis, in Tennessee, writes, "You are doing a great and good work by aiding our feeble churches in the West to build their places of worship. You must be prepared to come this way, not with hundreds merely, but with thousands, to help in erecting sanctuaries on both sides of the Mississippi, from here to New Orleans." That there will be loud and pressing calls from these newly gained regions, there can be no question. And it is certain that larger appropriations must be made to infant churches there than we have been in the habit of making in other places, not only because materials and labor are so high, but because these new regions have been so greatly impoverished by the iron heel of war. Other evangelical denominations will have the advantage of houses already erected, but vacated by their former disloyal occupants, and now confiscated by the government to their loyal brethren.

To us, however, there will be the great advantage of a clean record in the matter of this monstrous iniquity which inspired, and, indeed, perpetuated the rebellion, until it stung itself to death. We shall, therefore, be compelled to raise and use money largely, and send our membership freely into these new fields of the South, if we occupy them, as evidently the great head of the Church would have us do, just as we are now occupying the great West. We have the means, being more highly favored than any other people in the world in this respect; and we can spare and send the men. God is saying to us as he said to the tribe of Naphtali, "O Naphtali, satisfied with favor and full of the blessing of the Lord, possess thou the West and the South." This our Congregational churches are abundantly competent to do, so far as it is required of them; sending a ministry and the needed helps in securing educational and religious privileges. For this we must give, give, and pray and sacrifice as never before. And to this higher standard of Christian life God has been educating us by the terrible experiences of the last four years. Happy will it be for us and the world if this fearful lesson shall not need to be repeated!

Our receipts from all sources, during the

last twelve months, have been thirteen thousand nine hundred seventy-seven dollars and thirty-five cents. We have paid, in last bills on twenty-four houses of worship, nine thousand three hundred and seventy-five dollars. Our disbursements for room, fuel, stationery, postage, printing, travelling expenses, and salary of the Corresponding Secretary, have been three thousand five hundred fifty-three dollars and thirty-one cents. We now stand pledged to twenty-seven churches, which are being erected, in the sum of ten thousand eight hundred and fifty dollars. There is an unappropriated balance in hand of two thousand one hundred eighty dollars and fifty-one cents. For this and very much more many a little Church is struggling to meet our conditions.

The Trustees made their first appropriations for Church building April 7, 1857. Since that time they have examined the claims of and pledged aid to two hundred and one churches, eighteen of which have been dropped, or their work deferred. One hundred and fifty-four have been completed and paid for, and three of these have been rebuilt; of these, two were in Kansas, destroyed by the infamous Quantrell; and one in California, which was burned. During these eight years they have kept an open room in the city, as a resting-place for our sojourning brethren, and a center of correspondence; they have published the American Congregational Year Book for the years 1857, '58, and '59, large editions, and widely circulated them. They have published regular annual reports; also appeals, statements, and various communications in our denominational papers, and in the *Congregational Quarterly*, with the view of keeping their wants and their work before the public. The Corresponding Secretary has visited the State associations and conferences this side the mountains, and some of them a number of times; also local associations, conventions, and public meetings. He has made, on an average, not less than one hundred public addresses on our especial work, in each of the eight years he has been in this service, and has travelled, for this purpose, between sixty and seventy thousand miles. Besides these more tangible results, not a little has been effected in the way of stimulating and encouraging churches to pay off onerous and embarrassing debts; also in greatly strengthening the ties that bind the West and the East in closer Christian and civil bonds; and in awakening denominational self-respect, and in dissipating, to some degree, the al-

most universal feeling that it was sectarian, and hence sinful, to provide for our own, even those of our own fold.

And it should be remembered that our Church-building work was begun amid great prejudice and indifference, and, indeed, not a little open opposition. It has been prosecuted, however, along with other objects whose name is legion, and which, having the precedence in age, and all of them good, has greatly increased the difficulty of securing sympathy in its behalf. But it has gained for itself a place, and it is now confidently believed that our churches will give it room annually, or on alternate years, upon their calendar, and that collections will be forwarded in such numbers and amounts as will enable the Trustees to prosecute their work without future embarrassment.

This Board was invited by an informal meeting, held in New Haven, Ct., in July, 1864, of a number of the State committees, to convene a preliminary conference with reference to the calling and work of a National Congregational Council. They responded to the overture, and that conference was held in this city (New York), Nov. 16 and

17, 1864, and resulted in a unanimous vote to call a National Council of the Congregational Churches of the United States and Territories, to meet at Boston, June 14, 1865. It is expected that the Church-building work of the "Union" will be brought before that Council, in an able essay, by the Rev. J. E. Roy, of Chicago, Ill., Agent of the American Home Missionary Society, who has seen much of the want and worth of the service we are seeking to render to our needy churches. The best results are looked for from this presentation and the action of that Council.

With peace secured, slavery dead, leading traitors banished or hung, business in its legitimate channels fluent, public spirit buoyant, old animosities dying out, the Holy One working wondrously in the churches and in the army as well, and the star of hope irradiating our immediate future; with all this realized, or in fair prospect, the Trustees, with re-assured confidence in God and in his people, address themselves to the onerous but pleasant work before them for the coming year.

In behalf of the Trustees.

ISAAC P. LANGWORTHY, *Cor. Secretary.*

American Congregational Association.

TWELFTH ANNUAL REPORT.

THE Directors of the American Congregational Association herewith present their Twelfth Annual Report. At the last annual meeting, votes were passed expressive of the importance of raising the sum of one hundred thousand dollars for the purposes of this association; and the Directors were instructed to employ a financial agent to solicit this amount. Preliminary measures to this end were soon adopted, and two meetings were held in the Old South Chapel, of gentlemen interested in the plans and object contemplated; and after very free and full discussions it was unanimously voted, That the sum of one hundred thousand dollars should be raised; subscriptions binding when fifty thousand dollars should be reliably pledged. Henry Edwards, Esq., of this city, had generously offered to give this object all the time he could command, and was prepared to commence canvassing the field. A committee of three was appointed to co-operate with him in securing an amount at once sufficient at least to commence our work. Both Mr. Edwards, and the gentlemen asso-

ciated with him, deserve the cordial thanks of this association for the time and labor, as well as the generous subscriptions, they have so freely given to this object. The money, however, does not yet come in sums sufficient to insure success. Only twenty thousand dollars have as yet been positively pledged. The Directors are persuaded that a financial agent must be employed and paid, who can give his whole time to this most important and now most pressing object. He must enter upon and keep at this work, until the one hundred thousand dollars are not only pledged, but paid. They are also persuaded that a matter so important, and already too long neglected, will be sustained, when its merits are understood by the churches for whose benefit it is intended. The Directors recommend, therefore, that some measures be adopted at this meeting by which this object shall be brought in the most favorable manner to the notice of our churches, especially in Massachusetts; and also before the National Council, soon to meet in this city, for some such action as will recognize its national importance, and commend it to the sympathy and benevolence of those who are

able to aid it. Too much has already been done, and too great interests are involved in its realization, to think of suffering it longer to languish. Now, though it has a small capital in the building where our library is, it is not and cannot be self-sustaining or largely useful without a speedy increase of resources.

Our Corresponding Secretary has given much time to the library in gathering valuable books and pamphlets; and now by the help of a valuable assistant in the rooms, who gives her whole time to this service, the hitherto reigning chaos among our increasing collection of serials, sermons, reports, essays, and the like, is giving place to order and system, and our garnered memorials are becoming accessible, and hence more useful. Our great wants are *room, room, ROOM*, and money enough to bind more than seven hundred volumes now suffering to be bound, and also to secure by thorough search and purchase the thousands upon thousands of the yet surviving works on our polity and our history; the books which illustrate New England work, New England character, New England institutions; embracing our periodical literature, the richest in the language, but nowhere found in any one library; embracing local histories, especially of New England cities, towns, and churches; embracing the proceedings of all our great benevolent societies and the minutes of all our State associations and conferences, etc., etc. Church histories are more easily obtained, and, with a comparatively small outlay, this could be made the richest library in the country in ecclesiastical literature, now a great want; embracing not only the forms, polity, and principles of the different churches at different periods of the world, but their work as well. Something has been already accomplished in these different directions, but nothing compared with what is possible with suitable rooms and sufficient means for filling them. Many a private library, of careful selection and of great value, waits the evidence of our future life, and a secure fire-proof building, to be freely donated immediately, as a testamentary gift. Our librarian is assured on all sides, that, when a suitable building is erected to receive these waiting gifts, they will be forthcoming.

During the last year there have been placed upon our shelves some books of great value, the direct gift of generous friends, or by purchase from a few dollars bestowed for that purpose, or arising from the sale of duplicates, or by exchanges. The increase of the Li-

brary the past year has been more in the *quality* of the books secured, and in the order that is beginning to obtain in its general arrangement, than in the number of volumes procured. Still we can report progress in this respect. Our last report gave four thousand and ninety-eight bound volumes, and three hundred and twenty duplicates, making a total of four thousand four hundred and twenty-eight volumes, an increase of six hundred and sixty-seven volumes over the previous year. A more close examination of our books has brought to light quite a number of before unknown duplicates. These have been passed over to the duplicate department. A careful count gives us now four thousand seven hundred and sixty-seven volumes, and four hundred and ninety-one duplicates, affording a total of five thousand two hundred and fifty-eight bound volumes; and of unbound but valuable pamphlets, over fifty thousand.

There are wanting now only a few dollars from comparatively a few individuals to cause this beginning of a suitable monument to the memory of our Pilgrim Fathers to rise and be an honor to them and to us, and an inestimable blessing to posterity. Boston Congregationalists cannot afford to let this golden opportunity pass of making in this city, the only really fitting place, and now, the best time that can be looked for, a Congregational home, center, "Exchange," which would be an invaluable help to the present and all coming generations. Massachusetts Congregationalists cannot afford to let the treasures already secured here, and within reasonable command, be scattered and lost; nor can they afford to fail of having at last an inviting and accessible resort in this center of their faith and Church polity, at this the Jerusalem of our brotherhood. A resort suited to the purposes of rest, of the freest, largest intercourse one with another; where our Church principles and practices may be studied; where our common trials, duties, and hopes, and plans, may be talked over, and measures devised for more extended Christian action; where the stranger, who should want to know more of the faith and order of those churches which have made New England the moral garden of the world, might be invited and enlightened. New England, nay, American Congregationalists, cannot afford longer to be without a place to which they may go and find not only a home, and rest, and social greetings, but instruction in all those points of doctrine and ecclesiastical usage which were adopted by the Fath-

ers, and which are or are not received by their children living in and around the old homestead. The pioneer ministers, the frontier churches, the missionaries, home and foreign, very much want and must have these helps, available in no other way, and from no other source. The Directors are persuaded that an object so important, and now seemingly so easily secured, will not be suffered to elude our grasp. They can but urge upon pastors a duty in this matter. If they will take it in hand, and bring it before their people, and invite contributions, the object will be attained. It must be that out of our three thousand Congregational churches there are, at least, one thousand which could easily raise, on an average, one hundred dollars each. It must be that out of our three hundred thousand Church members, at least one in three thousand would give one thousand dollars each to secure an object so important, so eminently desirable every way. This whole subject is herewith submitted, in hope that measures will be speedily adopted which will secure the long-wished for, prayed for object, — a CONGREGATIONAL HOUSE, secure from fire, and worthy of the city of Boston and of the denomination, and in the securing of which there shall be found abundant occasion for devout thanksgiving to Almighty God.

BUSINESS MEETING.

AGREEABLY to notice in the *Congregationalist and Recorder*, the Twelfth Annual Meeting of the American Congregational Association was held in the Old South Chapel, in Boston, on Tuesday, May 30, 1865; the President, Rev. W. T. Dwight, D. D., in the chair. Prayer was offered by Rev. Alonzo H. Quint. The minutes of the last annual meeting were read by the Recording Secretary, and adopted by the Association. The working of the first By-law for the present meeting was suspended. The Board of Directors presented a new draft of the Constitution and By-laws, which were adopted as follows:—

CONSTITUTION.

ART. I. The name of this body shall be the AMERICAN CONGREGATIONAL ASSOCIATION.

ART. II. The object of this Association shall be to secure the erection, in this city of Boston, of a CONGREGATIONAL HOUSE for the meetings of the body, the accommodation of its library, and for the furtherance of its general purposes; to found and perpetuate a library of books, pamphlets, and manuscripts, and a collection of por-

traits and relics of the past; and to do whatever else—within the limits of its charter—shall serve to illustrate Congregational history, and promote the general interests of the Congregational churches.

ART. III. This Association shall be composed of members of Orthodox Congregational churches,—paying each one dollar, or more, into its Treasury.

ART. IV. The officers of this Association shall be a President, such a number of Vice-Presidents as the Association may from year to year elect, a Corresponding and Recording Secretary, a Librarian, Treasurer, and an Auditor. These Secretaries, Librarian, and Treasurer, with ten others, shall be a Board of Directors, charged with the general interests of the Association, five of whom shall constitute a quorum for the transaction of business. These officers shall be chosen by ballot at the Annual Meetings.

ART. V. The Annual Meetings for the choice of officers, and for the other business appropriate to such meetings, shall be held in Boston, on the Tuesday preceding the last Wednesday in May, in each year, at twelve o'clock, M. Special meetings may be called at any time by the Board of Directors. The Annual and all special meetings must be called by published notice in the Orthodox Congregational weekly newspapers of Boston, at least one week previous.

ART. VI. This Constitution may be altered at any Annual Meeting by a vote of two-thirds of the members present, public notice having been given of the nature of the proposed alteration, in the call for the meeting; but the *third article* shall be unalterable.

BY-LAWS.

ART. I. The exercises of the Annual Meeting shall be prayer, hearing the report of the Directors, and other officers having reports to make; action upon the same; the election of officers; and the performance of such other business as shall properly come before the meeting.

ART. II. The Directors shall prescribe their own times of meeting, as their judgment of the best interests of the Association may suggest, and the method of calling the same. All their meetings shall be opened with prayer. They shall also have power to appoint, and order, any public meeting of the Association for anniversary purposes, which they may think fit.

ART. III. At the first Directors' meeting after their appointment, a chairman shall be chosen by ballot, and a Finance Committee and Library Committee by nomination, (each of three members,) to serve respectively for the year. Immediately after the opening of each meeting, the minutes of the previous meeting shall be read, and a docket of business, prepared by the Corresponding Secretary and Librarian, shall be presented to the Board for action, to which any member may add other items.

ART. IV. The Directors shall make a Report of their doings for the year at each Annual Meeting of the Association, and suggest such measures

for the action of the body as in their judgment its welfare requires.

ART. V. The Corresponding Secretary shall discharge the duties ordinarily belonging to that office.

ART. VI. The Recording Secretary shall make a full record of whatever business is transacted in the meetings, both of the Association and of the Board of Directors, in a book provided for that purpose, and kept at the rooms of the Association.

ART. VII. The Treasurer shall have charge of all moneys belonging to the Association, and hold the same at the disposal of the Directors,—paying only such bills as have the certified approval of at least two members of the Finance Committee. He shall report the state of the Treasury to the Association at their Annual Meetings, and to the Directors whenever desired by them to do so.

ART. VIII. The Librarian shall keep a complete catalogue of all books, pamphlets, manuscripts, periodicals, portraits, and other articles of interest belonging to the Association, with the names of their donors annexed; and shall have the general charge of the same under the Library Committee.

ART. IX. Previously to each Annual Meeting, the Library Committee shall examine the Library and all the property of the Association, and report its condition to the Board, who shall embody that statement in their Annual Report.

ART. X. No book, pamphlet, manuscript, or periodical, shall be taken from the Library, except on such terms, and for such time, as the Library Committee shall prescribe; nor shall visitors be permitted to make extracts from manuscripts, without the knowledge and consent of the Librarian.

ART. XI. These By-laws may be amended, at any regularly called meeting of the Association, by a vote of two-thirds of the members present.

The above, were adopted in whole, and in detail, by the Association, and all others were repealed by unanimous vote.

The Corresponding Secretary read the Annual Report of the Board of Directors, which was adopted.

The Treasurer's Report was read and accepted.

The following officers were chosen by ballot for the ensuing year, viz.:

President.

EDWARD S. TOBEY, Esq., Boston.

Vice-Presidents.

Rev. GEORGE E. ADAMS, D.D., Brunswick, Me.
Hon. WILLIAM W. THOMAS, Portland, Me.
Rev. N. BOUTON, D. D., Concord, N. H.
Hon. WILLIAM C. CLARKE, Manchester, N. H.
Rev. SILAS AIKEN, D. D., Rutland, Vt.
" JOHN A. ALBRO, D. D., Cambridge, Ms.
" JACOB IDE, D. D., Medway, Ma.
" SETH SWEETSER, D. D., Worcester, Ms.
Hon. SAMUEL WILLISTON, Easthampton, Ms.
Rev. THOMAS SHEPARD, D. D., Bristol, R. I.

Hon. A. C. BARSTOW, Providence, R. I.
Rev. LENOARD BACON, D. D., New Haven, Ct.
" E. L. CLEVELAND, D. D., New Haven, Ct.
Hon. WILLIAM A. BUCKINGHAM, Norwich, Ct.
Rev. J. P. THOMPSON, D. D., New York City.
" RAY PALMER, D. D., Albany, N. Y.
" WILLIAM I. BUDINGTON, D. D., Brooklyn, N. Y.
" N. A. HYDE, Indianapolis, Ind.
" I. W. ANDREWS, D. D., Marietta, O.
" SAMUEL WOLCOTT, D. D., Cleveland, O.
" J. M. STURTEVANT, D. D., Jacksonville, Ill.
" S. C. BARTLETT, D. D., Chicago, Ill.
Hon. CHARLES G. HAMMOND, Chicago, Ill.
Rev. J. J. MITER, Beaver Dam, Wis.
" T. M. POST, D. D., St. Louis, Mo.
" ASA TURNER, Denmark, Iowa.
" JESSE GUERNSEY, Dubuque, Iowa.
" GEO. MOOAR, Oakland, Cal.
" HENRY WILKES, D. D., Montreal, C. E.

Directors.

EDWARD S. TOBEY, Esq., Boston.
GARDNER G. HUBBARD, Esq., Boston.
JULIUS A. PALMER, Esq., "
ABNER KINGMAN, Esq., "
Rev. RUFUS ANDERSON, D. D., "
" A. C. THOMPSON, D. D., Roxbury.
JOHN FIELD, Esq., Boston.
Rev. E. P. MARVIN, Medford.
" WILLIAM BARROWS, Reading.
" A. H. QUINT, New Bedford.

Corresponding Secretary and Librarian.

Rev. ISAAC P. LANGWORTHY, Chelsea.

Recording Secretary.

Rev. HENRY M. DEXTER, Boston.

Treasurer.

JAMES P. MELLEDDGE, Esq., Boston.

Auditor.

CHARLES STODDARD, Esq., Boston.

It was *Resolved*, That upon the retirement of the Rev. Dr. Dwight, by his own action, from the position of President of this Association, which he has so long filled, the Association tenders him its hearty thanks for his faithful service.

It was *Resolved*, That the Directors be instructed to bring the objects of this Association before the National Congregational Council, in the manner best fitted to interest that body, and to secure the coöperation of its members on their return to the churches which they represent.

It was *Resolved*, That the Directors be requested to inquire whether it be expedient that this association should establish a *National Commission for the promotion of Popular Christianization*; and also whether it is expedient that it should appoint a *standing committee on new churches*, and to take such action thereon as they may deem expedient.

After prayer by Rev. Dr. Dwight, adjourned.

H. M. DEXTER, *Rec. Sec.*

INDEX OF NAMES.

NOTE.—This Index includes all the names of persons mentioned in this volume, except the names of ministers given in the general statistics, which are all indexed alphabetically on pages 112-125, —and except the Roll of Members of the National Council, as arranged alphabetically by States on pages 232-242.

The reader is reminded that a given name may occur more than once on the same page.

For general topics, see Table of Contents, pp. III. and IV.

A full Index of the proceedings of the National Council will be found in the Table of Contents, under the head "National Council."

- Abbott, 125, 133, 224, 442.
Abercrombie, 224.
Adair, 224.
Adams, 47, 48, 126, 127, 135, 141, 151, 153, 156, 220, 223, 243, 263, 450.
Aiken, 228, 439, 450.
Ainsworth, 217.
Albro, 440, 450.
Alden, 227.
Alexander, 421.
Allelu, 22.
Allen, 48, 50, 213, 223, 380, 384, 439, 442, 445.
Alvord, 223.
Ambrose, 34, 421.
Anderson, 48, 50, 293, 375, 411, 418, 420, 433, 450.
Andrew, 347, 358.
Andrews, 47, 50, 221, 327, 348, 355, 364, 450.
Andros, 193.
Angier, 127, 222.
Anthusa, 19.
Appleton, 13, 57, 135, 137, 138.
Archer, 54.
Argyle, 372.
Arms, Armes, 126, 222, 391, 430.
Arnold, 8, 160, 441.
Arvine, 217.
Asbury, 23, 370.
Assassamogh, 32.
Atkinson, 128, 243, 293, 311, 363, 367, 391.
Atwood, 125, 126, 438.
Augustine, 19, 34, 178.
Austin, 440.
Avery, 206.
Ayer, 443.
Ayres, 430.
Babeock, 206.
Bacon, 47, 48, 50, 191, 221, 226, 243, 262, 267, 293, 293, 311, 327, 347, 364, 367, 370, 375, 377, 390, 391, 394, 400, 411, 433, 438, 441, 442, 450.
Badger, 47, 126, 261, 327, 441.
Bailey, Baylie, 137, 172.
Baker, 430, 439.
Baldwin, 43, 212, 223, 438.
Balkam, 380, 391.
Ballard, 223.
Bancroft, 300.
Barber, 125, 439.
Barker, 224, 243.
Barlow, 2.
Barnard, 293, 364, 439.
Barnes, 50, 125, 222, 384, 441, 442.
Barney, 126.
Barrows, 123, 311, 411, 439, 450.
Barstow, 243, 242, 343, 363, 364, 390, 391, 410, 450.
Bartlett, 134, 223, 226, 293, 394, 411, 439, 440, 450.
Bascom, 47, 49, 50, 126, 221, 261, 303, 439.
Bassett, 125.
Baxter, 160.
Bayne, 58, 217.
Beane, 441.
Beard, 224.
Beecher, 27, 29, 30, 126, 149, 223, 242, 243, 264, 298, 304, 311, 327, 334, 364, 375, 377, 400, 405, 409, 411, 416, 441.
Beers, 57.
Belcher, 22.
Bell, E2, 292, 363, 439.
Bellamy, 4, 21.
Beman, 53.
Benedict, 442.
Bennett, 223.
Bent, 182.
Berkeley, 176.
Bethune, 26.
Billings, 210, 422.
Bingham, 19.
Bird, 217.
Bishop, 293, 394.
Bittinger, 223.
Bixby, 209.
Blagden, 50, 400.
Blake, 222, 440, 443.
Blanchard, 223, 411, 432.
Bliss, 4, 243, 303, 364, 365, 391, 440.
Blodgett, 47, 48, 220, 343, 409, 410.
Bodwell, 311, 347, 439.
Bogue, 226.
Bohn, 18.
Boley, 434.
Bonney, 440.
Booth, 364, 387.
Borromeo, 22.
Boswell, 9.
Bourne, 439.
Bouton, 47, 220, 450.
Boutwell, 441.
Bowen, 224, 243, 261, 263, 311, 441, 442.
Boyle, 32.
Boynton, 123.
Bradford, 50, 215.
Bradley, 428.
Bradshaw, 126, 226.
Brainerd, 391.
Brastow, 391.
Bremner, 126, 382.
Brewster, 127, 216, 385.
Bright, 372.
Brinsmade, 438.
Bristed, 14, 238.
Brooks, 222.
Brookway, 411.
Broughton, 127.
Brown, 21, 47, 126, 127, 130, 221.
Bruce, 224.
Bryant, 134, 243, 292, 363, 391.
Buck, 434.
Buckham, 439.
Buckingham, 50, 243, 261, 310, 343, 364, 367, 369, 384, 385, 409, 411, 413, 439, 441, 450.
Buckminster, 133.
Buddington, 47, 48, 221, 263, 311, 327, 355, 367, 375, 384, 438, 439, 441, 450.
Buffum, 411.
Burgoyne, 52.
Burnham, 440.
Burr, 196, 293, 311, 394.
Burt, 130, 348, 411, 415.
Bushnell, 57, 58, 123, 163, 222, 223, 438.
Butler, 47, 221, 369, 407.
Butterfield, 223.
Byington, 17, 311, 411.
Cady, 359, 407.
Caleb, 52.
Calkins, 127, 225, 439, 442, 444.
Calvin, 177, 178.
Camp, 130, 223, 433.
Cardoza, 126.
Carleton, 55.
Carman, 210.
Carpenter, 130, 223, 263, 343, 364, 369, 439.
Carter, 51, 311, 357, 391, 411.
Catlin, 223.
Chalmers, 125.
Chamberlain, 126, 348, 389, 439.
Champion, 441.
Chandler, 127, 208, 222, 419, 421, 422, 439.
Channing, 133, 138, 140, 153.
Chapin, 52, 440.
Charplot, 439.
Chauncy, 226.
Chesbrough, 343, 415.
Chester, 153.
Chickering, 134, 440.
Child, 243, 370.
Chipman, 53.
Chrysostom, 19.
Church, 236.
Clapp, 47, 230, 222, 223, 425, 426.
Clark, 55, 210, 213, 375, 438, 439, 440, 441, 450.

- Clary, 311, 367.
 Cleveland, 136, 136, 439, 450.
 Clement, 220.
 Clift, 43.
 Clisbee, 439.
 Cobb, 126, 196.
 Cobden, 372.
 Cochran, 125, 222, 364, 387.
 Codman, 30.
 Coe, 48, 439.
 Coffey, 438.
 Coggin, 214, 438.
 Colt, 443.
 Colby, 439.
 Coleridge, 194.
 Collier, 345, 410.
 Converse, 423.
 Conville, 439.
 Cook, 127.
 Cooper, 127.
 Copp, 126.
 Cordley, 130, 343, 411, 415.
 Cornelius, 321.
 Cornwell, 243.
 Cotton, 172, 191, 226, 237, 359.
 Cowper, 24.
 Crafts, 196.
 Crawford, 233, 311, 363, 367, 391.
 Crocker, 216.
 Cromwell, 374.
 Crosby, 217.
 Cross, 160.
 Cundall, 391.
 Currier, 40, 223, 243, 308, 411, 439, 440.
 Curtis, 222, 439.
 Cushman, 243.
 Cushing, 364, 387.
 Cutler, 126.
 Cutter, 222.
 Daggett, 207, 292, 363, 384, 418, 441.
 Dale, 212.
 Damon, 424.
 Dana, 131, 182, 194, 136, 139, 140, 141, 222, 348, 410.
 Danforth, 35, 47, 221.
 Daniels, 370.
 Darling, 311.
 Davenport, 234, 325.
 Davidson, 55, 200.
 Davies, 143.
 Davis, 46, 47, 127, 180, 220, 224, 293, 387, 394, 411, 417, 432, 435, 438, 442.
 Day, 207, 222, 443.
 Dean, 5, 222.
 De Bevoise, 440.
 De La Cour, 440.
 Dennen, 223, 439.
 Derby, 434.
 De Tocqueville, 245.
 Dexter, title, 50, 166, 197, 242, 243, 347, 380, 400, 411, 417, 418, 432, 433, 435, 450.
 Diman, 223.
 Dimock, 439.
 Dodd, 210, 224.
 Doddridge, 143, 100.
 Dodge, 391, 439.
 Doe, 348, 369.
 Dole, 222.
 Douglas, 311, 357.
 Drake, 182, 184, 227, 231.
 Draper, 217, 432, 453.
 Drury, 293.
 Dudley, 223.
 Duff, 370.
 Dulles, 210.
 Dunbar, 182, 183.
 Duncan, 127, 423, 441.
 Dundas, 210.
 Dunham, 125.
 Dunlap, 146.
 Dunn, 391.
 Dunning, 130.
 Duren, 130, 263, 311.
 Durham, 120, 127, 222.
 Dutton, 243, 264, 311, 365, 367, 390, 431, 439.
 Dwight, 125, 183, 191, 210, 243, 364, 440, 449, 450.
 Dwinelle, 130.
 Eastman, 440.
 Eaton, 57, 130, 440.
 Ebbe, 370.
 Eddy, 311, 357.
 Edwards, 4, 31, 143, 173, 223, 224, 237, 409, 426, 442, 447.
 Eggleston, 47, 48, 50, 220, 391, 403.
 Eldridge, 125, 221, 292, 400, 439.
 Elliot, 32, 193, 194, 224, 226, 440.
 Elizabeth, 170, 374, 434.
 Ellicott, 217, 432, 433.
 Ellsworth, 3.
 Elmer, 359, 385, 391.
 Elwell, 442.
 Emerson, 211, 438, 439, 442.
 Emery, 130, 136, 311.
 Emmons, 41, 430.
 Endicott, 172.
 Eustis, 47, 221, 292, 293, 361, 417, 438.
 Eveleth, 224.
 Everett, 54, 157, 196.
 Faber, 162.
 Fairbanks, 60, 440.
 Fairchild, 310, 411.
 Faulkner, Falkner, 223.
 Felt, 198, 218, 433.
 Ferrin, 263.
 Field, 347, 411, 422, 440, 450.
 Filmore, 52.
 Finch, 261, 394, 411.
 Finney, 429, 430, 440.
 Fish, 243, 292, 363.
 Fisher, 50, 212, 223, 267, 293, 363, 411.
 Fisk, Fiske, 50, 57, 209, 214, 223, 293, 327, 380, 419, 420, 421, 441.
 Fitch, 203, 204.
 Flagg, 209.
 Floyd, 46.
 Folger, 32.
 Ford, 348, 415.
 Foster, 184, 223.
 Fox, 22, 24.
 Fowler, 209.
 Francis, 125.
 Franklin, 11, 32, 218.
 Freeman, 293, 361.
 French, 125, 182, 183, 221, 224, 370, 442.
 Frost, 224, 225, 443.
 Froude, 434.
 Fuller, 133, 143, 430.
 Gale, 125, 293, 433.
 Gallagher, 442.
 Gardner, Gardiner, 32, 33, 153, 440.
 Gasparin, 371.
 Gaston, 441.
 Gates, 126.
 Gaylord, 49, 130, 243, 303, 311, 391, 439.
 Geikie, 126.
 Gibbs, 32, 33.
 Gill, 223.
 Gillett, Gillott, 140, 151, 213, 215, 438.
 Gilman, 16.
 Gleason, 209.
 Goddard, 440.
 Goodell, 54.
 Goodenow, 412.
 Goodhue, 224, 391.
 Goodrich, 4, 8, 10, 16, 59, 222, 439.
 Goodwin, 223, 226, 343, 415.
 Gookin, 31, 441.
 Gordon, 363.
 Gorrie, 26.
 Gould, 58, 209, 217, 222, 432, 442.
 Graf, 126.
 Graham, 26.
 Grant, 225, 444.
 Graves, 53, 224.
 Gray, 130.
 Greenleaf, 8.
 Gregory, 19.
 Griffin, 143, 321, 439.
 Griffith, 370.
 Griswold, 343.
 Griggs, 222.
 Groton, 136.
 Grout, 217.
 Grosvenor, 441.
 Grush, 222.
 Guernsey, 221, 293, 311, 367, 391, 394, 418, 439, 450.
 Guild, 126.
 Guilek, 125, 440.
 Guilliver, 47, 48, 50, 125, 221, 243, 293, 327, 394, 400, 411, 416, 442.
 Haddock, 51.
 Hadley, 16, 59.
 Hale, 126.
 Hall, 125, 211, 223, 311, 375, 411, 438, 439.
 Halliday, 439, 440.
 Hamilton, 7, 432, 439.
 Hamlin, 147.
 Hammond, 47, 48, 50, 221, 243, 304, 320, 364, 382, 384, 385, 411, 418, 450.
 Hanbury, 265, 396.
 Harding, 311.
 Harper, 29.
 Harris, 126, 180, 208, 220, 264, 292, 293, 310, 363, 394, 411.
 Harrison, 224.
 Hart, 47, 221, 222, 293, 391.
 Hartsborn, 223.
 Harvey, 223.
 Haskell, 243.
 Hatch, 182, 183, 442.
 Haven, 126, 184, 310, 348, 364, 369, 438.
 Hawes, 47, 48, 126, 221, 222, 292, 311, 363, 391, 440.
 Hawley, 223.
 Hayes, 62, 440.
 Hayward, 182, 183.
 Hazard, 311.
 Hazen, 125, 439.
 Healy, 223, 311.
 Helmer, 440.
 Hengstenberg, 58.
 Henry IV., 12.
 Henry VIII., 434.
 Herbert, 440.
 Herrick, 126.
 Heston, 441.
 Hetherington, 251.
 Hicomes, 31.
 Hidden, 126, 223.
 Higginson, 172.
 Higley, 439.
 Hill, 26, 50, 220, 417, 439.
 Hilton, 136.
 Hinsdale, 441.
 Hitchcock, 222.
 Hobart, 47, 48, 130, 221, 311, 391, 439.
 Hogarth, 223.
 Hoibe, 33.
 Holbrook, 183, 184, 263, 391, 411, 439.
 Holmes, 31, 50, 183, 182, 223, 243, 292, 310, 311, 348, 363, 364, 391, 400, 402, 415, 442.
 Holton, 252, 359, 363, 385, 411.
 Homer, 130, 224.
 Homes, 127.
 Hooker, 1, 125-7, 222, 224, 226, 327, 424, 427, 442.
 Hopkins, 4, 191, 377, 411, 441.
 Hoppin, 231.
 Horne, 18.
 Hosmer, 31.
 Houghton, 431, 432.
 Hovey, 441.
 Howard, 126, 182-4, 223.
 Howland, 53.

- Hoyt, 47, 130, 221, 268, 394, 411.
 Hubbard, 438, 450.
 Hubbell, 440.
 Hughson, 126.
 Humphrey, 30.
 Hunt, 48, 182.
 Huntington, 127, 154, 183.
 Hurd, 47, 48, 50, 130, 221, 243, 418, 431, 432.
 Haribut, 130.
 Huss, 215.
 Hutchinson, 226.
 Hyde, 180, 223, 243, 411, 427, 428, 440, 450.
 Ide, 133, 364, 450.
 Ingalls, 237.
 Ingham, 440.
 Ives, 47, 48, 220.
 Jackson, 182, 183, 217.
 Jacob, 2.
 Jacobus, 440.
 James, 160.
 Jaquith, 207, 208.
 Jay, 7.
 Jenkins, 311, 391, 403.
 Jenks, 30, 132, 135, 140.
 Jenney, 347.
 Jennings, 348, 369.
 Jessup, 127, 443.
 Jewett, 130.
 Jocelyn, 442.
 Johnson, 9, 10, 13, 126, 127, 222, 225, 251, 292, 311, 343, 362, 363, 393, 440, 444.
 Jones, 125, 222, 223, 370, 439.
 Joseph, 32.
 Judson, 205, 441.
 Kedzie, 311, 347.
 Keeler, 391.
 Keith, 182-4.
 Kellogg, 209, 225, 444.
 Kelsey, 311.
 Kendall, 440.
 Kiddler, 183, 211, 224.
 Kinderman, 22.
 King, 135, 210, 420.
 Kingman, 182-4, 441, 450.
 Kinnard, 391.
 Kinney, 126.
 Kirk, 50, 126, 223, 244, 263, 363, 364, 375, 400, 418, 420, 433, 439, 442.
 Kitchel, 223, 438, 441.
 Knapp, 430.
 Knight, 385.
 Knox, 20, 255.
 Kost, 385.
 Krummacker, 217.
 Labaree, 243, 263, 411.
 Ladd, 223.
 Lafayette, 371.
 Laird, 222.
 Lambert, 43, 50, 441, 442, 445.
 Lange, 53.
 Langworthy, title, 47, 48, 50, 128, 129, 220, 224, 225, 226, 261, 438, 441, 442, 443, 447, 450.
 Lanphear, 391, 438.
 Lathrop, 210.
 Lawrence, 50, 267, 411, 417.
 Learned, 243, 311.
 Leavitt, 210, 293, 380, 391, 394, 400, 438.
 LeBaron, 196.
 Lee, 35, 46, 130, 236.
 Lewis, 222, 237, 293, 359, 363, 385, 441, 444.
 Liggett, 293, 394.
 Lincoln, 58, 210, 217, 358, 392, 432.
 Linsley, 359, 407, 441.
 Little, 434.
 Lobb, 226.
 Longfellow, 434.
 Longley, 222.
 Look, 440.
 Loomis, 212.
 Lord, 125, 223, 391.
 Loring, 224, 442.
 Loud, 430.
 Love, 47, 130, 221, 223, 263, 347, 400.
 Loyola, 8, 17.
 Laddow, 43, 439.
 Luther, 20, 35, 177, 178.
 Lyman, 16, 134, 208, 370.
 Lyon, 182, 184, 206, 224, 419, 420.
 Maclehouse, 432.
 May, 32.
 Madison, 7, 9.
 Madoc, 372.
 Magoun, 57.
 Mahn, 16.
 Maltby, 125, 391.
 Mann, 237.
 Manning, 126, 127, 442.
 Manson, 224.
 Maria Theresa, 22.
 Mary, 431.
 Marsh, 130.
 Martin, 126.
 Marvin, 50, 223, 243, 391, 402, 403, 418, 427, 450.
 Mason, 126, 130, 154, 222, 364, 377, 391.
 Massie, 263, 371.
 Mather, 21, 32, 193, 194, 216, 226, 265.
 May, 292, 363.
 Mayhew, 31, 32.
 McArthur, 440.
 McCheryne, 160, 162, 163.
 McCollom, 223.
 McFarland, 127, 443.
 McKeand, 440.
 McKeen, 347.
 McKenzie, 131, 152, 157, 224.
 McLaughlin, 440.
 McMillan, 440.
 Means, 147, 292.
 Melledge, 437, 450.
 Melvin, 391.
 Merriam, 13, 16, 59, 223.
 Merrill, 126, 130.
 Merwin, 439.
 Miles, 126, 263, 327.
 Mill, 372.
 Miller, 58.
 Millett, 182.
 Mills, 440.
 Milton, 374.
 Miner, 359, 391, 407.
 Mitchell, 226.
 Miter, 450.
 Mixer, 53-5.
 Mobley, 223.
 Monica, 19.
 Monod, 264, 370.
 Montagne, 130.
 Moore, Moear, 125, 130, 213, 440, 450.
 Mordough, 223.
 More, 24.
 Morgan, 440.
 Morgridge, 126.
 Morong, 125.
 Morris, 130, 292, 363.
 Morse, 133, 143, 195.
 Morton, 125.
 Müller, 7.
 Manger, 127.
 Muse, 223.
 Napoleon, 2.
 Nason, 222, 440.
 Neal, 39.
 Neander, 19, 51, 231, 232.
 Nettleton, 427.
 Newell, 440.
 Newman, 57.
 Newton, 24, 52, 53, 130, 422.
 Nichols, 57, 217, 432, 433.
 Nims, 209.
 Noah, 33.
 Noble, 212, 439.
 Norcross, 222.
 North, 423.
 Northrup, 442.
 Norton, 126, 135, 136, 138, 222.
 Noves, 48, 50, 57, 217, 222, 243, 293, 333, 347, 348, 391, 432, 433.
 Oberlin, 22.
 Odell, 130.
 Ogden, 203-205.
 Olds, 223, 422.
 Orr, 425.
 Oviatt, 223, 391.
 Owen, 216.
 Owens, 55.
 Packard, 140, 182-4, 205, 208, 347, 421.
 Page, 47, 220, 364.
 Palmer, 47, 48, 50, 221, 222, 311, 327, 347, 355, 388, 434, 436, 439, 450.
 Parish, 243, 311, 367.
 Park, 222, 293, 310, 394, 400, 410, 411, 439, 440, 441.
 Parker, 47, 50, 51, 140, 220, 263, 311, 347, 355, 357, 359, 367, 391, 407, 439, 440, 450.
 Parmelee, 428, 441.
 Parsons, 132, 134, 139, 440.
 Partridge, 442.
 Patchin, 411.
 Patterson, 411.
 Patton, 155, 230, 243, 384, 441.
 Payne, 218.
 Payson, 30, 143.
 Pearl, 224.
 Pearson, 133.
 Pease, 443.
 Peckham, 126, 207.
 Penniman, 184.
 Perit, 210.
 Perkins, 1, 2, 182, 224, 391, 419.
 Perley, 127.
 Perry, 438, 441.
 Peters, 48, 51, 439.
 Pettibone, 347, 439.
 Pettengill, 263.
 Philip, 32.
 Phillips, 125, 140.
 Phinney, 438.
 Pickering, 12.
 Pierce, 32, 440.
 Pike, 57, 223, 440.
 Platty, 353.
 Piper, 57.
 Pitman, 207.
 Platt, 212, 224.
 Plumb, 439.
 Pollock, 15.
 Pomeroy, 243, 292, 311, 363, 423.
 Pond, 130, 150, 191, 440.
 Poole, 11.
 Porter, 16, 59, 135, 243, 310, 321, 440.
 Post, 50, 292, 361, 363, 364, 411, 441, 442.
 Potter, 127.
 Power, 22.
 Powers, 126.
 Pratt, 359, 385.
 Prentice, Prentiss, 218, 439.
 Preston, 263.
 Priestly, 9.
 Price, 35, 215.
 Proctor, 220.
 Prosser, 433.
 Punchard, 136, 191, 431, 435.
 Putnam, 47, 50, 221, 243, 263, 310, 311, 364, 421.
 Quarry, 34.
 Quint, title, 42, 47, 48, 50, 60, 61, 130, 195, 220, 243, 263, 269, 292, 293, 359, 361, 375, 380, 382, 394, 400, 411, 421, 449, 450.
 Raikes, 21-24.
 Raleigh, 263, 370.
 Rand, 223.
 Rankin, 126, 430.
 Ranslow, 428, 440.

- Rawson, 83.
 Raymond, 224, 490.
 Redfield, 126.
 Reed, 210, 311, 391, 439, 441.
 Reikie, 130.
 Renan, 434.
 Reynard, 440.
 Rich, 125.
 Richardson, 13, 14.
 Richmond, 427.
 Ridgway, 54.
 Robbins, 21, 136, 292, 363.
 Roberts, 209, 210.
 Robertson, 30.
 Robie, 126.
 Robinson, 126, 171, 173, 174, 177, 190, 215, 216, 255, 326, 348, 415.
 Rockwell, 203.
 Rogers, 222.
 Root, 130.
 Rowland, 126, 127.
 Rowley, 440.
 Roy, 222, 223, 328.
 Royce, 127.
 Russell, 394, 395, 440.
 Ryder, 67.
 Sabin, 424, 425.
 Sabine, 206.
 Safford, 127, 222, 414, 442.
 Sage, 58.
 Salter, 125, 223, 243, 293, 359, 385, 394, 411.
 Samuel, 32.
 Sanborne, 439.
 Sargent, 57, 223.
 Savage, 47, 220, 293, 411.
 Sawyer, 131.
 Sawtell, 459.
 Schaff, 58, 434.
 Schofield, 130.
 Scott, 24, 385.
 Scribner, 57, 58, 434.
 Scudder, 359, 385, 391.
 Sears, 20.
 Seccombe, 125, 130, 223, 292, 363, 370, 391.
 Seely, Seelye, 125, 126, 130, 439, 440.
 Sewall, 126, 193, 223.
 Shaftesbury, 372.
 Sharp, 222, 223.
 Shaw, 196, 388.
 Shedd, 411, 439.
 Sheldon, Shelton, 22, 125, 391, 439.
 Shepard, 50, 131, 142, 144, 145, 149, 151, 152, 157, 222, 223, 237, 304, 310, 325, 384, 441, 450.
 Sheridan, 10.
 Sherman, 45.
 Sherrill, 370.
 Shipman, 444.
 Shorey, 440.
 Sickles, 47, 221.
 Sillney, 237.
 Simmons, 51.
 Skeels, 440.
 Skinner, 224.
 Smith, 126, 222-5, 251, 264, 304, 372, 423, 438, 439, 441, 444.
 Snell, 182.
 Snowden, 440.
 Soule, 55, 182.
 Southworth, 205, 206, 439.
 Spaulding, Spaulding, 57, 125, 207, 222, 223, 439.
 Sparhawk, 233.
 Sparks, 32.
 Spencer, 126, 217, 440.
 Spiers, 210.
 Sprague, 21, 22, 31, 132.
 Spring, 137.
 Staats, 125.
 Stackpole, 348, 410, 411.
 Starkweather, 237.
 Stearns, 359, 384, 401, 405, 407.
 Stebbins, 126.
 Stetson, 196.
 Stevens, 47, 221, 440.
 Stiles, 206, 224.
 Stimson, 47, 220, 222.
 Stockbridge, 411.
 Stocking, 420.
 Stockwell, 127, 442.
 Stoddard, 50, 242, 263, 311, 384, 450.
 Stone, 50, 138, 222, 243, 311, 367, 439, 441.
 Storrs, 50, 213, 221, 228, 267, 394, 411, 441.
 Stowe, 125, 425.
 Stowell, 439.
 Stratton, 439.
 Straus, 434.
 Streeter, 47, 221.
 Strieby, 48.
 Strong, 134, 438.
 Stuart, 30, 203, 209.
 Sturm, 162.
 Sturtevant, 47, 50, 221, 243, 244, 253, 393, 311, 394, 376, 391, 411, 441, 450.
 Sumner, 9.
 Swain, 293, 391, 411, 416, 439, 441.
 Sweetser, 347, 403, 404, 438, 450.
 Swift, 2, 125.
 Tappan, 60, 131, 159.
 Tarbox, 1, 48, 217.
 Tashima, 35.
 Taylor, 127, 204, 237, 293, 347, 407, 409, 411, 440, 442, 443.
 Tennyson, 434.
 Thayer, 182.
 Thomas, 206, 264, 347, 370, 450.
 Thome, 221, 292, 363, 407.
 Thompson, 47, 48, 50, 149, 216, 221, 243, 261, 264, 267, 368, 375, 380, 385, 388, 400, 411, 430, 441, 450.
 Thorne, 47.
 Thurston, 140, 141, 142, 151, 159, 223, 348, 410, 440.
 Ticknor, 434.
 Titcomb, 223.
 Toby, 50, 53, 222, 223, 391, 442, 450.
 Todd, 327, 328, 439, 442.
 Torrey, 51, 439.
 Toothaker, 126.
 Towle, 134.
 Tracy, 2, 186.
 Trumbull, 223, 438.
 Tucker, 53.
 Turner, 47, 263, 450.
 Tuxbury, 439.
 Twining, 311.
 Tyler, 391, 439.
 Upham, 191.
 Upton, 224.
 Valli, 422-24, 439.
 Van Vechten, 57.
 Van Wagner, 423.
 Vaughn, 244, 263, 370.
 Vinton, 231.
 Vrooman, 125.
 Waite, 438.
 Walker, 10, 126, 130, 223, 293, 375, 441.
 Ward, 443.
 Wardlaw, 432, 435.
 Wardwell, 127.
 Ware, 133, 135.
 Warner, 212, 213, 224.
 Warren, 130, 208.
 Washburn, 425.
 Washington, 8, 371.
 Waterbury, 210.
 Waterman, 125.
 Waters, 154.
 Watkins, 441.
 Watson, 391.
 Waugh, 222.
 Webb, 126, 131, 146, 150-4, 156, 347, 411.
 Webber, 133.
 Webster, 1-16, 51, 58, 59, 157, 223, 222, 363.
 Weldman, 126.
 Weisman, 47, 50, 130, 220, 417.
 Wells, 222.
 Wesley, 22, 25, 251.
 Whently, 18, 19.
 Wheeler, 16, 51, 210, 292, 363, 439.
 Wheelwright, 130.
 Whipple, 48.
 Whitcomb, 127, 210, 211, 224, 443.
 White, 26, 127, 206, 209, 212, 213, 224, 226, 423, 430, 439.
 Whitefield, 35.
 Whiting, 439.
 Whitney, 16, 209.
 Whiton, 439.
 Whittier, 434.
 Whittlesey, 126, 130, 222, 243, 418.
 Wickes, 391, 441.
 Wickliffe, 159.
 Wight, 163, 237.
 Wilberforce, 24.
 Wilcox, 126, 427, 439.
 Wild, 125, 128.
 Wilder, 55, 347, 426.
 Wilkes, 263, 370, 440, 450.
 Willard, 133, 391, 422.
 Willits, 442.
 Williams, 182, 223, 359, 364, 385, 410, 411, 439.
 Williston, 127, 311, 364, 367, 443, 450.
 Wilson, 130, 432.
 Windsor, 223.
 Winslow, 209, 210, 213.
 Winter, 126.
 Winthrop, 153, 154, 172, 175.
 Wise, 39, 41.
 Wisner, 30.
 Withington, 57.
 Wolcott, 2, 130, 193, 223, 243, 263, 267, 347, 358, 363, 417, 440, 450.
 Wooley, 434.
 Wood, 130, 134, 370, 441.
 Woodbridge, 236, 422.
 Woodbury, 223.
 Woods, 58, 137.
 Woodworth, 223, 228.
 Woolsey, 377.
 Worcester, 134, 135, 198, 212, 439.
 Woodsworth, 159.
 Wright, 359, 387, 440.
 Xavier, 317.
 Yale, 222, 224.
 Young, 58, 226, 311.

Congregational Quarterly Advertiser.

WARREN F. DRAPER,
PUBLISHER AND BOOKSELLER,
ANDOVER, MASS.

Publishes and offers for sale the following works, which will be sent, postpaid, on receipt of the sums affixed.

WORKS OF W. G. T. SHEDD, recently Professor of Ecclesiastical History in Andover Theological Seminary.

Few clearer and more penetrating minds can be found in our country than that of Prof. Shedd. And besides, he writes with a chaste and sturdy eloquence, transparent as crystal; so that, if he goes deep, we love to follow him. If the mind gets dull, or dry and ungovernable, put it to grappling with these masterly productions. — *Congregational Herald, Chicago.*

DISCOURSES AND ESSAYS. 324 pp. 12mo. \$1.50.

CONTENTS. — The Method and Influence of Theological Studies; The True Nature of the Beautiful, and its Relation to Culture; The Characteristics and Importance of a Natural Rhetoric; The Nature and Influence of the Historic Spirit; The Relation of Language and Style to thought; The Doctrine of Original Sin; The Atonement.

LECTURES UPON THE PHILOSOPHY OF HISTORY. 128 pp. 12mo. 75c.

CONTENTS. — The abstract Idea of History; The Nature and Definition of Secular History; Nature and Definition of Church History; The Verifying Test in Church History.

GUERICKE'S CHURCH HISTORY. Translated. 449 pp. 8vo. \$2.75.

This volume includes the period of the ANCIENT CHURCH (the first six centuries, A. C.), or the Apostolic and Patristic Church.

OUTLINES OF A SYSTEMATIC RHETORIC. From the German of DR. FRANCIS THEREMIN. Third and Revised Edition, with an Introductory Essay by the translator. pp. 216. 12mo. \$1.00.

THE CONFESSIONS OF ST. AUGUSTINE. Edited, with an Introduction. Cloth, extra. \$1.50.

WORKS OF MOSES STUART, late Professor in Andover Theological Seminary.

COMMENTARY ON THE EPISTLE TO THE ROMANS. Third Edition. Edited and revised by PROF. R. D. C. ROBBINS. 12mo. pp. 544. \$2.25.

"Regarding it in all its relations, its antecedents and consequents, we pronounce it the most important Commentary which has appeared in this country on this Epistle." — *Bib. Sacra.*

COMMENTARY ON THE EPISTLE TO THE HEBREWS. Edited and revised by PROF. R. D. C. ROBBINS. 12mo. pp. 570. \$2.25.

COMMENTARY ON THE APOCALYPSE. 2 vols. 8vo. pp. 504, 504. \$4.00.

COMMENTARY ON THE BOOK OF PROVERBS. 12mo. pp. 432. \$1.75.

COMMENTARY ON ECCLESIASTES. Second Edition. Edited and revised by R. D. C. ROBBINS, Professor in Middlebury College. 12mo. pp. 346. \$1.50.

MISCELLANIES. pp. 369. 12mo. \$1.00.

CONTENTS. — I. Letters to Dr. Channing on the Trinity. — II. Two Sermons on the Atonement. — III. Sacramental Sermon on the Lamb of God. — IV. Dedication Sermon. — Real Christianity. — V. Letter to Dr. Channing on Religious Liberty. — VI. Supplementary Notes and Postscripts.

HEBREW GRAMMAR; Translated from Gesenius. 8vo. Reduced to \$1.00.

GREEK GRAMMAR OF THE NEW TESTAMENT DIALECT. Second Edition. Corrected and re-written. 8vo. Reduced to \$1.00.

HEBREW CHRESTOMATHY. Third Edition. pp. 231. 8vo. \$1.00.

HENDERSON ON THE MINOR PROPHETS. THE BOOKS OF THE TWELVE MINOR Prophets. Translated from the Original Hebrew. With a Commentary, Critical, Philological, and Exegetical. By E. HENDERSON, D. D. With a Biographical Sketch of the Author by E. P. BARROWS, Hitchcock Professor in Andover Theological Seminary. 8vo. pp. 490. \$4.00.

"This is probably the best Commentary extant on the Minor Prophets. The work is worthy of a place in the library of every scholar and every diligent and earnest reader of the Bible." — *Christian Chronicle.*

Congregational Quarterly Advertiser.

COMMENTARIES (*Critical and Grammatical*) OF C. J. ELLICOTT, Bishop of Gloucester and Bristol.

"We would recommend all scholars of the original Scriptures who seek directness, luminous brevity, the absence of everything irrelevant to strict grammatical inquiry, with a concise and yet very complete view of the opinions of others, to possess themselves of Ellicott's Commentaries." — *American Presbyterian*.

COMMENTARY ON GALATIANS. With an Introductory Notice by C. E. STOWE, Professor in Andover Theological Seminary. 8vo. pp. 183. \$1.75.

COMMENTARY ON EPHESIANS. 8vo. pp. 190. \$1.75.

COMMENTARY ON THESSALONIANS. 8vo. pp. 171. \$1.75.

COMMENTARY ON THE PASTORAL EPISTLES. 8vo. pp. 265. \$2.50.

COMMENTARY ON PHILIPPIANS, COLOSSIANS, AND PHILEMON. \$2.50.

MISCELLANEOUS.

WORKS OF LEONARD WOODS, late Professor in Andover Theological Seminary; comprising Lectures, Letters, Essays, and Sermons. 5 vols. 8vo. \$12.00.

AUGUSTINISM AND PELAGIANISM. By G. F. WIGGERS, D. D. Translated from the German, by PROF. R. EMERSON, D. D. pp. 383. 8vo. \$1.50.

HISTORICAL DEVELOPMENT OF SPECULATIVE PHILOSOPHY FROM KANT TO Hegel. From the German of Dr. H. M. CHALYBAEUS. With an Introductory Note by SIR WILLIAM HAMILTON. pp. 413. 12mo. \$1.25.

ERSKINE ON THE INTERNAL EVIDENCE FOR THE TRUTH OF REVEALED RELIGION. Third American, from the Fifth Edinburgh Edition. pp. 139. 16mo. 75 cents.

HYMNS AND CHOIRS; OR, THE MATTER AND THE MANNER OF THE SERVICE OF Song in the House of the Lord. By AUSTIN PHELPS and EDWARDS A. PARK, Professors at Andover, and DANIEL L. FURBER, Pastor at Newton. 12mo. pp. 425. \$1.50.

PUNCHARD'S VIEW OF CONGREGATIONALISM, its Principles and Doctrines, the Testimony of Ecclesiastical History in its favor, its Practice and its Advantages. With an Introductory Essay by R. S. STORRS, D. D. Second edition. 16mo. pp. 331. 60 cents.

CLASSICAL WORKS AND TEXT-BOOKS.

POLITICAL ECONOMY. Designed as a Text-book for Colleges. By JOHN BASCOM, A. M., Professor in Williams College. 12mo. pp. 306. \$1.50.

DÖDERLEIN'S HAND-BOOK OF LATIN SYNONYMES. Translated by Rev. H. H. ARNOLD, B. A., with an Introduction by S. H. TAYLOR, LL. D. New Edition, with an Index of Greek words. 16mo. pp. 267. \$1.25.

RUSSELL'S PULPIT ELOCUTION. Comprising Remarks on the Effect of Manner in Public Discourse; the Elements of Elocution applied to the Reading of the Scriptures, Hymns and Sermons; with Observations on the Principles of Gesture; and a Selection of Exercises in Reading and Speaking. With an Introduction by PROF. E. A. PARK and REV. E. N. KIRK. 413 pp. 12mo. \$1.50.

HEBREW ENGLISH PSALTER. Containing the Hebrew and English, in parallel columns, versified in both languages. The Hebrew text is according to Hahn, versified according to Rosenmüller; the English is the common version, but arranged line for line with the Hebrew. \$1.50.

HEBREW PSALTER. This is the smallest pocket edition, and is altogether the most convenient Hebrew Psalter ever published in this country. It is printed in very clear type. 32mo. Morocco, \$1.00.

THE BIBLIOTHECA SACRA is published at Andover, Mass., on the first of January, April, July, and October.

This Review is edited by Prof. E. A. Park, of the theological Seminary, and S. H. Taylor, LL. D., of Phillips Academy, Andover, with the coöperation of President Barnas Sears, of Brown University. It is the organ of no clique or party, but aims to exhibit the broad scriptural views of truth, and to cherish a catholic spirit among the conflicting schools of evangelical divines.

"Questions of philosophy and the analysis of language, of biblical and literary criticism, of the constitution and life of the Church of Christ, of practical morality and evangelical religion, of biblical geography and the interpretation of prophecy, and the relation of Science to Religion, together with ample literary intelligence, both foreign and domestic," — these make up the matter of each number, and cannot fail to interest Christian Scholars, Clergymen, and Laymen.

Terms. — To those who pay STRICTLY IN ADVANCE, and receive the numbers directly from the office of publication, postage UNPAID, the price is \$3.00 per annum. When supplied by agents, \$3.50, in advance; otherwise \$4.00.

Postage. — The postage is three cents per number, or twelve cents per year, to any part of the United States.

W. F. DRAPER, Andover, Mass.

NEW AND VALUABLE BOOKS.

REMEMBER ME;

OR, THE HOLY COMMUNION.

By Rev. RAY PALMER, D. D. pp. 102. 12mo. \$1.50.

"One of the most exquisitely printed books which has ever gladdened our eyes, charmingly and perfectly done in colors. It is also one of the richest and most pleasing little helpers to devotion which exists in the language. We know of nothing resembling or approaching this, as a little gift of good taste to a devout friend, fitted as it is to guide, to comfort, and to cheer."— *Congregationalist*.

THE PERSON OF CHRIST.

The Miracle of History, with a Reply to Strauss and Renan, and a Collection of Testimonies of Unbelievers. By Rev. Dr. SCHAFF. pp. 375. 16mo. \$1.00.

WORDS TO WINNERS OF SOULS.

By BONAR. pp. 102. 18mo. 50 cents.

This work was prepared by its learned and pious author during the revivals which, in 1859, were so powerful in Great Britain and America. Its earnest appeals are well calculated to awaken ministers to a new and profound consideration of the responsibilities of their calling, and a fresh consecration of themselves to Christ and his service. It will be valuable in promoting the zeal and fidelity of those to whom has been committed the solemn yet blessed work of winning souls.

SCENES IN HAWAIIAN ISLANDS.

pp. 238. 16mo. \$1.00.

"One of the pleasantest little books we have seen in a long time. The children will get many new ideas and much useful information from its pages. The writer, who is a daughter of Rev. Dr. Anderson, visited the Islands with her father in 1863. The scenes she describes all came under her personal observation."— *Boston Recorder*.

FROM DAWN TO DARK IN ITALY.

pp. 440. 12mo. \$2.00.

"A well-written, carefully studied, and thrillingly interesting historical tale of the Italian Christians of the Sixteenth Century. While it conveys much valuable information, it cannot fail to deepen the faith, love, and zeal of the reader."— *Boston Journal*.

WONDERFUL WORKS.

pp. 281. 16mo. \$1.00.

A very interesting book for the Sabbath School or family. The miracles of our Saviour, as illustrated in a series of conversations between a Christian mother and her children.

BEHOLD THE LAMB OF GOD.

pp. 48. Cloth, 45 cents. Paper Covers, 6 cents.

"A most earnest and eloquent invitation to the unconverted to come to Jesus. The book is a gem in its mechanical appearance as well as in its matter."— *S. S. Times*.

AMERICAN TRACT SOCIETY,

N. BROUGHTON, JR., Depositary,

28 CORNHILL, BOSTON.

STANDARD WORKS.

NEW EDITIONS.

Just published, new editions of the following valuable works, to which the attention of seekers for good books is particularly invited.

ARVINE'S ANECDOTES.

ARVINE'S CYCLOPEDIA OF ANECDOTES OF LITERATURE AND THE FINE ARTS. Containing a copious and choice Selection of Anecdotes of the various forms of Literature, of the Arts, of Architecture, Engravings, Music, Poetry, Painting, and Sculpture, and of the most celebrated Literary Characters and Artists of different Countries and Ages, etc. By KARLITT ARVINE, A. M., author of "Cyclopedia of Moral and Religious Anecdotes." With numerous illustrations. 726 pp. octavo, cloth, \$4.00.

This is unquestionably the choicest collection of *Anecdotes* ever published. It contains *three thousand and forty Anecdotes*; and such is the wonderful variety, that it will be found an almost inexhaustible fund of interest for every class of readers. The elaborate classification and indexes must commend it especially to public speakers, to the various classes of *literary and scientific men, to artists, mechanics, and others*, as a *DICTIONARY for reference*, in relation to facts on the numberless subjects and characters introduced. There are also more than *one hundred and fifty fine illustrations*.

BAYNE'S ESSAYS IN BIOGRAPHY AND CRITICISM. By PETER BAYNE, M. A., author of "The Christian Life, Social and Individual." 2 vols. 12mo, cloth, each, \$1.75. These volumes have been prepared by the author exclusively for his American publishers.

PEABODY'S CHRISTIANITY THE RELIGION OF NATURE. Lectures delivered before the Lowell Institute, by A. P. PEABODY, D. D., LL. D., Preacher to the University, and Plummer Professor of Christian Morals, Harvard College. Royal 12mo, cloth, \$1.50.

A masterly production, distinguished for its acuteness and earnestness, its force of logic and fairness of statement, written in a style of singular accuracy and beauty.


THE SUFFERING SAVIOUR; or, Meditations on the Last Days of Christ. By FRED. W. KREUMACHER, D. D., author of "Elijah the Tishbite," etc., etc. 12mo, cloth, \$1.75.

ROGET'S THESAURUS OF ENGLISH WORDS AND PHRASES, so classified and arranged as to facilitate the expression of ideas, and assist in literary composition. New and improved edition. By PETER MARK ROGET, late Secretary of the Royal Society, London, etc. Revised and edited, with a List of Foreign Words defined in English, and other additions, by BARNAS SEARS, D. D., President of Brown University. A NEW AMERICAN EDITION, with ADDITIONS AND IMPROVEMENTS. 12mo, cloth, \$2.00.

KITTO'S POPULAR CYCLOPEDIA OF BIBLICAL LITERATURE. Condensed from the larger work. By the Author, JOHN KITTO, D. D. Assisted by JAMES TAYLOR, D. D., of Glasgow. With over five hundred illustrations. One volume octavo, 812 pp., cloth, \$4.00.

A DICTIONARY OF THE BIBLE. Serving also as a COMMENTARY, embodying the products of the best and most recent researches in biblical literature in which the scholars of Europe and America have been engaged.

WESTCOTT'S INTRODUCTION TO THE STUDY OF THE GOSPELS. With Historical and Explanatory Notes. By BROOKE FOSS WESTCOTT, M. A., late Fellow of Trinity College, Cambridge. With an Introduction by Prof. H. B. HACKETT, D. D. Royal 12mo, cloth, \$2.00.

 A masterly work by a master mind.

THIRD EDITION.

The Hawaiian Islands:

THEIR PROGRESS AND CONDITION UNDER MISSIONARY LABORS. By RUFUS ANDERSON, D. D., Foreign Secretary of the American Board of Commissioners for Foreign Missions. With Maps, Illustrations, etc. Royal 12mo. Cloth. Price \$2.25.

This noble volume, by Dr. Anderson, has been prepared after the experience of a forty-years' correspondence with the missionaries sent out by the "American Board," and the information obtained by a recent sojourn of four months upon the Islands, during which time he was permitted to visit almost every portion of the country, mingling with the people in their public assemblies, their social and domestic circles, witnessing their customs, habits, their civil and religious institutions, commercial enterprise, etc. It is a work of no ordinary interest and importance.

GOULD & LINCOLN, 59 WASHINGTON STREET, BOSTON.

Congregational Quarterly Advertiser.

THE Congregationalist: A FAMILY RELIGIOUS NEWSPAPER.

THE CONGREGATIONALIST has now a circulation larger than at any former period, and fully maintains its position as a first-class religious journal. Its principles, which are those of the Pilgrim Fathers, and which are working themselves out in the nation to such amazing and beneficent results, will remain as of old, and its editors will only seek week by week to do them better justice; while neither pains nor expense is spared to secure and retain the best talent in every department.

The editorials of the CONGREGATIONALIST embrace a great variety of topics, and are the product of two pens, each of which has had long experience in religious journalism, and unsurpassed opportunity to become thoroughly acquainted with the principles and polity of our denomination. Among

Our Special Contributors are

Rev. LEONARD BACON, D. D.,

Rev. JOSEPH P. THOMPSON, D. D.,

Rev. JOHN TODD, D. D.,

Rev. A. H. QUINT,

Rev. W. L. GAGE, and

"SPECTATOR."

In the department of selections the editors receive the assistance of several clergymen of broad culture, and extensive acquaintance with the best books, reviews, and journals. The poetical department will remain, as heretofore, under the exclusive charge of one whose occasional original contributions demonstrate her admirable and unusual fitness for such a position.

The weekly Washington letter from "Spectator" is always valuable, and Rev. W. L. Gage is furnishing a fine series of foreign letters. It is our aim to secure the best obtainable writers for children; and we endeavor to afford the households in which the CONGREGATIONALIST is read an important assistance in family training. The summary of war news, which we furnish weekly, is written throughout especially for our columns, with the design to give a condensed, comprehensive, and reliable view of the progress of our national affairs. In short, no pains or cost is spared to make our paper in all respects a good — if not the best — FAMILY RELIGIOUS JOURNAL.

Price, \$2.50 per year, in advance.

GALEN JAMES & CO.,

15 CORNHILL, BOSTON.

NEW MONTHLY MAGAZINE.

On the 1st of May will be commenced

HOURS AT HOME,

A Popular Magazine of Religious and Useful Literature.

Edited by JAMES M. SHERWOOD.

HOURS AT HOME is designed to stand among our Monthly magazines as the representative of the Religious element of American literature. Besides articles on purely religious subjects, it will contain Reviews of Books; Biographical and Historical Sketches; Poetry; Notes of Travel; Moral Tales; Papers on Popular Science; and Essays upon Miscellaneous Topics. Discarding the frivolous, the irreligious and corrupting, it will aim to furnish a pure, healthful, and instructive literature; it will be animated also by a thoroughly Catholic spirit, so that it may belong to the entire American Church. In brief, HOURS AT HOME will aim to be what its name indicates—a Family Magazine, unexceptionable in all its teachings, elevated in tone, and useful; at the same time it will strive to render itself an invaluable aid to the Sunday-school Teacher, and a welcome visitor to the study of every Pastor.

While HOURS AT HOME will be thoroughly American, the Editor will avail himself of the choicest materials furnished by the Foreign Religious Magazines. The Articles will be short, varied, and sprightly. No expense will be spared to make it equal to any magazine in the country in mechanical execution and general appearance. Each number will contain 96 pages, royal octavo, double columns. The first number will be ready early in April.

We invite the prompt and generous aid of Pastors, Parents, Sunday-school Teachers, and all who desire a pure and a Christian Literature, to give a wide circulation to HOURS AT HOME.

Numerous eminent clergymen and laymen of all denominations, distinguished as popular writers, have been engaged as contributors to HOURS AT HOME, whose names will be a sufficient pledge of the high standard of literary excellence which will characterize it, among which are the following:

F. D. HUNTINGTON, D. D.	JOSEPH P. THOMPSON, D. D.	PROF. HENRY B. SMITH, D. D.
PHILIP SCHAFF, D. D.	ABEL STEVENS, D. D.	PROF. NOAH PORTER, D. D.
WILLIAM ADAMS, D. D.	WILLIAM B. SPRAGUE, D. D.	PROF. G. T. SHEDD, D. D.
ELBERT S. PORTER, D. D.	RAY PALMER, D. D.	PRESIDENT F. WAYLAND, D. D.
E. L. FANCHER, Esq. LL. D.	HENRY A. NELSON, D. D.	REV. C. S. ROBINSON.
E. H. GILLET, D. D.	PR'T. T. D. WOOLSEY, D. D. LL. D.	REV. JAMES ELLIS, D. D.
RUFUS ANDERSON, D. D.	LEONARD W. BACON, D. D.	PROF. GEORGE P. FISHER.
PROF. EDWIN HARWOOD, D. D.	PROF. M. L. STOEVEY, D. D.	PROF. D. R. GOODWIN, D. D.
TATLER LEWIS, LL. D.	G. L. PRENTISS, D. D.	PROF. B. SILLIMAN, M. D.
PROF. R. D. HITCHCOCK, D. D.	JAMES R. SPALDING, Esq.	WM. IVES BUDDINGTON, D. D.
R. TURNBULL, D. D.	THOMAS H. SKINNER, D. D. LL. D.	BISHOP CLARK, R. I.

A series of papers by the late DR. SPENCER, author of "A Pastor's Sketches," and also several articles by the late MRS. H. C. CONANT, will appear in HOURS AT HOME.

TERMS FOR HOURS AT HOME.

Single subscriptions, \$3 a year, in advance.

Club Price: Two copies for one year, \$5 50.

To each club of five (to one address) sending \$15, an extra copy will be given.

To every club of 10 or more, each \$2 50.

Single numbers, 25 cents.

Subscriptions and orders from the Trade solicited.

The office of the Editor, and for mail subscribers, will be 5 Beekman street, where all letters should be addressed.

CHARLES SCRIBNER & Co., New York, Publishers.

Congregational Quarterly Advertiser.

PHYSICAL Geography of the Holy Land,

BY EDWARD ROBINSON, D. D., LL. D.,

Professor of Biblical Literature in the Union Theological Seminary, New York.

A SUPPLEMENT to the late author's Biblical Researches in Palestine. The MAPS of the late Biblical Researches will serve for this work. Just published by

CROCKER & BREWSTER, 51 Washington St.

The New York Observer says, "Of all modern geographers, German, French, or English, no man made more careful, personal, and accurate observations of Palestine than Dr. Robinson. Without enthusiasm by nature, he became enthusiastic in this pursuit, devoting a quarter of a century to such indefatigable investigations as resulted in contributions more important to the correct apprehension of the geography of Biblical history than any man before him had made. This supplementary volume is, therefore, one that all students of Holy Scripture will desire to add, without delay, to their apparatus for study." Many similar testimonials have been received by the publishers, but space will not permit their insertion here.

ROBINSON'S

BIBLICAL RESEARCHES IN PALESTINE.

New Edition, with an entire new volume of Travels in 1852.

BIBLICAL RESEARCHES IN PALESTINE, MOUNT SINAI, AND ARABIA PETRÆA; a Journal of Travels in the year 1838. Also, Later Biblical Researches in Palestine and Syria, a Journal of Travels in the year 1852, by Edward Robinson, Eli Smith, and others. Undertaken in reference to Biblical Geography. Drawn up from the Original Diaries, with Historical Illustrations. By EDWARD ROBINSON, D. D., LL. D., Professor of Biblical Literature in the Union Theological Seminary, New York. With a volume of new Maps and Plans of Mount Sinai, Jerusalem, Northern and Southern Palestine. The whole comprised in three volumes 8vo. Volume *Third*, comprising the Travels in 1852, can be had separately.

We opened this work with a feeling of weary despondency at the prospect of three more volumes of Travels in Palestine—we closed them with respect and gratitude to the author, not unmingled with a little blameless national jealousy. We are not altogether pleased, that for the best and most copious work on the geography and antiquities of the Holy Land, though written in English, we should be indebted to an American divine. We have found in this work more solid and important information on the geography and on the topography of the Holy Land than has accumulated since the days of "Reland's Palestine." We have not the slightest hesitation in pronouncing the topography of Jerusalem in this work by far the most full, complete, and satisfactory which has yet appeared in any language. This work, by the industry, good sense, and erudition displayed throughout its pages, does great credit, and we trust is of happy omen, to the rising literature of America.—*London Quarterly Review*.

WE ALSO PUBLISH THE FOLLOWING:

ROBINSON'S CALMET'S DICTIONARY OF THE BIBLE. Octavo.

" " " " " Abridged.

" HEBREW AND ENGLISH LEXICON OF THE OLD TESTAMENT.

" HARMONY OF THE GOSPELS, in GREEK.

" " " " " in ENGLISH.

NEANDER'S GENERAL HISTORY OF THE CHRISTIAN RELIGION AND CHURCH, in 5 vols. octavo. Translated by Prof. JOSEPH TORREY.

SCOTT'S FAMILY BIBLE, 6 vols. octavo, etc., etc., etc.

CROCKER & BREWSTER,

51 Washington Street.

STANDARD WORKS.

NEW EDITIONS.

Just published, new editions of the following valuable works, to which the attention of seekers for good books is particularly invited.

ARVINE'S ANECDOTES.

ARVINE'S CYCLOPEDIA OF ANECDOTES OF LITERATURE AND THE FINE ARTS. Containing a copious and choice Selection of Anecdotes of the various forms of Literature, of the Arts, of Architecture, Engravings, Music, Poetry, Painting, and Sculpture, and of the most celebrated Literary Characters and Artists of different Countries and Ages, etc. By KAZLITT ARVINE, A. M., author of "Cyclopædia of Moral and Religious Anecdotes." With numerous illustrations. 726 pp. octavo, cloth, \$4.00.

This is unquestionably the choicest collection of *Anecdotes* ever published. It contains *three thousand and forty Anecdotes*: and such is the wonderful variety, that it will be found an almost inexhaustible fund of interest for every class of readers. The elaborate classification and indexes must commend it especially to public speakers, to the various classes of *literary and scientific men, to artists, mechanics, and others, as a Dictionary for reference*, in relation to facts on the numberless subjects and characters introduced. There are also more than *one hundred and fifty fine illustrations*.

BAYNE'S ESSAYS IN BIOGRAPHY AND CRITICISM. By PETER BAYNE, M. A., author of "The Christian Life, Social and Individual." 2 vols. 12mo, cloth, each, \$1.75.

These volumes have been prepared by the author exclusively for his American publishers.

PEABODY'S CHRISTIANITY THE RELIGION OF NATURE. Lectures delivered before the Lowell Institute, by A. P. PEABODY, D. D., LL. D., Preacher to the University, and Plummer Professor of Christian Morals, Harvard College. Royal 12mo, cloth, \$1.50.

A masterly production, distinguished for its acuteness and earnestness, its force of logic and fairness of statement, written in a style of singular accuracy and beauty.

THE SUFFERING SAVIOUR, or, Meditations on the Last Days of Christ. By FRED. W. KREMACHER, D. D., author of "Elijah the Tishbite," etc., etc. 12mo, cloth, \$1.75.

ROGET'S THESAURUS OF ENGLISH WORDS AND PHRASES, so classified and arranged as to facilitate the expression of ideas, and assist in literary composition. New and improved edition. By PETER MARK ROGET, late Secretary of the Royal Society, London, etc. Revised and edited, with a List of Foreign Words defined in English, and other additions, by BARNES SEARS, D. D., President of Brown University. A NEW AMERICAN Edition, with ADDITIONS AND IMPROVEMENTS. 12mo, cloth, \$2.00.

KITTO'S POPULAR CYCLOPÆDIA OF BIBLICAL LITERATURE. Condensed from the larger work. By the Author, JOHN KITTO, D. D. Assisted by JAMES TAYLOR, D. D., of Glasgow. With over five hundred illustrations. One volume octavo, 812 pp., cloth, \$4.00.

A DICTIONARY OF THE BIBLE. Serving also as a COMMENTARY, embodying the products of the best and most recent researches in biblical literature in which the scholars of Europe and America have been engaged.

WESTCOTT'S INTRODUCTION TO THE STUDY OF THE GOSPELS. With HISTORICAL AND EXPLANATORY NOTES. By BROOKE FOSB WESTCOTT, M. A., late Fellow of Trinity College, Cambridge. With an Introduction by Prof. H. B. HACKETT, D. D. Royal 12mo, cloth, \$2.00.

☞ A masterly work by a master mind.

THIRD EDITION.

The Hawaiian Islands:

THEIR PROGRESS AND CONDITION UNDER MISSIONARY LABORS. By RUFUS ANDERSON, D. D., Foreign Secretary of the American Board of Commissioners for Foreign Missions. With Maps, Illustrations, etc. Royal 12mo. Cloth. Price \$2.25.

This noble volume, by Dr. Anderson, has been prepared after the experience of a forty-years' correspondence with the missionaries sent out by the "American Board," and the information obtained by a recent sojourn of four months upon the Islands, during which time he was permitted to visit almost every portion of the country, mingling with the people in their public assemblies, their social and domestic circles, witnessing their customs, habits, their civil and religious institutions, commercial enterprise, etc. It is a work of no ordinary interest and importance.

GOULD & LINCOLN, 59 WASHINGTON STREET, BOSTON.

Jos. E. Dudley
Vol. VII., Nos. 3.
4.

\$1.50 a Year in Advance.

{ Whole Nos. 27.
28.

THE
Congregational Quarterly.

JULY & OCTOBER, 1865.



CONDUCTED, UNDER THE SANCTION OF THE
American Congregational Association,
AND THE
American Congregational Union.
BY

REVS. H. M. DEXTER, D. D., A. H. QUINT, AND I. P. LANGWORTHY.

BOSTON:
CONGREGATIONAL BUILDING, 23 CHAUNCEY STREET.
NEW YORK:
ROOMS OF AMERICAN CONGREGATIONAL UNION,
49 BIBLE HOUSE.

Address "Congregational Quarterly," 23 Chauncy Street, Boston.

CONTENTS.

	PAGE.
ALBERT DRAKE. By Rev. Edmund K. Alden, South Boston, Ms.,.....	227
THE INVISIBLE CHURCH. By Rev. J. M. Hoppin, New Haven, Conn.,....	231
CATHOLIC CONGREGATIONAL CHURCH, Bristol, R. I., (with wood-cut),..	236
AN ODE,.....	237
NATIONAL COUNCIL OF CONGREGATIONAL CHURCHES,.....	238
CONGREGATIONAL NECROLOGY:	
Miss Fidelia Fisk,.....	419
Rev. Thomas L. Ambrose,.....	421
Rev. Simon Putnam,.....	421
Rev. Amariah Chandler, D. D.,.....	421
Rev. William Fowler Vail,.....	422
Madam Mary Sabin,.....	424
Dea. James Orr,.....	425
Rev. William T. Clapp,.....	425
Sampson Vryling Stoddard Wilder,.....	426
Rev. Lavius Hyde,.....	427
Rev. George W. Ranslow,.....	428
Mrs. Lucy Duncun,.....	428
Rev. George W. Finney,.....	429
Rev. Stetson Raymond,.....	430
NOTICES OF BOOKS,.....	431
EDITORS' TABLE,.....	435
CONGREGATIONAL QUARTERLY RECORD:	
Churches Formed,.....	438
Ministers Ordained or Installed,.....	438
Pastors Dismissed,.....	440
Ministers Married,.....	440
Ministers Deceased,.....	440
Ministers' Wives Deceased,.....	441
AMERICAN CONGREGATIONAL UNION,.....	441
AMERICAN CONGREGATIONAL ASSOCIATION,.....	447

T H E
Congregationalist,
A
FAMILY RELIGIOUS NEWSPAPER.

THE CONGREGATIONALIST has now a circulation larger than at any former period, and fully maintains its position as a first-class religious journal. Its principles, which are those of the Pilgrim Fathers, and which are working themselves out in the nation to such amazing and beneficent results, will remain as of old, and its editors will only seek week by week to do them better justice; while neither pains nor expense is spared to secure and retain the best talent in every department.

The editorials of the CONGREGATIONALIST embrace a great variety of topics, and are the product of two pens, each of which has had long experience in religious journalism, and unsurpassed opportunity to become thoroughly acquainted with the principles and polity of our denomination. Among

Our Special Contributors are

Rev. LEONARD BACON, D. D.,

Rev. JOSEPH P. THOMPSON, D. D.,

Rev. A. H. QUINT,

Rev. W. L. GAGE, and

"SPECTATOR."

In the department of selections the editors receive the assistance of several clergymen of broad culture, and extensive acquaintance with the best books, reviews, and journals. The poetical department will remain, as heretofore, under the exclusive charge of one whose occasional original contributions demonstrate her admirable and unusual fitness for such a position.

The weekly Washington letter from "Spectator" is always valuable, and Rev. W. L. Gage is furnishing a fine series of foreign letters. It is our aim to secure the best obtainable writers for children; and we endeavor to afford the households in which the CONGREGATIONALIST is read an important assistance in family training. The summary of news, which we furnish weekly, is written throughout especially for our columns, with the design to give a condensed, comprehensive, and reliable view of the progress of our national affairs. In short, no pains or cost is spared to make our paper in all respects a good—if not the best—FAMILY RELIGIOUS JOURNAL.

Price, \$2.50 per year, in advance.

GALEN JAMES & CO.,

15 CORNHILL, BOSTON.

CONGREGATIONALISM:

What it is; whence it is; how it works; why it is better than any other Form of Church Government; and what are its consequent demands.

BY REV. HENRY M. DEXTER,

OF THIS CITY,

For more than thirteen years an editor of the "Congregationalist," and senior editor of the "Congregational Quarterly."

One Volume 8vo. 350 pp. Price Three Dollars.

THIS BOOK IS DESIGNED ESPECIALLY TO TREAT THE FOLLOWING POINTS; *VIZ.*—

1. To define clearly what are the fundamental and secondary principles of Congregationalism.
 2. To show that it has proved itself equally adapted to every latitude and sphere of society
 3. To develop the grounds of Scripture and Reason on which it rests.
 4. To place very thoroughly before the reader the testimony of Ecclesiastical History, and of Christian Literature, to the truth of its essential ideas.
 5. To show what was the Congregationalism of our fathers.
 6. To show, in the completest and minutest manner, the proper method of the ordinary and special processes of Congregationalism. Great pains have been taken to give this department of the work especial practical value. Specific directions are given how to form and how to dissolve a Church, how to form a Society, and how to give them proper joint action; how to choose, call, settle, dismiss, and depose a Minister; how to transact the ordinary business of a Church, with Rules of Order, etc., etc.; how to carry on discipline; how to call a Council, with forms of Letters-Missive for every case in which a Council needs to be called, etc., etc.; in short, under this head, the effort has been made to answer beforehand all practical questions which may arise in the normal working of Congregationalism, by showing what the common-sense answer of the Polity to them has been, or must be.
 7. To set forth by some minute comparison of details, as well as by constant reference to principles, the actual superiority of Congregationalism to every other form of Church government; under which head many novel facts of great interest and value are cited.
 8. To point out the present duty of the Congregational Churches and Ministry, in view of all these considerations.
- The work is largely illustrated by quotations from the fathers, and from a very extended range of ancient and modern reading; many hundreds of passages, believed to cast some valuable cross-light upon the text, being cited in the notes.

THE VERDICT OF REASON

ON THE QUESTION OF FUTURE PUNISHMENT OF THE IMPENITENT.

By REV. HENRY M. DEXTER. Price One Dollar.

Attention is requested to the following brief ANALYSIS:

QUESTION.—Is it reasonable that God should punish eternally those who die impenitent? Chap. I. Reason the ultimate Judge. II. The principles on which Reason must decide. III. The Testimony of the Old Testament. IV. The Testimony of Christ. V. The Testimony of the Apostles. VI. The more indirect Testimonies of the Bible. VII. There is no reasonable objection to this Testimony which has force to modify it. VIII. Summing-up of the Argument.

THE KNIGHTLY SOLDIER:

A Biography of Major HENRY WARD CAMP, 10th Conn. Vols.

BY CHAPLAIN H. CLAY TRUMBULL.

Tinted paper, with steel Portrait and Engravings. Price \$2.00.

Among the many volumes of biographical literature, no one possesses greater fascination than this, either in its subject, style, or matter. It combines every element of value and popularity; and the many hundred copies already ordered for the Army, and paid for in advance, foreshadow the great sale that may be expected. The ample material from Major CAMP's own pen, and from the writings of others, are compiled and wrought into a connected narrative by his nearest personal friend, the well-known Chaplain H. CLAY TRUMBULL, his comrade in prison and field.

NICHOLS & NOYES, 117 Washington Street, Boston.

